

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

SEE INSTRUCTIONS IN *HOW TO COMPLETE NATIONAL REGISTER FORMS*
TYPE ALL ENTRIES -- COMPLETE APPLICABLE SECTIONS

1 NAME

HISTORIC Courthouses in North Carolina (a thematic nomination)

AND/OR COMMON

2 LOCATION

STREET & NUMBER see inventory sheets

CITY, TOWN

various

___ VICINITY OF

___ NOT FOR PUBLICATION

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

various

STATE

CODE

COUNTY

CODE

North Carolina

various

3 CLASSIFICATION

CATEGORY

___ DISTRICT
☒ BUILDING(S)
___ STRUCTURE
___ SITE
___ OBJECT

OWNERSHIP

☒ PUBLIC
___ PRIVATE
___ BOTH

PUBLIC ACQUISITION

___ IN PROCESS
___ BEING CONSIDERED

STATUS

☒ OCCUPIED
☒ UNOCCUPIED
☒ WORK IN PROGRESS
ACCESSIBLE
☒ YES: RESTRICTED
___ YES: UNRESTRICTED
___ NO

PRESENT USE

___ AGRICULTURE ___ MUSEUM
___ COMMERCIAL ___ PARK
___ EDUCATIONAL ___ PRIVATE RESIDENCE
___ ENTERTAINMENT ___ RELIGIOUS
☒ GOVERNMENT ___ SCIENTIFIC
___ INDUSTRIAL ___ TRANSPORTATION
___ MILITARY ___ OTHER:

4 OWNER OF PROPERTY

NAME

see attached list

STREET & NUMBER

CITY, TOWN

___ VICINITY OF

STATE

5 LOCATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION

COURTHOUSE,
REGISTRY OF DEEDS, ETC.

various

STREET & NUMBER

CITY, TOWN

STATE

6 REPRESENTATION IN EXISTING SURVEYS

TITLE

DATE

___ FEDERAL ___ STATE ___ COUNTY ___ LOCAL

DEPOSITORY FOR
SURVEY RECORDS

CITY, TOWN

STATE

7 DESCRIPTION

CONDITION

☒ EXCELLENT
☒ GOOD
☒ FAIR

☐ DETERIORATED
☐ RUINS
☐ UNEXPOSED

CHECK ONE

☒ UNALTERED
☒ ALTERED

CHECK ONE

☒ ORIGINAL SITE
☐ MOVED DATE _____

DESCRIBE THE PRESENT AND ORIGINAL (IF KNOWN) PHYSICAL APPEARANCE

The Survey and Planning Branch of the Division of Archives and history conducted a historical and architectural survey of North Carolina's county courthouses during the winter of 1976-77. These buildings, ranging in date from 1767 to 1976, form one of the most important groups of structures in the state, as they illustrate two centuries of architectural evolution and the formation and development of North Carolina's county seats. The survey was done in two parts: (1) historical data was gleaned from county records, histories, and newspapers, and (2) the buildings were recorded during the field work. All surviving courthouses were studied; thus, a total of one-hundred and fourteen structures were inventoried. Of the one-hundred and fourteen buildings, two are eighteenth century (one in use as a courthouse); fourteen courthouses (seven in use) were built between 1800 and 1865, while ten (seven in use) date from the post-bellum era, 1865 to 1890. The majority of North Carolina's courthouses were constructed between 1890 and 1930. Fifty-five courthouses belong to this group, with only two no longer functioning. The 1920s alone produced sixteen. From 1930 until the present thirty-three facilities have been built, fifteen in the past seven years.

Twenty-five of North Carolina's county courthouses are already listed in the National Register: fourteen are individual entries while eleven are included in historic districts. These buildings are among the state's most outstanding examples of eighteenth and nineteenth century public architecture. They are not the subject of this nomination, though they are important to the total group. The fifty-eight buildings (not previously listed in the Register) included in this nomination range in date from 1824 to 1939. Five of the fifty-eight courthouses are antebellum, three Italianate, forty-eight Neo-Classical Revival, and two Colonial Revival. Of the thirty-one courthouses not included, twenty-five have been constructed in the past thirty years, while six have been drastically modernized.

North Carolina's first courthouses were small frame or log structures which literally housed the court. These temporary buildings, none of which survives, were erected in the designated site of the county seat, and were often the only structure of the newly created town. Clustered around the modest courthouse were ancillary buildings, such as the jail, sheriff's office and clerk's office. The Halifax County courthouse square still retains its old clerk's office as does the Northampton County square. (N.R.) Maps of the late seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries indicate that many county seats were merely called "courthouse," as the seat of government was the raison d'etre of the town.

The threat of fire or theft of important records necessitated the eventual replacement of these frame buildings by more permanent structures, often of masonry construction. Our oldest county courthouse, the Chowan County Courthouse, is a brick building erected in 1767. A National Historic Landmark, it is the earliest surviving public building in the State, and among the finest Georgian style public buildings in the South.

A product of one of the most prosperous and important colonial counties, the Chowan County Courthouse epitomizes early courthouses in its use of brick, conservative classical design, and almost domestic scale. These characteristics, along with the courthouse's impressive siting on the town green and its three-stage cupola, also establishes a precedent for courthouse design in North Carolina that persisted throughout the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 1

Of considerable later date and different stylistic detail, the neighboring Perquimans County Courthouse (1825) is somewhat akin in appearance and plan to that of Chowan. The brick, two-story building has a three-part facade with center pediment. The Perquimans County and Gates County (1836) (NR) courthouses are the only surviving Federal style courthouses in the state.

The Greek Revival style was popular in North Carolina courthouse architecture, as it vividly reflected the temple of justice concept. The temple-form, modestly expressed in the Brunswick County Courthouse (1844), was the dominant and most forcefully classical type in Greek Revival courthouse design during the late 1830s, 1840s, and 1850s; however, other formulae were employed. The Granville County Courthouse (1838) is a two-story T-plan Greek Revival building with a projecting square tower on the main facade. The Hyde County Courthouse (ca. 1850), although extensively remodeled, is a two-story L-shaped Greek Revival structure.

In reaction against the formality of the Greek Revival, more varied outlets were sought in historical and romantic architectural styles in courthouse architecture as well as domestic during the mid-nineteenth century. Gothic, Romanesque, Italian and even Egyptian styles were revived and sometimes combined in an eclectic manner. First demonstrated in the Caswell County Courthouse (1858-1861) (NR), these romantic styles began to exert a wide influence on North Carolina courthouses during the late 1800s. The Martin County (1885) and the Transylvania County (1873) courthouses feature the arched windows and central square towers of the Italianate style. A pronounced use of surface ornament is evident in the decorative brickwork of the exteriors, however, the plans are still symmetrical and the scale conservative.

Concurrent with--and finally outlasting--the extravagances of High Victorianism was the persistent classical strain. Buildings such as the 1881 Chatham County Courthouse reveal a strong reliance on classical models for public institutions. The Bertie County Courthouse (1889) is characterized by a return to the simple rectangular form and dominant portico of its temple-form predecessors.

By the early twentieth century, the Neo-Classical Revival expressed a renaissance of classicism, which was to be the uncontested courthouse style for over forty years. The reemergence of a conservative classical vocabulary in architecture was the result of a reaction against the extravagances of Victorian styles and a reassertion of the "temple of justice" concept. Exuberant Victorian courthouses include those in Wilmington and New Bern historic district, plus many that have been lost, as in Winston-Salem.

The courthouses of the Neo-Classical Revival are larger in scale and usually richer in interior detail than earlier ones. While brick was the predominant building material of the nineteenth century courthouses, stone was used as a facing material during the early twentieth century, and the buildings in general are broader and less complex in massing than Victorian counterparts. A standard floor plan--consisting of ground floor

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 2

offices separated by cross halls or a central hall and a large second floor courtroom spanning the width of the building--is common throughout the period.

Within the Neo-Classical Revival a clear progression can be perceived. The early Neo-Classical Revival style is characterized by a vernacular interpretation of classical forms and by a retention of Victorian elements. The Tyrrell County Courthouse (1903) displays a pronounced Victorian Italianate character in its gabled dormers, decorative brick work, and arched windows. The Tyrrell County Courthouse is one of the few surviving buildings constructed by the B. F. Smith Fireproof Building Company, designers of several courthouses of similar design in the eastern part of the state.

Another notable group of Early Neo-Classical Revival courthouses was designed by Charlotteans Oliver Wheeler and his associates Runge, Stern, and Schwenn. Between 1899 and 1913 they planned nine courthouses, mainly in the western counties. These buildings reveal little variation upon a standard theme, and the repetition within the region established a strong and uniform concept of what a courthouse's appearance should be. The Wilkes County Courthouse (1902) is characteristic of the Wheeler style. Its complex brick facade is fronted by an Ionic portico and an oblong mansard cupola crowns the roof. The Randolph County (1909) and the Iredell County (1899) courthouses are two of the most ornate Wheeler buildings, employing ornament and massing characteristic of this vernacular Beaux-Arts phase of the Neo-Classical Revival. The Stokes County (1904), Avery County (1904), and the Ashe County (1904) courthouses are more conservative representatives of the Wheeler style, while the Anson County (1913) and Halifax County (1909) courthouses display a more academic handling of the Neo-Classical Revival in their less active skylines and dominant porticoes.

In the Lee County Courthouse (1908), designed by Charles McMillan, the large scale, dome, and matching porticoes anticipate the most popular features of mature Neo-Classicism, here forcefully but awkwardly integrated into the overall design.

Toward the end of the first decade of the twentieth century a more mature and assured handling of the style emerged, as seen in the 1907 Madison County and 1914 Jackson County courthouses' well-proportioned pediments and dramatically scaled cupolas. The buildings were designed by the architectural firm of Smith and Carrier of Asheville, N.C. R. S. Smith had been associated with R. M. Hunt at Biltmore. The cupolas are crowned by statues of Blind Justice, powerful symbols of the judicial function of the building.

The architectural firm of Milburn and Heister of Washington, D.C. exerted a great influence on courthouse design from the 1890s to the 1920s in North Carolina by directing courthouse architecture toward an increasingly academic character. The beginning of this quality is demonstrated in Milburn and Heister's Rockingham County Courthouse (1907), a two-story red brick building with quiet massing and restrained classical detail. The Henderson County Courthouse (1904) and the Pitt County Courthouse (1910) typify Milburn

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 3

and Heister's mature courthouse style, distinguished by tan brick skin, heroic porticoes and authoritative classical cupolas. The Wayne County (1913) and the Hoke County (1911) courthouses are also representatives of Milburn and Heister's familiar style: the wall surfaces are quiet, the form simple, and monumentality is achieved through heroic Ionic porticoes sheltering principal entrances in a park-like setting. This prolific architectural firm designed more than sixteen county courthouses in North Carolina during the early twentieth century.

The prosperous decade of the 1920s produced sixteen North Carolina county courthouses which embody the formal and academic character of the mature Neo-Classical Revival style. Overall, courthouses of this period are characterized by quiet facades, strict symmetry, lavishly decorated interiors, and simple skylines. Cupolas are generally absent, with the conspicuous exception of the Cherokee County Courthouse.

A leading architect of the period was Harry Barton (1876-1936) of Greensboro. Barton influenced courthouse design in the 1920s as Milburn and Heister did in the 1900s and 1910s. His courthouses, such as the 1924 Alamance County, 1921 Johnston County, 1924 Cumberland County, and 1918 Guilford County courthouses display an elegant use of stone and wood, simplicity of form, and thorough integration of classical motifs in handsome wood and plaster work.

In general, the courthouses of this period combine Roman and Greek forms and often the courtrooms borrow French and Italian motifs. The courtrooms of late Neo-Classicism are particularly noteworthy; they are the most handsome of any era. Harry Barton's Guilford County Courthouse, Catawba County Courthouse (1924), and the Haywood County Courthouse (1932), both designed by Willard G. Rogers of Charlotte, have courtrooms sumptuously decorated with plaster and woodwork employing many allegorical symbols of justice and elegant classical motifs. The courtroom, the largest chamber of the courthouse, is the focus of interior detailing. The layout with minor exceptions, is standard throughout the evolution of the building: judge's bench, jury box, and court officials are separated from the spectators by a simple balustrade. The wall opposite the bench contains public exits while the side walls are pierced by tall windows. The practice of marking the standards of the courtroom public seats with the initials of the county began in 1748. The Craven County court ordered that the new courthouse benches be "branded with appropriate identification."¹ Fine materials are employed in the decoration of the courtroom, and frequently the solemn nature is heightened by the display of the Ten Commandments, or Blind Justice, and the use of architectonic classical elements.

The Nash County Courthouse of 1921, designed by J. C. Stout, stands out as the only example of Colonial Revival style architecture in courthouses of this period. This style, based on America's own architectural heritage, was very popular in institutional and residential architecture in the 1910s and 1920s. The Nash County Courthouse design is inspired by the Federal style, and it exhibits delicate Roman and Adamesque ornament typical of the early nineteenth century style.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 4

Frank Milburn's Buncombe County Courthouse (1927) is a radical departure from the conventional courthouse of this period. It is the first multistory courthouse, rising seventeen stories above Asheville's Pack Square. The building is an interesting solution to the design of highrise buildings, using setbacks and an overlay of classical ornament to enliven the vertical composition. Inside, the characteristic liberal use of fine materials and classical motifs is evident. This was Milburn's last courthouse in North Carolina, completed a year after his death in 1926, and its distinction as the loftiest North Carolina courthouse is unrivalled.

By the end of the 1920s there was a growing reaction to the historical eclecticism of architectural design. A trend toward modern theories of abstraction and functionalism began to be manifested in the reduction of form and ornament, although still in the classical tradition. The Caldwell County Courthouse, remodeled in 1929, exemplifies the flattening and restriction of ornament and the simplicity of form influenced by contemporary progressive architecture.

The 1930 Person County Courthouse, designed by Greensboro architect Charles C. Hartmann, combines the Neo-Classical Revival and modernistic styles. The form and ornament are classical, but the vertical emphasis, rectilinear and geometric ornament indicates a transition to contemporary principles of basics of shape, plane, and texture.

The modern aesthetic is more pronounced in the 1939 Lenoir County Courthouse, which is still classical in form, yet nearly devoid of traditional ornament. Instead, a modicum of flattened, geometric detail is used in this rare and important example of the sleek modernistic style. The interior is also of a streamlined design. The conventional cross hall plan is used, but fluid lines and strictly geometric details create a dramatic modern appearance. The Greene County Courthouse, built in 1935, is characteristic of the architecture of the Works Project Administration in its severe classical appearance. The building achieves a sense of monumentality through its straight forward design and sparse classical detail. The 1933 Alleghany County Courthouse, designed by Harry Barton, also conveys a monumental impact through its simplicity. While the Alleghany County Courthouse is not a Works Project Administration building, it is typical of the era in its conservative plan and lack of ornamentation.

"Colonial" styled courthouses became popular in the 1930s. The Pender County (1938) courthouse exhibits Georgian Revival proportions and detail. The Pender County Courthouse interior features handsome raised paneled wainscots and broken pedimented overdoors. In general, the scale and interpretation of colonial forms is academic.

Colonial styles continued into the 1950s, but a return to modern design was more popular. Along with the acceptance of modern styles, the courthouse lost its distinct and readily acknowledgeable identity as the county hall of justice. The familiar

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 5

porticoes and cupolas of the past two centuries were absent and often the new facility was located away from the traditional center square. The shift away from the courthouse square and the use of formats common to industrial and commercial buildings has produced, with few exceptions, from the 1950s onward, modern courthouses which are clearly modern but not so clearly courthouse.

A SIGNIFICANT FOCUS OF THE URBAN TOWNSCAPE

The establishment of counties as units of government in North Carolina and the erection of a courthouse resulted in the county seat becoming the political, social, and economic center of the county. The courthouse was a magnet that attracted people and business. When court was in session, the courthouse and surrounding area was a hubbub of activity. The courthouse square was the common ground on which town and county met, and the area around the courthouse naturally developed and was oriented towards it.

The most common townscape in North Carolina's county seats is the courthouse set in a square and surrounded by one and two-story late nineteenth and early twentieth century commercial buildings. Originally small wooden buildings irregularly set around the courthouse, these were replaced by the larger, more ornate stores which are crowded together along the street, facing the courthouse, and usually reflecting the period in which the town experienced its greatest economic growth.

Traditionally, as witnessed by Edenton's town green in front of North Carolina's oldest courthouse (Chowan County, 1767), courthouses have been placed so as to face or be in a special open area. Today, the courthouse is often the only building in the urban center which still maintains a landscaped area--be it a large shaded square, grassy hillside, or a postage-stamp size lawn with simple foundations plantings. In some of the most recent buildings this historic precedent has been followed with terraced plazas an integral part of the courthouse's design. In sharp contrast with the surrounding man-made environment, the trees and landscaping often distinguish the courthouse from other nearby buildings and serve to focus attention on the site, sometimes more than the size or architectural character of the courthouse may warrant.

The placement of courthouses has followed three different patterns in North Carolina. In the earliest counties the courthouse was usually set on one of the corners of the town's main intersection. Since the town was already formed, this prominent siting signified the building's importance to all who passed through the precinct. Literally at the crossroads of the county, this type placement is still evident in Granville County. Later as new counties were formed and county seats were laid out in a grid pattern, the county commissioners would generally reserve the central square for the courthouse and other public buildings such as the clerk's office and jail. Such squares are the most common setting for courthouses in North Carolina. Some are heavily planted and have a park-like character as in Catawba, Cleveland, and Pender counties, while others have been reduced in area by the increase in the size of each new building

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 6

or additions to the old and by the encroachment of street and parking requirements as in Pitt County and Gaston County. In some cases the courthouse as the focus of the townscape is dramatized by placing the courthouse and its landscaped area so as to block vision along the town's main street or the roads leading into the county seat. The effect of such placement is strikingly illustrated by the siting of the Jackson County Courthouse with its ceremonial flight of steps leading up the hillside from the end of Sylva's main street. By placing the courthouse so as to block the view along the main roads leading into the county seat, the planners were able to create the impression that the traveler had arrived at the end of his journey, that this building was what the county's seat of government and justice was all about. This kind of siting is used in Chatham, Columbus, and Lincoln counties. In rural and thinly populated counties such as Currituck, Avery, Stokes, and Rockingham the courthouse was set along the main highway and supporting structures grew up across the road or were irregularly sited to the rear and sides of the courthouse. The courthouse, clearly the most important building in the county seat, is the key to the existence of these towns. Without it, they would only be a collection of buildings at the side of the road to somewhere else.

The present trend in courthouse siting, however, is away from the historical placement of the courthouse in a special open place. Governed by a combination of economics and convenience, commissioners are selecting new and larger sites outside the center of town for their new county courthouses. As county services are increased, demands for space grow, and users of the courthouse require convenient parking for their automobiles, the commissioners are abandoning the older buildings and downtown locations and following the lead of businesses out beyond the central business district to build contemporary, low-profile county government complexes. This removal of the courthouse as the focus of the urban townscape represents a diminution of the town's historic character and the negation of its raison d'etre.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 7 PAGE 7

Alamance County Courthouse	(1924) 1	Montgomery County Courthouse	(1921) 57
Alleghany County Courthouse	(1933) 2	Moore County Courthouse	(1922-23) 2
	15	Nash County Courthouse	(1921) 35
Ashe County Courthouse	(1904) 3	Pender County Courthouse	(1936) 40
Avery County Courthouse	(1912) 4	Perquimans County Courthouse	(1824) 41
Bertie County Courthouse	(1899) 5	Person County Courthouse	(1930) 42
Brunswick County Courthouse	(1844) 6	Pitt County Courthouse	(1910) 43
Buncombe County Courthouse	(1927-28) 7	Randolph County Courthouse	(1909) 44
Caldwell County Courthouse	(1904, 1929) 8	Rockingham County Courthouse	(1907) 45
Catawba County Courthouse	(1924) 9	Richmond County Courthouse	(1922-23)
Chatham County Courthouse	(1881) 10	Rutherford County Courthouse	(1925-26)
Cherokee County Courthouse	(1926-27) 11	Stokes County Courthouse	(1904)
Cleveland County Courthouse	(1905) 12	Surry County Courthouse	(1916)
Columbus County Courthouse	(1914-15) 13	Swain County Courthouse	(1908) 50
Cumberland County Courthouse	(1924) 14	Transylvania Co. Courthouse	(1873)
Currituck County Courthouse	(pre-1869, 1897) 15	Tyrrell County Courthouse	(1903)
Davie County Courthouse	(1909) 16	Vance County Courthouse	(1884, 1908)
Gaston County Courthouse	(1910) 17	Washington Co. Courthouse	(1918-1919)
Granville County Courthouse	(1839) 18	Wayne County Courthouse	(1913)
Greene County Courthouse	(1935) 19	Wilkes County Courthouse	(1902)
Guilford County Courthouse	(1920) 20	Wilson County Courthouse	(1924-25)
Halifax County Courthouse	(1909) 21	Yancey County Courthouse	(1908) 7
Haywood County Courthouse	(1932) 22		
Henderson County Courthouse	(1904) 23		
Hoke County Courthouse	(1911) 24		
Hyde County Courthouse	(late 1850s) 25		
Iredell County Courthouse	(1899) 26		
Jackson County Courthouse	(1914) 27		
Johnston County Courthouse	(1921) 28		
Lee County Courthouse	(1907) 29		
Lenoir County Courthouse	(1939) 30		
Lincoln County Courthouse	(1921-23) 31		
Madison County Courthouse	(1907) 32		
Martin County Courthouse	(1885) 33		
McDowell County Courthouse	(1922-23) 34		
Mecklenburg County Courthouse	(1926) 35		
Mitchell County Courthouse	(1907) 36		

8 SIGNIFICANCE

PERIOD		AREAS OF SIGNIFICANCE -- CHECK AND JUSTIFY BELOW			
☐ PREHISTORIC	☐ ARCHEOLOGY-PREHISTORIC	☒ COMMUNITY PLANNING	☒ LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE	☐ RELIGION	
☐ 1400-1499	☐ ARCHEOLOGY-HISTORIC	☐ CONSERVATION	☒ LAW	☐ SCIENCE	
☐ 1500-1599	☐ AGRICULTURE	☐ ECONOMICS	☐ LITERATURE	☐ SCULPTURE	
☐ 1600-1699	☒ ARCHITECTURE	☐ EDUCATION	☐ MILITARY	☒ SOCIAL/HUMANITARIAN	
☒ 1700-1799	☐ ART	☐ ENGINEERING	☐ MUSIC	☐ THEATER	
☒ 1800-1899	☐ COMMERCE	☐ EXPLORATION/SETTLEMENT	☐ PHILOSOPHY	☐ TRANSPORTATION	
☒ 1900-	☐ COMMUNICATIONS	☐ INDUSTRY	☒ POLITICS/GOVERNMENT	☐ OTHER (SPECIFY)	
		☐ INVENTION			

SPECIFIC DATES see inventory forms

BUILDER/ARCHITECT see inventory forms

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The county courthouse in North Carolina is perhaps the most significant single governmental building; throughout most of the state's history, North Carolina has been an overwhelmingly rural place, where the county, not the town, is a dominant center of power and law. The county seat town is nearly always the major town, with its focus the courthouse. As a symbolic force and a functional center for community activity, the courthouse is without peer in North Carolina. The architecture of county courthouses reflects this importance, and in all but a few urban counties, the courthouse is often the grandest, most architectonic, and largest building from the county's history. Centrally located, often distinguished by a cupola or dome and imposing portico, the courthouse expressed in forceful terms its pivotal role in the community. Viewed as a group, the courthouses of North Carolina from the pre-Revolutionary period to the 1930s display remarkable continuity; the significance of each is enhanced by its context in the group as a whole. Throughout the entire group runs a unifying thread of classicism, restraint, and dignity appropriate to the role of the courthouse as a "temple of justice." This character undergoes various permutations from period to period, region to region, and architect to architect, creating identifiable clusters of related buildings. The temple-form buildings of the mid-nineteenth century for example, such as the already-nominated Northampton and Orange County courthouses were the most literal versions of this concept. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, certain architects enjoyed the favors of county building committees and produced notable bodies of work. The B. F. Smith Fireproof Building Company worked in an unpretentiously picturesque Italianate mode; the firm of Milburn and Heister of Washington, D.C. worked for decades in an increasingly sophisticated Beaux-Arts Neo-Classical vocabulary; Greensboro architect Harry Barton worked in a suave Beaux-Arts character; and Wheeler and various associates of Charlotte produced a number of distinctive Neo-Classical courthouses in western counties. In the 1930s, classicism continued in the tradition-based Neo-Colonial mode and in a modernized version as well. Each of the courthouses nominated is significant architecturally and historically in its own right; beyond this, as recognized in the nomination of the entire group of North Carolina courthouses eligible for the National Register, these buildings are a totality of great architectural, symbolic, and historical importance to the state.

Criteria assessment: (A) The courthouse in each county has been associated for however long it has stood with the broad patterns of county life, as the center of the local county political process, the administration of justice, and a social and cultural focal point. On a statewide basis, the courthouses have been the subsidiary outlets of political processes and the law.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 8 PAGE Criteria cont.

- (B) The courthouses are associated with the political leaders and outstanding as well as undistinguished lawyers, judges, county officials of each county. Typically, when important people visit the county they visit the courthouse. Most courthouses were designed by well-known architects or builders of the period. Specific information on inventory sheets.
- (C) The courthouse nearly always represents the most ambitious architectural undertaking of its period and place, and in sequence represent the conservative interpretations of the successive styles popular in the nation and region, thus embodying distinctive characteristics of types, periods, and methods of construction.

In addition, the courthouses individually vary from having great architectural merit and historical significance to being relatively undistinguished. However, they do as a group "represent a significant and distinguishable entity," as vital in their totality to the architectural and political history of the state.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 8 PAGE 1

Almost from the time of its inception, the county has functioned as the chief unit of local government in North Carolina, and the county courthouse has been the physical and symbolic embodiment of local government and justice. In 1663 King Charles II of England granted to eight lords proprietors a portion of land which included what is now the state of North Carolina. In 1669 these men adopted the Fundamental Constitutions prepared by the philosopher John Locke which established a plan for the government of the province. Although the Fundamental Constitutions were not a success in proprietary North Carolina, they did provide the basis for the later county court system by creating precinct courts which were responsible for the judicial and governmental functions of precincts throughout the colony. Under the precinct system there were no courthouses for many years. Rather the courts usually met in a private home, often in the home of a litigant. Then in 1722, the colonial assembly passed an act that established the precinct courts at definite places and authorized the justices of each precinct to purchase an acre of land and build a courthouse.

In 1728 seven of the eight lords proprietors sold their interests in North Carolina to the king, but local government still remained in the hands of precinct officials. In 1738, however, the assembly passed an act³ by which the precincts became counties and the precinct courts became county courts.

From Colonial times until 1868, the main power of county government rested with the justices of the county courts. As one authority on county government has pointed out, "when sitting as a county court the justices not only exercised judicial functions, but performed the services now performed by the board of county commissioners, and, in addition, certain duties which grew out of the conditions of the time." Among the duties assumed by the justices was the responsibility for insuring the construction and maintenance of public buildings, mainly jails and courthouses. The new state constitution of 1868 created a new plan for county government known as the township and County Commissioner Plan. Under this scheme the governmental and administrative powers and duties which had been the function of the county court then passed to a board of five county commissioners who were elected by the voters of the county. Thus the responsibility for building and maintaining courthouses and jails was transferred to the county commissioners who still bear that responsibility.⁴

Early courthouses in North Carolina were usually small buildings which contained a courthouse, jury room, and sometimes the jail. As the responsibilities and population of the counties increased, the buildings were enlarged to include the offices of administrative officials and to provide storage for the increasing volume of county records.

The location of the county seat has always been a major consideration among county inhabitants. For the most part, the county seats have been located near the geographical center of the county and usually near an important road crossing.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 8 PAGE 2

Courthouses have not, however, always been located in a county's largest town. This may have been because the change in transportation systems (such as the transition to railroads) or economic conditions drew trade to rival towns. Also the type of people who attached themselves to county seats, lawyers, politicians, officeholders, and ex-officeholders--some of whom drew their sustenance from the public purse and were not interested in industrial development--frequently were not devoted to the physical and economic growth of a community. But in most cases, towns have sought the establishment of the county seat as a way of increasing prosperity and drawing trade and recognition. Rivalries have often developed between towns seeking to have the county choose their location as the site for a courthouse. In the early twentieth century, in fact, the merchants and leaders of a few towns made sizeable financial contributions⁵ to the building of courthouses in order to have them placed in their communities.

Today it is left to the discretion of the county commissioners as to whether an existing building should be repaired or a new one built, but they frequently accept the advice of an architect. They are subject to indictment by the county grand jury if they fail to insure the existence of a courthouse that is sufficient for conducting the business of the county. The commissioners also decide what the cost and dimensions of a new structure may be, and they are empowered to raise taxes in order to finance its construction. But the state legislature may prescribe the limits of expenditure or impose other specifications or restrictions, and the commissioners must abide by these instructions.

In order to encourage the construction of new and better courthouses the legislature in 1923 passed a supplementary act authorizing county commissioners "to issue bonds or notes for the purpose of borrowing money with which to erect, build, construct, alter, and repair and improve courthouses and jails, and to purchase the necessary equipment and furniture to be used therein."⁶ This stimulated courthouse construction, as witnessed in the many built in the 1920s. Since that time many counties have used this means to finance new courthouses, and presently they may also apply for federal aid in construction

Throughout North Carolina's history the county courthouse has been more than a seat for dispensing local justice. Traditionally it has housed the records of the citizens and the offices of the agencies which carry out the day-to-day duties of running the county. In the past it has also been a gathering place for social functions, political meetings, and a place to come in time of an emergency. It has stood for many years as a symbol of local government, an institution which, according to some authorities, is vital to the welfare of a state's inhabitants. As one authority, Paul Woodford Wager, has written, "there ought to be some unit of local government small enough to permit acquaintance among the citizens." He further points out that "in naming the counties and county seats, the state has honored many of its 'favorite sons'" and "to read the list of counties is to read the names of the men in North Carolina's Hall of Fame. . . ."⁷ For these reasons the residents of North Carolina have always identified with the county courthouse both as a part of their everyday lives and a symbol of their heritage.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER 8 PAGE 3

Footnotes

¹McCain, Paul M., "The County Court in North Carolina Before 1750," Historical Papers of the Trinity College Historical Society, Vol. 31 (Durham: Duke University Press, 1954), p. 38.

²Price, "The Central Courthouse Square," p. 31.

³Paul Woodford Wager, County Government and Administration in North Carolina (Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press, 1928), pp. 2-4.

⁴Wager, County Government and Administration, p. 7.

⁵Wager, County Government and Administration, p. 42.

⁶Public Laws of North Carolina, 1923, c. 143.

⁷Wager, County Government and Administration, pp. 40-41.

JACKSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

Historical Sketch

Jackson County was formed in 1851 from Haywood and Macon, and its first county seat was Webster. The first courthouse was built there in 1855. Sylva became the county seat in 1913, and the present courthouse was constructed there in the following year. The building, which was designed by the architectural firm of Smith and Carrier of Asheville, was constructed on a mountain side and was reached by a triple flight of stairs. In order to tempt the county to build the courthouse in their community, the leaders and merchants of Sylva paid \$10,000 on the cost of the structure. The county paid the remaining \$20,000.¹

Architectural Description

Dramatically sited on a hill above the main street in Sylva, the Jackson County Courthouse is a two-story brick Neo-Classical Revival building designed by the firm of Smith and Carrier of Asheville and constructed in 1914. Steep flights of steps lead up the well-planted sloping lawn from a circular fountain and civil war monument to the heroic Corinthian portico. The formal setting enhances the monumental scale and powerful cupola of the courthouse. The main entrance is located in the main block, and two-bay projecting wings flank the portico. A modillion cornice carries around the building and outlines the pediment. The three-stage cupola which crowns the building is visible from nearly any point in Sylva. The point of emphasis of the courthouse, the cupola, topped by a statue of Blind Justice, symbolizes the building's official function and is the expression of community identity as the commanding silhouette of the skyline. The lower stage of the cupola is screened with reticulated classical grilles set between widely spaced columns. A large clock fills the front face, and a ribbed dome caps the structure.

The plan and ornament of the Jackson County Courthouse resemble those of the Madison County Courthouse, constructed seven years earlier. The striking similarity of the two buildings demonstrates the popularity of the Neo-Classical Revival style which dominated courthouse design in North Carolina for over 30 years.

The interior of the Jackson County Courthouse has been totally renovated; however, the basic cross hall floor plan and part of the original wooden stair remain. A two-story brick jail is located behind the courthouse. Built in 1914, it is domestic in scale and is connected to the new jail facility.


¹Olds, Story of the Counties, p. 38; Jackson County, Minutes of Board of County Commissioners, August 5, 1913.

Address: Bruce Wike, Chairman, Jackson County Commissioners, Jackson
County Courthouse, Sylva, N.C. 28779

Acreage: 5.5 acres

UTM reference: 17/297590/3916580

Verbal boundary description: The courthouse is bounded by W. Main Street to the north, by a parking lot and Hooper Street to the south, by Keener Street to the east, and by a parking lot to the west.



(Whittier 100-NE)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

83°15'
35°22'30"

276 15 MI
12°30"

BRYSON CITY 16 MI.
JUNCTION (107) 9.4 MI.

3915000m N

FRANKLIN (64) 17 MI.
GREENS CREEK 1.3 MI.

20'
3912

3911

Jackson County Courthouse
Sylva, North Carolina

UTM Reference

17/297590/3916580

