


2020 Census Non-Response Risk to North Carolina


The US Census is vital to representation, funding, and future planning in North Carolina. The US Census Bureau is collecting 2020 Census responses through September 30, 2020. While the response rates in the triad counties are approaching or above 60%, they are lower in the mountain and coastal counties. Response rates are reported daily at 2020census.gov/response-rates.

2020 Census Self-Response Rate – August 11, 2020



Using reported estimates for census driven funding, we can estimate the at-risk funds due to nonresponse. Census stakeholders can use this information to assess the impact response rates have on funding in their county or congressional district and work to improve response with awareness efforts and calls to action.

Potential Annual Funding Loss Due to 2020 Census NonResponse
Based on August 11, 2020 Response Rates



The 2020 Census response deadline is now September 30th. Door-to-door counting for nonresponding households began on August 11, 2020. Response rates will continue to improve, but the risk of undercounting the population is higher for areas with higher nonresponse rates. Historically, self-response provides more accurate individual data than door-to-door counting. As of August 11, **over 4 million North Carolinians need to be counted putting at risk an estimated \$7.4 billion annually for the next 10 years.**


Quickly completing your census form either online (my2020census.gov), by phone ([844-330-2020](tel:844-330-2020)), or by paper questionnaire decreases the need for a Census worker to visit your home and maintains social distancing.

The funding impact assessment is based on the following resources:

- August 11, 2020 Nonresponse Households calculated from the US Census Bureau's Response Rate Tracker
- Estimated total households and average household size from the 2014-2018 American Community Survey (ACS), US Census Bureau
- Estimated per capita funding from Counting for Dollars 2020: The Role of the Decennial Census in the Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds, George Washington Institute for Public Policy and the North Carolina Office of State Budget and Management

2020 Census Non-Response Risk to North Carolina

Potential Annual Funding Loss Due to 2020 Census Non-Response Based on August 11, 2020 Response Rates by Congressional District



Congressional District	Estimated Non-Response Households	Estimated At Risk Annual Funding
1	44.9%	589,419,652
2	33.0%	488,224,922
3	46.9%	613,836,914
4	33.7%	496,032,831
5	42.5%	564,798,214
6	36.4%	497,172,206
7	45.0%	630,138,180
8	41.7%	574,828,360
9	38.1%	530,906,821
10	40.2%	541,793,777
11	47.2%	634,167,010
12	39.5%	601,205,347
13	36.0%	493,345,729

Produced by the North Carolina Office of State Budget and Management

Individual County Impact Data Table

County	Estimated Non-Response Households	Estimated At Risk Annual Funding	County	Estimated Non-Response Households	Estimated At Risk Annual Funding	County	Estimated Non-Response Households	Estimated At Risk Annual Funding
Alamance	37.5%	106,413,979	Franklin	42.2%	48,741,551	Pamlico	53.5%	11,743,766
Alexander	36.1%	23,571,390	Gaston	41.8%	162,699,104	Pasquotank	37.9%	25,633,203
Alleghany	57.6%	11,364,582	Gates	40.1%	8,409,499	Pender	45.6%	48,129,023
Anson	50.3%	21,555,152	Graham	68.8%	10,597,099	Perquimans	42.5%	10,365,578
Ashe	51.1%	24,521,173	Granville	36.3%	36,461,823	Person	36.9%	26,056,139
Avery	70.2%	18,124,266	Greene	45.6%	15,448,102	Pitt	43.0%	133,926,695
Beaufort	44.4%	37,852,772	Guilford	34.8%	320,246,410	Polk	46.0%	16,844,520
Bertie	49.5%	16,481,743	Halifax	47.1%	43,152,233	Randolph	39.3%	101,579,383
Bladen	54.6%	33,091,096	Harnett	43.7%	101,289,526	Richmond	49.5%	39,495,295
Brunswick	49.2%	112,834,585	Haywood	46.6%	51,011,186	Robeson	53.4%	126,640,164
Buncombe	40.5%	182,650,016	Henderson	38.1%	77,798,348	Rockingham	38.5%	62,922,668
Burke	41.4%	65,418,355	Hertford	49.4%	19,794,134	Rowan	38.1%	94,086,853
Cabarrus	39.3%	142,965,129	Hoke	45.4%	42,829,562	Rutherford	48.4%	57,646,906
Caldwell	36.4%	53,638,129	Hyde	61.5%	5,315,868	Sampson	47.4%	54,102,994
Camden	33.3%	6,309,403	Iredell	34.9%	108,760,180	Scotland	46.5%	27,680,432
Carteret	57.2%	70,588,383	Jackson	67.1%	47,228,461	Stanly	38.8%	41,770,399
Caswell	44.1%	17,433,349	Johnston	35.0%	120,830,263	Stokes	38.3%	31,672,802
Catawba	35.1%	98,522,212	Jones	47.6%	8,291,004	Surry	41.1%	53,207,901
Chatham	32.4%	40,776,864	Lee	36.7%	39,570,038	Swain	66.7%	17,006,767
Cherokee	53.7%	26,668,667	Lenoir	43.0%	43,861,380	Transylvania	50.8%	29,818,811
Chowan	40.8%	10,369,224	Lincoln	33.5%	49,279,336	Tyrrell	56.0%	3,494,691
Clay	53.1%	10,436,675	Macon	46.1%	36,981,378	Union	29.0%	118,815,848
Cleveland	40.4%	70,351,393	Madison	61.9%	38,443,424	Vance	46.7%	37,163,678
Columbus	50.6%	49,177,248	Martin	48.7%	18,007,594	Wake	31.2%	581,916,184
Craven	37.9%	67,771,848	McDowell	44.2%	18,466,990	Warren	55.4%	19,250,880
Cumberland	42.3%	244,653,892	Mecklenburg	37.3%	705,216,612	Washington	49.4%	10,826,797
Currituck	52.2%	24,430,023	Mitchell	49.5%	13,260,502	Watauga	58.5%	51,448,706
Dare	65.3%	42,277,193	Montgomery	61.0%	29,060,443	Wayne	41.4%	91,217,451
Davidson	36.8%	109,365,416	Moore	41.7%	71,680,360	Wilkes	42.5%	52,285,463
Davie	32.3%	24,495,651	Nash	38.4%	64,260,750	Wilson	40.9%	59,406,101
Duplin	54.2%	57,889,365	New Hanover	40.3%	159,594,535	Yadkin	37.7%	25,693,362
Durham	42.1%	224,537,087	Northampton	56.4%	19,870,700	Yancey	52.0%	16,629,406
Edgecombe	44.8%	42,935,296	Onslow	46.2%	146,224,653			
Forsyth	38.0%	249,969,760	Orange	30.6%	73,550,758			

The funding impact assessment is based on the following resources:

- August 11, 2020 Nonresponse Households calculated from the US Census Bureau's Response Rate Tracker
- Estimated total households and average household size from the 2014-2018 American Community Survey (ACS), US Census Bureau
- Estimated per capita funding from Counting for Dollars 2020: The Role of the Decennial Census in the Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds, George Washington Institute for Public Policy and the North Carolina Office of State Budget and Management