

Section V.9: Comments Submitted By Email without Attachments

This section contains emails that were sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov. These emails did not contain any attachments. Emails with attachments can be found starting on page V-2.

These emails start on page V-2377 and end on page V-12,618.

From: [Kate Fulbright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: Protect NC!
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:55:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Fulbright

4924 curtiswood drive
Charlotte, NC 28213

From: [Brittany Iery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:55:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brittany Iery

1116 HOLBURN PL
Raleigh, NC 27610

2523147570

From: [Kathleen Maloy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Maloy

226 New Drive
Apt A
Winston-Salem, NC 27103

From: [Catherine Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Carter

241 Oak Forest Drive
Cullowhee, NC 28723

From: [Pat Vescio](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Vescio

Arvo Lane
Cary, NC 27513

From: [David Nikkel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Nikkel

1926 N. Pearl St
Fayetteville, NC 28303

910-433-4462

From: [Matthew Takas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Takas

102 Wendy Court
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Helen Mason](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Mason

109 Southpark lane
Newport, NC 28570

2526228448

From: [Jayne Boyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jayne Boyer

4316 Thetford RD
Durham, NC 27707

919-966-1019

From: [Linda Bridges](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Bridges

907 Ronald Rd
Greensboro, 27406

From: [Andrea Parham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Parham

5502 Hamstead Crossing
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Paul Cook](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Cook

1000 East 10th Street
Greenville, NC 27858

2527544900

From: [Edward Brophy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Brophy

4909 Dewars Circle
Wilmington, NC 28409

910-279-9612

From: william.delamar
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

william delamar

4237 Arbutus Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

919-602-5846

From: [elizabeth.spragins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

elizabeth.spragins

55 covewood road
55 covewood road
asheville, NC 28805

From: [Branden Salinas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Branden Salinas

704 Registry CT
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [karen.golden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

karen golden

3 innisfree drive
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Arlene Sandoval](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arlene Sandoval

4053 C NC Hwy 56
Franklinton, NC 27525

From: [Paige Humphreys](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paige Humphreys

270 Hwy. 70
Smyrna, NC 28579

From: [Thomas Quinn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:07:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Quinn

1313 meadston dr
Durham, NC 27712

From: [Cindy Hnacy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:08:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Hnacy

706 Hinsdale St
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Tim Strong](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:08:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Strong

P.O. Box 284
Linville, NC 28646

From: [Christopher Marcille](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:08:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christopher Marcille

4122 NC Hwy 65
Reidsville, NC 27320

7045016254

From: [Marissa Roman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:08:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marissa Roman

308 Hibiscus Way
Wilmington, NC 28412

4105047535

From: elizabeth.sink
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:08:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

The rest of the world is taking action. Why does NC have to become so backward?
Thank you.

Sincerely,

elizabeth.sink

2504 Scouting Trail
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Melinda Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:08:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melinda Scott

2010-F Quail Ridge Road
Greenville, NC 27858

2527567060

From: [Bruce Farley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:08:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Farley

1101 Highland Trail
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27516

From: [Katherine Goforth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:09:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Goforth

1116 E. 35th Street
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [M.P. JUNKINS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:09:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

M.P. JUNKINS

316 Belles Landing Ct
Cary, NC 27519

From: stephen_mccollum
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

stephen mccollum

3225 pleasant garden road, Apt. 1B
greensboro, NC 27406

From: [Beth Livingston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am EXTREMELY disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP.

By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. This is a sell-out and crazy! In fact, nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Livingston

1105 Trails End Road
Durham, NC 27712

From: [Kay Bedingfield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kay Bedingfield

3901 Battleground Ave
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Don Weisz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don Weisz

1030 Ventnor Place
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Alda Tavares](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alda Tavares

5 Sage Ct
Carolina Shores, NC 28457

From: [Matt Dinsmore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matt Dinsmore

9 Ravenna St
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Charles Baldwin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Baldwin

103 Ironwood Dr
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Alice Patterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alice Patterson

5084 Bunch Road
Summerfield, NC 27358

From: [Carol Hay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Hay

3929-A Red Hawk Rd
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Sandra Lutz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Lutz

2204 Dahlgreen Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615

4403153490

From: [Jessica White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica White

1522 Crest Rd
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: jeff.mcdermott
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jeff mcdermott

1021 bianco dr
raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Jen East](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jen East

1805 US 70 W
Marion, 28752

From: [Lesley O'Connor, Ph.D.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lesley O'Connor, Ph.D.

420 Lakenheath Lane
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [matt Szymanski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

matt Szymanski

1900 South Blvd
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Adam Banner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adam Banner

1300 Bulldog Drive
#304
Asheville, NC 28801

(828) 747-1266

From: [Sandra Owen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Owen

206 Chapman Hill Road
Pisgah Forest, NC 28768

From: [Rita Hulkower](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita Hulkower

9307 Legacy Oaks Place
ASHEVILLE, NC 28803

From: [Michelle Mavroudis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

You can't ignore the facts and sell out to big dirty corporations in the name of money. You want jobs? Invest in environmental jobs in NC. I can help you make it happen. That's what I do everyday. It's possible.

We should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Mavroudis

3703 Willow Lake Dr
Southport, NC 28461

From: [M. Bernardo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

M Bernardo

30 Ravenscroft Drive, Apt. D
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Jim Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jim Thomas

5900 Hathaway Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

919-949-4314

From: [Hugh Lowe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hugh Lowe

305 Inglewood dr
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Roxanne Hunt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Hunt

104 Cole Valley Dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Stephen Penn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Penn

227-A Wyndham Circle
A
Greenville, NC 27858

2522175015

From: [Laura Boggess](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Boggess

525 Sheriff Anglin Rd
Burnsville, NC 28714

8287764119

From: [Samuel Brewer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samuel Brewer

1203 Kilmory Drive
Cary, NC 27511

919 462-1517

From: [Judy Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Williams

303 West 21st Street
Newton, NC 28658

From: [Melissa Nemeth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Nemeth

6187 Providence Court NW
Concord, NC 28027

From: [Meghan Prior](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meghan Prior

4210 Sunnyside Dr
WS, NC 27106

From: [Marc Pendergast](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marc Pendergast

203 Glenview Pl
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

919-240-4616

From: [chuck Itzkovitz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

chuck Itzkovitz

40 Hyannis Dr
Unit 203
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Jeff Hibbard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hibbard

303 Harrison Hill Road
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Anthony Flores](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Flores

917 Shelby Dr
Apt B
Greensboro, NC 27409

3365018195

From: [Ethan Marrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ethan Marrow

4603 Townesbury Ln
Raleigh, NC 27612

843-991-4526

From: [SHARON SHIBLEY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:10:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

SHARON SHIBLEY

2401 SANDARE DRIVE
ZEBULON, NC 27597

From: [Bruce Cox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Cox

20 Bush Dr
Brevard, NC 28712

210-410-9697

From: [Carl Barnes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Barnes

1098 Yorkshire Rd
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [John Klecker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Klecker

109 Stonewall Cir
New Ber, NC 28562

From: [Frank Wunderler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Wunderler

1650 Buffalo Shoals Road
Catawba, NC 28609

From: [Burt Melton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Burt Melton

112 Stone Point Court
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [Lenny Lowe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lenny Lowe

400 Davie Road #55
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Thomas Waldrop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Waldrop

2536 Arbor Lane
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Sandra Owen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Owen

206 Chapman Hill Road
Pisgah Forest, NC 28768

From: [Aiden Carson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aiden Carson

14 E Crabapple Lane
Asheville, NC 28804

8282545222

From: [marK gaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

marK gaver

415 e oakwood
Albermarle, NC 28001

7044383552

From: [Becca Forrest](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Becca Forrest

213 Dewdrop Ln
Whittier, NC 28789

From: [Carol Lauback](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Lauback

9703 Ashley Pl
Emerald Isle, NC 28594

From: [Richard Hicks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:11:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Hicks

2930 Eddystone Ln
WINSTON SALEM, NC 27103

From: [Janice Alexander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:12:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Alexander

12 killegray ridge
Bald head island, NC 28461

From: [Kathryn Daily](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:12:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Daily

6215 Cabin a Branch Dr
Durham, NC 27712

From: [Loveeta Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:12:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Loveeta Baker

25 Turtle Wallow
Weaverville, NC 28787

8287777554

From: [Holly Shipley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Holly Shipley

6 Casabelle Court
Durham, NC 27713

8594335102

From: [Danny Post](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danny Post

2329 Hunter Davis Ct
Monroe, NC 28110

7044419710

From: [Geary Yelton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Geary Yelton

466 Old Stone Gate Pl
Asheville, NC 28804

7045756889

From: [Keith Gaines](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keith Gaines

4470 Piedmont Trace Drive
Greensboro, NC 27409

From: [Lewise Busch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Don't ask the feds to take over. I thought you supported states' rights.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lewise Busch

750 Weaver Dairy Rd. 1223
Weaver Dairy Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

9199183510

From: [Keri Hollifield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keri Hollifield

591 Reed Cove Rd
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Robert Ziegler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Ziegler

2634 Lamb Mtn Rd
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Padma Dyvine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Padma Dyvine

PO Box 204
188 Bear Branch Road
Bat Cave, NC 28710

From: [Deb Hursa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Hursa

1001 N. 20th St
Morehead City, NC 28557

2523428847

From: [Tarah O'Neill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tarah O'Neill

9403 Culcairn Rd
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [Anita Coogan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anita Coogan

305 Windsong Drive
Gastonia, NC 28056

From: [Thomas Carson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Carson

3910 Tyndrum Drive
Durham, NC 27705

9194895219

From: [Anna Moseley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Moseley

5304 Sendero Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Wilbert Stallings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wilbert Stallings

443 North Person St
Raleigh, NC 27601

919-825-5182

From: [Sara Birtalan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Birtalan

2329 E. 5th Street
Charlotte, NC 28204

From: [Mark Sullivan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Sullivan

4016 Logan Cir
Indian Trail, NC 28079

From: [Linda Covington](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Covington

62 Beverly Road W
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Terry Kostiuk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry Kostiuk

228 Gibbs Rd
new bern, NC 28560

2526383366

From: [jacqueline knable](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jacqueline knable

878 Sandburg Ter
Hendersonville, NC 28791

From: [John Dinyari](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Dinyari

21 Heath Pl
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Paul Bartholic](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Bartholic

83 Westwood Place
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [tony kwong](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

tony kwong

202 preston oaks ln
cary, NC 27513

9193888370

From: [James Kantor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a person who has a Master's in Public Health with a focus in Pollution Prevention from UNC-Chapel Hill class of 95, I support NC have cleaner air. Including reducing our carbon emissions. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). Please work with EPA, not fight them. We can do it and the costs are reasonable. Allow more natural gas, hydroelectric, and other forms of renewable including on land based wind-power. Even Nuclear is preferable to coal power plants. Anyway the coal come from out-of state.

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Kantor

2110 Paces Forest Court Apt 435
Raleigh, NC 27612

919-523-6773

From: [Brandi Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brandi Brown

1733 Helton Rd
Yadkinville, 27055

From: [Gordon Hutchison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gordon Hutchison

305 Crimmons Circle
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Adron Massey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adron Massey

4235 Gordon Rd
Wilmington
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Samantha Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samantha Thomas

736 N Forest Hills School Rd
Marshville, NC 28103

7046205496

From: [Heidi McInnis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:13:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heidi McInnis

714 Oak Branches Close SE
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Maria Rubin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Rubin

276 Brookshire Pl, SW
Ocean isle beach, NC 28469

From: [Shelor Robin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shelor Robin

549 11th Ave Cir NW
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Lila Saunders Rankin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lila Saunders Rankin

5220 Murrayhill Rd
Charlotte, NC 28210

7046760913

From: [Camille Mongiardini](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Camille Mongiardini

7038 Cayman Court
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [James Knott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Knott

82 Willowbrook Circle
Clayton, NC 27527

From: [Stephanie Forbes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Forbes

p.o. box 125
Hayesville, NC 28904

From: [Leigh Chastain](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leigh Chastain

2515 White Fence Way
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Eloise Collier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eloise Collier

138 Brooklyn Rd
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Lisa Poteet](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Poteet

4101 Bartlett Drive
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Karen Boger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Boger

1000 Spring Garden St
Greensboro, NC 27412

From: [Loretta Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Loretta Warren

406 Boswell St
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Rita Frost](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita Frost

61 Lookout Drive
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Tripp Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:14:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tripp Carter

APT 122 168 Graduate Lane
Boone, NC 28607

From: [John Kowalczyk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Kowalczyk

498 Pinnacle Dr
Boone, NC 28607

From: [James Bruckmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Bruckmann

6278 Morehead Rd
Kannapolis, NC 28075

From: [Barbara Mishoe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mishoe

8310 Ramath Dr
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Carol Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Parker

108 Maybank Ct
Durham, NC 27713

9195448557

From: [Douglas Merrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglas Merrey

905 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Leslie Nary-Klein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leslie Nary-Klein

8338 Highland Glen Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269

7042749029

From: [Colleen Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colleen Moore

4501 Hicks Road
Garner, NC 27529

9196217820

From: [Janet Tice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

How dare you play politics with our health and well-being? And set NC up to deliberately fail? Shame, shame on you.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Tice

310 Umstead
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Tony Strickland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tony Strickland

7224 Bedford Ridge Dr
Apex, NC 27539

From: [Toni Wiker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Toni Wiker

106 Lee St
Jamestown, NC 27282

From: [Susan Ferguson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Ferguson

9 Laurel Leaf Ct
Apt/Suite
Durham, NC 27703

9195963114

From: [Gayle Stone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:16:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gayle Stone

1304 Turnhaven Drive
Holly Springs, NC 27540

From: [n.greenwood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:17:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

n greenwood

8817 campfire trl
raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Selena Lauterer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:17:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Selena Lauterer

PO Box 606
BOONE, NC 28607

828 386 1337

From: [Rita Harwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:17:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency. Appear to have a near complete lack of interest in protecting the environment by properly regulating fracking, allowing the alternate energy tax credits to lapse, and now not agreeing to meet the CO2 emission standards.

Climate change is real. Aggressive action is needed to prevent it from getting worse.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita Harwell

4800 Johnson Pond Road
Apex, NC 27539

From: [Dave Leonard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:17:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave Leonard

3012 Bristol Creek Drive
Morrisville, NC 27560

From: [Pamela Johnston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:17:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Johnston

2015 Coweeta Church Road
Otto, NC 28763

From: [Heather Prior](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:17:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Prior

2700 Reynolda Rd
Apt 1523
Winston Salem, NC 27106

336 341 3817

From: [Judith Witmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:18:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Witmer

11281 Olde Cedar Court
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Shel Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:18:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shel Anderson

1706 Rosetta Dr
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Robin Guerry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:18:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robin Guerry

315 N. Channel Haven Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Carole Schreiber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carole Schreiber

24 Twitter Lane
Brevard, NC 28712

From: janet.spivey
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

janet spivey

15 beaverdam court
asheville, NC 28804

From: [ken thies](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ken thies

982 st andrews dr
wilmington, NC 28412

From: [John Doe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Doe

123 Main st
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Virginia Sparks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Sparks

5810 Old Fox Trl
Greensboro, NC 27407

From: [Jeff Hight](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. This is not about politics; it is about the safety and quality of life for every living thing on this planet. Stop chasing the almighty dollar and do the right thing by protecting our air!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hight

5501 Ivors Lane
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [Steven Fitzgerald](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Fitzgerald

3425 Stratford Rd
Trent Woods, NC 28562

From: [Scott Barnett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Barnett

1370 Alvin Hough Rd
Midland, NC 28107

From: [Kate Fields](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Fields

223 Straight Br Rd
Robbinsville, NC 28771

From: [Selena Montague](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is the reason I relocated to North Carolina five years ago. My daughter had asthma and is now free from it. NC is so very beautiful and clean. Shouldn't we keep her beautiful? Please, for our children.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Selena Montague

10700 Nelson Ridge Court
Raleigh, NC 27617

From: [Tina Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tina Adams

805 N Main St
Lowell, NC 28098

From: [Beverly White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly White

199 Four Seasons Ln
Murphy,, NC 28906

From: [Patrick Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patrick Brown

2901 Saint Claire Rd
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [Mitchell Ward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:19:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Ward

1403-A Whilden Place
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Erin Gerrity](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Gerrity

1600 Fairview Rd
Raleigh, NC 27608

9199462153

From: [Shannon Wylam](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shannon Wylam

3405 Victor Pl
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Nancy O'Dell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. Except that you are bought and paid for by your Corporate owners. We will get you and your cronies out of our state's government, of that you can be sure.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy O'Dell

61 Redmond Rd
Murphy, NC 28906

8283615631

From: [Suzanna Brauer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanna Brauer

246 Blanwood Drive
Boone,, NC 28607

From: [Stan Schneider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stan Schneider

9325 Stanborough Court
Charlotte, NC 28262

From: [Cynthia Parlier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Parlier

PO Box 41
Moravian Falls, NC 28654

(336) 981-5311

From: [Cindy Yates](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

You should be taking pollution seriously.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Yates

105 Linville Dr
Castle Hayne, NC 28429

From: [rick.martinez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

rick martinez

396 Fountain Spring Rd
Tuckasegee, NC 28783

(404) 295-1335

From: [Audrey Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:20:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audrey Brown

612 E. 35th Street
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [DOMONICK JACKSON](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:21:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DOMONICK JACKSON

8709 BUCKSPORT LANE
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Jeanne OBryan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:21:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. It is a struggle to breathe. Do you even care about anyone besides Duke Energy?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeanne OBryan

1525 Pine Mt Dr
Connelly Sp, NC 28612

From: [Jennifer Brandon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Brandon

174 Brody Ln
Lexington, NC 27295

From: [Wm. Margaret & Scott Holcomb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wm, Margaret & Scott Holcomb

190 HAWKS' HAUNT LN
TRYON,, NC 28782

From: [Laura Porter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Porter

111 Founders Ridge Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [EDGAR DELGADO](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

EDGAR DELGADO

JONES FERRY ROAD
CARRBORO, NC 27510

From: [Jodi Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jodi Smith

720 N 14th St
Erwin, NC 28339

From: [Darleen Tomayko](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darleen Tomayko

1092 Indigo Branch Road SW
Supply, NC 28462

From: [Doug Wingeier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doug Wingeier

266 Merrimon Ave
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Sarah Morse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Morse

183 Pleasant Street
Spindale, NC 28160

8284292128

From: [Donald Williamson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Williamson

1236 Dalgaven Dr
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Christian Patterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christian Patterson

614 Capital
108
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Bill Jacobs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Jacobs

257 Montford Ave
Asheville, 28801

8285051506

From: [Patricia Forrest](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Forrest

1605 Fawn Ct SW
Ocean Isle Beach, NC 28469

From: [Natalie Manus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:22:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Natalie Manus

908 watson st
Reidsville, NC 27320

From: [Sandra Sotelo-Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Sotelo-Miller

225 Linden Avenue
Raleigh, NC 27601

From: [Helen Fowler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Fowler

120 Parsley Lane, Apt 106
Leland, NC 28451

2629398468

From: michelle.carter
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

michelle carter

180 duncan crk rd
fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Emmy Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emmy Moore

2110 St. Mary's Street
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [Martha Grissom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

You are a disappointment.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Grissom

PO Box 145
Jefferson, NC 28640

3368464349

From: [Heather Hertzog](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Hertzog

2005 E LAKE SHORE DR
Wilmington, NC 28401

2158864715

From: [JAMES GRANT](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JAMES GRANT

217 Juniper Ln
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Kimberly Nelson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Nelson

2355 Metcalf Drive
Sherrills Ford, NC 28673

From: [Anna Jensen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Jensen

1716 James St
DURHAM, NC 27707

From: [Randy Grant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:23:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am MORE THAN very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randy Grant

701 East Park Dr
Tryon, NC 28782

8288179881

From: [Elizabeth Dronzek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:24:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Dronzek

2651 Bennett Rd
Creedmoor, NC 27522

9194496389

From: [Michael Gellar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:24:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Gellar

1613 Grace Street
Charlotte
Charlotte, NC 28205

7044215390

From: [Helen Bell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Bell

30 Golden Oaks Lane
Fletcher, NC 28732

8284836596

From: [Liz Hester](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Liz Hester

920 E. Jones Street
Raleigh, NC 27601

From: [Sarah Fraser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Fraser

11 Jett Court
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [John Rottet](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Rottet

1105 Trails End Rd
Durham, NC 27712

9196207525

From: [beverly brenowitz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

beverly brenowitz

Beverly
5007Lake Laurel Court
greensboro, NC 27455

3364301509

From: [Richard L. dean](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard L. dean

112 grassy knoll way
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

828-414-9386

From: [Laura Abraham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

This will be remembered during election season.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Abraham

212 Cross Keys Ct
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Rick Savage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rick Savage

101 Bonner Ct
Cary, NC 27511

9194129754

From: [pamela bolton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Stop backing special interest groups and start thinking about people having to breath all the pollution they put out. I am tired of having to take an inhaler with me every time I go outside.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

pamela bolton

1764 pleasant ridge road
ramseur, NC 27316

From: [Cynthia Stewart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Stewart

574 Gurney Franklin Rd
Newland, NC 28657

From: [fiaunna shivers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

fiaunna shivers

4816 Windbreak Lane
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Mark Hovis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:25:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Hovis

1039 Upper Spencer Mtn. Rd
Stanley, NC 28164

704-263-9950

From: [Denette Joselyn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denette Joselyn

9212Clerkenwell dr
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [Dane Bowen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I don't support climate deniers and polluters and government reps that like dirty air.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dane Bowen

8740 Blair Rd
Charlotte, NC 28227

From: [Megan Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Megan Griffin

2205 Cardinal Drive
Jamestown, NC 27282

From: [JASON HARPSTER](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JASON HARPSTER

370 NW BROAD ST
SOUTHERN PINES, NC 28387

From: [Wrenn Conrad](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wrenn Conrad

9821 Styers Ferry Road
Lewisville, NC 27023

From: [Jessica Perry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Perry

719 Chamberlain St
Raleigh, NC 27607

(919) 704-5565

From: [Tom Shafer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Shafer

158 Estelle Park Dr
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Robin Wilkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:26:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robin Wilkins

758 Bayside Road
Elizabeth City, NC 27909

From: [Rebecca Reid](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:27:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Reid

111 Breckenridge Ct
Hendersonville, NC 28739

828-808-7051

From: [Shirley Rodman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:27:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shirley Rodman

606 Bruton Pl. S
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Sarah Hanley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:27:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hanley

6717 Hammersmith Dr
Raleigh, NC 27613

9198819444

From: [Jordan & Beth Holtam](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jordan & Beth Holtam

21 Mooney Lane
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Barbara Moffitt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Moffitt

105 Sink Circle
Lexington, NC 27292

336-300-7783

From: [Russell Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

The people you represent deserve and demand clean air. Show us that you will support the will of the people, instead of the polluters who don't care about us.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Russell Scott

10009 Kilnstone Ln
Raleigh, NC 27613

9194270821

From: [Charles Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. I had childhood asthma & am now retired. Let's keep our air clean. Don't sell out to Big Lobby - money!!!!

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Lane

14 Shore Dr
Wrightsville Bch
NC, NC 28480

From: [Edward Bujewski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Bujewski

330C Cecil Rd
Wendell, NC 27591

9193590807

From: [Dawn HIntgen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dawn HIntgen

Durham NC
durham, NC 27712

From: [Kaselehlia Sielken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kaselehlia Sielken

136 Kingston Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Galia Goodman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Galia Goodman

1301 Alabama Ave
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Jasmina Bricic](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jasmina Bricic

2425 Carey Rd
Kinston, NC 28504

From: [Evelyn Coltman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Coltman

90 Evergreen Circle
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Annette Musulin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:28:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Musulin

2916 Escondido Farm Rd
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Mary Lounsbury](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:29:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

Of course we all face many difficult problems in this time, which may seem to compete with one another for importance. However, nothing can take priority over clean air and clean water, on which all of our lives depend. Any responsible economic decision must be founded upon this obvious truth. Do not ignore it any longer!

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Lounsbury

18 Pear Hill Rd
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Elizabeth Freeman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:29:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Freeman

4105 Halifax Rd
4105 Halifax Rd
Wilmington, NC 28403

9103970212

From: [Randall Dail, Jr.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:29:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randall Dail, Jr.

2991 Boverie St
Shallotte, NC 28470

From: [Janae Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:29:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janae Thomas

1700 Bethlehem Church Rd
REIDSVILLE, NC 27320

3366357083

From: [Nathan Holder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:29:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nathan Holder

919 Shellbrook Ct Apt 8
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Ariel Morgan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:29:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ariel Morgan

9719 Robinwood Ln
Marvin, NC 28173

From: [Mike Delima](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:30:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Delima

Martin L. King
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Ann Marie Dunn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:30:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Marie Dunn

1186 Thornhill Dr #2
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Ariana Gabriel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:30:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ariana Gabriel

314 Oak Pl
Asheville, NC 28803

(828) 505-4910

From: [Teresa Woolverton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

It's 2015. Stop acting like climate change isn't a serious issue. We know who lines your pockets with your blatant disregard to climate and bias towards businesses. You're either in the pockets of the Koch brothers and their fossil fuels, or your an idiot who doesn't believe in science. Either way, you won't be re-elected.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy, but we're beginning to understand those things don't matter to politicians who are bought and paid for. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Woolverton

2818 Edwards Street
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Rick Nichols](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rick Nichols

2507 Carroll st
Monroe, NC 28110

From: [Juli Hennessee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

YOU NEED TO STOP BOWING TO YOUR FINANCIAL DONORS AND ACT LIKE A MAN--NOT LIKE A WIMP!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Juli Hennessee

3064 Frank Whisnant Rd
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Herb Lowrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herb Lowrey

1447 Gray Bluff Trail
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Wayne Mascarella](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wayne Mascarella

1823 Borland Road
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Konstantine Kyriacopoulos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Konstantine Kyriacopoulos

325 Kelly Rd
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Elliott Sally](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elliott Sally

2419 Caithness Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28306

From: [Hannah Ameen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hannah Ameen

2627 Haig Court SE
Olympia, WA 98501

From: [Todd O'Buckley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Todd O'Buckley

2572 Barwick Drive
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Maynard Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). I do not understand how you can justify putting Duke ahead of your constituents health. It is illogical and makes one think Duke has paid you very well to betray our state.

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maynard Green

58 Aberdeen Dr
Arden, NC 28704

8286763055

From: [Susan Massi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Massi

nywolf@gmail.com
nywolf@gmail.com
Biltmore Lake, NC 28715

From: [Karen Shapiro](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Shapiro

2113 Katesbridge Lane
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Diana McLemore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:31:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diana McLemore

6918 Loretta place
Charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Elizabeth Healey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:32:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Healey

207 Queensferry Rd
Cary, NC 27511

919-614-6685

From: [ELIZABETH Hillerstrom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:32:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ELIZABETH Hillerstrom

1620 Glengarry Drive
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Jeremy Ward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:32:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Ward

26 Chestnut Hill Lane
Marshall, NC 28753

From: [Kim Olsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:32:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Olsen

201 English Oak Road
Fletcher, NC 28732

8289742898

From: [Joseph Appleton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:32:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Dear Governor McScumbag,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joseph Appleton

2617-1/2 Chapel Hill Road
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Eileen Wheeler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:33:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Wheeler

114A North Lane
Swannanoa, NC 28778

8285050727

From: [George McClelland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:33:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George McClelland

5202 Peacock Rd
Whiteville, NC 28472

910-640-0960

From: [Lois Hinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:33:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lois Hinson

414 Brenda Dr
Albemarle, NC 28001

7043225389

From: [Stan Olson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:34:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

111(d)

Governor McCrory,

This is ridiculous!

Governor, please do the right thing.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Stan Olson,
7023 Tabor Lane,
Charlotte

Sincerely,

Stan Olson

7023 Tabor Lane
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Robert Bogen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:34:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Bogen

300 N Lumina Ave
Wrightsville Beach, NC 28480

910-262-2976

From: [John Mitchener](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:34:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Mitchener

P.O. Box 6
Edenton, NC 27932

From: [samuel.colman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:34:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

samuel colman

718 wine cellar cir
wilmington, NC 28411

9103197238

From: [Eleanor Hoke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:34:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Hoke

117 Oakview Drive
Elon, NC 27244

3365847483

From: [Lisa Cuevas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:34:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Cuevas

9933 Caldwell Depot Rd
Cornelius, NC 28031

From: [Mary Pachas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Pachas

229 Soundview Dr
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

From: [Christina Burns](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina Burns

8305 Stallion Court
Charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Dave Schwartz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave Schwartz

126 Oxford Rd
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Harold Heatwole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.
Harold Heatwole
1800 Bonnie Court,
Raleigh, NC 27612

Sincerely,

Harold Heatwole

1800 Bonnibee Court
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Brace Boone III](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brace Boone III

408 Elm St
raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Dale Weston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

This is another example of why I will never again vote for you.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dale Weston

611 Crissy Dr
Jacksonville, NC 28540

9104559916

From: [John Bush](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Bush

1708 Fontenay Place
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Glenna Batson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenna Batson

4130 Garrett Road Apt 1338
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Judith Tyler Pigossi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, instead allowing dim bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense but does reek of vested interests, opportune lobbying.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. It is good for me and also good for you. We cannot take it for granted. Please play a wise role in the realization of benefiting your citizens and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Tyler Pigossi

14 Cedarcliff Road
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Jeff Botz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Botz

4512 GW Griffin Road
Marshville, NC 28103

From: [Shawna Hanson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:35:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shawna Hanson

655 21st Ave NW
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [D.R.Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

D R Young

829 Peregrine Drive
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Gary Schmoock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Schmoock

1725 riverside dr
1725 Riverside Drive
hillsborough, NC 27278

9197322695

From: [JonI'm LaScala](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JonI'm LaScala

18 Stonehouse Ct
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Gwen Glover](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gwen Glover

3218 Royal Pines Drive
Sanford, NC 27330

9197751480

From: [Jacquetta Hobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacquetta Hobson

131 NW 7TH ST
OAK ISLAND, NC 28465

From: [Colin Osborne, III](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colin Osborne, III

407 West 27th Street
Lumberton, NC 28358

9107389396

From: [Bobbi Rosell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bobbi Rosell

219 Pine Street
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Cheryl A. Villante](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl A. Villante

1247 Neptuno Court SW
Ocean Isle Beach, NC 28469

8564953477

From: [ERIC EVERETT](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ERIC EVERETT

14 FOREST RIDGE DRIVE
ARDEN, NC 28704

From: [Tt Stevens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tt Stevens

221 McKenzie rd
Spring lake, NC 28390

From: [Jean White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jean White

3323 Baugh St
Raleigh, NC 27604

9198767957

From: [Annabel Manning](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annabel Manning

1429 Maryland Ave
Charlotte, NC 28208

From: [Charlene Knop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlene Knop

9307 Raintree Lane
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Virgil Carmichael](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:37:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virgil Carmichael

4403 angelus circle
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Joyce Pusel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:38:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Pusel

102 Emerald Cir
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Oscar Moncada](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:38:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Oscar Moncada

4421 Manns Chapel Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Mala Reynolds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:38:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mala Reynolds

6701 Thermal Rd
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Thadius Bonapart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:39:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thadius Bonapart

1921 Double Cedar Dr
Charlotte, NC 28214

From: [Tasha Pate](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:39:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tasha Pate

922 N. Buchanan
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Philip Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:39:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Johnson

12 Clover Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

9199330144

From: [George Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Phillips

124 Fidelity St., Apt. 36
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Daniel McCaslin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel McCaslin

13718 Gatestone Lane
Pineville, NC 28134

From: [Kenneth Jobe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Jobe

Po box 539
Beaufort, NC 28516

From: [George Olson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Olson

433 Toecane Road
Bakersville, NC 28705

From: [Gwen Glover](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gwen Glover

3218 Royal Pines Drive
Sanford, NC 27330

9197751480

From: [Michael Harley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Harley

1308 west market st
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Tom Rodgers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Rodgers

438 Gray Fox Ridge
Cullowhee, NC 28723

From: [Win Southworh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I very much support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we must be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Win Southworh

CPO 6105-Warren Wilson College
PO Box 9000
Asheville, NC 28815

From: [Savannah Hutko](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Savannah Hutko

454 Wayne Dr
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Tamara Lewis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tamara Lewis

418 Arlington Cir
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Gregory Robinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gregory Robinson

ASU Box 09722
Boone, NC 28608

9803229646

From: [John van Arnold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John van Arnold

100 Adams Way
Chapel hill, NC 27516

From: [mark.callaway](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:40:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mark callaway

204 tweed cir
cary, NC 27511

9194146050

From: [Laura Olley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:41:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Olley

104 Kings Mountain Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9193605255

From: [John Freeze](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:41:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Freeze

648 Chaney Road
Asheboro, NC 27205

From: [A. Gardner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:42:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A. Gardner

154 Ridgeview Drive
Mount Airy, NC 27030

From: [Mary Anna Bessellieu](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:42:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Anna Bessellieu

174 Sound View Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Robert Blake](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:42:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Blake

12003 Presnell Place
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Barbara Donaldson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Donaldson

121 Creekside Dr
Maggie Valley, NC 28751

From: [Antoinette Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Antoinette Brown

104 Atkinson Ct
Apex, NC 27502

9196297453

From: [Leah Boucher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leah Boucher

120 Little Branch Trl
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [sharon shaner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I just wish I would see some support for NC environment from you. Show me that you support the children of this state. I vote on these issues because it shows if you CARE ABOUT THE PEOPLE OF NC.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sharon shaner

11944 Pinnacle Point Ln
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Lynne Royall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynne Royall

6016 Bramblewood Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [stuart moon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

stuart moon

1814 green valley lane
rocky mount 27804, NC 27804

From: [Arlene Berkman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arlene Berkman

18022 Harbor light blvd
Cornelius, NC 28031

From: [jane Ohara](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jane Ohara

402 S 16th St
Wilmington, NC 24801

From: [Ophelia Marcus-Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ophelia Marcus-Taylor

9823 Grette Court
Raleigh, NC 27617

From: [Bert Furey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:43:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bert Furey

121 Wolfs Trl
Chapel Hill, 27516

From: [Erin Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:44:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Walker

4009 Beatties Ford Road
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Cynthia Carey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:44:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Carey

3206 windwood drive
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Linda Muntner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:44:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Muntner

6423 The Lakes Dr. Apt. B
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Frank Gottbrath](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:44:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Gottbrath

1082 Cornell Ct
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Sammy Haygood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:44:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sammy Haygood

3 Pinnacle Point
Clayton, NC 27527

919-763-0133

From: [Kris ledford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:44:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kris ledford

4625 marena pl
Durhy, NC 27707

From: [Stephen McConnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:45:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen McConnell

96 chestnut street
Mars Hill, NC 28754

8282060257

From: [Janet Link](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:46:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Link

2014 Marguerite Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Deborah Osborne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:46:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Osborne

4600 Odessa Pl
Monroe, NC 28110

704-883-6310

From: [Janet Kopec](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:46:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Kopec

707 Kiser rd
Bostic, North Carolina

From: [Amy Van Devender](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:47:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Van Devender

797 Little Laurel Rd. EXT
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Karin McClelland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:47:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karin McClelland

P.O. Box 3518
Asheboro, NC 27204

From: [Iris Owens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:47:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Iris Owens

1122 Gaston Manor Drive
Durham, NC 27703

2077290151

From: [Andrew Marhevsky](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:47:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Marhevsky

5017 Dockside Drive
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Abby Bishop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:47:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Abby Bishop

2123 Fairview Rd
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [John Wallace](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:47:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Wallace

412 Pond View Circle
Hampstead, NC 28443

From: [Anne Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:47:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Brown

7006 Pine Hill Road
DURHAM, NC 27707

From: [Ted Fishman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ted Fishman

790 Villa Teresa Way
San Jose, CA 95123

From: eileen.juric
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

eileen.juric

511 Adams Street
raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Lora Oxendine-Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

In the last few years Governor Pat McCrory you have signed the proclamation for the Native American Month in celebrating Aboriginal People. Yes you continue to seek avenues to to contaminate, destroy all that we believe in, the water of life, the soils we till, and the air that is breath! Why? As an Indigenous person living within homelands of NC stop the terrorist acts on the land.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Lora Kay Oxendine-Taylor

Sincerely,

Lora Oxendine-Taylor

90 Rosie Bigwitch Dr
Cherokee, NC 28719

From: [Doreen Dvorin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

How much did Duke put in your PAK today?

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doreen Dvorin

4737 Rounding Run Rd
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Joan Dinerman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joan Dinerman

11 Athena Ave
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Doyle Graham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doyle Graham

5106 Murphy School Rd
Durham, 27705

From: [Michelle Speagle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Speagle

471 Doyle Cox Road
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Gary Simpson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Simpson

82 Cynthia Lane
Pittsboro, NC 27312

9195425628

From: [Alisa Dockery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alisa Dockery

14 Bear Knoll Drive
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Jane Rose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Rose

613 Mill Run Rd
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Greg Wosahlo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Wosahlo

320 Fairfax Lane
Cary, NC 27513

From: [CAROL THACKER](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

MC CRORY IS A STUPID FUCK!

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

CAROL THACKER

6 ELKHORN CT
MILLS RIVER, NC 28759

From: [Leroy Goal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leroy Goal

5156 Hewitt Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28311

From: [Robert Ellis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Ellis

289 Hookers Gap rd
Candler, NC 28715

5104577353

From: [Noel Parenti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Noel Parenti

4210 Sunnyside Drive
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Linda Barnett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Barnett

6919 Lipscomb Dr
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [elenore Vena](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:49:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

elenore Vena

5329 Wayne St Apt A
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Gillian Iery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:50:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gillian Iery

608 Short Spoon Circle
Rocky Mount, NC 27804

2524699894

From: [Edhriz Siraliev](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:50:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edhriz Siraliev

420 Fair Oaks Circle
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9194487658

From: [Jane Church](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:50:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Dear Governor McCrory and Mr. Burleson, Division of Air Quality

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you. We MUST act to preserve clean air, water and soil for the sake of yours and our children; this we owe to them for QUALITY OF LIFE.

Sincerely,
Jane Church
71008 Everard
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

Sincerely,

Jane Church

71008 Everard
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

9199672758

From: [Brandy Meadows](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brandy Meadows

4255 Birchwood Dr
Apt 107
Wilmington, NC 28405

7042077701

From: [Natalie Stewart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Natalie Stewart

1507 East Wright Road
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Michael Hall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Hall

1200 Memory Lane
Monroe, NC 28112

9802079176

From: [Voncile Ferguson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Voncile Ferguson

Jefferson Wood Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Ronald Schmidt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Schmidt

616 North Vance Street
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Sara Vernon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Vernon

619 Atwater Street
Burlington, NC 27215

From: [Jeri tatum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeri tatum

9390 Walnut Creek Rd
Marshall, NC 28753

8284503781

From: [Amanda Evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:51:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Evans

30 Lawterdale Circle One
Asheville, NC 28804

6055457968

From: [Collin Perry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Collin Perry

2011 Wilson St
Durham, NC 27705

3368471692

From: [Cindy Levey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Levey

8012 Clear Brook Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Peter Crean](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Crean

1202 Highland Trail
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Jill Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Harris

1905 Treyburn Lane
High Point, NC 27265

3368693608

From: [Elie Saliba](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elie Saliba

9025 Davis Crossing Ct
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Leslie Millsaps](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leslie Millsaps

822 Rankin Place
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Dr. Richard Tysinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Tysinger

5636 Deep River Road
Sanford, NC 27330

(919) 774-9741

From: [Oscar Revilla](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Oscar Revilla

Juan de Herrera
San Sebastian de los Reyes, none

From: [John Grup III](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Grup III

86 Lily Pad Lane
Flat Rock, NC 28731

828-243-0339

From: [Clifford Ritt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:52:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Stop protecting your political cronies who pollute.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina. Instead you are creating political theatre by allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state.

I only vote for politicians who act to restore our environment. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clifford Ritt

117 Owens Beach Road
Harbinger, NC 27941

From: [Lisa Garber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:53:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Garber

105 Fidelity St
Carrboro, NC 27510

9102805658

From: [Kerry Kenemer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:53:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kerry Kenemer

131 Tacoma Circle
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Robert Love](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:53:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Love

36 Main St
Prospect Hill, NC 27314

3362649070

From: [JW Vogel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:53:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JW Vogel

816 E 35th St
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Miles Varner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:53:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

CLEANPOWER FOR NC!!!!

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miles Varner

114 NW 3rd Street
Oak Island, NC 28465

910-933-4219

From: [Paula Sumner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:53:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Sumner

138 loblolly ln
Chapel hill, NC 27516

From: [Carolyn Hurley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:53:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Hurley

7346 Bright Leaf Road
Wilmington, NC 28411

9102976945

From: [Helen Lindberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Lindberg

100 Hazzard Street
Asheville, NC 28801

5042330732

From: [Beverly Hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Hill

70 Wilshire Blvd
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [K Liddle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

K Liddle

1815 Deer Street
Charlotte, NC 28214

From: [Renee Eli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Eli

114 Montford Avenue #1
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Kicab Castaneda-Mendez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez

112 Rhododendron Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Toni Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. I also have asthma and understand how serious it is to have a limit on carbon pollution.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Toni Miller

326 Talbot Circle
Saint Pauls, NC 28384

9102413000

From: [Gale Kilmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gale Kilmer

113 Reeves Rd
Leicester, NC 28748

From: [Carrie Foreman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carrie Foreman

Po box 1294
Rosman, NC 28772

From: [William Tripp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Tripp

416 Withershinn Dr
Charlotte, NC 28262

From: [Eileen McClain](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:55:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen McClain

1904 Hickory Bark Dr
Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526

From: [Susan Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:56:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Thompson

702 Dorothea Drive
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [William Coulter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:56:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Coulter

830 Grove Hollow St 101
RALEIGH, 27601

From: [Jay Marlow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:56:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jay Marlow

67 Frances Street
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [John Little](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:56:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Little

13 Hillview Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Christina Gallo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:56:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina Gallo

95 Carolina Shores Drive
Carolina Shores, NC 28467

From: [Jay Newhard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jay Newhard

2201 Hyde Drive
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Veronica Lindsey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Veronica Lindsey

39 Lookout Dr
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Paul Nelson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Nelson

121 Chestnut St apt 4-09
Wilmington, NC 28401

From: [Fran Ragan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Politicians like you, who put big business interests ahead of health for the majority is why after 30 years, I am no longer a Republican.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fran Ragan

2420 MALLOTTE LN
GASTONIA, NC 28054

From: [David & Carol Shelton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for our state, our community, our energy mix, and instead you are allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Why are you doing this? Do you feel you have to protect polluters at our expense?

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David & Carol Shelton

329 Grady McNeilly Rd
329 Grady McNeilly Rd
Casar, NC 28020

7045389133

From: [Anne Straun](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Straun

7723 Petrea Lane
Charlotte, NC 28227

7045329757

From: [Rick Buckman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rick Buckman

5008 swordfish dr
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Jill Henning](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Henning

287 Barrington rd
Newport, NC 28570

2527642125

From: [Sarah Reincke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Reincke

750 Bear Left
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [David Savige](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Savige

104 Chandler Dr
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Nancy Byrum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Byrum

1836 Flatrock St
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

From: [James Schall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Schall

31 Elizabeth St
Asheville, NC 28801

(828) 242-5949

From: [Sarah Jacobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Jacobson

101 Copperline Drive
Apt V
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

4144294259

From: [Carolyn Yeager](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

You stupid bastard.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Yeager

1808 Old Hollow Road
Walkertown, NC 27051

3368302782

From: [Craig Cunningham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:58:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Craig Cunningham

100 Laurel Glen Court
King, NC 27021

From: [Kevin Furr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:59:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Furr

306 NE Connector
Albemarle, NC 28001

From: [Becky Cleland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:59:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. We are counting on you!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Becky Cleland

2142 Coxe Rd
Tryon, NC 28782

From: [Greg Tourian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 12:59:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Tourian

8401 Sleepy Creek Dr
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Pamela Hartigan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Hartigan

514 Sherwood Hills Drive
Winston Salem, NC 27104

From: [Julia Myers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Myers

10108 Whitestone Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615

919-846-2643

From: [Nancy Bradley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bradley

3528 Castlegate Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Allison Coleman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allison Coleman

429 W Main St
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Karen Mendys](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Mendys

410 Westbury Dr
Chapel Hill, 27516

From: [Robyn Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robyn Campbell

2876 Duncans Creek Rd
2
Bostic, NC 28018

8282455324

From: [Marie Lieberman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marie Lieberman

8517 Southfield Place
Raleigh, 27615

From: [DEBRA CLINTON](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DEBRA CLINTON

2917moser rd
GREENSGORO, NC 27406

From: [Adrian Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adrian Smith

PO Box 265
110 Jones St
Moncure, NC 27559

9195423807

From: [Taylor France](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Taylor France

7676 Cottonwood drive
Denver, NC 28037

From: [Kimberly Jefferies](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:01:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Jefferies

4323 Old Lincolnton Road
crouse, NC 28033

From: [George Czerw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:02:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am writing as your constituent to tell you that I strongly support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be rapidly working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am extremely disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, and our energy mix. Instead you are allowing the bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes any sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please stop fiddling around and do the right thing. Start taking concrete action to protect our clean air.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

George Czerw

703 Alyssum Avenue
Caswell Beach, NC 28465

From: [Marvin Schrock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:02:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marvin Schrock

47 Huffman Road
Asheville,, NC 28806

8287776775

From: [William Bingham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:02:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Bingham

3117 Leonard Street
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Melissa Bahleda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:02:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP), & I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Bahleda

286 cool springs dr
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

828-355-9893

From: [Bernadette Chasteen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:02:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Chasteen

18 Balmoray Ct Ap 22
Durham, NC 27707

9194546421

From: tyler.mccall
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

tyler mccall

1706 Tryon dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303

9106895228

From: [Diane McClure](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane McClure

2-B Iron Carriage Court
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [susan lurie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

susan lurie

11408 brittany oaks dr
charlotte
nc, 28277

From: [Thomas Farin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Farin

9005 Shellwood Court
Raleigh, NC 27617

From: [MJ Copeland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MJ Copeland

220 Chatham Business Drive
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Robert Voelker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Voelker

330 Crowell Lane
Salisbury, NC 28146

From: [Darlene Hamilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darlene Hamilton

1605 Beacon Valley Dr
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Furaha Youngblood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Furaha Youngblood

1005 Grant Street
Durham, NC 27701

From: [jeanne kleinschmidt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Protect North Carolina.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jeanne kleinschmidt

8016 fairlake drive
wake forest, NC 27587

From: [D Kellow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:04:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

D Kellow

PO Box 460
Taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [David Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:05:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Walker

300 Spencer Farlow Drive
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

From: [Sally Hirsh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:05:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Hirsh

1831 Brantley St
1831 Brantley St
Winston-Salem, NC 27103

From: [Kim Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:06:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Adams

516 Melrose Ave Ext
Tryon, NC 28782

From: [Stephen Blake](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:06:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

The 'greenhouse gas' effect of CO2 emissions from the burning of fossil fuels (especially coal) has been understood since the Industrial Revolution. The Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP) is a long overdue effort to reduce our carbon emissions and give polluters incentives to clean up their act.

The corrupt McCrory administration and the compromised Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that is effective because of the brazen collusion and corruption between the crony capitalist NC GOP and the chronic polluter/monopoly that is Duke Energy. Add to that a corrupted utilities commission and the Duke Energy monopoly is now granted a free pass to pollute at public expense while they block clean energy competitors. So much for a 'free market'.

The McCrory administration has set a new record for corruption, collusion, conflict of interest and crony capitalism that answers only to the elite corporate interests of monopolies and billionaires.

That is not democracy.

Sincerely,

Stephen Blake

506 Merrybrook Ct
Clemmons, NC 27012

From: [Donna Billings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:07:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Billings

Sunsetview
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Diane Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:07:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Young

P O Box 1803
Kernersville, NC 27285

From: [Mike Spruell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:07:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air unlike your previous decisions..

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Spruell

105 Kilborne Rd
Mooresville, NC 28117

7049077907

From: [Heddy Reichardt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:07:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heddy Reichardt

1101 Knotty Pine Ct
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Vincent Kopp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:08:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a pediatrician and anesthesiologist who cares for people with breathing problems, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vincent Kopp

501 E. Rosemary Street
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Joyce Compton Brown b](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:08:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Compton Brown b

659 George St
TROUTMAN, NC 28166

From: [Jeff Hindson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:08:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hindson

5507 Harbor Gate Ct
Greensbor, NC 27455

From: [Elise McLaughlin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:08:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elise McLaughlin

701 Middle Fork Road
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [Anne Cassebaum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:08:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am grateful for the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

Why are you and your environmental agency purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP.

Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air reduces asthma and is essential for all of us, our environment and for North Carolina's economy.

Please protect clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Cassebaum

3469 Amick Road
Elon, NC 27244

3364496843

From: [Albert DelGarbino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:10:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Albert DelGarbino

po box 50
183 Neck Rd
Shiloh, NC 27974

From: [P.Holmes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:10:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

P Holmes

3 Windrow Dr
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Danielle Boulet](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:10:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danielle Boulet

599 E Hatterleigh Ave
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Jeanne van Gemert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:10:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeanne van Gemert

1120 Sourwood Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

919-967-3546

From: [Kathleen Hopson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:10:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Hopson

206 Mill Court
Durham, NC 27713

9195445197

From: [DEE REED](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:11:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DEE REED

170 Galuladi Trail
MAGGIE VALLEY, NC 28751

From: [Maxine Dalton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:12:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maxine Dalton

749 D and G Road
HOT SPRINGS, NC 28743

8286223704

From: [Kay Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:12:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kay Warren

627 Fieldale Place
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Kathryn Koppers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Koppers

729 Honeysuckle Lane
Midland, NC 28107

From: [Joan Poole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is essential for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joan Poole

7532 Strader
Summerfield, NC 27358

From: [James Ehmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Ehmann

1101-A Lupine Court
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Clarissa Schaf](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clarissa Schaf

400 spartacus ct
Cary, NC 27518

From: [Charles Humble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Humble

910 Emory Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27157

From: [Peggy Cole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Cole

3420 Garden Oaks Ln
Apex, NC 27539

From: [Amy Dosser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Dosser

4615 Willa Way
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Diane Sisley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Sisley

9 Brickell Way
Candler, 28715

8282905730

From: [Marijane Fox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marijane Fox

42 Riverside Drive
Barnardsville, NC 28709

From: [Anna Hardy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Hardy

321 North Poplar Street
Aberdeen, NC 28315

From: [patricia monahan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

patricia monahan

9375 Bryson city road
Franklin, NC 28734

8284212923

From: [Johnny Foust](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johnny Foust

2160 Jamestown Road
Winston Salem, NC 27106

3363299029

From: [Marie B Keller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:13:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. It is your responsibility to protect the public interest.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marie B Keller

1225 Timothy Ln
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199294432

From: [Janet Haynes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:14:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Haynes

191 hideaway lane
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [Ann Pace](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:14:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Pace

750 Weaver Dairy Rd., Apt. 132
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Paul Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:14:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Williams

933 Hawk Ridge Circle
Winston Salem, 27103

From: [Eileen Pettit](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:16:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Pettit

140 Edom Ln
Unit 1
Shallotte, NC 28470

From: [Charles Finley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:16:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Finley

4957 Greenhill Rd
Snow Camp, NC 27349

(919) 360-3805

From: [Carl Raymond](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:16:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Raymond

1320 Kanuga Road
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Sue Weekes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:16:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sue Weekes

100 Java Court
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Margaret Horner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:17:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Horner

2239 Villamar Dr
Leland, NC 28451

From: [nancy dishman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

nancy dishman

14800 e rocky river rd
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Henri Rumph](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Henri Rumph

4316 Stonefield Drive
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Edward Giusto](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Apparently, Pat McCrory really never left the employ of Duke Power.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Giusto

631 Monte Vista rd
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Julio Aviles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julio Aviles

319 Cedar St
Jenkintown, PA 19046

From: [Amber GRANING](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amber GRANING

2102 Tarrywood Dr
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Lisa Neste](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Neste

4437 Garden Club St
High Point, NC 27265

3362596096

From: [curtis allen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

curtis allen

11 debra lane
asheville, NC 28806

From: [Keith Cutler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:19:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keith Cutler

524 Tryst Lane
Wake Forest, NC 27587

9198197234

From: [Pat Craner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:20:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Craner

2204 Wakespring Court
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Elizabeth Riddle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:20:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Riddle

6707 Long Meadow Drive
Whitsett, NC 27377

From: [Miriam Smith-DeCoster](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:20:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam Smith-DeCoster

1015 Latham Road
Greensboro, NC 27408

9193574696

From: [Joe Bearden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:21:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Bearden

1809 Lakepark Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Martin McClure](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:21:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin McClure

4615 Garvis Drive
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Randall Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:21:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randall Davis

12721 Levins Hall Rd
Huntersville, NC 28078

704-875-8223

From: [Johnny Justice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:22:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johnny Justice

Manteo
NC, 27954

From: [Martha Spencer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:22:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Spencer

988 Henry Mountain Road
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Tim Thomason](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:22:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Thomason

90 Cumberland lane
Murphy, NC 28906

8183449044

From: [Randal Pride](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:22:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randal Pride

PO Box 25
Arden, NC 28704

8285750006

From: [William Clegg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:22:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Clegg

109 Sagewood Road
Jamestown, NC 27282

3369631773

From: [Anna Auten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:23:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Auten

16301 Peach Grove Ln
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Daniel Amelkin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:23:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Amelkin

5432 Winters Way
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Barbara Hardie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hardie

299 Connor Rd
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Nikki Shoulders](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nikki Shoulders

PO Box 9519
Hickory, NC 28603

From: [Ann Mazzullo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Mazzullo

355 Gilbert Road
Columbus, NC 28722

From: [John McCann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John McCann

1003 Pond st
None
Cary, NC 27511

9194635583

From: [Judy Humphrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Humphrey

601 Grand Blvd
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Jake Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jake Anderson

420 High St
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [James & Leslea Kunz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James & Leslea Kunz

1218 Coral Reef Ct
New Bern, NC 28560

2525146679

From: [Michelle Dorer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:25:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Dorer

PO Box 274
Nags Head, NC 27959

From: [E. Houston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:26:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

E. Houston

Hillsborough Ave
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Coleman Whittier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:26:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Coleman Whittier

4901 Boulder Run Road
Hillsborough, NC 27278

919-644-0318

From: [Sharon Matthews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:26:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Matthews

124 Willoughby Lane
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Kim Stoehr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:26:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Stoehr

1416 Ravensthorpe Drive
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526

From: [Michael Sileno](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:27:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Sileno

1509 W. Cornwallis Drive
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Andrea Flickinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Flickinger

111 North King Charles Road
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [Dave Maupin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave Maupin

450 Woods Walk Way
Taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [Teresa Snider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Snider

325 Yadkin Valley Rd
Advance, NC 27006

From: [Hazel Poolos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

I don't wish your family to suffer asthma because of damage to our air, but you should be able to put yourself in others' shoes and realize how critical clean air is to OUR NORTH CAROLINIANS' HEALTH.
Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hazel Poolos

42717 Caudle Rd
Richfield, NC 28137

From: [Joe Farrington](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Farrington

3902 Hope Valley Road
Durham, NC 27707

From: [mark.freedman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mark freedman

512 covington ridge rd
winston salem, NC 27107

3367852526

From: [karl fields](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

karl fields

902 carolina st
greensboro, 27401

From: [Alison Adcock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alison Adcock

Durham
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Lisa Neste](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Neste

4437 Garden Club Street
High Point, NC 27265

3368478741

From: [Larry Ray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Ray

9401 Litchford Rd
RALEIGH, NC 27615

From: [Karen Bethune](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Bethune

23 Covewood Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Terri Lefler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:28:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). Why in the world with all we know about our environment and how what we do impacts it, would you not want to keep it CLEAN????! Utterly ridiculous and thoughtless to do otherwise.

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terri Lefler

59 Fenner Ave
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Ann Meurs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:29:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Meurs

2229 Setliff Dr
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Esther Megill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:29:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Esther Megill

266 Merrimon Avenue
Asheville, NC 28801

8282535529

From: [Catherine Simard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:29:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Simard

10042 Garrison Watch Ave
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Katherine Long](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:31:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Long

1921 Sugartown Rd
Yadkinville, 27055

336 312-4381

From: [Linda Bach](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:31:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Bach

504 Frances McQueen Road
VILAS, NC 28692

From: [Phoebe Rummell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:31:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phoebe Rummell

930 -12 Shellbrook Ct
Raleigh, NC 27609

919-788-8493

From: [Bruce Nawrocki](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:31:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

By submitting a "plan" that doesn't meet current Federal regulations, you have side-stepped your responsibilities, and will cause NC extra costs in pointless legal fees.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Nawrocki

8008 KNEB WORTH CT
RALEIGH, NC 27613

From: [Shoshanah Naiman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:31:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shoshanah Naiman

6728 GLEN FOREST DR
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

9193816646

From: [Larissa Bowman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:31:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am extremely disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larissa Bowman

425 Flat Top Mountain Rd
Fairview, NC 28730

8286063422

From: [Mark Sonnentag](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:32:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Sonnentag

42 Serenity Hill Circle
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199423799

From: [William Mears](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:32:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Mears

wsmeares@gmail.com
wsmeares@gmail.com
Raleigh, NC 27609

9198190359

From: [Sam Hay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:32:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. Have you no shame?

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sam Hay

118 Fox
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [mark.moulin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:34:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mark moulin

8709 champion hills
wilmington, NC 28411

(910) 686-4338

From: [William Muller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:34:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Muller

2769 Garland Court
CREEDMOOR, NC 27522

From: [Sara Paoluzzi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:34:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Paoluzzi

Via Marconi 1
Sacile, Friuli Venezia Giulia

From: [Walter Bonnett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:34:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Walter Bonnett

411 Wolf Laurel Drive
Maggie Valley, NC 28751

From: [Michele Hutchins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:34:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Hutchins

3010 Covewood Street
High Point, NC 27265

3365676286

From: [John Paladenech](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:34:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Paladenech

4040 Greenmead Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [john baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:35:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

john baker

251 wiltshire circle
fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Mimi Sexton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:36:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mimi Sexton

18 Cedarwood Trl
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Charles Cline](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Cline

5904 Tafton CT
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Melanie Clementino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melanie Clementino

6615 Prospect Pointe Dr
Monroe, NC 28112

From: [Pauline Crawshaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pauline Crawshaw

3306 S Heritage Dr
Hendersonville, NC 28791

828-692-6866

From: [Rhonda Richardson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Richardson

200 Bluebird Ct
Sneads Ferry, NC 28460

9103581686

From: [James Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Thompson

114 Bass Lane
Statesville, 28625

7048380115

From: [David Hayes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Hayes

3006 Yorkmont Court
Apex, 27502

From: [Denise Lawton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Lawton

201 W 10th St 309
Charlotte, NC 28202

7042993670

From: betsy.lindemuth
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:37:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

betsy.lindemuth

4604 bayspring lane
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Robert Obeid](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:38:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Obeid

477 George McKinney Rd
Bakersville, NC 28705

From: [Carl Gipson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:38:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Gipson

728 Spartacus Ct
Cary, NC 27518

From: [Laura Telford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:38:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Telford

162 wellington st
Asheville, NC 28806

8282426196

From: [Gesa Adriance](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:39:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gesa Adriance

204 Tattenhall
Cary, NC 27518

From: [Glynn Lookabill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:39:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. We all know you are still in Duke Powers pocket, but just once - TRY to do what helps the CITIZENS of NC & not your big benefactors. How about supporting clean energy in wind & solar instead of Duke's coal burning smog hogs?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glynn Lookabill

POB 1479
Enka, NC 28728

910.616-5153

From: [Kerwin Schaefer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:40:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kerwin Schaefer

1407 B St
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Georgiann Kiricoples](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:40:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Georgiann Kiricoples

2884 Farmbrook Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Kelly Nichols](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:40:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelly Nichols

8609 Acacia Ridge Ct
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Johnette Fields](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:40:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johnette Fields

3206 Graylyn Terrace
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Earlene Gentry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:40:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

There needs to be more control, not less, and higher standards for all forms of energy production. Duke Energy is NOT helping clean up the mess it has made.

We must keep NC green and clean to attract not only young professionals with families, but also the retirees with higher levels of disposable income.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Earlene Gentry

10620 NC Highway700
Pelham, NC 27311

3369392788

From: [elaine adamo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:40:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

elaine adamo

119 pinnacle mountain rd
leicester, NC 28748

From: [Marvin Scherl](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:41:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marvin Scherl

6740 Germanton Road
Germanton, NC 27019

From: [Eileen Wright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:41:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Wright

500 eagle ridge drive
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Kenneth Leary](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:41:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Why do we have to tell you numb nuts that sane people want to protect the water and air quality in NC? Why? Because repubs would throw a baby in a coal ash pit if they thought it would make a buck.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Leary

airport road
pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Joseph Umstead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:41:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. My cousin Gov. William Umstead would give you a piece of his mind on this one.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joseph Umstead

1507 Ferncliff Circle
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Linda Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Anderson

206 Pond View Lane
Wallace, NC 28466

From: [Peter Beckley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Beckley

102 Island Palms Dr
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

From: [Michael Matthews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Matthews

1756 Laurel Park Pl
Cary, NC 27511

9194134808

From: [Lesley North](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lesley North

Ridge Trail
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Mary Buttitta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Buttitta

411 Periwinkle Dr
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Martha Girolami](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Girolami

473 MOUNT PISGAH CHURCH RD
Apex, NC 27523

9193625759

From: [Amy Gross](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Gross

705 Park Circle
Laurinburg, NC 28352

From: [David Kaylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Kaylor

32 Wagon Trail
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Lawrence Turk, RN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Turk, RN

POB 203
Hendersonville, NC 28793

From: [Jeff Jenkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:43:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Jenkins

191 Sabre Pointe Drive
Bath, NC 27808

From: [Carolina Moreno](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:44:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolina Moreno

7220 Eastover Dr
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Tom Kociemba](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:45:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Kociemba

104 River Ridge Dr
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Betsy Bickel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:45:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am disgusted that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betsy Bickel

117 W. Trinity Ave
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Brent Icenhour](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:46:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brent Icenhour

119 S.Cntr. St
Taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [Du Le](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:46:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Du Le

9909 Waterview Road
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [DAVID ASKINS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:46:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DAVID ASKINS

20 OAK ROAD
HAMPSTEAD, NC 28443

9102706109

From: [Milt Butterworth Jr.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:46:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Milt Butterworth Jr.

195 Parker Road
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Pat Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:47:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Jones

277 Clearwater Lane
Browns Summit, NC 27214

From: [Zeta Price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:47:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zeta Price

4360 Charleston Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27127

From: [Patsy Hart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:47:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patsy Hart

219 W Sothel St
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

From: [Adam Hebbel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:48:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Find another way to appease the hands that feed you.

Sincerely,

Adam Hebbel

216 ADAMS LN
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Anne Lanzi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:48:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Lanzi

155 Michigan Avenue
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Glenn Ahrendt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:49:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenn Ahrendt

2243 7 LKS S
West End, NC 27376

From: [NC Voter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:49:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. So happy you (and the Republican Party) don't need my vote during the upcoming election cycle. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

NC Voter

5212 Duckdown Court
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Audra Ansley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audra Ansley

4814 Sweetbriar Rd
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Robin Keller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:49:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robin Keller

1148 Sturdivant Dr
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Brigid Keeley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:49:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brigid Keeley

5515 Pegasus Drive
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Christy Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:49:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christy Thompson

23 Foxtail Ct
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Simmons \(Bill\) Isler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:50:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

The Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution would cut the childhood asthma rates that continue to rise in North Carolina. It also would address the projected threat of climate change.

It is beyond disappointing that you and your environmental agency are proposing a plan that is "designed to fail" the federal requirements under the Clean Power Plan. The Department of Environmental Quality thereby is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that actually serves the state and instead will allow bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state.

Clean air is not just an environmental issue; it is good for our families and for North Carolina's economy. Please make a responsible effort to address carbon pollution rather than play politics with our future. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Simmons (Bill) Isler

7436 Edenwood Lane
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [M.Huggins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:50:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

M Huggins

77 W Fox Chase Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Robert Cooper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:50:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Cooper

130 Deerfield Dr
Spring Lake, NC 28390

From: [Thomas King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:50:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas King

12028 Peed Rd
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [patti whipple](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:51:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

patti whipple

105 north court
Atlantic Beach, NC 28512

252-726-3889

From: [Elizabeth O'Nan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:52:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth O'Nan

396 Sugar Cove Road
Marion, NC 28752

(828) 724-4221

From: [Janette Montalvo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:52:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janette Montalvo

6 alexis court
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Michael Busko](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:52:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Busko

4800 Marlborough Way
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Art Smoker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:54:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Art Smoker

284 Arrowood Corner Rd
Mars Hill, NC 28754

8286809695

From: [Barry Crawshaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:54:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Crawshaw

3306 South Heritage Drive
Hendersonville, NC 28791

From: [Virginia Leslie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:54:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Leslie

9206 Bracken Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Charles Younts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Younts

1949 Knoll Drive
Randleman, NC 27317

From: [Lisa Hoffman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Hoffman

1012 Westbury Drive
Matthews, NC 28104

From: [Julie Roohi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julie Roohi

604 magnolia forest ct
Wake forest, NC 27587

From: [Larry Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Miller

200 Walker St
Kings Mtn, NC 28086

From: [Beth Harvat](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Harvat

4116 Talcott Drive
Durham, NC 27705

9193585096

From: [Lee Olson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lee Olson

1637 Amberwood Drive SE
Bolivia, NC 28423

From: [Linda Maynard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Maynard

311 Keith St
Apex
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Elizabeth Whitt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Whitt

1116 Scaleybark Rd
Apt 116B
Charlotte, NC 28209

704-351-1564

From: [Vickie Penninger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vickie Penninger

711 Kimbrough St
Raleigh, NC 27608

9198280876

From: [James Damon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Damon

1009 Roosevelt Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Rachel Killian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Killian

507 river ridge dr
Asheville, NC 28830

From: [John Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:55:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Davis

220 S Elm St
Greensboro, NC 27401

From: [Michael Hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:56:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Hill

12 Faculty Drive
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Stephen Ryan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:56:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Ryan

PO Box 69
Salvo, NC 27972

From: [S. Teel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:57:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

S. Teel

2204 Commonwealth Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28205

704-968-9778

From: [Phillip nazareth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:57:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phillip nazareth

3623 Single Leaf Ct
High point, NC 27265

From: [Paul Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:58:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Wilson

11625 Harrowfield Road
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Emma Bogdan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:02:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emma Bogdan

1201 Braeburn rd
Charlotte, NC 28211

7046614439

From: [David Flora](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:02:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Flora

550 Carolina Meadows
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Anne Bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:02:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Bailey

24 25 silver lake trail
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [martha chambers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:02:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

martha chambers

3112 tanglewood trail
fort worth, TX 76109

From: [Maureen Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:03:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maureen Smith

James Richard dr
Charlotte, 28277

From: [Natalie Van Leekwijck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:03:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Natalie Van Leekwijck

444 Munn Street
Hazard, NE 68844

From: [Richard Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:03:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Thomas

1766 Campbell Drive
Pisgah Forest, NC 28768

From: [David Hutchins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Mr. McRory.. I feel, actually KNOW you have backdoor allegiances with Duke Energy which is a conflict of interests. Duke should be MADE to clean up the mess at Salisbury plant and PAY for it without passing price to consumers and or giving politicians, particularly you sir, any kickbacks. I cannot wait for Mr Roy Cooper to take our state to a grander more powerful place that we were before you became governor and appeased and gave priority to the corrupt capitalists and your "good ol boys" GOP in our legislature.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Hutchins

4055 Long Ferry Rd
Salisbury, NC 28146

From: [Doris Marshall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doris Marshall

902 E. 4th Ave
Gastonia, NC 28054

From: [Angela Oconnor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Oconnor

25 Floyd dr lot 5
Asheville, NC 28803

8282750126

From: [Michelle Carr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Carr

1530 Queens Road Apt 1203
Charlotte, NC 28207

7047779712

From: [Peggy Robbins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Robbins

708 Brookside Dr
High Point, NC 27262

From: [David Demperio](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Demperio

549 Lake Wylie Road
Belmont, NC 28012

From: [Sharon Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is not just goodm but NECESSARY for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Johnson

48 Fox Lair Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28791

828-702-3324

From: [Peter Crow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:04:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Crow

1401 Kershaw Dr
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Glenda Zahner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:05:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

My husband died of pulmonary fibrosis that was exacerbated by dirty air downwind of TVA coal-burning power plants. How many others need to suffer?

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenda Zahner

245 Bob Zahner Rd
Highlands, NC 28741

From: [Michael Eisenberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Eisenberg

5033 Bartons Enclave Ln
Raleigh, NC 27613

9198465154

From: [Eric Riggins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Riggins

Friar
Charlotte
Charlotte, NC 28213

From: [Nancy White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy White

13012 Melvin Arnold Rd
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Judith Gibson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Gibson

291 Angelica Way
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Anita Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anita Thompson

805 S. Merritt Mill Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Sarah Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Jones

5037 prosperity church rd
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Richard Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Phillips

4221 King Edward Ct
Greensboro, NC 27455

3362868680

From: [kim FANELLY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:07:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kim FANELLY

9928 blackbird hill ln
charlotte, NC 28227

From: [Jill Solow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:08:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Solow

3021 Heathstead Place Unit E
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Jennifer Sanchez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:08:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Sanchez

1304 River ridge drive
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Glenn Girtman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:08:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenn Girtman

103 Possum Place
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Gwenn McGrady](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:08:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gwenn McGrady

1077 19th Ave Pl NW
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Chris McGratty](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:08:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris McGratty

3700 Commonwealth Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205

7046080828

From: [Ty Moon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:08:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ty Moon

3304 N Elm St A
Greensboro, NC 27405

3369879759

From: [Sebastian George](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:09:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, our general air quality, and instead allows bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air by creating a plan set for success instead of knowingly setting up one for failure. If a student were to behave in this manner in school because they didn't approve of the format of the test, they would fail. What gives you the right to make that choice at a state level with no consequences? Make the responsible choice by conforming to better environmental standards.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sebastian George

7941 Dukes Dynasty Dr
RALEIGH, NC 27615

9192104153

From: [Kathryn Pritchett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:09:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Pritchett

6513 Thetford Ct
Raleigh, NC 27615

9197445210

From: [Pamela Culp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:10:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Culp

130 Skyview Circle
Asheville, NC 28804

8282557784

From: [David Curtis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:11:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Curtis

10 Southwicke Ct
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Amy Markin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:12:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Markin

4909 Harwood Ct
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Alexander Templeton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:13:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alexander Templeton

308 Oakmont Circle
Archdale, NC 27263

From: [Katherine Shea MD, MPH](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:13:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

As a pediatrician, a mother, a citizen and someone who worries about the health of future generations, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). Please think about the children!

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Shea MD, MPH

1 Buttons Ln
chapel hill, NC 27514

From: [Jim McGlinn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:13:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jim McGlinn

1032 Windsor Dr
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Kay Burgwyn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:14:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kay Burgwyn

P O Box 296
Whitakers, NC 27891

From: [April Blaine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:14:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

April Blaine

225 Alex D. Owens Dr
Kings Mountain, NC 28086

7047730450

From: [Denise Szymanski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:15:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Szymanski

109 E Camden Forest Dr
Cary, NC 27518

12483305063

From: [David Jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:15:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Jordan

2911 Brookwood Drive
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Sandra Dishman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:15:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Dishman

613 S Chapman St
Greensboro, NC 27403

3366816796

From: [Scott Juslin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:15:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Juslin

Thorpe Abbotts
New Bern, NC 28562

From: [Bel Purcell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:16:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bel Purcell

3025 Rice Rd
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [Kevin Overbey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:17:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Clean air and energy is the future, stop flailing around in the dirty ignorant past!

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Overbey

P O Box 152
Fletcher, NC 28732

From: [mf solomon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:17:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

We strongly support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

It disappoints and frustrates me in the extreme, Mr Governor, that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP.

By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

mf solomon

2 stillwater
durham, NC 27707

From: [David Cook](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:17:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Cook

four eleven east cannon ave
Albemarle, NC 28001

From: [Briana Cavin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:18:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

Make the right decisions for ours and children's future. Be a steward for positive change. The industrialization of our society has already sped up the earth's life cycle by billions of years through hazardous emissions and over use of its natural resources. Please Be a part of the solution, not the problem.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Briana Cavin

3512 chesnee rd
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

From: [Daniel Mulligan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Mulligan

755 Carolun Dr SE
Concord, 28025

(704) 605-3184

From: [Jane SpottedBird](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane SpottedBird

10 Dellwood Drive
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Chue Vang](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chue Vang

1931 Todd Street
Newton, NC 28658

From: [Andrew Garrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Garrison

11 Rugrat Ln
Whittier, NC 28789

From: [Sarbagha Falk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarbagha Falk

104 Pleasant Drive Apt A
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [HAROLD HICKMAN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

HAROLD HICKMAN

990 CALABASH RD NW
CALABASH, NC 28467

From: [H Flowers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

H Flowers

Walker cove rd
Black mountain, NC 28711

From: [Kiley Dove](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kiley Dove

105 Casablanca Ct
Cary, NC 27519

From: [S. Dee Ellis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:19:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

S. Dee Ellis

104 Cove Point
Mount Holly, NC 28120

From: [Deborah O'Neill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:20:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah O'Neill

14941 Carrington Ridge Drive
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [Linda Childers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:20:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Childers

730 Noles Drive
Mount Holly, NC 28120

From: [Lesley Barker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:20:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lesley Barker

2903 Northampton Dr
Greensboro, NC 27408

3362181295

From: [Cynthia Dillon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:21:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Dillon

PO Box 2163
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [Nancy Acopine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:22:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Acopine

81 Lakeshore Dr
Asheville, NC 28804

8285051349

From: [Chris Barnes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Barnes

randomutterings@gmail.com
randomutterings@gmail.com
Tarboro, NC 27886

2525440887

From: [Diana Muller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diana Muller

503 Walnut cr dr
Whitsett, NC 27377

3364474006

From: [Tom Byers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Byers

467 Old Stone Gate Place
Asheville, NC 28804

828-253-8022

From: [Steven Rosen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Rosen

4325 Lineberry Dr
East Bend, NC 27018

From: [Sharon Hayes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hayes

Birghtstar Lane
Lewisville, 27023

From: [Kevin Tillett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Tillett

143 Holly Ridge Rd
Manteo, NC 27954

From: [Aaron Rust](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

It is really easy to care!! Why don't you, sir?? Is your money invested in OIL???

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aaron Rust

510 5th Ave N
Apt 5
Saint James, MN 56081

From: [David Suskauer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Suskauer

6 Meadow Wood Trl
Fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Heather Norton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Norton

15310 Superior Street
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Brandon Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:26:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brandon Taylor

4209 Thorn Ridge Road
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [Brooke Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:26:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brooke Adams

3301 Foxridge Rd
Charlotte, NC 28236

From: [William St. George](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:26:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William St. George

2217 Camellia Dr
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: elizabeth.fitzgerald
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:27:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

elizabeth fitzgerald

3229 arden branch ln
raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Renee Scaggs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:27:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Scaggs

203 Orchard Park Drive
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Chandra Rambo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:27:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chandra Rambo

104 Lee Place
Newport, NC 28570

From: [John Geers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:27:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Geers

167 Rocky KNob Road
Clyde, NC 28721

From: [Jessica Richer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:27:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Richer

2027 Oldtown Road
Walnut Cove, NC 27052

From: [Brad Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:27:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brad Smith

514 Wicker Street
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Deborah Swanson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:28:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Swanson

568 Garren Creek Rd
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Deborah Ginsberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:28:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Ginsberg

522 Finley Street
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Tommy Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:28:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tommy Parker

112 Crystal Pines Ct
Beaufort, NC 28516

From: [Thomas Beane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:28:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Beane

706 Heatherwood Dr
Monroe, NC 28112

7046185675

From: [Corean Wagner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:28:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Corean Wagner

4 Clan Fox Drive
Leicester, NC 28748

From: [Fiddle witch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:30:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fiddle witch

28tt
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Claudia Thuring](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:30:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Claudia Thuring

15819 Lavenham Rd
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [James Wheeler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Wheeler

725 East Wade Street
Wadesboro, NC 28170

From: [Nancy Mueller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mueller

409 Moonridge Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199424937

From: [Kendrick Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kendrick Brown

730 weathergreen drive
Raleigh, 27615

From: [Elizabeth Sasser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Sasser

403 W CAMERON AVE
chapel hill, NC 27516

From: [penelope Poehlmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

penelope Poehlmann

35023 Carnation Lane
Indian Land, SC 29707

8153556788

From: [Donald Rumph](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Rumph

3238 Quail Pointe Dr
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [mary vickery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mary vickery

8800 wellsley way
raleigh, NC 27613

9198480918

From: [Gregory Austin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:31:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gregory Austin

901 Plumstead Road
Charlotte, NC 28216

704 399 6642

From: [James Kapetsky](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:32:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Kapetsky

7706 Meadowlark Ln Apt 2
wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Katherine Crawford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:32:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Crawford

197 Turnpike Rd
Brevard, NC 28712

8644239711

From: [Pat Butler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:32:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). We condemn China's air quality; we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions so as not to become another China.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense, and it will cost the taxpayers in needless legal fees.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Butler

200 Quail Dr
Raleigh, NC 27604

919 829-5346

From: [Troy Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:32:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Troy Wilson

504 E Maine Ave
Bessemer City, NC 28016

7047120509

From: [Anne Craig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:34:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Craig

132 Murdock Ave
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [JoAnn Mercer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:35:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Mercer

2805 Patio Ln
Gastonia, NC 28056

From: [Susan McQueen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:35:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan McQueen

305 Weaverville Rd
LOT 6
Asheville, NC 28804

2484960188

From: [jennifer.smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:35:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jennifer.smith

159 boone farm rd
Mocksville, NC 27028

From: [Karen Staples](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:35:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Staples

107 Lynn Avenue
Fayetteville, NC 28301

From: [Jayne Coley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jayne Coley

241 Chimney Ln
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Jenny Weaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jenny Weaver

309 Fairview Rd
Thomasville, NC 27360

From: [Pamela Little](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Little

2817 Wendell St
Lenoir, NC 28645

From: [Bernadine Owen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bernadine Owen

374 Cammies Way
Old Fort, NC 28762

8286687225

From: [Ken Sisk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ken Sisk

3805 Chiswell Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Lora Sharkey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is neglecting its responsibility to mitigate air pollution in accordance with federal regulations. Instead of fighting the federal government I expect you and DEQ to work to provide the finest, cleanest energy possible to the citizens of North Carolina.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Do the right thing and create an energy plan compliant with EPA regulations.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lora Sharkey

432 Cades Trail
Southport, NC 28461

7039465333

From: [Christie Jahn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christie Jahn

1172 Stone Creek Dr
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Peter Hickey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Hickey

100 D Sunshine Lane
Winterville, NC 28590

From: [Cliff Moone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cliff Moone

2925 8th Street Ct NE
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Hon. Rev. James Steedman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:37:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

God in not going to save us from climate change!@

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hon. Rev. James Steedman

4600 Crowne Lake Circle
Jamestown, NC 27282

From: [Susan Galante](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:38:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Galante

5209 Red Wing Court
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526

From: [Robert Baron](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:38:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Baron

312 Island Cove Ct
Winnabow, NC 28479

From: [Linda Naylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:38:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Naylor

3508 Manford Drive
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Neil McWilliam](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:39:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense, though it is clearly the outcome of political considerations tailored to corporate supporters' needs rather than the legitimate interests of citizens.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Neil McWilliam

529 Dogwood Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: philip.weber
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:39:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

philip weber

47 Indian Trail
mills River, NC 28759

From: [JC Honeycutt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:39:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JC Honeycutt

510 S. Edenton Road St
Hertford, NC 27944

From: [Carolyn Coll](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:39:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Coll

101Mercy Drive
Belmont, NC 28012

704 813 1425

From: [thomas russell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:39:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

thomas russell

6614 willow spring rd
charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Christiana Nealis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:40:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christiana Nealis

45 Beekeeper Trail
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Warner Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:40:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Warner Smith

4815 Keats Ave
Charlotte, NC 28212

From: [Jane Francis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:40:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Francis

5506 Bobcat rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Anne Hummel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:42:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Hummel

1907 Rosecrest Dr
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [David Goist](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:43:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Goist

P O Box 17129
Asheville, NC 28816

From: [Peggy Ray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:43:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Ray

The Forest at Duke, 2701 Pickett Rd., Apt. 2024
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Carol Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:43:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Thompson

1621 Willomore St
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Rick Burt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:44:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rick Burt

1070 Greenwood Drive, A2
Hendersonville, NC 28791

From: [Molly Follweiler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:45:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Molly Follweiler

206 South Lonesome Road
Madison, NC 27025

From: [Dawn Ehli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:45:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dawn Ehli

1029 Falls Park Dr
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Ned Martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:46:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ned Martin

5400 Dawning Creek Way
Wilmington, NC 28409

9102289156

From: [Eileen Geiger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:46:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Geiger

508 w 8th avenue
Gastonia, NC 28052

From: [Dolores Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:48:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Your ideas will suck the life out of NC.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dolores Campbell

202 Holly Ridge Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199689172

From: [Jerry Hinz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:48:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerry Hinz

107 ginger quill circle
Candler, NC 28715

From: [karen Stickney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

karen Stickney

27 baril street
lewiston, ME 04240

(207) 689-3186

From: [Carl Wildermann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:49:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Wildermann

1311 Hillsborough Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [RICHARD GRAY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:49:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

RICHARD GRAY

1812 NORTH WINDS DR
WINSTON SALEM, NC 27127

From: [Bill Staton, MBA, CFA](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:49:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Staton, MBA, CFA

2431 Hartmill Court
Charlotte, NC 28226

7043652122

From: [Robert Woods](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:49:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Woods

4403 Angelus Circle
asheville, NC 28805

From: [Brandy Evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:49:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brandy Evans

11263 Hartsell Rd
Midland, NC 28107

7042916414

From: [Cliff Norris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:49:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cliff Norris

po box 561
Seagrove, NC 27341

3368792472

From: [bill mulvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:50:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

bill mulvey

4408 surry ridge road
apex, NC 27539

From: [Herbert Munt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:52:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herbert Munt

2017 Valley Court
Clayton, NC 27520

(919) 553-4167

From: [Rebecca Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:52:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Smith

7 Jett Ct
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Debra Foley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:52:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debra Foley

715 Brittle Way
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Twyla Kirby](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:52:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Twyla Kirby

1531 Dry Ponds Rd
Granite Falls, NC 28630

From: [Paul Osmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:52:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Please do the correct thing !!!

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Osmer

3771 Anslow Dr
Leland, NC 28451

From: [judith_malone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:53:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

judith malone

1339 nc hwy 22 42
bennett, NC 27208

3369646104

From: [Barry Rosencrance](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:53:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Rosencrance

2 wild fox
fletcher, NC 28732

From: [James s. lamm](mailto:James.s.lamm)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:53:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James s. lamm

2377 lovette rd
lumberton, NC 28358

From: [Sue-Ann Rush](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sue-Ann Rush

1060 Stonebridge Lane
Leland, NC 28451

From: [David Moulton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Moulton

186 Harmony Lane
Millers Creek, NC 28651

From: [Barbara Quatrano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Quatrano

111 maple ridge dr
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Katrina Killian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katrina Killian

765 Glenn Bridge Road
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Diane Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Miller

165 Pinyon Circle
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Keith Bearman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keith Bearman

721 ROYAL ANNE LN
APT. 104
RALEIGH, NC 27615

9193018029

From: [Jill Ray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Ray

1615 Morrison Road
Concord, 28025

704-455-6177

From: [jayne worth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jayne worth

802 n boylan ave
raleigh, NC 27605

9196212253

From: [Deborah Mcguinn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Mcguinn

1304 white memorial church rd
willow spring, NC 27592

From: [merilyn carson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

merilyn carson

233 farington
fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Doreen Mundie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:55:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

While you are considering safety... consider how you have slackened our clean water rules, too. Water is essential to all life and you are not protecting the people!

Sincerely,

Doreen Mundie
1044 McEntire Road
Tryon, NC 28782

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doreen Mundie

1044 McEntire Rd
Tryon, NC 28782

(828) 863-2944

From: [Rachel Roper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:56:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Roper

754 Gatewood
Winterville, NC 28590

252-744-2708

From: [Ronald Mimnaugh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:57:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Mimnaugh

584 Lake Royale
Louisburg, NC 27549

From: tegina.krzesicki
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:57:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

tegina.krzesicki

10111 buggy horse road
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Bart Iannetta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:58:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Not sure why you wouldn't want to keep our air clean, unless you like taking \$\$ from polluters in exchange for legislative favors? Oh yeah, you have already made it clear that you are just another purchased politician with no soul and no opinions of his own. Only decisions that make you money no matter how many lives are worsened by those decisions. You do know that many know this about you and won't say it to your face . . . even family and supposed friends.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bart Iannetta

2500 Diamondhitch Trl
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Russell Strader](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:58:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Russell Strader

POBox 4996
Emerald Isle, NC 28594

From: [Chas Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:59:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chas Griffin

1275 Seven Lakes N
Seven Lakes, NC 27376

9109751673

From: [Brady Kleaveland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:59:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a lifetime asthmatic, I need NC's air to be clean so I do not have to struggle to breathe. And I therefore support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brady Kleaveland

495 Buck Branch Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Leslee Kirkconnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:01:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leslee Kirkconnell

911 Lakeview Ave, Apt A
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Susan Michael](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:01:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Michael

8 Rolling View
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Rose MacDonald](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:01:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rose MacDonald

1519 Wildwood Rd NE
Lenoir, NC 28645

From: [Gerry Southard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:01:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gerry Southard

9 Windsong Dr
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Katherina Dimov](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:04:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherina Dimov

1001 Macon Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Gaylon Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:04:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gaylon Green

3740 Lynn Oaks Drive
Trinity, NC 27370

From: [William Alexander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:04:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Alexander

87 Willow Road
Waynesville, NC 28786

828 246-0343

From: [Linda King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:04:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda King

1030 Gregg Court
Rocky Mount, NC 27803

2523146913

From: [Ken Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:04:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ken Thompson

220 Cox Ave
Apt E
Raleigh, NC 27605

7063947932

From: [Robert Austin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:04:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Austin

PO Box 787
Williston, NC 28589

From: [Katherine Engle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:05:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Engle

225 Brascote Lane
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Kaaren Stoner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:05:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I ASK - WHAT CAN BE MORE IMPORTANT:
MORE MONEY IN POWER COMPANY POCKETS, OR
THE HEALTH OF OUR CHILDREN IN THE YEARS TO COME?

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kaaren Stoner

2225 Coleman Mountain Rd
Waynesville, NC 28785

From: [Melissa Sherman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:05:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Sherman

2120 Woodland Ave
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [Carolyn Turner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:05:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I strongly support the EPA's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I agree with the NC Conservation Network that NC should be working hard towards significant reduction of our carbon emissions to combat the rising childhood asthma rates the effects of climate change.

I continue to be disgusted with your choice to ignore what's in the best interest of NC citizens and our economy.

Your environmental agency purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families and for North Carolina's economy. Please rectify your horrible choices on this topic.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Turner

7307 Huddleston Rd
Bailey, NC 27807

From: [Dianne Moorefield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:06:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dianne Moorefield

132 Jones Farm Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Joshua Xing](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:06:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joshua Xing

103 Bonsail Place
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Lloyd Chambless](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:07:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Chambless

44 n circle dr
Chapel hill, NC 27516

From: [Walter Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:07:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Walter Wood

304 Hedrick St
Beaufort, NC 28516

(301) 907-0170

From: [Louise Kulp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:07:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Louise Kulp

16 Ruskin Rd
Elizabethtown, 28337

9108664501

From: [Anthony Pierce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:07:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Pierce

290 Holly Place Crt
Winston Salem, NC 27101

From: [Wayne Ward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:07:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wayne Ward

620 Old Farm Road
None
Statesville, NC 28625

7048731364

From: [Nancy Khoury](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:08:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Khoury

PO Box 1161
Marshall, NC 28753

From: [Duane Moody](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:08:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Duane Moody

334 Sunnyside Road
Waynesville, NC 28786

828-456-1869

From: [Mike Hamer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:08:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Hamer

100 Park Drive
Greenville, 27858

252 830-0349

From: [Raymond Langan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:09:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raymond Langan

269 Plaza Drive Ext
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27517

9199296772

From: [Mary Goodkind](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:09:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and North Carolina's environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Goodkind

23 Ridgefield Place
Biltmore Forest, NC 28803

828-424-7151

From: [Louis D. Bocchetto](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:10:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Louis D. Bocchetto

1014 Bikar Court
Indian Trail, NC 28079

704-962-2188

From: [Karen Wagner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:10:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Wagner

346 Donald E Gore Drive
Wilmington, 28412

From: [Patricia Shine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:10:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Shine

911 shipyard Pt
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Eleanor Mayer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:10:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Mayer

2 St. James Ct
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Dale Hocker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:10:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dale Hocker

132 Wackena Way
Beaufort, NC 28516

252-728-5290

From: [Doris Gusler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:10:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doris Gusler

812 W. Johnson St
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Deborah Warot](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:11:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Warot

10837 Round Rock Road
Charlotte, NC 28277

7044336149

From: [Suzanne Schenkel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:11:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Schenkel

106 Belmont CT
Southern Pines, NC 28387

From: anna.matheson
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:11:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

anna matheson

3850 sweeten creek rd
arden, NC 28704

From: [Gary Andrew](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:11:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Or are the hands of big business too deep within your pockets?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Andrew

319 N Downing St
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Lois Roewade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:13:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lois Roewade

3835 Lakeview Dr
pfafftown, NC 27040

8479093651

From: [Deb Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:13:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Brown

PO Box 98964
Raleigh, NC 27624

From: [linda Spallone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:14:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, and I support stronger limits than that known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions not continuing with your folly of loosening our environmental regulations. Water and Clean air will be the new gold as we quickly run out of these thanks to your reckless destruction of our democratic environmental rules.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

linda Spallone

5223 niagra dr
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27517

704-287-6084

From: [Robert Wendell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:15:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Wendell

7905 Shorewood Drive
Charlotte, NC 28277

7043410250

From: [Howard Lazoff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is essential for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

I am extremely disappointed that you signed HB. 765.
This polluter protection legislation proves that you are in the pocket of big , dirty energy.
You should be ashamed of yourself!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Howard Lazoff

11W. Canterbury Court
Wendell, NC 27591

9192748292

From: [L Simon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

L Simon

11 spring hollow circle
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Veronica Robertie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Veronica Robertie

1805 Quail Point
Bolivia, 28422

From: [doug warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

doug warren

3305 ferrington ct
apex, NC 27539

9194270855

From: [Carolyn Kanter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Kanter

118 Maple Dr
#1A
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Lawrence East](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lawrence East

316 Richlands Ave
Apt. 5
Jacksonville, NC 28540

From: [Cathleen Pritchard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:16:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathleen Pritchard

4 Georgia Court
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [CAROLE CONRAD](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:17:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

CAROLE CONRAD

48 carriage lane
piney creek, NC 28662

From: [cindra broenner](mailto:cindra.broenner)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:17:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

cindra broenner

5281 boehm drive
fairfield, OH 45014

513 207-8117

From: [Nancy Lewis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:19:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Enjoy the remainder of your "reign" because if you do not start putting the welfare of our State and it's people before the needs of Duke Energy, you will not be allowed to stay in your position of power

Thank you

Sincerely,

Nancy Lewis

208 Crestview Drive
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Mike Ruck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:20:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Ruck

4012 White Pine Drive
Raleigh, 27609

9193020506

From: [William Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:20:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Wilson

300 Meredith Drive
Durham, NC 27713

919 593 9267

From: [Sue Pattersons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:20:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sue Pattersons

1907 London Lane
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Keith Bullins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:22:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keith Bullins

4950 Jah Road
Germanton, NC 27019

3365954034

From: [Carol Rist](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:22:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Rist

1 Barratts Chapel Court
Durham, NC 27705

9193842816

From: [Michael Guyor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:27:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Guyor

214 huffman rd
clyde, NC 28721

8283169410

From: [Chris Berg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:27:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am very disappointed that you and Ms. Bureleson's DAQ agency are disavowing North Carolina options in response to the federal requirements under the CPP. Why promote the lazy choice of allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state? It isn't as though we had nothing at stake on these issues! I resent this policy abandonment in favor of rule-making from afar.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please reconsider: do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Berg

6 Rosemary Trail
Flat Rock, NC 28731

From: [Mary Owen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:27:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Owen

71 Denise Drive
Semora, NC 27343

336 622-3239

From: [Peggy Sholar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:28:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Sholar

505 S. Cox Street
Asheboro, NC 27203

From: [Heather Chait](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:28:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Chait

4022 Tew Ct
charlotte, NC 28270

From: [Margo searson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:30:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margo searson

129 Sondley Parkway
Asheville, 28805

From: [Walter Kross](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:30:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Walter Kross

32 Imperial Dr
Hendersonville, NC 28792

6099542176

From: [Betty Tucker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:30:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betty Tucker

7018 Sycamore Grove Ct
Charlotte, NC 28227

7043669500

From: [Frances Hall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:30:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frances Hall

PO Box 41
Leicester, NC 28748

From: [Brenda Cranford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:30:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda Cranford

2455 South River Church rd
Woodleaf, NC 27054

From: [Carol Hoke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:31:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Hoke

5092 Gold Leaf Trail
None
Conover, NC 28613

8282388662

From: [Joy White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:31:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joy White

3945 N. Croatan Highway
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949

From: [Rick Parry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:31:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rick Parry

140 Sandee Dr
Angier, NC 27501

From: [Greg Hamby](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:31:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Hamby

1206 Harbor Ct
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949

(252) 261-2731

From: [Sue Newell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:31:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sue Newell

197 Sorrento Park Dr
BBlowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [Mary Nolan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:31:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Nolan

100 Island Palms Drive
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

From: [Judy Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:32:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Mitchell

968 22nd Street NE
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Teresa Pitts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:33:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Pitts

413 Catawba St
Glen Alpine, NC 28628

From: [Joyce Dye](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:33:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Dye

10 Rivoli Blvd
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Edward Wolfsohn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:33:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Wolfsohn

12 sunny ridge dr
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Josh Ligon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:34:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Josh Ligon

107 Mossbark Ln
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Matthew Hemenway](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:34:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Hemenway

7700 Covey Chase Drive
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Sara George](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:34:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Governor McCrory you never fail to disappoint me and every other supporter of the environment with your extremely bad decisions. I can only pray you lose the 2016 election so you can't hurt North Carolina anymore.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara George

4700 Morris Glen Dr SW
Concord, NC 28027

From: kathleen.magno
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:36:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kathleen magno

206 Vercelli Dr
Clayton, NC 27527

9195852330

From: [Anthony Dente](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:37:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Dente

370 Autumn Pheasant Loop
Calabash, NC 28467

From: [Mitchell Anthony](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Anthony

5222 Little Sandy Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Elizabeth Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:37:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Adams

195 Nicks Bend W
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Brian Powell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:38:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Powell

901 Bluestone Rd
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Tom Winstead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:38:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Winstead

7905 Tulip Circle
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Margaret Clemen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:41:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

NC is not exempt from air pollution or the effects of climate change. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Clemen

3805 Westcrest St
Durham, NC 27707

9198050141

From: [Bradley Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:41:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bradley Parker

6505 windy Grove Ct
Fayetteville, NC 28314

From: [Mabel McElhane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:41:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As an emphysema sufferer, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working toward reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are intentionally setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is designed to fail, the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, and our energy mix and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this approach makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mabel McElhane

1818 Piney Grove Church Rd
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Eleanor Eltoft](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:41:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Eltoft

1615 Country Lane
Creedmoor, NC 27522

9195284444

From: [ted frazer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:43:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ted frazer

6 drakesway ct
durham, 27713

From: [John Jameson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:43:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Jameson

3820 Echo Farms Blvd
Wilmington, NC 28412

9108761660

From: [LINDA CHAVIS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:43:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LINDA CHAVIS

2642 BLACKWOLF RUN LANE
RALEIGH, NC 27604

From: [Barbara Hodik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:43:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hodik

97 Village Greenway
Flat Rock, NC 28731

From: [Elizabeth Steele](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:43:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Steele

20 Mansfield Circle
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: cris.shewchuk
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:45:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

cris shewchuk

2933 Alpine Forest Ct
Charlotte, NC 28270

7048140681

From: [a. marino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:45:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

a. marino

103 trent woods way
cary, NC 27519

From: [Michael Sharinus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:46:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Sharinus

416 Glensprings Drive
Fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Taylor Zimmerman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:46:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Taylor Zimmerman

100 Smoky Mountain Drive
Sylva, NC 28779

From: willard.cottrell
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:46:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

willard cottrell

265 turtle dove trl
burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Irene and Peter Hansen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:46:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Irene and Peter Hansen

44 Paine Court
Flat Rock, NC 28731

From: [Teresa Sanders](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:46:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Sanders

5005 blue clay road
castle hayne, NC 28429

From: [Janet Shew](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:49:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Shew

112 SE 35th Street
Oak Island, NC 28465

From: [James G. Dickens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James G. Dickens

119 Chapel Hill lane
Statesville, NC 28677

From: [Kristine Nyland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:49:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kristine Nyland

39 Rice Dr
Waynesville, NC 28785

828 9267561

From: [Katrina Wesson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:49:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katrina Wesson

515 Valley Mede Dr
Durham, NC 27713

From: sue-anne.solem
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:49:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sue-anne.solem

310 umstead dr
chapel hill, 27516

From: [Eileen Sullivan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:49:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Sullivan

4923 Hamilton Circle
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [George Spruill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:50:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Spruill

134 Elizabeth Court
Murfreesboro, NC 27855

252-398-3979

From: [Lorraine Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:50:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Clark

2701 Pickett Road. #4040
Durham, NC 27705

9194190007

From: [Ada Khoury](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:50:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ada Khoury

p.o. box 646
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Latonya Robinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:52:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Latonya Robinson

1138 White Plains Rd
Charlotte, NC 28213

7048908122

From: [Patricia McElroy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:53:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia McElroy

4308 Oak Glen Drive
Winston Salem, NC 27107

From: [Paul Conroy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:53:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Conroy

2811 welcome drive
DURHAM, NC 27705

9194931238

From: [Karl Jennings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:53:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karl Jennings

368 Pasquotank Blvd
HERTFORD, NC 27944

From: [Alvin Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:53:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alvin Harris

329 Hyder Allen Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28792

828-685-2661

From: [Kathleen Hoffmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:55:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is essential for our families, our environment, for North Carolina's economy, and for our future. No amount of hiding your head in the sand will address these needs. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Hoffmann

6506 Mebane Oaks Rd
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Stephanie Crawford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Crawford

25 bear creek rd
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Betsy Buchanan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:55:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betsy Buchanan

7906 Kennebec Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Dorothy Donaldson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Donaldson

86 Gibson Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

8283507887

From: [LEA QUINCY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:56:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LEA QUINCY

9301 S VICKSBURG PARK CT
CHARLOTTE, NC 28210

From: [Wilbert Stallings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:56:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wilbert Stallings

443 North Person St.- (Apt 101)
Raleigh, NC 27601

From: [Larry Hannon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:58:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Hannon

6823 Needham Dr
Charlotte, NC 28270

From: [Gloria Shen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:58:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gloria Shen

40 Rocking Porch Lane
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [shelley frazier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:59:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

shelley frazier

2501 Pickett Rd. #4
Durham, NC 27705

From: [jim.conley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 3:59:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jim conley

7601 denon hills drive
charlotte, NC 28209

From: [Pamela Locklear](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:01:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Locklear

2135 Dandridge Dr
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [Alice Davidson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:01:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alice Davidson

12327 Jessica Place
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [David Parry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:01:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Parry

137 Sandee Dr
Angier, NC 27501

(919) 331-1132

From: [Keith Levene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:01:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keith Levene

405 carolina circle
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Cuba Ford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:02:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cuba Ford

297 Maple Ave
Marion, NC 28752

From: [Beverly Sines](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:02:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Sines

634 Flynn Branch Road
Fletcher, NC 28732

From: [christine butler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:02:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

christine butler

350 hall estate
marion, 28752

From: [Jon Hubbard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:04:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jon Hubbard

3655 U S Hwy. 13 So
Goldsboro, NC 27530

From: [James Zizzo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:04:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. You are just another inept and unqualified Republican asshole.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Zizzo

2304 Wrightsville Ave
#106
Wilmington, NC 28403

910-762-6218

From: [michael wallace](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:07:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

michael wallace

7066 wishing well road
PFAFFTOWN, NC 27040

From: [Dan Cozart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:08:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dan Cozart

6120 Breckfield Ct
Charlotte, NC 28278

From: [Pearl Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:08:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pearl Williams

913 Cypress St
Rockingham, NC 28379

From: [James Fail](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:08:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Fail

5616 Hamstead Crossing
Raleigh, NC 27612

9195719590

From: [Jeff Schweickert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:09:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Schweickert

1125 Stoneferry Ln
Raleigh, NC 27606

4193040045

From: [Christina van Dorsten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:09:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina van Dorsten

5500 Fallen Leaf CT
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Robert Apperson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:10:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Apperson

1311 Iorimer
Raleigh, NC 27606

919-851-6913

From: [Pat Bullard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:10:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Bullard

1685 W Hwy 152
China Grove, NC 28023

From: [Roy Smith Jr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:13:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roy Smith Jr

3602 Windy Trl
New Bern, NC 28560

2526362677

From: [Khari Cunningham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:13:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Khari Cunningham

821 Korner Rock rd
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [Pat Bland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:13:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Bland

PO box 5058
Emerald Isle, NC 28594

From: [Genevieve Bartol](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:13:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Bartol

3108 Fairbluff Court
summerfield, NC 27368

336-643-4621

From: [Zola Packman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:13:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zola Packman

1011 Nicholwood Dr
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Francine Toor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:13:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francine Toor

9910 Glencrest Drive
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [Elizabeth Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:13:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Wood

1415 Barouche CT
Wilmington, NC 28412

9103993200

From: [Just Joe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:14:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Just Joe

301 N. 26th St
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Diane Garber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:15:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Garber

603 Blackshoals Dr
Cary, NC 27511

19194691337

From: [Anita Tetrault](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:16:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anita Tetrault

306 bayshore dr
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Jessica Bundy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:16:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Bundy

2123 Constitution Drive
Fayetteville, NC 28301

910-336-6932

From: [Sharon Ramirez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:16:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Ramirez

P.O Box 4, Manns Harbor, NC, 27953
Manteo, NC 27954

252-455-2876

From: [Brett Patterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:16:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brett Patterson

7315 Terrace Dr
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Michael Evon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:16:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Evon

606 Brook Street
Morehead City, NC 28557

From: [Sean Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:17:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sean Jones

102 WINDY ACRES LN
GOLDSBORO, NC 27534

From: [Theresa Hammond](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:19:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

As someone who was born in North Carolina and who has lived here my entire life, I am extremely disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, and our energy mix. Instead, you would allow bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Theresa Hammond

106 Walden Dr
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Karin Petzold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:19:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karin Petzold

3517 Mount Prospect Circle
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Thayer Jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:19:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thayer Jordan

2111 Orange Grove rd. Apt. D-1
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Lester Glass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:22:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lester Glass

232. 14th Avenue. S. E
Hickory
N.C., 28602

From: [Mary Anthony](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:23:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Anthony

4523 Hope Valley Road
#104
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Jeff Chandler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:23:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Chandler

16108 Sunninghill Park Road
Charlotte, NC 28277

7045435229

From: [Jin Adams Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:25:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jin Adams Parker

1924 Old Kanuga Rd
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Susan André](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:25:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan André

308 O Henry Ave
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Cathy Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:25:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Greene

Townsville Rd
Bullock, NC 27507

From: [Sarah Keith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:26:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Keith

110 Woodland Ridge Dr
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526

9195578386

From: [Anne Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:26:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Jones

2304 Brandt Village
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Rita Mullis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:28:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita Mullis

9830 Hanging Moss Trl
Charlotte, NC 28227

From: [clifton_avery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:28:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

clifton_avery

150 s water st
boone, NC 28607

From: [Katherine Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:28:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Williams

2102 Bryant Street
Madison, NC 27025

From: [James Little](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:29:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Little

3328 mandeville canyon road
los angeles, CA 90049

From: [Meryl Pinque](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:31:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meryl Pinque

615, Odlin Rd
Bangor, ME 04401

From: [cornelia.powell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:31:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

cornelia powell

135 little bear trail
cullowhee, NC 28723

From: [Christie Driscoll](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:31:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christie Driscoll

2117 Bay St
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [june Linhart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:31:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

june Linhart

4501 rivershyre way
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [David Fowler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:31:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Fowler

36009 Hubert Rd
New London, NC 28127

From: [Virginia Knox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:33:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Knox

2321 Selwyn Ave
Charlotte, NC 28207

From: [Elizabeth Kearse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:35:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Kearse

2113 Oakcrest Ct
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Brian O'Hara](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:37:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian O'Hara

2061 Mares Way
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [shaun murphy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:37:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

shaun murphy

2345 rolling hill rd
fayetteville, NC 28304

5088166437

From: [Jo Northup](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:38:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jo Northup

3648 Yadkinville Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Pamela Suria Stavish](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:39:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Suria Stavish

1285 Duckett Top Tower Road
Hot Springs, NC 28743

From: [Gene Howard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:39:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gene Howard

212 Bradley Farm Rd
Statesville, NC 28625

From: [Anthony Snider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:43:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Snider

5025 Pine Street
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [John Svitoris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:43:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Svitoris

1314 Tunnel Rd
lot 160
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [jean manickam](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:43:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jean manickam

12317 wingspread way
raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Lyndsey Lawson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:43:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lyndsey Lawson

1300 Foley Rd
Orrum, NC 28369

From: [Annette Quale](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:45:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Quale

12800 Meadow Creek Ln #102
Pineville, NC 28134

7042813733

From: [CHARLES & VIOLA ROBSON](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:45:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

CHARLES & VIOLA ROBSON

6226 NC 45 N
BELHAVEN, NC 27810

From: [Nancy Liddle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:45:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I don't suppose you've ever sat up all night in the ER with your child who can't breathe. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Liddle

1807 Fisher Creek Road
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Jenifer Haggard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:46:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Please protect our clean air and water, and stop the hanky panky with big business polluters:

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jenifer Haggard

100 Starboard Circle
Oriental, NC 28571

From: [Naomi Avissar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:46:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Naomi Avissar

313 bond lake dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Claire Jentsch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:46:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Claire Jentsch

3906 Springstop Lane
Durham, NC 27705

9194897186

From: [Marilyn Talbot](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:46:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Talbot

118 Thames Ln
Chocowinity, NC 27817

From: [John Flowers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:47:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Flowers

623 Apple St
Gibsonville, NC 27249

From: [Marian Wenink](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marian Wenink

1034 prestwick ct
Clemmons, NC 27012

From: [Karen Multer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Multer

1022 Bramblewood Ct
Lenoir, NC 28645

From: [Daniel Sims](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:49:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Sims

126 Basswood Ter
Wilkesboro, NC 28697

4349969590

From: [Jeanne Hervey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:50:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Hervey

411 Carolina Circle
Durham, NC 27707

From: [carole jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:51:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

carole jordan

5541 little trl ne
riegelwood, NC 28456

From: [Sarah Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:51:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Davis

3908 Lancaster Dr
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [David Ferger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:52:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Ferger

25039 Champlaign Dr
Southfield, MI 48034

2483555468

From: [Eric Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:52:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Greene

PO Box 175
Fountain, NC 27829

2528235166

From: [Linda Vickery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:53:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Gov. McCrory, please do the Right thing and protect the citizens of NC against carbon pollution. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Vickery

2407 Legacy Oaks Pl
Asheville, NC 28803

8283186202

From: [Joseph Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:53:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joseph Wood

supply n c
supply, NC 28462

From: [Betsy W Moser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:55:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betsy W Moser

41A Fountain Manor Dr
Greensboro, NC 27405

336 288-8490

From: [Benjamin Conley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:55:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Conley

309 Garrou Ave
Valdese, NC 28690

From: [Rexanne Bishop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:56:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rexanne Bishop

2705 Asbury Terrace
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Kaye Ratliff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:56:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kaye Ratliff

502 Leak Ave
Wadesboro, NC 28170

From: [Socorro Sepulveda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:58:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Socorro Sepulveda

502 birch wood dr
High point, NC 27262

From: [Marlene Jaar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:59:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marlene Jaar

513 Shumont Estates Dr
Lake Lure, NC 28746

828-625-3474

From: [John Jacobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:00:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Jacobson

508 Metcalf St
New Bern, NC 28560

252-633-1033

From: [Susan Allen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:00:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Allen

6824 Gloucester Road
Raleigh, NC 27612

9193896355

From: [Genevieve Patterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:01:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Patterson

4815 Montclair ave
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Linda Barker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:01:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Barker

23 13th Avenue
Southern Shores, NC 27948

703-987-0134

From: [Nancy Oden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:02:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Oden

909 Longview St
Greensboro, NC 27403

3363231131

From: [Beverly Hammond](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:03:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Hammond

100 Club Drive, Suite 17
Burnsville, NC 28714

8286823311

From: [Kari Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:03:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kari Williams

11 Park Road
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Emily Ohare](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:03:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emily Ohare

309 Bolin Forest Drive
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [David Raulerson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:04:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Raulerson

15368 Cruso rd
Canton, NC 28716

8287347543

From: [Braden Craig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:04:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Braden Craig

2303 Westover Terrace
Burlington, NC 27215

From: [Jo Ann Mount](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:05:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jo Ann Mount

1238 West 4th St
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

336-972-5696

From: [Cynthia Wimberly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:05:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Wimberly

100 W 17th St. Apt # 34
Newton, NC 28658

From: [Sami Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:07:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sami Jones

500 Cobb St
Apt 118
Durham, NC 27701

9196339547

From: [Stephanie Freund](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:08:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Freund

105 Covenant Rock Ln
Holly Springs, NC 27540

From: [George Rector](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:08:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Rector

947 Bo Cove Road
Cullowhee, NC 28723

From: [Len Gregorio](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:09:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Len Gregorio

1332 Cape Fear National Dr
Leland, NC 28451

9103991077

From: [kay Doost](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:09:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kay Doost

1618 Marion Street
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Peg Gjertsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:10:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peg Gjertsen

3347 Ridgecrest Ct
Raleigh, NC 27607

9197851664

From: [Dana Myrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:13:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dana Myrick

236 Red Fox Run Drive
Wallace, NC 28466

From: [Amy Crowley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:13:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Crowley

4412 Densmore Place
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Melissa Bigg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:14:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Bigg

po box 265
Hot Springs, NC 28743

From: [Steven Linden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:14:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Linden

501 Burge Mountain Road
Hendersoville, NC 28792

From: [Bill Schmaltz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:15:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Carbon emissions and climate change are threatening every aspect of human existence. We all need to act to reduce this.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Schmaltz

2622 Buford Drive
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Robin Jeffers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:15:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robin Jeffers

8682 Grayson Park Dr
Wilmington, NC 28411

9105477147

From: [Nathan Maxwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:16:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nathan Maxwell

2304 E 4th St
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [j. doug ezell](mailto:j.doug.ezell)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:17:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

j. doug ezell

12 s. lexington ave #201
asheville, NC 28801

From: [Judith Gooch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:17:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Gooch

5010 Seaward Ct
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Theresa Weissman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:19:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Theresa Weissman

115 Cimarron drive
Asheville, NC 28803

8284247209

From: [Raymond riddle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:19:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raymond riddle

2815 hollybrook lane
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Shantaal Leal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:19:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shantaal Leal

4726 Peyton Place
#3
Shelby, NC 28150

From: [Kayla Benton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:20:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kayla Benton

3520 Stratford Blvd
Wilmington, NC 28403

9102007775

From: [DIANE MITCHELL](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:20:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DIANE MITCHELL

3533 SUNSET
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Kate Ladd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:21:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Ladd

PO BOX 93
174 oneal lane
aydlett, NC 27916

From: [Martin Evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:21:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Evans

P O Box 1178
Hampstead, NC 28443

9102629999

From: [Wilfred Robin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:21:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

RE: EPA Region 4's 10/30 letter to Secretary van der Vaart.

Read between the lines. Your administration's total "fox in charge of the hen house" approach to "environmental quality" may lead to the federal government, with cause, removing NC's authority to administer the CAA and CWA. That would protect the environment, but it wouldn't do much for the GOP's electoral future in our state.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wilfred Robin

549 11th Ave., Cir., NW
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Michael Grillot](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:21:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a retired Economist, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Grillot

4 Clubside Drive
Asheville, NC 28804

828-281-4972

From: [Carol Ann Minor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:21:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Ann Minor

10372 Singletree Lane
Davidson, 28036

From: [Kevin McDonough](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:22:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin McDonough

75 Haywood St., Apt. 903
Asheville, NC 28801

(239)849-4407

From: [Christine Foote](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:23:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Foote

4 Gerry Court
Pinehurst, NC 28374

9104202429

From: [Elizabeth Henry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:23:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, and our energy mix, and instead allows bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Henry

100 Kanuga Falls Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Franklin Hanna](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:25:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Franklin Hanna

317 Church St
Locust, NC 28097

17042547672

From: [Daniel Barber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:27:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Barber

79 Sweet Pea Lane
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Janet Diefenbach](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:27:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Diefenbach

1975 NC Hwy 9
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Allison Delavan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:31:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allison Delavan

192 Crystal Falls
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Cathy Trick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:31:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Trick

4 Overton Way - #308
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Ginger Evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ginger Evans

414 Shasta Lane
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Hart Palmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:32:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hart Palmer

4919 silver fox lane
Efland, NC 27243

From: [Lorelei Nemcik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:32:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lorelei Nemcik

605 Runningbrook Lane
Rural Hall, NC 27045

8653135367

From: [Marie Brothers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:34:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marie Brothers

281 Simms Fork Rd
N.C., 28714

From: [Tish Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:35:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very, very, very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Have you no sense of responsibility to our children, grandchildren, and their children? Is money actually more important to you than the air we breath, the earth we live on, and the wate we drink? How do you live with yourselves if you feel no stewardship responsibilities?!

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tish Wilson

1020 Kirby Branch Road
Zionville, NC 28698

From: [Neva Duncan Tabb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:35:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Neva Duncan Tabb

31 Midland Drive
Waynesville, NC 28785

From: [Sandy Steers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:36:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandy Steers

200 Tunnel Rd
ASHEVILLE, NC 28805

From: [Kathleen Broniak](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:37:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Broniak

315 Millet Dr
Morrisville, NC 27560

From: [Darley Adare](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:37:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darley Adare

2625 Bucknell Ave
Charlotte, NC 28207

7043774645

From: [David Gehrman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:37:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Gehrman

3300 Alex Drive
Hillsborough, NC 27278

9197329218

From: [Charlie Kelly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:37:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlie Kelly

382 Boundary St
Haw River, NC 27258

From: [John Wiles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:37:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Wiles

5205 Langford Ter
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Martha Brimm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:38:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Brimm

7 Surrey Lane
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Kathryn Casey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:39:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Casey

3725 Cattail Ln
Greenville, NC 27858

2523642128

From: [Barbara Cerridwen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:39:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

We know McCrory is a puppet of Duke Energy, but maybe he'll get some sense and a conscience and realize the importance of ENVIRONMENT FIRST.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Cerridwen

54 Woodcreek
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [howard gold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:41:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

howard gold

2516 lakeshore
greensboro, NC 27407

From: [Mary Burnette](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:41:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Burnette

4711 Edwards Mill Rd, Apt B
Raleigh, NC 27612

9197824996

From: [Diane Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:43:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Wilson

476 Ivy Hill Road
Marshall, NC 28753

8282795486

From: [Carol Soroos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:43:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Soroos

2876 Wycliff Road
Raleigh, NC 27607

9197875150

From: [Madeline Perkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:43:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Madeline Perkins

1644 Greasy Creek
Bakersville, NC 28705

From: [JULIANNA BENEFIELD](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:44:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JULIANNA BENEFIELD

104 Willoughby Lane
CARY, NC 27513

From: [Gale Criddle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:45:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gale Criddle

711 W. Academy St
Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526

From: [Joyce Atkinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:45:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Atkinson

7125 Trumble Woods
CONNELLY SPRINGS, NC 28612

From: [Jenny Weaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:46:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jenny Weaver

309 Fairview Rd
Thomasville, NC 27360

From: [Amanda Grim](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:47:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Grim

403A Harper Ave
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

9193494798

From: [Tammy Hopman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:49:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tammy Hopman

120 Martin Ridge Rd
State ROAD, NC 28676

From: [Laura Barr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Barr

4320 Eastridge Drive
Gastonia, NC 28056

From: [Loretta Murrell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Loretta Murrell

6711 BROOKMEADE PL
RALEIGH, NC 27612

9198466846

From: [Cheryl Mansuetti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Mansuetti

12784 Spirit Bound Way
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Deborah Douglas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:49:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Douglas

2019 Stoney Creek Drive NW
Concord, NC 28027

From: [Betsy Beach](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:49:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betsy Beach

118 Wisconsin Street
Spindale, NC 28160

From: [Isis Mary Dudek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:50:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isis Mary Dudek

18 Molasses Branch Road
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Lief Fitzpatrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:50:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lief Fitzpatrick

1408 Love Road
Monroe, NC 28110

From: [Kimberly Israel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:53:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Israel

4412 Brimmer St
Durham, NC 27703

4109808455

From: [Grace Egly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:55:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grace Egly

12401 Glanlivet Way
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [bryan cash](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

bryan cash

1014 chelsea pl
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [Charles Gillikin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Gillikin

110 Amelia Ln
New Bern, NC 28560

252-633-5956

From: [robert stratton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:55:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

robert stratton

2436 gold cup ct
matthews, NC 28105

From: [Donna Newman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:56:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Duke Power could CLOSE ALL its dirty coal plants instead of whining about what they can't do and deploy more solar and wind power generators quickly to fill the energy gap, instead of trying to kill solar across the state. You should plan for this and require Duke to do it. You could be a CLEAN ENERGY LEADER instead of a dragger of butt.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Newman

710 Powell Drive
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Lesia Mills](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:57:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lesia Mills

PO Box 1183
Clayton, NC 27528

From: [Kathryn Pezzi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:58:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Pezzi

96 Pine Lake Drive
Whispering Pines, NC 28327

9106887017

From: [Don McKelvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:58:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don McKelvey

765 East 236 St
Euclid, OH 44123

From: [Jennifer Henley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:59:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Henley

33 Buck Snort Knob
P. O. Box 940
Rosman, NC 28772

From: [Angela Edwards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:59:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Edwards

1046 Old Zebulon Rd
Wendell, NC 27591

From: [Margaret Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:01:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Anderson

1119 Midland Ter
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Sandra Phillips Yaggy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:01:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Phillips Yaggy

143 Ferrington Post
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Scott Ferguson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:01:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Ferguson

1727 Orchard Dr
lenoir, NC 28645

From: [Darlene Coyne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:01:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darlene Coyne

1101-G Scaleybark Rd
CLT, NC 28209

From: [Susan Oehler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:01:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Oehler

2605 Vineyard Blvd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Linda Johns](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:02:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Johns

317 Charleston dr
Clayton, NC 27527

From: [Jo Ann Pressley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:03:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jo Ann Pressley

2675 Paula dr
Gastonia, NC 28054

From: [Wayne Spranger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:03:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wayne Spranger

9 Kandes Ct
Durham, NC 27713

9193612142

From: [Cheryl Mitchell-Olds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:03:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Mitchell-Olds

1207 Alabama Ave
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Jessica Luscombe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:04:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Luscombe

6028 Mcdaniel Ln Lot 167
Charlotte, NC 28213

6028286388

From: [Sara Waters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:05:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Waters

4880 Slocum Trail
Atkinson, NC 28421

From: [Phillip Kinnaird](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:07:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phillip Kinnaird

1662 Tulls creek rd
Moyock, NC 27958

2522323392

From: [Sherri Boyce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:07:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherri Boyce

1291 E BSL
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Ellen Osborne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:07:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Osborne

6731
Hunt Rd
Pleasant Garden, NC 27313

(336) 317-9231

From: [Charles Talley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:09:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Talley

8905 Camden Creek Lane 103
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Steven Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:11:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Williams

16 Ivy crest lane
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Steve Burnett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:11:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Burnett

4336 Galax Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [G.W. Cheney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:13:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

G.W. Cheney

315 Hickory Lane
Boone, NC 28607

8287734120

From: [Myra Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:15:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Myra Scott

128 Shelton st
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Jan Ross](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:15:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jan Ross

251 Jims Branch Rd
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Susan Jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:17:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Jordan

1112 Sheffield Court
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Suzy Lawrence](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:18:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzy Lawrence

8622 Ryan Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9196196788

From: [Gary Lavinder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:19:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Lavinder

348 South Greenbriar Road
Statesville, NC 28625

From: [Vickie Shull](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:21:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vickie Shull

812 Salem Church Road
Hurdle Mills, NC 27541

From: [Jock Simmons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:21:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jock Simmons

1903 Todd St
Newton, NC 28658

From: [Penny Beck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:22:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Penny Beck

2418 Beckner Rd
Lexington, NC 27292

From: [sarah kazdan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:25:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sarah kazdan

811 Oxbow Crossing Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Portlyn Cruise](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:25:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Portlyn Cruise

3927 Hathaway Hills Dr
Charlotte, NC 28214

7042228494

From: [Shirl Ches](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:25:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shirl Ches

1400 Lake Emory Rd
Franklin, NC 28734

8285249991

From: [Linda Pannullo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:25:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Pannullo

61 Lookout dr
Asheville, NC 28804

8283376749

From: [kermit myers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:27:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kermit myers

211 north park drive
Greensboro, NC 27401

From: [Rebecca Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:28:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Carter

155 Jims tr
Westfield, NC 27053

From: [Shirley Bayless](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:29:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shirley Bayless

1102 Rollins Ave
Hamlet, NC 28345

From: [alice perkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:31:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

alice perkins

4210 sugarstone ln apt 111
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [deborah hankins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:31:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

deborah hankins

349 scottsdale drive
wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Sybil West](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:33:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sybil West

6623 Zack Rd
Oak Ridge, NC 27310

From: [Christine Voss](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:33:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Voss

106 Locust Ct
Pine Knoll Shores, NC 28512

From: [Donna Goodman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:33:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Goodman

135 Wilson Circle
Arapahoe, NC 28510

9103891264

From: [Mary Maness](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:34:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Maness

111 Walnut Creek Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534

9197789859

From: [michelle wright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:34:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

michelle wright

203A Justice St
chapel hill, NC 27516

3362490871

From: [Karen Wait](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:37:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Wait

77 Thoroughbred Circle
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Pam Dix](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:38:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pam Dix

11127 Aprilia Lane
Cornelius, NC 28031

(704) 604-5277

From: [Susan Bentley-Johnston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:39:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Bentley-Johnston

412 1/2 Chamberlain St
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Linda Peterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:41:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Peterson

404 Wood Lark Ct
Indian Trail, NC 28079

From: [Ian Conant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:41:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ian Conant

5724 GONDOLIER DRIVE
NEW BERN, NC 28560

3038703130

From: [Susan King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:43:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan King

102 Alaska Ln
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

919-914-6031

From: [Reid Leggett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:43:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Reid Leggett

1701 Brandon Rd
Charlotte, NC 28207

From: sharon.beauchemin
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:43:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sharon beauchemin

1411 Eastcrest Dr
Apt. T-2
Charlotte, NC 28205

9806138027

From: [Jacalyn Strouble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:44:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

The citizens of North Carolina deserve better.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacalyn Strouble

541 Meadow Branch Rd
Pittsboro, NC 73122

From: [Kent Snyder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:45:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kent Snyder

8505 Glenlake Ct
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [William Larson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:45:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Larson

304A N Hillcrest Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534

9192881852

From: [Loretta Holland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:45:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Loretta Holland

P.O. Box 1091
Franklin, NC 28744

From: [Jerry Bolick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:45:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerry Bolick

210 Timber Ridge Drive
Lenoir, NC 28645

From: [Janet Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:49:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Smith

1602 Hollybriar Lane
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [John Sauls](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Sauls

3010 Grant Avenue
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Susan Edelstein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:49:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Edelstein

308 Heidinger Drive
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Clare Trautmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:49:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clare Trautmann

110 Bowline Rd
New Bern, NC 28562

From: [Kimberly Hurtt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:52:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Hurtt

2712 Quail Point Drive
Raleigh, NC 27603

9197632596

From: [Claude Morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:55:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Claude Morris

8301 Hertford Dr
Efland, NC 27243

From: [Carol Herring](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:55:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Herring

191 Persimmon Circle
Statesville, NC 28625

From: [Jacob Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:57:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacob Johnson

815 Old Welcome Rd
Lithia, FL 33547

From: [Nancy Bass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 6:59:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bass

15 Sassy Lane
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Roxy Darling](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:01:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roxy Darling

936 Waterlily Rd
coinjock, NC 27923

From: [Edith Simpson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:01:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edith Simpson

15 Springdale Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

828 505-3393

From: [Daniel George](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:01:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel George

9140 hickory ln. Se
Winnabow, NC 28479

9103672154

From: [Paul Kessel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:02:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Kessel

616 Walapai Dr
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526

From: [Kevin McGeehan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:02:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin McGeehan

32 Chatham Road
Asheville, NC 28804

From: jim.prah
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:02:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jim prah

meacham
chapel hill, NC 27514

From: [Jimmy Blue](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:05:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Blue

505 East Church Street
Rowland, NC 28383

From: [Fred Chauncey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:05:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fred Chauncey

1416 Whittington Dr
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Deborah H. Chilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:05:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah H. Chilton

169 Caldwell Rd
Brasstown, NC 28902

From: [Jane Maupin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:07:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Maupin

450 Woods Walk Way
Taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [Marcia Kummerle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:07:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Kummerle

27 Ivan Bridge Drive
Barnardsville, NC 28709

828-626-4303

From: [William O'Dell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:07:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William O'Dell

1528 McCall Rd
Cashiers, NC 28717

From: [Donna Durfee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:07:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Durfee

2100 collingdale Pl
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Stan Styers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:08:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stan Styers

710 Nottingham Road
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Robert Lockett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:09:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Lockett

4105 Galway Dr
Greensboro, NC 27406

3366329713

From: [JOHN ALDEN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:10:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JOHN ALDEN

63 HOLLYBROOK DR
ASHEVILLE, NC 28803

From: [Jeremy Dippold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:11:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Dippold

215 Virginia Pine Dr
Clayton, 27520

From: [Katherine Gonzalez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:11:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Gonzalez

3878 Longwood Drive SW
Concord, NC 28027

From: [Susan Yarnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:13:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Yarnell

5722 Hideaway Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199422796

From: [Mary Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:13:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Lane

3904 Brandt Lake Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410

3362822686

From: [David GREEN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:13:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David GREEN

1501 Rhem Ave
NEW BERN, NC 28560

From: [Jeffrey Snow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:13:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Snow

3108 Hunters Bluff Dr
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Ann Rickman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:13:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Rickman

PO Box 1235
Bryson City, NC 28713

From: [Michael T Watson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:15:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael T Watson

2305 Liberty Ch Rd
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Larry McMullen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:15:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry McMullen

POB 1012
Lenoir, NC 28645

From: [Renee McGuire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:17:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee McGuire

110 Taft St
Eden, NC 27288

From: [Elizabeth Russell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:19:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Russell

3307 Winburn Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Barbara Harvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:19:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Harvey

102 Ayr Court
Cary, NC 27511

9193887618

From: [Ruby Todd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:21:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruby Todd

260 Pine Lake Road
Southport, NC 28461

From: [John Jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:21:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Jordan

6 Bartlett Mtn Rd
asheville, NC 28805

From: [Phillip Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:23:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phillip Davis

239 Bowers Lane
Ellerbe, NC 28338

From: [John Quante](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:23:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Quante

102 Emerald Circle
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Bruce Bijesse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:23:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Bijesse

35 WindSong Dr
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Thomas Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:25:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Johnson

2455 Holloway Mtn Rd
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [James & Sharon Parzino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:25:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James & Sharon Parzino

127 Shae's Landing Dr
211
Surf City, NC 28445

From: [James McManus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:25:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James McManus

6583 Derby LN NW
Concord, NC 28027

From: [Karlene Gray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:27:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karlene Gray

18 Parkway Loop
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Susan Borys](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:28:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Borys

4406 Lake Brandt Road
Greensboro, NC 27455

3362825984

From: [Elaine Rothenberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:28:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elaine Rothenberg

141 Scenic Vista Trail
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

8283559303

From: [marion Holmes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:29:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

marion Holmes

216 COX AVE
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [MARQUIS WILLIAMS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:30:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MARQUIS WILLIAMS

624 E. SPRAGUE ST
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27107

3364237583

From: [Shannon Harper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:31:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shannon Harper

511 Old Mill
Castle Hayne, NC 28429

9102975502

From: [Jennifer Griffith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:31:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Griffith

315 Obie Dr
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Lawrence Littlehale](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Littlehale

522 Woodlawn Ave SW
Concord, NC 28025

704-490-3773

From: [Nicholas DeVito](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:33:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicholas DeVito

125 Rama Ct
Morrisville, NC 27560

8136102613

From: [Laurie Rieman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:33:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurie Rieman

287 Tuskegee Acres Road
Robbinsville, NC 28771

From: [Bruce & Carole Larivee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:34:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce & Carole Larivee

126 Liberty Church Road
Waynesville, NC 28785

From: [Kevin Harvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:35:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Harvey

907 NW Maynard Road
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Gail Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:35:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gail Harris

904 Abbey Circle
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Mark Ackerman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:37:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Ackerman

7125 Trumble Woods
Connellys Springs, NC 28612

From: [milton spann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:38:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

milton spann

77 lambeth dr
Asheville, 28803

From: [Laura Bierer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:39:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Bierer

5405 Stuarts Ridge Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Sara Hall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:43:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Hall

5 Maybury Ct
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Grace Hepler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:43:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grace Hepler

1879 Harper Road
Clemmons, NC 27012

From: [Diana Emerson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:43:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diana Emerson

438 Dorothea Dr
Raleigh, NC 27601

9195396387

From: [Renee Silverstein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:43:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Silverstein

937 Tanworth Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Elizabeth Bonzo-Savage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:45:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bonzo-Savage

2041 Bethesda Ch. Rd
Madison, NC 27025

336 427-2420

From: [Travis Holland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:46:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Travis Holland

PO Box 495
Salemberg, NC 28385

9102577839

From: [Ryan Draper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:49:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ryan Draper

101 Foxridge Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

9199680690

From: [Dawn Berliner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:51:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dawn Berliner

4217 13th St
Nags Head, NC 27959

From: [Ellyn Kirschner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:51:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellyn Kirschner

326 tranquil ave
Charlotte NC, NC 28209

From: [Chris Micolucci](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:51:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Micolucci

21201 Baltic dr
Cornelius, NC 28031

From: [John Bradshaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:51:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Bradshaw

2322 Knickerbocker Dr
Charlotte, NC 28212

From: [Xenya Cook](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Xenya Cook

2150 Ephesus Church Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [mark and susan glasser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mark and susan glasser

3660 barry ave
LA, CA 90066

From: [Laura Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Brown

208 Clifton Woods Dr
Louisburg, NC 27549

919-906-0209

From: [Carolyn Laudati](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Laudati

825 Spring Oaks Dr
Matthews, NC 28104

From: [Brian Tait](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Tait

3114 Henry st
Greensboro, NC 27405

From: [MJ Fitzwater](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MJ Fitzwater

596 Nantahalla Acres Rd
Robbinsville, NC 28771

8287352261

From: [Johnie Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johnie Jones

1600 Rock Drive
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [Deborah Steiner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Steiner

10102 Mountain Apple Drive
Mint Hill, NC 28227

7042542276

From: [Barbara Marrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:56:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Marrow

7 canyon creek court
carolina shores, NC 28467

7036250030

From: [Traci Hamilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:57:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Traci Hamilton

6138 Candlewood Dr
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Mary Wagner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 7:58:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Wagner

294 Trillium Way
clayton, 27527

From: [roger brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:00:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

roger brown

101 sturbridge lane
chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Donald Whetzel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:01:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Whetzel

263 12 Oaks Dr
Linwood, NC 27299

From: [Susan Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:01:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Brown

15 Mill Race Ln
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Louis Checo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:01:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Louis Checo

1321 Kershaw LP
Fayetteville, NC 28314

From: [D Michele Stahl](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:01:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

D Michele Stahl

78 Raven Cliff Lane
Arden, NC 28704

8286761007

From: [Susan Hardin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:01:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Hardin

142 Idlebrook rd
Statesville, NC 28677

From: [Larry Kenyon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:03:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Kenyon

114 E Miller St
Salisbury, NC 28144

From: [mathew wahrman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:03:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mathew wahrman

5725 Tarawa Blvd
Tarawa terrace, NC 28543

From: [Melissa Alford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:07:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Alford

6739 Kirkstall Ct
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Jan Carroll](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:08:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jan Carroll

6833 George Hildebrand School Road
Hickory, NC 28602

From: [john powell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:09:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

john powell

105 culpeper rd
new bern, 28562

252-638-3614

From: [Constance Engle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:11:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Constance Engle

244 Englewood Drive
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Ramon Quinonez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:13:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ramon Quinonez

2521 Sapling Circle
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: michele.lovejoy
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:13:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

michele lovejoy

230 sandview lane
lillingon, NC 27546

From: [Miriam Youngquist-Thurow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:15:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam Youngquist-Thurow

6209 Thurlow Ct
Holly Springs, NC 27540

From: [Alan Hyde](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:17:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Hyde

8760 Poplar Tent Rd
Concord, NC 28027

7047922012

From: [Dorothy Alspaugh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:19:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Alspaugh

105 Bashavia Woods Trail
Pfafftown, NC 27040

From: [Gwenn Roberts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:19:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gwenn Roberts

58 Wrights Cove Road
Fairview, NC 28730

8283380012

From: [Beverly Kanawati](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:20:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Kanawati

5024 Perception Lane
Raleigh, 27613

9193062553

From: [april hardee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:21:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

april hardee

7528 Sound Dr
Emerald Isle, NC 28594

From: [tura walters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:21:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

tura walters

2395 Indian springs rd
24
Dudley, NC 28333

2524696727

From: [Tracy Bazon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:22:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy Bazon

2 Kyles Creek Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [BILLY TAYLOR](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:25:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

BILLY TAYLOR

9315 VIRGILINA RD
Roxboro, NC 27574

From: [Celeste Winterberger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:25:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Celeste Winterberger

3901 Rim Court
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Leona Whichard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:25:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leona Whichard

84402 Winslow
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Frances Ray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:26:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frances Ray

1119 Bowfin Lane
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

From: [Pamela Culp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:28:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Culp

130 Skyview Circle
Asheville, NC 28805

8282557784

From: [Jennifer Vick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:31:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Vick

6523 Thetford ct
Raleigh, 27615

9193323188

From: [Karen Kaser Odor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:31:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Kaser Odor

278 fryling ave sw
concord, NC 28025

From: [Mary Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:31:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Greene

16131 Pless Mill Rd
Stanfield, NC 28163

704.485.3564

From: [Karen Kendig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:31:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Kendig

409 Sleepy Valley Road
Apex, NC 27523

From: [David Shearer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:31:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Most all of the republicans seem to think that addressing the issue of climate change will hurt the economy and the big money boys, yet they have done all they can to undermine the economy in hopes of making Obama look bad while handing out as much as they can in giveaways to their friends the rich corporations. I do not see how you can talk one way and act another--without looking foolish!

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Shearer

233 Floyd Jones Rd
Tuckasegee, NC 28783

From: [Robert Herndon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:31:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Herndon

1415 Bethlehem Road
Kings Mountain, NC 28086

From: [Raymond Occhipinti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:31:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raymond Occhipinti

265 Brooklyn
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Wes Weaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:32:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wes Weaver

342 Dogwood Knl
Boone, NC 28607

8282649316

From: [Charlotte Prunet](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:33:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Prunet

2239 Linda Street
Gastonia, NC 28054

7046890707

From: [Drury Peek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:33:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Drury Peek

771 Haywood Road
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Laura Cotterman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:37:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Cotterman

2590 Shadetree Rd
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [cindy chilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

cindy chilton

7300 merrily ln
charlotte, NC 28214

7042810169

From: [Ana Cano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:37:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ana Cano

6400 old oak ridge road apt i-23
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Paul Magnuson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:39:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Magnuson

496-17th AVE DR NE
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Daniel Morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:40:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Morris

1712 Mirabeau Ct
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Melissa Hastings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:42:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Hastings

515 Tom Mann RD
Newport, NC 28570

From: [Robyn Barnes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:43:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robyn Barnes

1211K Trillium Circle
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [karen hinsdale](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:43:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

karen hinsdale

3634 coach lantern ave
wake forest, NC 27587

From: [Moni Hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:44:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Moni Hill

12 Faculty Drive
Asheville, NC 28806

8285054712

From: [Martha Atkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:45:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Atkins

2211 Shaw Rd
Durham, NC 27704

From: [jeanne gibbs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:47:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jeanne gibbs

78 brant lane
coats, NC 27521

9198941688

From: [David Gance](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:47:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina's pollution limits below the EPA's proposed limits under the CPP.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Gance

2619 Wilson Lane
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Jennifer Barbara](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:49:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Barbara

609 appomatox drive
Marvin, 28277

From: [Elizabeth Snyder~Baldonado](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:50:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Snyder~Baldonado

1412 Diggs Blvd
NC
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

3365887962

From: [Robert Belknap](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:52:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Belknap

900 Hillsborough Street
RALEIGH, NC 27603

From: [Jim Myers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:55:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jim Myers

11211 MAPLECROFT
RALEIGH, NC 27617

9197577310

From: [W. Greg Walton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:55:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

W. Greg Walton

St. Andrews Drive
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Jonathan Burton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:55:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Burton

109 Tara Lynn Court
Mooresville, NC 28115

704-677-8791

From: [Marissa Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:56:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marissa Parker

6512 Spicewood St
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Maria Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:57:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

This is a note of disappointment on those who have the power to give our children a bright future: You!

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Jones

3113 Appling Way
Durham, NC 27703

9199570021

From: [S Knapp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:59:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I should not be surprised by your actions but I am! I'm very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

S Knapp

4731 FOX THORNE DR
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Robert White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 8:59:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert White

9820 White Cascade Drive
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [judith martinez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:01:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

judith martinez

102 webb dr
carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Janna Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:01:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janna Davis

36 Forest Ridge Dr
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Tonya Chiles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:01:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tonya Chiles

16 Harmon Circle
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Donald Ingram Jr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:02:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Ingram Jr

905 Weston st
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [John Pearce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:03:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Pearce

4704 Waterford Knoll Dr
Apartment 1036
Charlotte, NC 28226

7044123393

From: [Frank Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:03:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Moore

530 Alden Bridge Drive
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Bruce Evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:05:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Evans

4916 Swisswood Dr
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Yvonne Moody](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:07:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

What a waste of time and energy!

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Moody

609 Marsh Grass Ct
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Joshua Shapiro](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:07:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joshua Shapiro

3619 Hathaway Road
Durham, NC 27707

9178609639

From: [Barb Purdie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:07:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barb Purdie

2202 Setliff dr
High Point, NC 27265

3368124503

From: [Heide Catherina Coppotelli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:07:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heide Catherina Coppotelli

383 Seldon-Emerson Rd
Cedar Mountain, NC 28718

8288844673

From: [R. Jenkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:07:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

R. Jenkins

301 Sherwood Ave
Kinston, NC 28501

From: [Donna Etheridge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:13:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Etheridge

1428 Princess Anne Rd
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Jules Fraytet](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:13:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jules Fraytet

401 Hawthorne Lane Ste 110
Charlotte, NC 28204

From: [Heather Hensley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:15:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Hensley

4526 Bradbury Drive
Charlotte, NC 28209

From: [Kathy Bumgardner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:16:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Bumgardner

8471 Luckey Point Rd
Denver, NC 28037

704-483-4331

From: [Isaac Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:19:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isaac Clark

108 W. Maynard Ave
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Erin Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:21:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Greene

174 Crocker rd
boone, NC 28607

From: [Nadine Duckworth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:22:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nadine Duckworth

804 Deal Farm Lane
Taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [James Burchett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:23:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Burchett

400 georgetown rd
Lenoir, NC 28645

2404601145

From: [Diana Garcia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:25:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diana Garcia

107 Lilac Drive
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [William Kastern](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:25:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Kastern

7062 Lawrence Farm Lane
Randleman, NC 27317

336 676 0939

From: [Pat Weddington](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:25:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Weddington

321 Wiley Ave
Salisbury, NC 28144

From: [Angela Vieth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:31:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Vieth

3009 Bexley Avenue
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Barbara Bonin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:31:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bonin

10100 Old Warden Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Robert Swett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:31:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Swett

301 Montreat Rd
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Cate Marvill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:33:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cate Marvill

5212 Covington Bend Drive
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Randy Wetzel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:33:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randy Wetzel

425 Flat Top Mountain Rd
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Joyce Nelson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:37:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Nelson

108 Bon Marche Ln
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Paula Prehn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:37:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Prehn

137 Meadowrue Ln
Youngsville, NC 27596

From: [John Coyle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:43:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Coyle

1428 Ebrington Lane
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Laura Faber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:45:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Faber

6346 Pawling Ct
Fayetteville, NC 28304

From: [robert hartwig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:45:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

robert hartwig

1700 medfield road
raleigh, NC 27607

9198515339

From: [jerry.evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:45:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jerry evans

3099 highway 58 south
kinston, NC 28504

From: [Mary H Murchison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:45:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary H Murchison

3239 Auburn Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28209

From: [Ragubathee Pather](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:45:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ragubathee Pather

15336 Dehavilland Dr
Charlotte, NC 28278

From: [Taylor Leutze](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Taylor Leutze

701 Macon Place
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [Velvet Key](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Velvet Key

11818 Elizabeth Madison Court
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Timothy Mesler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:50:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Mesler

Woodland Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Barry Thorne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:50:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Thorne

24 meadow lane
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Robert Howland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:50:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Howland

176 Mountain Bluff Trl
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Savana Parrish](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:51:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Savana Parrish

223 E Water St
Washington, NC 27889

From: [Christopher Loftis USN/Ret](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:51:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christopher Loftis USN/Ret

Vickers Ave
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Susan Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:52:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Warren

656 Sand Hill Road
Asheville
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Cynthia Gallion](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:53:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Gallion

745 Hanska
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [Michael Holster](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:55:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Holster

9732 Pierce Ln
Indian Trail, NC 28079

7043453789

From: [Meg Morgan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:55:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meg Morgan

3010 Windsor Chase Dr
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [Alyssa Roberts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:55:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Roberts

1300 Laurel Springs Rd
1324
Durham, NC 27713

7576511097

From: [DONNA GREENWOOD](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:55:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DONNA GREENWOOD

1512 DEAN STREET
CHARLOTTE, NC 28216

From: [Carl Shy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:56:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Shy

6626 Bradshaw Quarry Rd
Efland, NC 27243

From: [Lea Nading](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:57:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lea Nading

2401 Elizabeth Ave
winston-Salem, NC 27103

From: [Steven Tracy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 9:58:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Tracy

1118 Heatherloch Dr
Gastonia, NC 28054

From: [Alan Linn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:05:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. It seems as though you HATE North Carolina.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Linn

2115 Derby St
Hickory, NC 28602

From: [Esther Garvett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:07:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Esther Garvett

10431 SW 143 ave
Miami, FL 33186

3052824437

From: [Frank Lorch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:07:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Lorch

1522 Lynway Dr
Charlotte, NC 28203

7044422539

From: [Susan Casar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:07:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Casar

32 Poplar Creek Dr
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Dan Faris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:07:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dan Faris

6000 Rose Valley Dr
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Louise Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:08:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Louise Taylor

PO Box 396
Buies creek, NC 27506

From: [Sharron Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:09:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharron Parker

1500 River Mill Dr. #306
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Lynne C.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:09:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynne C.

5012 Lipscomb Dr
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Susan Zimmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:09:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Zimmer

1147 Greensview Circle
Leland, NC 28451

9103992439

From: [al.alexander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:09:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

al alexander

1017 coram fields rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587

9195543145

From: [Tom Westall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:09:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Westall

16131 Cranleigh Dr
Huntersville, 28078

7046509043

From: [Michelle Lee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:11:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Lee

6746 Vlosi Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Hassell I. Draughn, Jr.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:17:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hassell I. Draughn, Jr.

1211 Gatling street
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [Laurie Scaggs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:19:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurie Scaggs

143 Castlefern Drive
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Hap Palmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:19:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hap Palmer

1704 N Lumina Ave
Wrightsville Beach, NC 28480

9102332247

From: [Kent Robertson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:19:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kent Robertson

222 Bradsher Road
Hillsborough, NC
nc, NC 27278

9197328732

From: [Margaret Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:21:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Campbell

209 Pinegate Circle Apt 7
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

9199732150

From: [Paula May](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:23:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula May

6624 Hillbrook Road
Hope Mills, NC 28348

From: [James Womble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:25:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Womble

2700 N Mayview Rd
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Stephen Boletchek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:25:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Boletchek

1106 Elbury Dr
Apex, NC 27502

9193879588

From: [Kelly LaForte](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:25:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelly LaForte

200 Lower Edgewood Rd
Candler, NC 28715

(828) 774-1144

From: [Karen Nehlsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:27:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Nehlsen

1804 Ashton Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [James Cooke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:31:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Cooke

309 Mary Lee Court
Winterville, NC 28590

From: [Jorie Preston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:33:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jorie Preston

5921 Reddman Rd
Charlotte, NC 28212

From: [Bonnie Rauf](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:35:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Rauf

1819 Landsford Road
Marshville, NC 28103

From: [Darlene Savage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:37:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darlene Savage

351 Harts Ln
Rocky Point, NC 28457

9103863525

From: [Tracy Feldman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:37:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy Feldman

5306 Pelham Road
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Danny Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:43:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danny Johnson

7910 North Point blvd
room 116
Winston Salem, NC 27106

336 759 7780

From: [Elizabeth Harless](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:43:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Harless

P O Box 845
Stoneville, NC 27048

3364533022

From: [Clifton E Edmondson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:43:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clifton E Edmondson

POB 1471
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Mark Weisser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:47:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Weisser

6445 Mounting Rock Rd
Charlotte, NC 28217

From: [Maureen O'Neal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:49:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maureen O'Neal

9100 S.W. 80th Ave
TIGARD, OR 97223

5032931796

From: [Amy dunn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:51:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy dunn

103 Harvest ct
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Susan Boykin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:53:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Boykin

1708 Westbrook Dr
Wilson, NC 27893

From: [Frank Stroupe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:55:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Stroupe

329 Raintree Dr
Matthews, NC 28104

From: [Eric Kehew](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:57:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Kehew

211 Buckingham Dr
Winterville, NC 28590

(252) 364-2152

From: [Laura Lansford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:59:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Lansford

130 Leisure Lane
Salisbury (, NC 98146

From: [Elaine Corbitt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:03:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elaine Corbitt

102 Duxbury Drive
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Joe Rone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:05:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Rone

705 Coronado Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Corisa Ford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:07:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Corisa Ford

1213 Parktop Drive
Cary, NC 27513

9199235476

From: [Richard Hibbits](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:13:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Hibbits

3410 York rd
Winston Salem, NC 27104

From: [Frank Marino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:13:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

i know people who have moved from charlotte because of air quality issues relating to their children. BTW what jobs have the republiCons created other than campain staffers for the joke brothers?

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

BTW - why do we allow pollution? seems gutless and immoral to me

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Marino

682 tryon
charlotte, NC 28660

From: [Joyce Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:19:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Wilson

1105 Laurens Way
Knightdale, NC 27545

From: [Joan Jobsis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:19:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joan Jobsis

821 Footbridge Place
CARY, NC 27519

9195358653

From: [Suzanne Edwards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:20:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Edwards

2 Indigo Creek Trail
Durham, NC 27712

9193840135

From: [steve Herr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:21:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

steve Herr

3802 Oakcliffe rd
GREENSBORO, NC 27406

3363926113

From: [Andrea Poole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:28:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Poole

2174 Skyview Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28304

From: [Henry Torrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:33:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Henry Torrey

2029 Aurora Lane
Franklinton, NC 27525

From: [Paula Jay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:37:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Jay

1300 Flying Hawk Road
Apex, NC 27523

919-219-9813

From: [Nicole DeLuca](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:39:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicole DeLuca

6102 Chilcot Lane
Wilmington, NC 28409

910-399-5990

From: [Elizabeth Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:43:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Andrews

23 Hawks Spiral Way
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Megan Burns](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:56:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Megan Burns

1116 durbin way
fuquay-varina, NC 27526

From: [Ann Henry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:03:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Henry

473 Union Street S
Concord, NC 28025

7044256622

From: [Frances Huffman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:08:18 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frances Huffman

2400 Hoyt Street
winston-Salem, NC 27103

3367253432

From: [Chris Oakley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:09:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Oakley

5212 Fox Hunt Dr Apt G
Greensboro, NC 27407

From: [Jambrina Sakellaropoulo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:13:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jambrina Sakellaropoulo

7422 Brown Bark Terrace
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Harry Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:13:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harry Phillips

8719 Morrow Mill Rd
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Patricia Vazquez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:15:44 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Vazquez

Taller 791 Ed. 7, apt. 402 - Col. Jardin Balbuena
Del. Venustiano Carranza
Mexico City, Distrito Federal 15900

015524564919

From: [Lisa Merchant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:21:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Merchant

503 PASQUENOKE TRL
Edenton, NC 27932

9196165458

From: [Erin Nelson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:43:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Nelson

701 Longview Street
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Kay Byrd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:45:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kay Byrd

5205 Mercia Court
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Griselda Sutton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:49:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Griselda Sutton

2789 Tom Geddie Road
Eastover, NC 28312

910-484-2708

From: [david kindred](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:53:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

david kindred

922 Dillard St
greensboro, NC 27403

3363920768

From: [Autumn Jehnzen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:57:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Autumn Jehnzen

105 W. Catawba St
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Michele Sullivan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:01:42 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Sullivan

304 Lord Berkeley Drive
Emerald Isle, NC 28594

From: [Leslie Hagarty](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:13:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leslie Hagarty

2216 Myron Dr #102
RALEIGH, NC 27607

From: [Eric Gardner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:23:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Gardner

463 Huntingridge Road Unit A
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Frank Johnston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:35:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Johnston

737 W 2nd Street
Washington, NC 27889

From: jennifer.schultz
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:39:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jennifer schultz

56 edgebrook est. #7
cheektowaga, NY 14227

From: [Carol Briggs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:47:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Briggs

5005 Rosewater Lane
Indian Trail, NC 28079

From: [Brian Gleason](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:53:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Gleason

114 Talley Ridge Dr
Troutman, NC 28166

From: [angela.horan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:57:14 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

angela horan

423 Westwood Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

(919) 932-7514

From: [William Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:01:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Young

3 Powell St
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: andreas.vlasiadis
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:07:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

andreas vlasiadis

tavros
athens-greece, attiki

From: [ray derrickson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:07:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ray derrickson

3607 glenraven dr
raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Amy Hartzog](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:41:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Hartzog

429 East Hemlock St
Yadkinville, NC 27055

From: [Meredith Pagani](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:49:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meredith Pagani

512 Glenolden Court
Cary, NC 27513

9198176884

From: [Charles Tilley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:56:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. The clean air that it took 40 years to bring back is now under threat again by big business & politics, and no elected official should be granting decisions for former employers. Especially for known polluters in our state.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Tilley

521-B E Pine St
Graham, NC 27253

From: [Mary Stascak](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:59:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Please embarrassing NC citizens -you are driving people out of North Carolina!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Stascak

7412 Heartland Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [William Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:59:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Phillips

1914 Suptin Road
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [mary.northover](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:02:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mary.northover

209 walnut ridge road
brevard, NC 28712

From: [Daniela Rossi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:20:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniela Rossi

Via Roma, 15
Pomezia (Roma), Lazio

From: [Aileen Keatts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:29:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aileen Keatts

1676 maize field Ln
Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526

From: [Tony Demetriou](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:02:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tony Demetriou

Cypress AV 23
Salisbury, NC 28145

From: [John Haresch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:34:18 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Haresch

4631 Surfwood Ct
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949

From: [Michelle MacFarlane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:26:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle MacFarlane

1201 Kalworth Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Cathy Narron](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:27:40 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Narron

8136 Springfield School Rd
Kenly, NC 27542

From: [Duane Spencer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:28:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Duane Spencer

8136 Springfield School Rd
Kenly, NC 27542

From: [Elizabeth Bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:45:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bailey

980 North May Street
Southern Pines, NC 28387

From: [Hal Trufan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:47:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hal Trufan

6808 Old Forge Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226

7045570001

From: [diane benjes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:49:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

diane benjes

4701 buckhorn rd
efland, NC 27243

From: [Mili Dillard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:55:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mili Dillard

1147 Laurelwood Place
Lenoir, NC 28645

8287585428

From: [terry Dittrich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:19:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

terry Dittrich

2506 liberty rd
Greensboro, NC 27406

From: [Jacquelyn Kennedy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:19:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Kennedy

7731 US Hwy 264 E
Washington, NC 27889

From: [LUCY TYNDALL](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:21:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LUCY TYNDALL

3977 Flannery Lane
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Stephanie Yewcic](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:21:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Yewcic

8146 Townley road
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [Anne Pinkelton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:29:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Pinkelton

11 Windrock Way
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [David Wachholz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:29:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Wachholz

153 Haw Creek Mews
Asheville, NC 28805

6307029397

From: [Wendy Stevens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:29:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Stevens

7024 Hidden Creek Dr
Charlotte, NC 28214

From: [Carol Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:35:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Anderson

8339 Midsion Hills Rd
Charlotte, NC 28227

7042819175

From: [randy marrs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:37:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

randy marrs

15 woodvale avenue
asheville, NC 28804

From: [Elizabeth Hedgepeth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:43:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hedgepeth

102 Captains Ct
Wrightsville Beach, NC 28480

From: [Heather Main](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:43:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Main

5612 Buckhorn Rd
Efland, NC 27243

9198121059

From: [Adja Catalano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:44:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adja Catalano

121 Rogersville
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Jann Steelhammer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:49:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jann Steelhammer

7 Bostic Place
Asheville, NC 28803

8282778383

From: [Michelle Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:53:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Scott

67820 Pinnacle Ridge Road
raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Tom Reedy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:55:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Reedy

1145 Old Weaver Trail
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Kathryn Martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:55:41 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Martin

3608 Kemble Ridge Drive
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Naomi McLarnon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:01:33 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Naomi McLarnon

8000 Lloyd Allyn Way
Raleigh, NC 27615

9192440957

From: [J.A. Dingman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:05:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J.A. Dingman

2602 Gracewood Dr
P.O. Box 10796
Greensboro, NC 27408

3365059823

From: [Erik Blom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:17:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

We need coal power in this country.

I don't know what CPP is but I've lost confidence in the feds so do what is right.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erik Blom

371 Dillingham Cir
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Lorri Bura](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:23:00 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lorri Bura

65 Connie Davis Road
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Brenna Ozment](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:25:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenna Ozment

7626 Decatur Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303

7186447225

From: [susan reynolds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:25:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

susan reynolds

5005 huntingdon dr
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Carl Fisher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:25:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Fisher

225 Oak Hill Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [James Cullipher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:32:42 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Cullipher

800 Stillpoint Way
Balsam Grove, NC 28708

From: [mike kelleher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:35:42 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mike kelleher

535 msl rd
wilm, NC 28401

From: [Kj Carder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:35:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kj Carder

NC Hwy 58
Warrenton, NC 27589

9196190436

From: [Theresa Downs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:37:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Theresa Downs

109 Pinecliff Drive
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Liza Hart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:41:54 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Liza Hart

617 E 37th St
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Carrie Clemmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:43:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carrie Clemmer

2611 Farmbrook Road
Winston-Salem, NC 27103

From: [Christinw Almeida](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:44:04 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christinw Almeida

147 Brookview Ct
Jacksonvillw, NC 28540

From: [Fred Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:49:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fred Smith

1425 Camargo Lane
Raleigh, NC 27604

919-971-2836

From: [ginny nolan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:49:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ginny nolan

3204 S Memorial Ave
Nags Head, NC 27959

From: [Patricia Brickner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:52:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Brickner

78 Bryant Rd
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Timothy Benbow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:55:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Benbow

2736 Cedar Falls Road
Franklinville, NC 27248

3366291656

From: [Katherine Yuan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:55:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Yuan

510 Sherwood Forest Pl
Cary, NC 27519

19199851368

From: [A Berger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:55:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A Berger

Century Oaks Drive
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Alicia Berry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:01:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I have asthma. my husband has cancer. we NEED NC's air, water and land to be clean and clear if we are to live healthily.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alicia Berry

495 Buck Branch Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Rebecca Ozment](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:01:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Ozment

7626 Decatur Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303

9102732444

From: [Lee Perkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:02:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lee Perkins

32 climbing aster way
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Cliff Butler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:04:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cliff Butler

867 Cedar Fork Trail
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Ana Kelly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:05:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ana Kelly

7117 Stockport Cir
Fayetteville, NC 28303

From: [Marianne Suarez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:07:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marianne Suarez

9215 Darbyshire pl
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Peter Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:07:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Young

8512 Hawk Grove Ct
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [Joan Bathanti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:13:44 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joan Bathanti

2118 Linville Creek Road
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [S. McGrigor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:13:44 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

S. McGrigor

116 sue kim dr
youngsville, NC 27596

From: [Dallas Burkhardt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:15:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dallas Burkhardt

621 Northridge Street
Greensboro, NC 27403

6032647755

From: [Donna Oropallo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:15:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Oropallo

875 Doug Cove Rd
Marshall, NC 28753

8286562574

From: [Michael Rogers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:16:16 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

No really. What is wrong with you guys running this state? Do you think there is a stone left unturned by this legislation and administration that could send us farther back into the stone ages of de-education, the destruction of the environment, and just plain out corruption and nepotism? I am coming to the conclusion that you have done a pretty thorough job in that regard.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Rogers

98 shooting star dr
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Robin Segreti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:16:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robin Segreti

10113 Alexander Martin Ave
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Corinne Benbow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:19:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Corinne Benbow

2736 Cedar Falls Road
Franklinville, NC 27248

3366291656

From: [Frederick Valone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:19:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frederick Valone

1260 Leonard rd
Louisburg, NC 27549

From: [Norma Munn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:20:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Norma Munn

1300 Queens Rd
#304
Charlotte, NC 28207

From: [John Wolff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:21:56 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Wolff

207 Taylor lane
Morehead City, NC 28557

2012404255

From: [Tammy Forthofer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:21:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tammy Forthofer

215 Streamside Pl
Mooresville, NC 28115

From: [Richard Duffy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:25:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Duffy

5047 Wyngate Village Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27103

9145121221

From: [George Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:27:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Andrews

6033 Caroline Drive
Matthews, NC 28104

704-668-3993

From: [jeff bohan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:31:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jeff bohan

900 teague rd
winston salem, NC 27107

From: [Betsey Granda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:38:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betsey Granda

112 Circadian Way
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Melinda Trevorrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:39:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melinda Trevorrow

1413 Seminole dr
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Melissa Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:39:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Griffin

7001 Orchard Knoll Dr
Apex, NC 27539

From: [Holly Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:40:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Holly Lane

7441 Riding Trail Road
Charlotte, NC 28212

3522208100

From: [Carl Sigel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:43:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Sigel

11116 Bremerton Ct
Raleigh, NC 27613

919-847-4252

From: [Erica Kitchen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:44:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erica Kitchen

6309 Kent cv
Raleigh, NC 27617

From: [Dorothy Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:44:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Baker

1060 Will Vannoy Rd
West Jefferson, NC 28694

From: [Peter Cap](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:47:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Cap

110 Orchard Point Road
oriental, NC 28571

From: [Gary Simpson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:47:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Simpson

82 Cynthia Lane
Pittsboro, NC 27312

9195425628

From: [Iris Carman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:47:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Iris Carman

327 Lakewood Drive
Wilkesboro, NC 28697

From: [Allen Singleton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:49:41 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allen Singleton

169 Montford Ave
Asheville, NC 28801

8282549557

From: [Karen Patterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:56:16 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Patterson

PO BOX 1206
Flat Rock, NC 28731

From: [Tanya Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:56:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tanya Taylor

5859 Kiger Rd
Rougemont, NC 27572

From: [Betty Lawrence](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:02:02 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betty Lawrence

142 Hillside St
Asheville, 28801

From: [Denis Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:10:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denis Wood

3205 Hillsborough Street
Raleigh, NC 27607

9198398303

From: [sherra franklin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:10:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sherra franklin

163 Dont Waste Trees
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Linda McKeegan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:11:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda McKeegan

480 Kristen Ln
Supply, NC 28462

From: [Selene Russo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:12:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Selene Russo

5405 Pelham Rd
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Misty Gabriel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:13:43 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Misty Gabriel

3980 River Pointe Place
APT 1F
High Point, NC 27265

From: [AC Hosea](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:15:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

AC Hosea

1802 Grace Street
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Nancy Granfortuna](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:16:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Granfortuna

6502 Lismore Dr
Browns Summit, NC 27214

From: [Richard Salwitz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:19:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Salwitz

1308 Edgewater Club Rd #8
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Edward Hammond](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:19:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Hammond

5507 Genesee Drive
Durham, NC 27712

From: mercedes.cotugno
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:22:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mercedes cotugno

sundance
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Samuel Brewer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:22:10 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samuel Brewer

1203 Kilmory Drive
Cary, NC 27511

919 462-1517

From: [Christian Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:23:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christian Smith

1213 Stockton Rd
Kinston, NC 28504

From: [Tracy OBrien](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:25:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy OBrien

3381 Rebecca Drive
Summerfield, NC 27358

From: [Emma Little](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:25:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

I believe that state representatives are in positions of power to help North Carolina achieve success, well-being, health, and stability, not failure. Your job is not to bring positive movement to a standstill. Your job is not to be so stubborn as to accept failure over your own disappointed agenda. It is to represent the people and the land of North Carolina. Our clean air is undoubtedly a health concern for the people, and also a contributor to the beauty and integrity of North Carolina's landscape and environment.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emma Little

2709 Patrick Rd
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Terri Hunnicutt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:28:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terri Hunnicutt

4160 US 64/74A Hwy
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

From: [Jerome Carpenter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:32:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerome Carpenter

506 Brickyard Ct
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Julie Tuttle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:39:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julie Tuttle

311 Boothe Hill Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Margery Price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:40:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margery Price

410 Bartlett Circle
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Virginia Maier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:41:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Maier

1205 Park Ave
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Farshid Bondar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:43:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in my state of North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment, North Carolina's economy, and you and me. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Farshid Bondar

128 Castlewood Dr
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Luis Apellaniz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:49:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Luis Apellaniz

5212 Carter St
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Emily Zucchini](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:50:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emily Zucchini

45 Pennsylvania Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

8283019566

From: [LEE ANN Sullivan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:54:10 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LEE ANN Sullivan

5300 Antler Drive
Iron Station, NC 28080

7042408041

From: [Barry Lentz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:55:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am appalled that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. We MUST reduce carbon pollution; why should North Carolina not lead and benefit from the inevitable switch to clean energy over the next couple of decades?

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Lentz

179 Tradescant Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [TIM ROBERTS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:08:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

TIM ROBERTS

6469 SOUTH SHORE DR
NEBO, NC 28761

From: [Christopher Willett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:15:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christopher Willett

101 Woodbend Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Rene Lemons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:16:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Subject: Clean Power Plan

Dear Governor McCrory

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Rene Lemons
220 N 22nd St.
Wilmington, NC

Sincerely,

Rene Lemons
220 N 22nd St
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Carrie Kluitter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:19:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carrie Kluitter

273 Parrish Farm Ln
Benson, NC 27504

910-897-2220

From: [Elizabeth Broyles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:22:10 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Broyles

213 Candlewood Dr
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Nancy Montgomery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:23:58 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Montgomery

269 Pleasant Hill Loop Road
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

8282875405

From: [John Blair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:28:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Blair

326 Linsbury Court
Gastonia, NC 28056

7048794904

From: [Herbert Baum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:31:44 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herbert Baum

2 Sturbridge Lane
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Clayton Denman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:31:56 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clayton Denman

547 Rustic Road
West Jefferson, NC 28694

From: [lawrence adrian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:38:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

lawrence adrian

101 kaitlin drive
durham, NC 27713

9198063775

From: [Heather Tullos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:39:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Tullos

1300 Lackey St
Shelby, NC 28152

7049065488

From: [Jess Hair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:43:06 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jess Hair

108 Dalrymple Lane
Cary, NC 27511

From: [rachel Caudill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:43:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

rachel Caudill

908 w south
RALEIGH, NC 27603

From: [Paula Poe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:44:00 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Poe

806 Davis Road
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Debra Pagliughi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:44:15 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debra Pagliughi

142 Hilltop Dr
Swansboro, NC 28584

From: [Jon Royce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:49:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jon Royce

474 Boones Bridge Rd
Pendleton, NC 27862

From: [D&G Altman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:49:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

D&G Altman

PO Box 643
Murphy, NC 28906

From: [Wendy Kobylarz-Chouvarda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:57:57 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Kobylarz-Chouvarda

255 Old 19-23
Apt B
Candler, NC 28715

From: [T J Fox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:02:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

T J Fox

311 Mailman Rd
Knightdale, NC 27545

From: [James Chambo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:04:15 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Chambo

2914 Brightside Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Rudolf Schiener](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:07:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rudolf Schiener

1013 Margarets Lane
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Linda Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:07:57 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Johnson

15 ACADEMY ST
ASHEVILLE, NC 28803

From: [John Lott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:08:16 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Lott

33 Clearwater Dr
Durham, NC 27707

From: [william maclean](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:11:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you. William MacLean

Sincerely,

william maclean

1712 darby pl
salisbury, NC 28146

From: [Carol Dugger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:13:33 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

It is hard to imagine your rationale in not doing so.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Dugger

184 Robin Hood Road
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Roberta Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:16:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roberta Thompson

198 Shumont Estates Dr
Lake Lure, NC 28746

From: [Tiffany Ehnes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:19:57 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Ehnes

429 Rainbow Rd
Advance, NC 27006

From: [Sandra Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:25:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Moore

6610 Old Bridgesite Rd
Castle Hayne, NC 28429

From: [Richard Purdy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:25:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Purdy

60 Gaston Mountain Road
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Cindy Shoaf](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:28:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Shoaf

225 Playground Ln
Salisbury, NC 28146

From: [Mike Hickey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:29:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Hickey

7215 Pleasant Hill Church Rd
Marshville, NC 28103

From: [Robert Kramer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:31:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Kramer

106 Fairgrove Way
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Carolyn Jane Smyth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:36:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Jane Smyth

6708 Holly Mill Court
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Herbert Maier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:37:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herbert Maier

4055 Hurley School Dr
Salisbury, 28147

From: [Nicolette Manaphanthanont](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:40:15 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicolette Manaphanthanont

250 South Estes Drive #33
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Angela Curry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:50:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Curry

4912 Millcreek Rd
Cedar Grove, NC 27231

3048904496

From: [Ray Owens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:52:13 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ray Owens

531 Manning Drive
Charlotte, NC 28209

From: [Mark Hemenway](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:55:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Hemenway

7700 Covey Chase Drive
Charlotte, NC 28210

7045522012

From: [Robert Weber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:55:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Weber

104 Cypress Point
new bern, NC 28560

From: [Anamaria Moreno](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:55:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anamaria Moreno

7220 Eastover Dr
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Glenn Rape](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:56:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenn Rape

2921 Aprilia Ln
Monroe, NC 28112

(704) 764-4459

From: [Caleigh Bridgers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:59:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caleigh Bridgers

332 Sulphur Springs Rd
Asheville, NC 28806

9196140835

From: [MARTIN HAZELTINE](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:01:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MARTIN HAZELTINE

7614 DUNBAR DRIVE SW
SUNSET BEACH, NC 28468

9105752546

From: [Judith Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:04:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Williams

16 Vance Circle
Lexington, NC 27292

3362486530

From: [Kathleen Rothwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:08:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Rothwell

1408 Rainwood Lane
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Janis Flowers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:08:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janis Flowers

1793 US Highway 220
Stokesdale, NC 27357

336-548-3844

From: [Audrey Urling](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:14:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audrey Urling

Oakridge Dr
Graham, NC 27253

From: [Jill Parella](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:15:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Parella

3043 Dogwood Dr
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Brian Keck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:16:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Keck

40 Pinedale Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [cheryl hustvedt](mailto:cheryl.hustvedt)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:31:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

cheryl hustvedt

2710 stuart drive
durham, NC 27707

From: [Linda Duckett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:34:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Duckett

51 Melrose St
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Joanne Barber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:34:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne Barber

1896 Bell Road
Otto, NC 28763

828-369-0085

From: [Emily Kelly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:37:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emily Kelly

1432 pitching wedge dr 307
RALEIGH, NC 27603

9192006985

From: [Sally Stuckey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:38:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Stuckey

67 Shuler Rd
candler, NC 28715

From: [Eric French](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:42:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric French

942 ridge haven parkway
Suite 1211
Banner Elk, NC 28604

From: [Tania Kramer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:43:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tania Kramer

106 Fairgrove Way
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Bill Beardall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:46:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Beardall

25 Willowbrook Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

9196961505

From: [Donald Harland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:52:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Harland

PO Box 2080
677 N. Luther Rd
Candler, NC 28715

828-665-9247

From: [Ruth Noble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruth Noble

26 Rylee Ridge
Asheville, 28805

From: [Jan Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 12:58:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jan Phillips

Brittainywood Road
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [Nate Lotze](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:02:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nate Lotze

504 Erwin Road
Durham, NC 27707

7202317870

From: [Kristal Roebuck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:04:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kristal Roebuck

322 Junction Rd
Apt. 28H
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Joseph Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:20:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joseph Phillips

5380 Brittainywood Road
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [J.A. Perry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:25:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J.A. Perry

24 Ridge Ave
Asheville, NC 28803

8287139461

From: [David Kirschbaum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:25:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Aren't you embarrassed by your biased support of this pollution and abuse of our environment? You're going to have to live with yourself after this term, you know .. because I (and many others) certainly will not be voting for you, the way you and your administration are acting.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Kirschbaum

424 East 3d Avenue
Red Springs, NC 28377

From: [Jerri Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:29:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerri Andrews

2235 N NCHwy 87 Lot 23
Elon, NC 27244

3365497605

From: [kurt Joyner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:34:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kurt Joyner

4301 craig ave
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [D. Grady](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:37:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

D. Grady

4430 Emma Cannon Rd
Ayden, NC 28513

From: [Colleen Olfenbuttel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:40:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colleen Olfenbuttel

1293 laurel bluffs
pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Rachel Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:41:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Campbell

5918 Chapel Creek Ct
CHARLOTTE, NC 28226

From: [Sam Furgieue](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:44:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sam Furgieue

169 Gragg Street
Boone, NC 28607

8282647110

From: [carolyn solan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:44:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

carolyn solan

5747 Cambridge Bay Dr
charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Katie Baird](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:45:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katie Baird

1107 Baird Lane
Efland, NC 27243

From: [Sara Felsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:52:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Felsen

1111 Ladys Slipper Ct Apt J
Raleigh, NC 27606

9192800236

From: [Braethun Bharathae-Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:55:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Why are you bending over?

Stop doing that. We don't pay you to take shit from other politicians. Say no to this stuff. I want to breathe clean air, drink REAL water, and eat actual food!

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Braethun Bharathae-Lane

56 Lakeshore Dr
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Susan Depew](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:59:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Depew

7804 Ocoee Court
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Lisa Pearson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:04:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Pearson

1305 Old Pageland Monroe Rd
Monroe, NC 28112

From: [Jeaneane Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:07:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeaneane Williams

925 New Garden Rd
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Sue Cause](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:07:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sue Cause

1374 Liberty Landing Rd
Winnabow, NC 28479

From: [RACHEL AUTRY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:10:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

RACHEL AUTRY

2206 HONRINE RD
CLINTON, NC 28328

From: [Peggy Fry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:19:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Fry

115 Pine Cone Road
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Peter Grosch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:22:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I know that every morning, I wake up sneezing and coughing. This only happens when I'm in North Carolina, not Georgia where my wife's family owns property. So, you can continue to pass legislation that perpetuates pollution or you can clean up your act and that of our state agencies responsible for air and water quality safety as well as preservation of the environment and human life.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Grosch

5128 Rockmont Ct
Winston-Salem, NC 27104

From: [F.Randolph](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:25:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

I realize that your promotion of Duke Energy causes actions that are not in the best interests of the citizens of North Carolina. It is time for you to put your personal interests aside and to be attuned to the best interests of North Carolina citizens.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

F Randolph

105 Park Ave
Southport, NC 28461

From: [sheila eagle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:31:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sheila eagle

918 Portland Street
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: pradeep.gajjar
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:34:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

pradeep.gajjar

608 park york ln
cary, NC 27519

From: [Susan Hochstetler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:34:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Hochstetler

6613 Loblolly Circle
Waxhaw, 28173

From: [Patricia Belk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:36:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Belk

1361 Jacemans Way
Cornelius, NC 28031

From: [Diane Goodwin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:37:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Goodwin

246 Summer Morning Ct
Lake Lure, NC 28746

From: [Allison Hassell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:38:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allison Hassell

105 Kimberly Terrace
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Kimberly August](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:44:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly August

579 Caladium Ct
Kernersville, NC 27284

3362072518

From: [Debbie Burroughs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:52:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debbie Burroughs

111 Hobbs Acre Drive
Edenton, NC 27932

From: [Bryan Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bryan Mitchell

5 N Pershing Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [charles Pettee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 2:58:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

charles Pettee

318 Burris Pl
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [JULIA BISHOP](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:19:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JULIA BISHOP

PO Box 993
Oak Island, NC 28465

9102095254

From: [Linda Voelker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:30:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Voelker

330 Crowell Lane
Salisbury, NC 28146

From: [Kermit R. Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:37:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kermit R. Davis

386 Licklog Ridge
Hayesville, NC 28904

828 389-4276

From: [Erika Layko](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:37:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erika Layko

1001 Thornroft Lane
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Cailey Sweatt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:38:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cailey Sweatt

236-C Melrose St
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [jane white](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:49:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jane white

16 Salisbury Drive Apt. 7109
asheville, NC 28803

From: [Sally Stuckey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 3:50:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Stuckey

67 Shuler Rd
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Patricia Jaurequi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:04:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Jaurequi

11908 Breezy Trail Lane
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Peggy Hustad](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:10:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Hustad

25 Sawmill Road
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Don Clapp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:16:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don Clapp

PO Box 989
Saluda, NC 28773

7047500411

From: [virginia clute](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:19:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

virginia clute

303 groundsel pl
clayton, NC 27527

From: [Samuel Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:23:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samuel Thompson

309 So. Chestnut St
Oak City, NC 27857

From: [Jason Allison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:28:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jason Allison

2 Pennsylvania place
Asheville, NC 28806

8285779297

From: [June Wilkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:33:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

June Wilkins

166 Hunting Bay Dr
Cape Carteret, NC 28584

From: [Arthur Firth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 4:35:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arthur Firth

1011 Emerald Bay Drive
Salisbury, NC 28146

From: [Michael Bishop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:01:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Bishop

POB 848
Wilmington, NC 28402

7702865555

From: [Alice King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:01:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alice King

5101 Peppercorn Street
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Sarah Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:07:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your "environmental agency" are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. You have made a mockery out of the politics in this state. The residents of North Carolina are embarrassed and offended by your lack of consideration for their well-being. Enough is enough.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Davis

7816 Harps Mill Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Kimberly Gilbert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:07:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Gilbert

8436 ledford ave
Connelly Springs, NC 28612

From: [Tammy Barr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:09:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tammy Barr

4222 Garden Street
Winston Salem, NC 27105

336-546-9535

From: [Sandra Resner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:13:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Resner

7607 Middle Dr
Greensboro, NC 27409

3367066479

From: [gerald pendleton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:25:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

gerald pendleton

736 melvin hill rd
coluunbs, NC 28722

8282025554

From: [Larri Servin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:27:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larri Servin

8794 Old Tom Way
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Joan Mahery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:28:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joan Mahery

527 Rose Hill Road
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [John Waajid](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:44:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Waajid

3810 Cranwell Ct
Greensboro, NC 27407

From: [Lee Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:45:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lee Andrews

1468 Alamance Church Rd
Greensboro, NC 27406

From: [Judith Rose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:53:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Rose

70 Acorn Lane
Fletcher, NC 28732

8286289670

From: [Laura Gwyn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:55:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Gwyn

2674 Carl Freeman Ave
Morganton, NC 28655

828 437 6096

From: [Camryn Pate](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 5:55:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Camryn Pate

2567 Hunter Rd
Clinton, NC 28328

9103853790

From: [Russell Fowler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:04:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Russell Fowler

520 Harvest Place
Swansboro, NC 28584

From: [Bernice McPherson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:10:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bernice McPherson

921 JD Cartrette Rd
Chadbourn, NC 28431

From: [Connie Nowlin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:13:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Connie Nowlin

100 Emory Place
Huntersville, NC 28078

704-947-8574

From: [Bruce Bernadt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:39:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Bernadt

32 Poplar Creek Drive
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Eileen Amoroso](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:45:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Amoroso

5804 Old Forge Circle
Raleigh, NC 27609

9192190349

From: [Brad Benjamin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:46:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. It's time to remove you from office!!! WHAT EVER HAPPEN TO "FOR THE WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE"?

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

you are an AHO! Brad Benjamin KMA!!

Sincerely,

Brad Benjamin

Po Box 1538
Murphy, NC 28906

5616706951

From: [Joe Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:47:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Phillips

P. O. Box 282
Colfax, NC 27235

From: [james cummings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:55:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

james cummings

1201 lowery
lumberton, NC 28358

9108081843

From: [Doug Fishburn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 6:57:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doug Fishburn

988 Middleton Dr. NW
Calabash, NC 28467

From: [Ken Mauney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:01:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ken Mauney

4012 Angier Ave
Durham, NC 27703

9195988435

From: [Richard Kark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:03:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Kark

121 Maney Ave
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Sherman Hoover](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:07:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherman Hoover

83 Appalachian Way
Asheville, NC 28806

8282754354

From: [Terry Bazzarre](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:13:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am deeply disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is essential for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry Bazzarre

6200 High Rock Road
Efland, NC 27243

From: [Amanda Morgan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:49:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Morgan

105 Mishoe road
Castle hayne, NC 28429

From: [Patricia Van Wagner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:55:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Van Wagner

5997 Spikerush Tr
Southport, NC 28461

From: [James & April Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:56:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you. James & April Thompson

Sincerely,

James & April Thompson

316 Jordan St
HENDERSONVILLE, NC 28739

8286936338

From: [Richard Partridge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:04:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Partridge

503 Brushy Creek Drive
McLeansville, NC 27301

3366970545

From: [norma hanson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:21:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

norma hanson

405 lady huntingdon ln
asheville, NC 28803

From: [Robert Rowe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:31:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Rowe

105 Spivey Ct
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Michael Savino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:43:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Savino

345 Potluck Farm Road
Rougemont, NC 27572

9193087928

From: [Sarah Pruteanu](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:49:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Pruteanu

100 Rivers Edge Drive, #243
Medford, MA 02155

9196490747

From: [Katherine Connor McKee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:57:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Connor McKee

1103 Fallston Road
Shelby, NC 28150

(704)484-2119

From: [Jerry Kelly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 8:59:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerry Kelly

407 Wampee Street
Calabash, NC 28467

From: [Manasvi Khullar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:01:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Manasvi Khullar

3212 Rapid Falls Road
Morrisville, NC 27560

From: [HK GUNN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:05:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

HK GUNN

Protect Our Air & Water Way
Little Switzerland, NC 28749

From: [Bob Coleman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:07:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Coleman

103 Candlelight Ct
Durham, NC 27707

919 490 6876

From: [Bepi Pinner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:19:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bepi Pinner

5622 Community Dr
Durham, NC 27705

9199426034

From: [Bailey Coble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:25:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bailey Coble

2830 Barrymore st. Unit 102
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Norma Elrod](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:27:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Norma Elrod

221 Valley View Dr
Madison, NC 27025

3364270378

From: [Paul BRAUN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:27:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul BRAUN

105 VFW road
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Rachel Newcomb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:29:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Newcomb

584 North Turkey Creek Rd
Leicester, NC 28748

From: [Matthew Busch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:29:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Busch

2543 Lullington Drive
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Bonnie Bowman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:31:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Bowman

1323 Rosehill Drive
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [William Blaine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:35:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Blaine

1209 Litchborough Way
Wake Forest, NC 27587

9198500419

From: [Richard Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:36:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Lane

Brandt Lake Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Randall Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:37:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randall Phillips

1501 Merriman Street NW
Lenoir, NC 28645

8287294887

From: [Vince Mendieta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:39:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vince Mendieta

6005 Cherry Creek Dr
Austin, TX 78745

512-555-1212

From: [Martha Parry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:43:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Parry

92 Rocking Horse
Burnsville, NC 28714

828 682 9358

From: [Nanette Prosser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:55:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nanette Prosser

87 McMichael Dr
Pinehurst, NC 28374

910 235 0011

From: [Carolyn Buckner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:59:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Buckner

8100 N Hound Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199323576

From: [Rhonda Sumner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 10:53:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Sumner

306 BOSS CT
Richlands, NC 28574

From: [Gregory Park](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:17:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gregory Park

107 Jubilee place
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [maurice wells](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:49:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

maurice wells

359 school rd. east lot#1
Asheville, NC 28803

828-676-0525

From: [Tom Craig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 12:07:31 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Craig

132 Murdock Ave
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Robert McArtor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 12:28:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert McArtor

2312Blythe Rd
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Caela Fitch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 12:47:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caela Fitch

Cuyhoga falls avenue
Cuyahoga, OH 28601

From: [Bersheau A Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 1:01:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bersheau A Davis

2627 Old Northeast Rd
Lake Waccamaw, NC 28450

From: [Diane Thomson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 1:49:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Thomson

142 S. Slope Loop, unit 2
Banner Elk, 28604

From: [Marie Garlock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 3:12:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marie Garlock

610 W. Morgan St
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Chanda Farley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 4:25:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chanda Farley

117 Ford St
Canton, NC 28716

From: [Patricia Murningham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 4:56:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Murningham

4043 Plum Street
conover, NC 28613

From: [Glenda Hollifield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:41:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenda Hollifield

48 Ellis Rd. Extension
Spruce Pine, NC 28777

828-765-2293

From: [Anne Garvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:31:31 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Garvey

3235 Midkiff Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Richard Halford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:43:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Halford

PO Box 966
BLACK MOUNTAIN, NC 28711

8287681918

From: [Shannon Graves-King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:58:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shannon Graves-King

2672 Jacob's Trail
Burlington, NC 27215

From: [Todd Patton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:02:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Todd Patton

4512 Bracada Dr
Durham, NC 27705

9193092447

From: [Rachel Milewski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:07:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Milewski

3419 Deep Green Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Debora O'Neill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:15:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debora O'Neill

2336 Elizabeth Circle
Gastonia, NC 28054

From: [Cynthia Kornegay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:20:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Kornegay

1434 Aversboro Road
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Mary Cummings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:25:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Cummings

210 Barnrock Dr
Mills River, NC 28759

From: [Candace L](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:25:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Candace L

103 twisted oak
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Rebecca Felton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:32:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Felton

230 N Caswell Avenue
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Levester Camper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:43:43 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Levester Camper

3208 Flowery Branch Rd
RALEIGH, NC 27610

(919) 329 0928

From: [florence arnold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:45:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

florence arnold

7 vr
cs, NC 28612

From: [Kimberly Weglar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:16:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Weglar

109 Goldenthal Court
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Carolyn Jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:19:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Jordan

142 Sylvan Acres Road
Waynesville, NC 28785

828 627 6824

From: [Katie Oates](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:28:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katie Oates

1020 Isleworth Ave
charlotte, NC 28203

(704) 342-1510

From: [Michele Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:31:54 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Clark

109 Shadowood Dr. Apt. V
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [John Boylston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:40:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Boylston

4123 Cobblestone Pl
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Renee McCann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:50:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee McCann

4410 Terrington Drive
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Phoebe Hood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:02:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phoebe Hood

960 mallard bay rd
Hampstead, NC 28443

From: [Steve Reilich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:13:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Reilich

PO Box 157
Indpls, IN 46183

9192704901

From: [Dr. Erlinda Aguirre Avena](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:23:42 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Erlinda Aguirre Avena

201 Ashley Meadow Ln
Jacksonville, NC 28546

From: [lu Collins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:34:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Perhaps you have noticed that more and more young people/college students are becoming involved in state and local politics, as they are aware of the detrimental impact of dirty energy/Big oil and Coal on their financial life, their health and quality of life. These new voters will not be blind to your administration's comfortable relationship with the corporate energy monopoly that controls energy in our state I am writing as one of your constituents to state I SUPPORT THE CLEAN POWER PLAN and I oppose your efforts to undermine it.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

lu Collins

5 ST
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Wanda Turner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:43:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wanda Turner

1030 E24th Street
Newton, 28658

8286129454

From: [Nancy Geimer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:53:43 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Geimer

1806 Chestnut Street
Wilmington, NC 28405

9107628137

From: [Nancy Forrest](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:55:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Forrest

2234 F Basil Holt Road
Burlington
Burlington, 27217

From: [Erin Ehrhardt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:57:54 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Ehrhardt

3413 US 21 Hwy
Hamptonville, NC 27020

From: [Dwayne Dvoracek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 11:01:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dwayne Dvoracek

110 Grayson Dr
Salisbury, NC 28147

From: [John and Phyllis Edwards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 11:05:16 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John and Phyllis Edwards

PO Box 924
Cashiers,, NC 28717

From: [Sara Vicente](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 11:23:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Vicente

673 Lenoir Rd
Morganton, NC 28655

8283344157

From: [C Lifsey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 11:31:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

C Lifsey

4241 Dowling Drive
Charlotte, NC 28205

7064147546

From: [Mariam Stephan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 11:43:58 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mariam Stephan

711 Westland Drive
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Mingming Gu](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 11:46:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mingming Gu

4802 Ferncrest Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

336-763-9837

From: [Cindy Thacker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 12:05:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Thacker

615 Spring Street
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Herman Lankford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 12:47:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herman Lankford

175 Britten Cove Rd
Weaverville, NC 28787

828-645-4690

From: [Angela Nicke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 1:01:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Nicke

3521 Beatty Road
SherrillsFord, NC 28673

From: [Matt Collogan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 1:07:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matt Collogan

180 Arlington Drive
Wilmington, NC 28401

From: [C. Warren Pope](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 1:17:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

C. Warren Pope

12 Mountain Site Ln. Ext
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Ron Fisher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 1:19:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ron Fisher

210 Normandy Drive
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Travis Graves](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:13:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Travis Graves

200 7th Street
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Robin Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:25:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

The more I see Mr. Governor, the more you look like a crook. I'm ashamed that you represent us while doing all you can to subvert efforts to protect our environment at the expense of future generations. It isn't yours to give away, sir. I pray fervently that you are a 1 term governor.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robin Young

123 Lanceford Circle
Fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Jeremiah Webb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:32:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeremiah Webb

367 South center ST
Taylorsville, NC 28681

8283529242

From: [Ruth Heath](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:40:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruth Heath

5116 Oak Garden Dr
Kernersville, NC 27284

336-784-4956

From: [Catherine Krug](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:43:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Krug

7123 Windaliere Drive
Cornelius, NC 28031

3175905672

From: [Moriah Blue](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:46:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Moriah Blue

822 Trevino Rd
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Philip Carl](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:47:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

We can have clean air (or at least cleaner than it is) and still have a thriving economy. We are the home of the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences. Have people in your administration consulted with them to see what the science says?

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Carl

8304 Glynmorgan Way
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Peggy Fergus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 2:49:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Fergus

1500 Chesterfield Rd
Lewisville, NC 27023

From: [LaNita Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 3:28:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LaNita Clark

PO Box 1811
Highlands, NC 28741

8287872197

From: [Tyson Walkup](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 3:54:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tyson Walkup

7030 Rothmore St
charlotte, 28215

From: [Gracie Partridge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 4:19:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gracie Partridge

24004 Tar Heel Dr
Albemarle, NC 28001

From: [Rod Hooker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 5:05:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rod Hooker

5629 Old Garden Road #306
WILMINGTON, NC 28403

From: [Goldis Reel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 5:10:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Goldis Reel

5009 Harness Lane
Colfax, NC 27235

From: [Jacquelyn Hough](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 5:17:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the EPA's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As the effects of climate change continue to increase, we must act NOW to reduce our carbon emissions.

Why are you and your environmental agency purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail the federal requirements under the CPP? The 'Department of Environmental Quality' -- a misnomer if there ever was one -- is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, and our energy mix. Instead, the federal government will step in to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Hough

305 Andrews Road
Red Springs, NC 28377

From: [Chris Ekstedt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 5:33:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Ekstedt

1615 York Ave
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Dave Loiselle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 5:46:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave Loiselle

402 n English hill ln
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Caroline Martin`](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 5:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caroline Martin`

516 Moncure Dr
Charlotte, NC 28209

From: [Irene Lofstrom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:25:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Irene Lofstrom

irene.lofstrom@gmail.com
irene.lofstrom@gmail.com
Durham, NC 27707

9193231547

From: [Charles Webb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:43:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Webb

201 Hwy 54 #717
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Dan Nerl](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:48:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dan Nerl

1635 Frazier Ridge Lane
Mebane, 27302

From: [Tim Hergenrader](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Hergenrader

106 Black Horse Run S
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Donna Lovick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:49:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Lovick

2957 Old Fairground Rd
Angier, NC 27501

9198943207

From: [Jacob Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:55:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacob Johnson

815 Old Welcome Rd
Lithia, FL 33547

From: [Melissa Mader](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:57:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

SUBJECT: AMAZING -why sell out on NC?

Dear Pat McCrory and Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

DO NOT ALLOW OUR ENVIRONMENTAL BEST INTERESTS ARE NOT SOLD OUT TO BILLIONAIRES TO BUY OUR ENVIRONMENT to pollute OUR NC DIVINE BEACHES, WATER, CLEAN AIR, FISHING, WILDLIFE WITH NO DRILLING!

Thank you.
Sincerely,
Melissa Mader
21317 Cornelius St
Cornelius NC 28031
704-987-9647

Sincerely,

Melissa Mader

21317 Cornelius St
Cornelius, NC 28031

7049879647

From: [Carol Whitlock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 6:59:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Whitlock

8 MYSTERY MOUNTAIN DR
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Renee Hayes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:03:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Hayes

6903 Fairview Church Rd
Trinity, NC 27370

3364343582

From: [joel wooten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:14:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

joel wooten

po box 851
yadkinville, NC 27055

From: [Gerald Roybal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:21:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gerald Roybal

2452 Tantelon Pl
Winston-Salem, NC 27127

From: [Carolyn Redding](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:43:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Redding

5027 winster drive
apt. 102
winston salem, NC 27106

From: [Martin Hazeltine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:49:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Hazeltine

7614 Dunbar dr sw
Sunset Beach, NC 28648

9105752546

From: [Marina Kaminis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 7:55:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marina Kaminis

612 Leawood Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [kay.carlton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:19:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kay carlton

640 riva ridge
sneads ferry, NC 28460

From: [Annika Andersson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:25:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annika Andersson

PO BOX 6
Atlantic Beach, NC 28512

From: [Judy Coe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:32:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Coe

157 Cedar Point Drive
Mount Airy, NC 27030

3367105355

From: [Rebecca Montaldo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:37:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Montaldo

4810 Cedar Creek Rd
Fayetteville, NC 28312

(910) 261-7595

From: [J Hilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:39:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J Hilton

2967 Rawls Church Rd
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526

From: [Nathan Holder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 8:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nathan Holder

919 Shellbrook Ct
Apt 8
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Ruth Bromer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:01:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruth Bromer

904 Dorothea Drive
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Halie Cunningham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 9:41:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). There are hundreds of obvious signs, in public health, in environmental quality, in intelligent economics, that insist we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

To be honest, I am not surprised at all that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. This, along with many of your other environmental policies, is a disgrace to the Old North State I know and love. Nothing about this "plan to fail" makes sense other than putting money into the hands of the few at the expense of millions of less fortunate and innocent North Carolinians and this place we call home.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Halie Cunningham

ASU Box 12063
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Caila Gorniewicz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:01:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caila Gorniewicz

1657 Arnold dr
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Olga Pader](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:05:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Olga Pader

262 Mine Valley Trail
Franklin, NC 28734

From: [Yves Bugel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:05:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Yves Bugel

105 Salola st
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [PAT ROBINSON](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:25:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

PAT ROBINSON

814 GUILFORD COLLEGE RD
#194
GREENSBORO, NC 27409

From: [Frank Werner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 10:34:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Werner

26 Kollinova Dr
Clayton, NC 27527

9195538960

From: [Donna Ehresmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 12:23:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Ehresmann

1518 Seabrook Ave
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Veronica Reich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 1:29:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Veronica Reich

108 Somerset Court
Point Harbor, 27964

From: [Willie Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 2:09:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Willie Greene

418 Burrough St
CHARLOTTE, NC 28213

9802001528

From: [Nancy Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 3:57:54 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Brown

106 Persimmon Hill Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Virginia Baysden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 4:51:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Baysden

102 Mills Street
Richlands, NC 28574

9102007555

From: [Cheryl Rotatori](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 5:31:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Rotatori

272 Treetops Drive
Stanley, NC 28164

From: [Mikey dujsik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 6:14:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mikey dujsik

1227 Creek Road
Morehead City, NC 28557

From: [Rita Spencer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 7:31:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita Spencer

720 Glenwood Rd
Asheboro, NC 27203

3366255266

From: [Kelly Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 8:22:42 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelly Adams

Orchard Lane
Winterville, NC 28590

From: [Annabel Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 8:27:54 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annabel Baker

PO Box 27
Blounts Creek, NC 27814

From: [Richard Duffy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:23:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Duffy

5047 Wyngate Village Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Ben Curry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:29:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ben Curry

400 Wesley Drive
Asheville, NC 28803

8284422394

From: [joseph litwinowicz](mailto:joseph.litwinowicz)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:31:41 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

joseph litwinowicz

1141 sapona river lane
Waxhaw, NC 28173

9544947849

From: [Karen Hassall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:31:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Hassall

2421 Shenandoah Avenue
Durham
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Charles Froelich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:37:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Froelich

204 Michigan Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

8282061774

From: [Lynn Pate](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:44:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynn Pate

461 Pate Rd
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

From: [Roger Chellew](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 10:02:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roger Chellew

104 Elmwood Lane
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Debbie Kenyon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 10:18:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debbie Kenyon

509 GABLEFIELD LN
Apex, NC 27502

9193036906

From: [Steve Kemble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 10:36:41 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Kemble

60 Alabama Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [thomas pridgen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 10:37:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

thomas pridgen

11756 nc hwy 16 n
Grassy Creek, NC 28631

From: [Kirk Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 11:11:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kirk Adams

2716 NC Hwy 49 S
Asheboro, 27205

From: [Elizabeth Staton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 12:27:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Staton

1006 S. Myrtle St
China Grove, NC 28023

From: [Margaret Trent](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 12:47:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Trent

3117 NC 150
Reidsville, NC 27320

From: [Laura Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 1:25:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Jackson

3311 Shannon Road #35C
Durham, NC 27707

9198244229

From: [Kim Hombs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 1:25:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Hombs

16303 Farmchase Ct
Charlotte, NC 28277

704-408-7216

From: [Tammy Musselwhite](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 2:28:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tammy Musselwhite

111 Manteo dr
Raeford, NC 28376

From: [Carol Shaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 4:31:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Shaver

212 Twin K Drive
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

From: [Alan Spencer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 5:08:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Spencer

701 S Elam
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Paul Lucas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 5:42:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Lucas

3216 Ian Patrick Ave
Kannapolis, NC 28083

From: [Antoinette Gonzales](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 6:13:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Antoinette Gonzales

12669 Westbranch Way
Victorville, CA 92392

From: [Anna Gallop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 6:15:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Gallop

PO Box 313
manteo, NC 27954

From: [Joanne Napoli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 6:44:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne Napoli

1001 Prologue Road
Durham, NC 27712

9194779315

From: [Dorothy Reist](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 6:44:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Reist

8520 Woodcliff Dr
Emerald Isle, NC 28594

From: [Keely Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 7:05:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keely Wood

363 angel rd
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Claire Szaz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 7:29:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

You and your children have to live in NC too!! I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am extremely disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air!!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Claire Szaz

196 St. Andrews Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Martin Hazeltine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 7:53:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Hazeltine

7614 Dunbar Dr SW
Sunset Beach, NC 28468

910-575-2546

From: [Enrique Rodriguez-Pastor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 7:57:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Enrique Rodriguez-Pastor

117 Woodbriar Road
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

407-921-5969

From: kim.hambrick-barnes
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:13:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kim hambrick-barnes

180 sunnybrook lane
ellenboro, NC 28040

From: [Linda Duckett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:29:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Duckett

51 Melrose St
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Samantha Heck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:34:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samantha Heck

25423 Burbage Circle
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Elizabeth Mathews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 9:52:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Mathews

5100 Tybrook Court Apt 101
Raleigh, NC 27612

9196287338

From: [Jeff Kulp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 19, 2015 10:15:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Kulp

9125 Sulkirk Drive
Raleigh, NC 27617

From: [Sheila Beaudry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 12:33:43 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheila Beaudry

821 Buteo Ridge
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [william h. wreath](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 1:09:44 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air, or leave the state and let someone in that cares.

Sincerely,

william h. wreath

7132 howey bottoms road
indian trail, NC 28079

704-622-2254

From: [Cathy Handy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 4:53:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Handy

2524 Covington Loop
Graham, NC 27253

From: [Tanya Gerard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 7:01:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tanya Gerard

312 N Pinnacle Ridge Rd
Beech Mnt, NC 28604

From: [Julia Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 10:04:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Wood

1347 KELLUM LOOP RD
JACKSONVILLE, 28546

From: [Michael Navascues](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 11:09:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Navascues

6508 Falconbridge Road, #13
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Michael Erwin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 11:42:06 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Erwin

97 Governor Thomson Ter
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Anja Collette](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 12:20:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anja Collette

1101 Duchess Ln
Hubert, NC 28539

12523788715

From: [Phyliss Craddock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 1:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phyliss Craddock

1502 sherwood Dr Apt 20
Reidsville, NC 27320

336.432.2443

From: [Barbara Sloss](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 2:07:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Although jobs and economic development need stimulation and regulation is a "dirty" word by your standards, I would rather live through regulation than wear a mask to work because the air is too dirty to breathe. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sloss

5 Wagon Road
Asheville, NC 28805

828-989-9458

From: [Rowland Shelley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 2:57:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rowland Shelley

3708 Tree Side Ct
Raleigh, NC 27607

9193261929

From: [Stephanie Benson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 4:43:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Benson

6808 Palomino Ridge Court
Summerfield, NC 27358

From: [Tiffany Culler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 8:19:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Culler

3235 Swaim Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27107

3364424019

From: [Bob Coleman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 8:34:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Coleman

103 Candlelight Ct
Durham, NC 27707

919 490 6876

From: [Whitney Clarke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 20, 2015 11:28:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Whitney Clarke

216 Bracken Ct
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Ronald Clayton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 21, 2015 11:19:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Protect the People. STOP protecting the polluters!

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

* How much are the big polluters paying you to protect them?

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Clayton

545 E DORSETT AVE
ASHEBORO, NC 27203

3364600019

From: [Raymond O'Connor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 21, 2015 11:46:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raymond O'Connor

108 Bishop Drive
Winterville, NC 28590

From: [Kathleen Borkowski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 21, 2015 1:52:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Borkowski

5991 Dixon Drive
Raleigh, NC 27609

9193907751

From: [Irene Barrera](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 21, 2015 5:39:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Irene Barrera

106 Winter Rd
Jacksonville, NC 28540

From: [KJ Linarez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 21, 2015 8:33:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

KJ Linarez

5249 Manzanita
Carmichael, CA 95608

From: [Mark Hurmence](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 9:53:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Hurmence

518 Spencer Farlow drive
Carolina beach, NC 28428

From: [Edna Perrine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 10:30:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edna Perrine

604 Croatan Ave
Manteo, NC 27954

2524732025

From: [Rick Lee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 11:01:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rick Lee

1006 Assembly Street
Belmont, NC 28012

From: [Eli Celli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 11:54:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eli Celli

407 Legends Way
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Scott Lawrence](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 12:25:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Lawrence

8400 West Fall Ln
Retired
Stokesdale, NC 27357

From: [Diane Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 1:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Thomas

110 Pinecrest Rd
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Nancy Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 3:33:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Brown

142 Plantation Dr
King, NC 27021

From: [Adrienne Ferriss](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 6:01:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Ferriss

27 pheasant dr
asheville, NC 28803

From: [Chris Troxtell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 6:02:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Troxtell

4492 Jordan St
Trinity, NC 27370

From: [Brenda W. Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 7:22:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda W. Thomas

110 Hobbit Lane
Pisgah Forest, NC 28768

From: [Leigh Clodfelter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 10:07:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leigh Clodfelter

3923 Fountain Village Lane
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Teresa Bratton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 22, 2015 10:57:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Bratton

1110 Sunset Dr
Greensboro, NC 27408

3363371034

From: [Joe Burnham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 23, 2015 2:19:58 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Burnham

9375 Helsabeck Rd
Rural Hall, NC 27045

From: [Michelle Carr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 23, 2015 8:25:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Carr

mich7474@bellsouth.net
mich7474@bellsouth.net
Charlotte, NC 28207

7047779712

From: [Lisa Alpert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 23, 2015 12:40:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Alpert

23 Peace St
Asheville, NC 28806

8285057579

From: [Gretchen Klein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 23, 2015 1:39:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Klein

3449 Benny Lineberry rd
climax, NC 27233

From: [Kenneth Kneidel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 23, 2015 5:10:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am embarrassed as a North Carolinian to read the proposed plan that NC is submitting to meet the requirements of the federal Clean Power Plan. How can we only address coal and not the natural gas and renewable energy blocks required in the CPP? This strategy seems blatantly foolish to me, unless I assume that the Department of Air Quality is more concerned about business interests in NC than the health of its citizens and the sustainability of the natural resources in this state.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Kneidel

424 Bertonley Avenue
CHARLOTTE, NC 28211

From: [Sherry Setliff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 7:55:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherry Setliff

703 Victory Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Laurie Rose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 11:04:04 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurie Rose

99 Pine Ridge Drive
Whispering Pines, NC 28327

From: [Cheryl Simmons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 11:23:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Simmons

109 Island Rd
Marshall, NC 28753

8287787336

From: [Shawn Cooper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 11:33:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shawn Cooper

1444 west 4th St Apt A
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

3364038545

From: [Paul Moloney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 12:11:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Moloney

HillHouse
507 E. Club Dr
Gastonia, NC 28054

From: [Jeanne Fitzpatrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 12:12:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Fitzpatrick

108 S Lake Pk Blvd, #310
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

From: [Toni Hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 1:13:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Toni Hill

15 Pascal Way
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Linda Tyndall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 1:19:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Tyndall

393 Owen Drive
Lumberton, NC 28358

From: [Carol Carlson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 1:19:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Carlson

6719 Brookbank
Summerfield
Summerfield., 27358

From: [Portia McCracken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 2:39:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a senior with COPD and asthma, I wholeheartedly support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we must work towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am intensely disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is designed to fail, the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Portia McCracken

917 Forest Hill Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Francis Chandler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 5:46:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francis Chandler

207 Murray Street
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Judith Hughes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 6:02:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Hughes

34 Pleasant Street
Apt. 5A
Woodstock, VT 05091

From: [William Berry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 6:38:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Berry

1230 Pressley Rd
apt 2
Charlotte, NC 28217

7045273425

From: [Angela Cerutti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 6:53:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Cerutti

2145 Jackson St
Wilmington, NC 28401

From: [Chris Daniels](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 4:17:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am disgusted that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Daniels

PO Box 693
Bryson City, NC 28713

From: [Cheryl Kennedy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 7:05:04 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Kennedy

500 Water Wheel Cv
Clyde, NC 28721

From: [NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: New petition to you: Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air
Date: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 9:03:37 PM

 New petition

Joelle Burluson – NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK started a petition on Change.org and listed you as a decision maker. Learn more about NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK's petition and how you can respond.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK · Started Nov 25, 2015

Governor McCrory and his administration would rather submit a “plan to fail” than reduce our state’s carbon pollution. The good news: Recently, federal officials... [Read more](#)

[View the petition](#)

WHAT YOU CAN DO

1. View the petition

[Learn about the petition and its supporters.](#) You will receive updates as new supporters sign the petition so you can see who is signing and why.

2. Respond to the petition

[Post a response](#) to let the petition supporters know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information.

3. Continue the dialogue

Read the comments posted by petition supporters and continue the dialogue so that others can see you're an engaged leader who is willing to participate in open discussion.

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. [Learn more](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 5 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Wednesday, November 25, 2015 11:57:27 PM



New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK ·
5 supporters



5 more people signed
in the last **3 hours**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS



Carlton weaver

Louisburg, NC · Nov 26, 2015



Gwen Fowler

Leicester, NC · Nov 26, 2015



Daisy Roshitsh

Wake Forest, NC · Nov 26, 2015



Elizabeth Mills

Waynesville, NC · Nov 26, 2015

**Sam Yates**

Rockingham, NC · Nov 26, 2015

[View all 5 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 5 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Thursday, November 26, 2015 9:42:35 AM

 New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK · 5 supporters



**5 more people signed
in the last 10 hours**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS

 **angie deckard**
indian trail, NC · Nov 26, 2015

 **Jan Phillips**
Kernersville, NC · Nov 26, 2015

 **Victoria T**
Angier, NC · Nov 26, 2015

 **Carl Levan**
Mcleansville, NC · Nov 26, 2015

**Ziddell Yala**

Charlotte, NC · Nov 26, 2015

[View all 5 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 5 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Thursday, November 26, 2015 1:30:33 PM



New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK ·
5 supporters



**5 more people signed
in the last 4 hours**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS



Yolanda Burke

Charlotte, NC · Nov 26, 2015



Keith Cranford

Climax, NC · Nov 26, 2015



roger daye

hillsborough, NC · Nov 26, 2015



Rhonda Petersen

Morganton, NC · Nov 26, 2015

**Concerned Citizen**

New City, NY · Nov 26, 2015

[View all 5 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Sally Moseley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, November 26, 2015 3:50:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Moseley

1612 Colony Dr
Tarboro, NC 27886

2528234757

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Thursday, November 26, 2015 9:31:12 PM

 New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK · 10 supporters



**10 more people signed
in the last 8 hours**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS

 **April Bean**
Gastonia, NC · Nov 27, 2015

 **Gloria Joyce**
Mayodan, NC · Nov 27, 2015

 **Carrelle Harris**
Charlotte, NC · Nov 27, 2015

 **marta del carmen zaboynik**
Greensboro, NC · Nov 26, 2015



Rodolfo Sanchez

Huntersville, NC · Nov 26, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Friday, November 27, 2015 11:56:03 AM



New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK ·
10 supporters



**10 more people signed
in the last day**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS



Wanda Holmes

Concord, NC · Nov 27, 2015



Laura Simonson

Asheville, NC · Nov 27, 2015



Chantal Buslot

Hasselt, TX · Nov 27, 2015



Christian Castillo

Charlotte, NC · Nov 27, 2015

**Serenity Blair**

Asheboro, NC · Nov 27, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Pat Connell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 27, 2015 12:09:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Connell

140 Partridge Trl
Salisbury, NC 28146

7042108042

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Friday, November 27, 2015 3:03:14 PM



New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK ·
10 supporters



**10 more people signed
in the last 3 hours**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS



Peter Beckley

Carolina Beach, NC · Nov 27, 2015



barbara walker

gastonia, NC · Nov 27, 2015



Edwin Jousma

Wilmington, NC · Nov 27, 2015



lisa Francis

Belmont, NC · Nov 27, 2015



William Massengill

Clayton, NC · Nov 27, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Jeffrey Reckinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, November 27, 2015 6:49:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Reckinger

110 Louisa St
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 4:49:15 PM



New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK ·
10 supporters



**10 more people signed
in the last 2 days**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS



James Mulcare

Clarkston, WA · Nov 28, 2015



Isabel Medel

Ocean Isle Beach, NC · Nov 28, 2015



Kristy Wooten

Newton, NC · Nov 28, 2015



Sean Donoghue

Apex, NC · Nov 28, 2015



James Stewart

Charlotte, NC · Nov 28, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Lynn Barry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:13:01 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lynn Barry
1605 Tryon Rd
New Bern, NC 28560-4642
lynnbarry@suddenlink.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Mathew Wahrman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:16:13 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mathew Wahrman
5725 Tarawa Blvd
Tarawa Terrace, NC 28543-1355
gandalfthegray14@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [adria barrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:20:45 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. adria barrow
11tina lane
kelford, NC 27847
barrowadria@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Isabelle Nilsson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:21:15 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Isabelle Nilsson
405 Wolverine Ct
Charlotte, NC 28213-4215
isabellenilsson@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robert Zeid](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:23:17 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robert Zeid
PO Box 18598
Asheville, NC 28814-0598
robert_zeid@msn.com

From: [Jessica Cureton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:23:31 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessica Cureton
4820 Harri Ann Dr
Charlotte, NC 28227-4090
jessicacureton@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Allen Peaden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:30:04 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Allen Peaden
1125 Nicklaus Dr Apt B
Greenville, NC 27834-8030
a.peaden2@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tonya Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:30:45 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tonya Davis
1043 Kernodle Rd
Gibsonville, NC 27249-8507
Tldavis1018@gmail.com

From: [Rebecca Costello](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:35:20 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rebecca Costello
159 Viburnum Way
Carrboro, NC 27510-4325
rcostello@gmail.com

From: [Jessica Sudduth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:44:10 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessica Sudduth
4711 N New Hope Rd
Raleigh, NC 27604-4423
sudduthj01@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephen Kandall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:53:13 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephen Kandall
2717 Charleston Oaks Dr
Raleigh, NC 27614-8873
sk1840@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Meriel Shire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 10:59:27 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Meriel Shire
2514 Chapel Hill Rd
Durham, NC 27707-1463
merielshire@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sue Bedient](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:01:20 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sue Bedient
255 Hobbs St
Davidson, NC 28036-6943
suebedient@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Colleen Kenyon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:06:45 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Colleen Kenyon
211 Parkroyale Ln
Cary, NC 27519-6633
hooterzel@yahoo.com

From: [Kevan Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:07:59 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kevan Parker
28 Berkshire Dr
Jacksonville, NC 28546-5401
kevanparker@gmail.com

From: [R Allison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:11:01 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. R Allison
5891 Liner Creek Rd
Clyde, NC 28721-8932
rallisonf@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nick Atkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:12:22 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nick Atkins
205 Mohican Trl
Wilmington, NC 28409-3420
atkinsnick6@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [lydia Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:22:39 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lydia Brown
2527costmary Lane
Wilmington, NC 28412
lmhbrown@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nathie Carrizosa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:26:37 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nathie Carrizosa
3314 Leamington Ln
Charlotte, NC 28226-6657
nathiec@gmail.com

From: [Yvette Bikoff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:26:58 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Yvette Bikoff
1011 Holly Hill Dr
Durham, NC 27713-6057
ybikoff@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Elaine French](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:33:35 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elaine French
1423 Trospen Rd
Greensboro, NC 27455-1209
tombfrench@bellsouth.net

From: beth.fenlong
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:48:12 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. beth fenlong
4821 Southgate blvd.
Southport, NC 28461
bethfenlong@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hendree Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:50:48 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hendree Jones
108 Standing Rock Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-7798
hendree_Jones@med.unc.edu

From: [james quinn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:57:24 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Quinn
205 S Lyndon St
Greensboro, NC 27401-2926
jamesquinn@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Risa Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2015 11:58:47 PM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Risa Wood
101 Cheyenne Trl
Wilmington, NC 28409-3205
risawood@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jeanne Dairaghi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:02:40 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeanne Dairaghi
54 Austins Knl
Marshall, NC 28753-9133
jdairaghi@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Deanna Epps](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:07:08 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Deanna Epps
3015 Waxhaw Indian Trail Rd S
Waxhaw, NC 28173-9737
depps281@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Shatley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:07:10 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Shatley
2520 Powell Brickyard Rd
Lenoir, NC 28645-9793
shatleyjennifer@yahoo.com

From: [Melissa Capps](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:07:42 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Capps
42 crescent st.
Asheville, NC 28801
mel.rose7734@gmail.com

From: neha.suryavanshi
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:07:50 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. neha.suryavanshi
1100 Lupine Ct
Raleigh, NC 27606-8120
nehasuryavanshi21@gmail.com

From: [Gina Major](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:08:01 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gina Major
708 Chapwith Rd
Garner, NC 27529-4893
3dandg@msn.com

From: [leah riddle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:08:14 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. leah riddle
612 Clark Ave NE
Wilson, NC 27893-3100
seizethedecadence@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [April M.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:09:45 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. April M.
44 Eastbury Dr
Hendersonville, NC 28792-2680
7amartin@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alexis Reynolds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:09:45 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alexis Reynolds
19311 River Crossing Blvd
Davidson, NC 28036-6022
anr32@duke.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gwen Foster](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:10:12 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gwen Foster
140 Lookout Dr
Asheville, NC 28804-3306
gwen.foster.59@gmail.com

From: [Sylvia Andrade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:11:21 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sylvia Andrade
302 Glen Eagles Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27104-5319
sylvia09andrade@gmail.com

From: [Olli Selander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:16:15 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Olli Selander
3560 Landis St
Winston Salem, NC 27107-2668
olli_selander@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Joseph Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:17:44 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joseph Williams
4900 Edgerton Dr
Raleigh, NC 27612-2663
williamsrj47@gmail.com

From: [Daniel Neuspiel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:17:44 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Daniel Neuspiel
1350 S Kings Dr
Charlotte, NC 28207-2134
daniel.neuspiel@carolinashealthcare.org

From: [Melissa Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:17:58 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Young
5221 Carrier Way
Raleigh, NC 27603-8809
myoung_27603@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bill Fuller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:18:39 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bill Fuller
PO Box 214
Morrisville, NC 27560-0214
senatorbimmark@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Liz Honeycutt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:20:47 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Liz Honeycutt
101 Caladan Rd
Castle Hayne, NC 28429-5969
e.honeycutt94@gmail.com

From: [Keith Sherburn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:22:00 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Keith Sherburn
2824 Avent Ferry Rd
Raleigh, NC 27606-3128
ksherburn24@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lynn Price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:22:19 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lynn Price
2124 Annecy Dr
Matthews, NC 28105-2319
lprice35@gmail.com

From: [Janice Heyland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:25:17 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janice Heyland
PO Box 51
Gloucester, NC 28528-0051
janiceheyland@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Philip Philips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:25:21 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Philip Philips
8500 Main St
Vanceboro, NC 28586-9162
philip@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Llaina Rosemary](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:27:26 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Llaina Rosemary
18 Orange St Apt 2
Asheville, NC 28801-2366
llainahemperly1@gmail.com

From: [Deborah H. Chilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:27:30 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Deborah H. Chilton
169 Caldwell Rd
Brasstown, NC 28902-9718
deborahollowellchilton@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Anwasha Pautsch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:27:47 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anwasha Pautsch
1941 Blackwolf Run Ln
Raleigh, NC 27604-5405
rodeogal24@gmail.com

From: [Sandra Petteway](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:31:43 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandra Petteway
465 Collingswood Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27127-3149
skpetteway@gmail.com

From: [Garrett Burkett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:32:03 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Garrett Burkett
1
Boone, NC 28607
junk@marv3.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sarah Ingle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:32:17 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah Ingle
720 Hunsucker Dr NE
Conover, NC 28613-7620
sarahingle74@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Benjamin Hamrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:32:18 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Benjamin Hamrick
5310 Highway 49 S
Harrisburg, NC 28075-8468
benthesim@gmail.com

From: [Ian Sheeley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:32:23 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ian Sheeley
172 Grande Meadow Way
Cary, NC 27513-3177
ianrsheeley@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Megan Burks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:32:30 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Megan Burks
110 Vanture Pl
Fort Bragg, NC 28307-2426
Meganlarissa22@yahoo.com

From: [Avery Obame](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:29 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Avery Obame
136 Luther Rd
Raleigh, NC 27610-1804
avery.obame@gmail.com

From: [Matthew Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:32 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Matthew Carter
11750 Blue Tick Ct
Charlotte, NC 28269-5158
sinstrite@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Javier Solis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:38 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Javier Solis
11100 Blue Heron Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226-4623
javiernsolis@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [David Angel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:42 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. David Angel
4007 Oak Ridge Rd
Summerfield, NC 27358-8602
dangel@triad.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rajat Shukla](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:42 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rajat Shukla
612 Cavendish Ln
Waxhaw, NC 28173-7240
arkenex@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Surag Baichwal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:46 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Surag Baichwal
304 Casey Brook Ct
Cary, NC 27519-8723
baichwal@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tim D'Annecy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:46 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tim D'Annecy
605 Jones Ferry Rd
Carrboro, NC 27510-2106
tdannecy@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Isabel Bagwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:33:49 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Isabel Bagwell
206 Del Rio Ave
Wilmington, NC 28409-3209
isabelbagwell@gmail.com

From: [Jacob foley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:34:22 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jacob foley
327 Rankin Hill Rd
Troutman, NC 28166-8719
awesomefoley8181@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julie Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:34:53 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julie Smith
391 Shaw Rd
Saint Pauls, NC 28384-8450
jsmith1969660@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Patrick Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:36:06 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patrick Griffin
3700 Manor Dr
Greensboro, NC 27403-1379
ptgriff@gmail.com

From: [Brett Wiegand](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:36:58 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brett Wiegand
307 King St
Greensboro, NC 27406-1688
baw311@gmail.com

From: [Justin Blackburn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:37:43 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Justin Blackburn
927 Fugate Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205-7011
justinblackburn2@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tyler Shaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:37:48 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tyler Shaw
2600 Spainhour Rd
Morganton, NC 28655-7065
teamfemp@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephen Santucci](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:38:09 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephen Santucci
3113 Gulfstream Ct
Matthews, NC 28105-4488
mcsteve123@hotmail.com

From: [Maleia Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:38:15 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maleia Adams
5471 Shattalon Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27106-1918
msadamsjj@gmail.com

From: [Sarah Chaisson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:43:16 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah Chaisson
2014 Quail Forest Dr
Raleigh, NC 27609-5041
schaisso13@gmail.com

From: [Michael Corcoran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:43:54 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michael Corcoran
5421 Tralee Pl
Raleigh, NC 27609-4437
mcorcoran420@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Donna Dames](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:44:06 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Dames
132 Nottingham Ct
Youngsville, NC 27596-9760
kodydawg@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jeff Crenshaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:44:41 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeff Crenshaw
613 Tenbury Woods Ct
Wake Forest, NC 27587-5136
jeffcren@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melisa Cadell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:45:27 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melisa Cadell
5200 Nc 80
Bakersville, NC 28705-7113
melisacadell@hotmail.com

From: [Sara Turner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:45:35 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sara Turner
4916 Royal Adelaide Way
Raleigh, NC 27604-5839
sara.turner.e@gmail.com

From: [Dan Argenas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:45:46 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dan Argenas
11006 Cripple Creek Ln
Charlotte, NC 28277-4120
dargenas@yahoo.com

From: [Barbara Halstead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:46:08 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Barbara Halstead
101 W Brook St
Black Mountain, NC 28711-2871
barbararay@gmail.com

From: [Katherine Boyce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:46:15 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katherine Boyce
2426 Vesson Ave
Durham, NC 27707-1435
katherineboyce@outlook.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Travis Hall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:49:17 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Travis Hall
920 Tyler Dewar Ln
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-6429
w.travis.hall67@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Leah Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:50:02 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leah Mitchell
2031 Copper Leaf Pkwy
Durham, NC 27703-7772
lilpirate2435@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Erik Olson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:50:50 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Erik Olson
225 Pineview Rd
Durham, NC 27707-2845
itseriko@gmail.com

From: [Seth Martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:52:01 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Seth Martin
366 Getaway Ridge
Cashiers, NC 28717
limpydan@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Brock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:52:03 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rebecca Brock
6611 Roundstone Dr
Raleigh, NC 27613-3249
rmb0219@gmail.com

From: [Cindy Myron](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:53:39 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cindy Myron
7822 Oak Marsh Dr
Raleigh, NC 27616-8378
cynthia.highsmith@gmail.com

From: [cassidy Tolson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:54:03 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. cassidy Tolson
100 Cary Pines Dr
Cary, NC 27513-3122
theyappybunny@gmail.com

From: [lynsey smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:55:57 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. lynsey smith
84 Vance Ave
Black Mountain, NC 28711-6400
weneversleep@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Matthew Marion](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:58:00 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Matthew Marion
4441 Pinoak Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27104-3609
mtm5699@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [April Crawford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:58:11 AM

Nov 28, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. April Crawford
PO Box 3
Sylva, NC 28779-0003
lovalovalover@gmail.com

From: [Jennifer Blake](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:00:18 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Blake
969 Clark Rd
Linwood, NC 27299-9241
eyesonfire1901@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robert Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:01:08 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robert Clark
139 Carefree Ln
Morehead City, NC 28557-9667
robhipster@gmail.com

From: [Lawrence Roberson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:03:33 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lawrence Roberson
4441 Six Forks Rd
Raleigh, NC 27609-5729
c1rhino@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julia Love](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:03:43 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julia Love
3522 Courtland Dr
Durham, NC 27707-5135
julialove@pobox.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [John Dickens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:03:46 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. John Dickens
105 Brittany Ct
Tarboro, NC 27886-9462
wooty@live.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Shannon Kunkle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:04:44 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shannon Kunkle
813 George Murphy Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27107-9237
ladydakkon7781@gmail.com

From: [Autumn Scarborough](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:05:50 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Autumn Scarborough
113 Fairfax Ln
Cary, NC 27513-4771
vetautumn@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Meredith Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:05:57 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Meredith Baker
830 Richard Ave
Kannapolis, NC 28081-4837
12bakerm@gmail.com

From: [Nicole Gallimore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:08:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nicole Gallimore
169 skyline dr
Asheboro, NC 27205
coleywoleybird@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Linnie Abernathy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:08:06 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linnie Abernathy
1803 Peace St
Henderson, NC 27536-3562
lstaraber@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Whitney Delaney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:08:07 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Whitney Delaney
130 Amberleigh Dr
Wilmington, NC 28411-9828
whitneyrenedelaney@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [John Brame](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:08:12 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. John Brame
2475 Brushy Mountain Rd
Wilkesboro, NC 28697-7176
johnbrame555@gmail.com

From: [Michael Serrano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:11:12 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michael Serrano
1928 Kilborne Dr
Charlotte, NC 28205-3351
mserran23@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [George speth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:11:24 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. George speth
555 cedar ave
Wilmington, NC 28405
chuppielove13@gmail.com

From: [Mary Skokauckas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:12:30 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mary Skokauckas
5420 Barber Mill Rd
Clayton, NC 27520-7260
m919s@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [alesia cranford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:12:39 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. alesia cranford
PO Box 594
Asheboro, NC 27204-0594
ahc718@hotmail.com

From: [Sam Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:12:56 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sam Young
202 Parkbranch Ln
Cary, NC 27519-5911
youngclaysam@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ryan Johnsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:12:58 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ryan Johnsen
1134 Parktop Dr
Cary, NC 27513-2134
ryanwjohansen@gmail.com

From: [Nancy Nancy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:13:15 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nancy Nancy
140 Friday Center Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27517-9495
namaltais@northcarolina.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alina Iliadis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:15:27 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alina Iliadis
43 Ravenscroft Dr
Asheville, NC 28801-3639
ailiadis@unca.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Monica Loflin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:17:17 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Monica Loflin
220 Meadow Lark Ln
Thomasville, NC 27360-3260
m_femail@yahoo.com

From: [Katherine fischer](mailto:kfischer@denr.gov)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:19:07 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katherine fischer
100 Glengary Ct
Durham, NC 27707-5942
kfisch93@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cameron Roffey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:19:37 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cameron Roffey
109 Rock Pointe Ln
Cary, NC 27513-2475
cmrncobb@yahoo.com

From: [Craig Marimpietri](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:20:21 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Craig Marimpietri
5821 Cardinal Way
Greensboro, NC 27410-9399
cwmarimp@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hannah P](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:20:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah P
4506 Tesla Park Dr
Wilmington, NC 28412-6968
hjaw.plaskus@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Donna Alley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:22:53 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Alley
114 Pointe South Dr
Randleman, NC 27317-9520
donna@nerdmediallc.com

From: [Hayden Abernathy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:23:33 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hayden Abernathy
3207 Hiddenwood Ln
Burlington, NC 27215-4675
hayden.abernathy@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Donna Perez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:24:53 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Perez
6741 Tom Hunter Ln
Manns Harbor, NC 27953-4503
butterfliesdw21@gmail.com

From: [Catlin Wheeler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:25:13 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Catlin Wheeler
1511 Fairview Rd
Raleigh, NC 27608-2527
catlindarkmatter@hotmail.com

From: [Amanda Emery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:25:14 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Emery
387 Old Newfound Rd
Leicester, NC 28748-5104
akemery2@gmail.com

From: [Janine Domingues-Vasquez](mailto:Janine.Domingues-Vasquez)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:25:22 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janine Domingues-Vasquez
3909 Marcom St
Raleigh, NC 27606-2877
janinedominguesvasquez@gmail.com

From: [Caroline Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:25:28 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Caroline Walker
356 4th Ave NW
Hickory, NC 28601-4953
TarHeelTeacher@aol.com

From: rona.garm
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:25:36 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. rona.garm
PO Box 1527
Wrightsville Beach, NC 28480-1527
ronagarm@gmail.com

From: [Wendy Dolivo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:25:47 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Wendy Dolivo
105 Glenora Dr
Huntersville, NC 28078-6030
wdolivo@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jada Mayo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:26:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jada Mayo
430 Hill Rd
Lincolnton, NC 28092-7596
missjadamayo@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Daisy Benshoff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:28:32 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Daisy Benshoff
330 W Grandview Hts
Boone, NC 28607-7699
daisybenshoff@gmail.com

From: [Amy Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:29:32 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amy Wilson
4743 Champion Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410-6101
sweetstar687@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nicole Mullen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:29:32 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nicole Mullen
1523 Copperline Dr
Garner, NC 27529-5951
mullenbn@gmail.com

From: [Elizabeth Booker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:29:33 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Booker
3409 Hope Valley Rd
Durham, NC 27707-4650
beth.booker@live.com

From: [Donna Sasser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:29:44 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Sasser
7222 Alamance Dr
Charlotte, NC 28227-6803
don@icemoon.com

From: [Kevin Crutchfield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:29:45 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kevin Crutchfield
109 Waxwood Ln
Cary, NC 27518-9732
kcrutchfield@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hannah Pierce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:29:47 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah Pierce
457 Grayton Pl
Fayetteville, NC 28311-1234
ecreiphannah@gmail.com

From: [Leanne O'Donnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:30:10 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leanne O'Donnell
704 Granite St
Greensboro, NC 27403-4356
lcodonne@uncg.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Dominique Craddock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:30:33 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dominique Craddock
295 Warton Ln
Fayetteville, NC 28314-4543
snoopygrl@embarqmail.com

From: [Melissa Bryson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:30:46 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Bryson
191 Farmstead Dr Apt 2
Sylva, NC 28779-6563
melissa_b78@hotmail.com

From: [Amanda McKnight](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:30:58 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda McKnight
1755 S Chavis Rd
Kittrell, NC 27544-9695
amcknight1980@gmail.com

From: [Kathy Branch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:31:27 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathy Branch
100 B Street
Drexel, NC 28619
kathy.branch@dhhs.nc.gov

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melissa Tarleton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:31:48 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Tarleton
1117coliseum blvd
Greensboro, NC 27401
melissatarleton@gmail.com

From: [Samantha Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:34:02 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Samantha Harris
327 Franklin St
Roanoke Rapids, NC 27870-1712
samanthavester@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ashley Toscano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:34:11 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ashley Toscano
1121 Rice Ranch Way
Cary, NC 27518-7074
ajtoscano90@gmail.com

From: [Andrea Aldridge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:35:59 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Andrea Aldridge
220 Cox Ave
Raleigh, NC 27605-1850
charliechoppy@yahoo.com

From: [Bill Bourret](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:37:09 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bill Bourret
123 Street
Citty, NC 27514
billb@mindspring.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Trish Ward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:37:36 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Trish Ward
5113 Rina Ct
Cary, NC 27518-8833
tward13.pw@gmail.com

From: [June Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:38:20 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. June Campbell
2205 Lee Dr
Burlington, NC 27215-5346
howdy1m0@gmail.com

From: [Margo Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:39:51 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Margo Williams
6616 Wood Sorrell Rd
Wilmington, NC 28405-7765
mwilliams@cfcc.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Joshua Strickland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:40:30 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joshua Strickland
6622 Narrow Valley Way
Raleigh, NC 27615-7572
joshuastrickland1993@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Elisa Reyes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:41:18 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elisa Reyes
348 Hunter Ln
Zebulon, NC 27597-5104
emk1277@earthlink.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Chrys Tuttle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:42:14 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Chrys Tuttle
112 2nd Ave NE
Catawba, NC 28609-8165
chrystuttle@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rich Tuttle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:42:16 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rich Tuttle
112 2nd Ave NE
Catawba, NC 28609-8165
dj_stretch03@yahoo.com

From: [Xaphan Jacobs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:43:34 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Xaphan Jacobs
104 Kahn drive
Pembroke, NC 28372
pixarella@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Colette Czarnecki](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:49:10 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Colette Czarnecki
671 Ramey Orchard Rd
Lowgap, NC 27024-9257
colcza@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Brittany Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:59:48 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brittany Brown
1143 Ebert St
Winston Salem, NC 27103-4411
bnbodenh@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [christina christinaspiegel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:59:51 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. christina christinaspiegel
101 NE 26th St
Oak Island, NC 28465-6230
chrissyspiegel2010@gmail.com

From: [Karen Kennedy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:00:24 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karen Kennedy
28 Garland Dr
Asheville, NC 28804-1765
karen@kennedy101.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Blachly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:03:50 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Blachly
27 Stoneridge Cir
Durham, NC 27705-5510
Jennifersblachly@gmail.com

From: [Dick Roberts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:06:05 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dick Roberts
1943 Honeysuckle St
Wilmington, NC 28401-6812
dickdow64@gmail.com

From: [Nastasia Kochanski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:07:57 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nastasia Kochanski
1709 Medfield Rd
Raleigh, NC 27607-4727
shadowstar851@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robert MURRAY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:10:08 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robert MURRAY
1408 Mordecai Dr
Raleigh, NC 27604-1320
rjmurray99@gmail.com

From: esar_aadil
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:14:54 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. esar_aadil
1902 Lakewood Ave.
Durham, NC 27708-0001
batscatseafoambrass@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Molly Vincent](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:15:27 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Molly Vincent
126 W Victoria Ct
Greenville, NC 27834-6679
mrv1015@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bunny Newhouse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:16:09 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bunny Newhouse
PO Box 974
Norwood, NC 28128-0974
arowdyrabbit@yahoo.com

From: [Trevor Dougherty](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:21:33 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Trevor Dougherty
5010 Environ Way
Chapel Hill, NC 27517-4463
maddogza@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [scott solter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:35:58 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. scott solter
1407 Acadia St
Durham, NC 27701-1301
scott@boxharp.com

From: [Debbie Friedler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:37:21 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Debbie Friedler
1008 Woodlands Creek Way
Apex, NC 27502-5250
dmfriedler@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [katherine.gushanas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:38:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. katherine gushanas
2021 Hollywood Dr
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-3209
kwgushanas@gmail.com

From: [Riya Bhatt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:39:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Riya Bhatt
337 Luke Meadow Ln
Cary, NC 27519-8720
bhattpuppiez@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [tammy perry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:41:48 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. tammy perry
120 Branch Dr
Cleveland, NC 27013-8776
tjoeandtam@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jackie Marston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:44:36 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jackie Marston
151 Oakgrove Rd
Tryon, NC 28782-6676
jacquelynmarston@msn.com

From: [Fran Owens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:46:22 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Fran Owens
1125 Pine Trl
Clayton, NC 27520-9360
pinkysuavo1125@aol.com

From: [carmen.pollard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:47:45 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. carmen pollard
667 Oxford Rd
Roxboro, NC 27573-5994
cmclayton3@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amber Kissinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:49:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amber Kissinger
1100 Sunset Rd
Cherryville, NC 28021-2740
amberkissinger@yahoo.com

From: [Ginny Ashmore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:51:52 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ginny Ashmore
13 Willowspring Pl
Chapel Hill, NC 27517-8641
gashmore@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Andy Baudo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:53:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Andy Baudo
10307 Bon Meade Ln
Cornelius, NC 28031-9232
rocks58@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Charles Highsmith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:53:16 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Charles Highsmith
4777 US Highway 158
Advance, NC 27006-6900
starchhigh@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [june johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:53:55 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. june johnson
101 Wedgewood Pl
Goldsboro, NC 27530-9282
jjohnson137@nc.rr.com

From: [Cade Lee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:00:09 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cade Lee
5913 Allsdale Dr
Raleigh, NC 27617-8393
cleelrhs@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Karen Bennett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:01:43 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karen Bennett
104 Deer Crossing Rd
Jacksonville, NC 28540-9336
kyb0856@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Victorie Adair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:02:17 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Victorie Adair
1520 Southeast Harbor Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409-4840
hivibes@excite.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [tim mustin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:05:36 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. tim mustin
400 Lora Dr
Warne, NC 28909-8706
tmustin9s@gmail.com

From: [Maria Peterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:06:18 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maria Peterson
4650 Mackeys Rd
Roper, NC 27970-9436
isabo2613@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Courtney Whelan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:06:47 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Courtney Whelan
5613 Hornaday Rd Unit E
Greensboro, NC 27409-2916
courtneymae22@yahoo.com

From: [Jill T Cook](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:07:50 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jill T Cook
2505 White Thorne Ln
Waxhaw, NC 28173-9374
jilltcook@gmail.com

From: [Elizabeth Henley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:09:39 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Henley
3405 Madison Ave
Greensboro, NC 27403-1059
elizabethhenley@bellsouth.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Juliet Sudduth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:15:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Juliet Sudduth
920 Lee St
Asheboro, NC 27203-6239
cjsudduth68@gmail.com

From: [Paul de Serres](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:16:28 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Paul de Serres
634 Starmont Dr
Durham, NC 27705-2931
pds318@frontier.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [June Schafford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:17:12 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. June Schafford
966A Raynor Mill Rd. Mt. Olive NC
Mount Olive, NC 28365-7610
jschafford@att.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tammy Howell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:17:51 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tammy Howell
492 Ballance Rd
Fremont, NC 27830-8990
trhowell1965@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sandy Neuenschwander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:18:01 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandy Neuenschwander
71 Barrel Ln
Waynesville, NC 28786-8063
Abi_Normal1@yahoo.com

From: [Dawn Skerrett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:20:06 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dawn Skerrett
10860 Greenville Hwy
Cedar Mountain, NC 28718-6535
cdskerrett@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Heather Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:20:21 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Thompson
4104 Cross Creek Ct
Raleigh, NC 27607-6549
hthompson00@live.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [DK Fischer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:20:37 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. DK Fischer
444 Center Pointe Dr
Cary, NC 27513-5728
fischer.dianne@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Olivia Hartsell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:20:39 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Olivia Hartsell
411 Orange St
Wilmington, NC 28401-4526
olivia.hartsell@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jasmine Reahard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:20:46 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jasmine Reahard
1195 S Turkey Creek Rd
Leicester, NC 28748-6384
jasminereahard@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [barbara brody](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:20:54 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. barbara brody
190 Starland Ln
Southern Pines, NC 28387-2975
babsbrody@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Randy Danford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:20:58 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Randy Danford
1564 Chrisco Rd W
Seagrove, NC 27341-7445
randum21@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lyn Utermark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:29:00 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lyn Utermark
1439 Lynhurst Dr
Gastonia, NC 28054-5642
lutermark@gmail.com

From: [Mark Riddle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:31:22 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mark Riddle
2189 Bolens Creek Rd
Burnsville, NC 28714-7653
akariddle69@gmail.com

From: [Trudi McDonald](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:32:05 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Trudi McDonald
349 Windchime Dr
Wilmington, NC 28412-7376
mcptrudi@ec.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Laurie Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:39:21 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Laurie Griffin
3419 Ranbir Dr
Durham, NC 27713-1389
lauriebty@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Suzette Schrump](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:42:14 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Suzette Schrump
928 Thrush Dr
Vass, NC 28394-8660
sschrump2@yahoo.com

From: [Kelly Newman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:45:34 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelly Newman
412 E Farriss Ave
High Point, NC 27262-3137
ilikemyike@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Claude Lumpkin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:45:41 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Claude Lumpkin
1406 Cherry Dr
Burlington, NC 27215-3308
clumpkin65@gmail.com

From: [Barbara Becton-cox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:45:45 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Barbara Becton-cox
207 N Dotger Ave
Charlotte, NC 28207-2051
bectoncox@bellsouth.net

From: [Jesse Rollins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:47:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jesse Rollins
6612 Reafield Dr Apt 4
Charlotte, NC 28226-4571
jesseroll6@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marie Parrett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:47:46 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marie Parrett
43 Sandra Ct
Angier, NC 27501-6846
tubac8@gmail.com

From: [Diane Subin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:50:07 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Diane Subin
40 Avimore Dr
Pinehurst, NC 28374-9700
dsubi@nc.rr.com

From: [Larry Maier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:52:30 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Larry Maier
816 Canyoncreek Ln
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-5221
larrycindymaier@gmail.com

From: [Susan Charbonneau](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:53:53 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Charbonneau
614 Lake Shore Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534-8970
scharbonneau@att.net

From: [Meryl Crosbie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:54:17 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Meryl Crosbie
6105 Felucca Ct
New Bern, NC 28560-9746
MartiCrosbie@aol.com

From: [Jocelyn Walson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:56:21 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jocelyn Walson
3507 Winston Blvd
Wilmington, NC 28403-2640
jocelyn644@msn.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [John Blair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:59:53 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. John Blair
Gastonia
NC, NC 28056
jtjblair@twc.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [K. Roscoe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:00:34 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. K. Roscoe
5395 Nc 152 W
China Grove, NC 28023-6788
kbroscoe7@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sandy Newnan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:05:33 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandy Newnan
1215 Paddock Cir
Charlotte, NC 28209-2441
sandynewnan@mail.com

From: [Nevin Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:09:29 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nevin Williams
212 Middlegreen Pl
Holly Springs, NC 27540-6299
nevin@nevinloans.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robin Hipsher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:10:29 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robin Hipsher
225 N Bost St
Statesville, NC 28677-4146
robinhipsher@yahoo.com

From: [Leann Caves](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:17:44 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leann Caves
213 Lauren Dr
Indian Trail, NC 28079-9629
Leanncaves@gmail.com

From: [Danielle Tone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:17:45 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Danielle Tone
725 Washington St
Cramerton, NC 28032-1220
lostanywhere@me.com

From: [Dan Safrit](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:17:46 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dan Safrit
1105 Miles Rd
Dallas, NC 28034-7754
dl1@safdey.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [james riegel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:19:29 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. james riegel
5 Nantahala St Apt 9
Asheville, NC 28801-1154
jerseyjames191@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gloria Dieterich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:23:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gloria Dieterich
8817 Lake Pines Dr
Cornelius, NC 28031-5779
deacon670@aol.com

From: [Brittney Ranghelli-Poole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:24:56 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brittney Ranghelli-Poole
2410 Radius Cir
Sanford, NC 27330-7620
bpooe6142@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [mary price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:25:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. mary price
4381 Fish Factory Rd SE
Southport, NC 28461-8664
mprice002@ec.rr.com

From: [Valerie Davant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:25:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Valerie Davant
502 Fall St
Kernersville, NC 27284-2726
phelpsgirl4ever@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amanda Swafford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:27:06 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Swafford
350 Fountain Way
Swannanoa, NC 28778-3218
amarie1986m5@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cynthia McGuire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:33:51 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cynthia McGuire
51 Sleepy Forest Dr
Leicester, NC 28748-6521
cmcguire.wrightway@gmail.com

From: [Ramona Zaremba](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:33:55 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ramona Zaremba
64 Lanceford Cir
Fletcher, NC 28732-8665
punkinena55@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Franklin Raymond](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:36:51 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Franklin Raymond
2604 Daniel Boone Ln
Hope Mills, NC 28348-8026
ravensworth@hotmail.com

From: [Helen McLawhorn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:37:43 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Helen McLawhorn
7180 New Center Church Rd
Seagrove, NC 27341-8325
rbmhrm@rtmc.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tasha Gifford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:55:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tasha Gifford
769 Elk Creek Darby Rd
Ferguson, NC 28624-9208
bl00berry_muffins@hotmail.com

From: [Nikki Wyatt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:57:13 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nikki Wyatt
9822 Penn Station St
Cornelius, NC 28031-8181
nlmoxley@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melanie Rodriguez-Beltran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:58:17 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melanie Rodriguez-Beltran
2236 Flanagan Pl
Fayetteville, NC 28304-0555
m_rodri3@uncg.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Shantanu Gajjar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:58:19 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shantanu Gajjar
608 Park York Ln
Cary, NC 27519-5639
shantanugajjar088@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Boyd Knox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:59:21 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Boyd Knox
145 Access Rd
Scotland Neck, NC 27874-9099
apache10994@hotmail.com

From: [William Robins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:02:20 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. William Robins
1212 Jereens Creek Rd
Fayetteville, NC 28312-9518
frankrobins53@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marynell Matheson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:06:20 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marynell Matheson
308 Dock St
Wilmington, NC 28401-4541
Marynell128269@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Theresa Cole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:10:09 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Theresa Cole
736 Amber Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28311-1887
tpcole69@yahoo.com

From: [jamie lake](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:10:51 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. jamie lake
5820 Trotters Ridge Rd
Charlotte, NC 28227-4047
Jamesplake721@gmail.com

From: [Sheila Hume](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:11:05 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sheila Hume
252 Water Swamp Rd
Sunbury, NC 27979-9517
farmgirl252@yahoo.com

From: [Susan Moeller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:13:57 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Moeller
222 Settlers Haven Rd
Cleveland, NC 27013-8941
susanfmoeller@gmail.com

From: [Emily Ward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:14:59 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Emily Ward
7010 Monroe Rd
Charlotte, NC 28212-6825
obeseparrot@gmail.com

From: [Kathy Perez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:17:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathy Perez
787 Cliff Raper Rd
Murphy, NC 28906-1836
kperez787@gmail.com

From: [Linda Osegueda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:17:46 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linda Osegueda
100 Hickory Tree Ln
Angier, NC 27501-7716
lindaosegueda@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [daniel wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:20:47 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. daniel wood
5897 Kaylas Ridge Rd
Connelly Springs, NC 28612-7133
trevor494@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kelsey Douglas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:22:54 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelsey Douglas
2301 Tramway Rd
Sanford, NC 27332-9173
kelseycollege7@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jasmine Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:35:03 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jasmine Johnson
6705 Park Hickory Dr
Charlotte, NC 28227-1068
jasminebjohnson@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [MARIO BIANCO](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:37:11 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. MARIO BIANCO
501 E 8th St
Charlotte, NC 28202-2585
levelupmario@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jason Singer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:37:13 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jason Singer
3276 Margellina Dr
Charlotte, NC 28210-4086
jaizone41@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Arivoli Kurisil](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:37:25 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Arivoli Kurisil
1221 Medalist Dr
Morrisville, NC 27560-7082
arivoli@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ricki Benjamin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:40:29 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ricki Benjamin
12 Styles Thompson Dr
Burlington, NC 27217-1077
ricki.benjamin@yahoo.com

From: [Robert Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:58:51 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robert Davis
3636 Gricklade Dr
Charlotte, NC 28262-2734
rob1459.rd@gmail.com

From: [Debbie Patti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:00:16 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Debbie Patti
davie drive
Wilmington, NC 28412
dmafia10@yahoo.com

From: [sarah casey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:00:24 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. sarah casey
214 Dutchess Dr
Cary, NC 27513-4210
beckyaa1122@yAahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Karla Rusch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:03:02 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karla Rusch
403 Pine Hill Rd
Boone, NC 28607-7966
ruschkp@appstate.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kitty Sanderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:03:42 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kitty Sanderson
691 Medford Hannah Rd
Waynesville, NC 28785-2799
kittymurph52@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hannah Lewis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:05:57 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah Lewis
147 Woodcreek Dr
Mount Airy, NC 27030-8055
lhannah209@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Noah Kano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:07:28 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Noah Kano
102 Rockford Rd
Greensboro, NC 27408-5114
noahkano@icloud.com

From: [Sonja Bryant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:09:04 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sonja Bryant
15102 Oldcorn Ln
Charlotte, NC 28262-1677
sonjab1951@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lois Rizzardi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:09:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lois Rizzardi
613 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, NC 27312-8562
carolinalois717@yahoo.com

From: [Kathy Rouson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:12:07 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathy Rouson
Woodcreek Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27106
kathyrouson@gmail.com

From: [Mari Hickerson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:14:05 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mari Hickerson
448 Seashore Dr
Atlantic, NC 28511-9789
macusina@sbcglobal.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Veaney McIrvin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:15:36 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Veaney McIrvin
400 Shelton St
Greensboro, NC 27405-5655
v@kplglobal.com

From: [Lisa Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:18:01 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Miller
1617 Lovett St
Greensboro, NC 27403-3342
lisamillerg@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gina Hicks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:20:25 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gina Hicks
404 E Lake Dr
Greensboro, NC 27401-1737
gina@maxandemerson.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bri Bennett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:27:02 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bri Bennett
1321 Saint Julien St
Charlotte, NC 28205-5119
bbennett@paychex.com

From: [Melissa Butler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:32:36 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Butler
5340 Garden Springs Ln
Raleigh, NC 27610-5290
melissabutler28@me.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Martha Turlington](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:36:48 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Martha Turlington
PO Box 140
Boone, NC 28607-0140
Paralegal2858@gmail.com

From: [Tammi Deza](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:38:45 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tammi Deza
4712 Arching Oak lane
Charlotte, NC 28212
Tcoralsprings9@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [linda smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:39:03 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. linda smith
2721 Skyland Dr
Gastonia, NC 28052-3019
linda6278@att.net

From: [Dominic Marrese](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:40:03 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dominic Marrese
1811 Cross Staff Rd
Wilmington, NC 28405-8200
dmarrese@yahoo.com

From: [Maxine Kelly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:41:36 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maxine Kelly
5205 Tealstone Ct
Concord, NC 28025-7834
bluefortheu@ymail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Matthew Kelly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:42:02 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Matthew Kelly
5205 Tealstone Ct
Concord, NC 28025-7834
kempoman67@gmail.com

From: [Vicki Kabanov](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:43:07 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Vicki Kabanov
10520 Chapel Hill Rd
Morrisville, NC 27560-3000
swtown12@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ken Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:56:08 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ken Wilson
2801 Bells Fork Rd
Greenville, NC 27858-8084
wilsonk@ecu.edu

From: [Anne Mathis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:58:21 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anne Mathis
PO Box 671
East Bend, NC 27018-0671
annemathisnc@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Judith Patrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:59:19 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Judith Patrick
221 Kituhwa Trl
Brevard, NC 28712-8455
thepatricks@comporium.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Michelle Cortista](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:03:35 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michelle Cortista
3917 Limwert Ln
Fayetteville, NC 28306-8494
andyzhunee@aol.com

From: [Lori Dodd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:04:38 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lori Dodd
994st Andrews drive apt 203
Wilmington, NC 28412
doddlori2015@gmail.com

From: [Elizabeth Edwards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:09:06 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Edwards
401 Colville Rd
Charlotte, NC 28207-2347
elizabethledwards25@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jamison Shy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:10:24 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jamison Shy
209 Assembly Avenue
Kure Beach, NC 28449
fenix-fly@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Mary Storms](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:10:31 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mary Storms
705 Hinsdale St
Raleigh, NC 27605-1411
stormsmary53@gmail.com

From: [Lisa Wiley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:14:23 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Wiley
272 Bertha Wilson Rd
Blanch, NC 27212-9768
gr8day4us@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [David Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:14:27 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. David Andrews
4007 S Fairway Dr
Greensboro, NC 27407-9730
davidrandrews1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Margaret Butcher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:17:14 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Margaret Butcher
1436 W Main St
Forest City, NC 28043-2528
margaretbutcher@att.net

From: [Shawna Magbie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:18:18 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shawna Magbie
315 Lower Pond Rd
Kannapolis, NC 28083-6526
shawna.magbielcarr@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Angela Trail](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:19:41 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Angela Trail
207 Pritchard Rd
Candler, NC 28715-9681
angietrail@hotmail.com

From: [Kimberly Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:25:54 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kimberly Smith
502 Kirkland Ave
Hudson, NC 28638-8948
itsmekiminhkync@gmail.com

From: [Ashley Ritchie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:30:35 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ashley Ritchie
816 S Main St
Reidsville, NC 27320-5308
ashleygritchie@gmail.com

From: [Charleene Nicely](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:40:00 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Charleene Nicely
1701 Lower Ridgewood Blvd
Hendersonville, NC 28791-2238
cnicely@bellsouth.net

From: [Allison Grooms](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:43:33 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Allison Grooms
PO Box 803
Robbinsville, NC 28771-0803
anothertayfan@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jean Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:47:18 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jean Miller
4939 Prosperity Ridge Rd
Charlotte, NC 28269-1537
jeaniedora@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Denise Oldham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:47:18 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Denise Oldham
3156 Korea Cir
Fayetteville, NC 28306-9126
adeniseoldham@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Renee Fish](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:47:48 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Renee Fish
3416 Planters Way
Farmville, NC 27828-8559
rsfish111@gmail.com

From: [Dwain Rash](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:49:01 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dwain Rash
1805 Wood Henge Dr
Raleigh, NC 27613-7447
rashdwain@gmail.com

From: [Cheryl Matthews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:49:25 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cheryl Matthews
532 Azalea Dr
Spring Lake, NC 28390-7891
matthewsc78@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kimberly Foley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:50:01 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kimberly Foley
106 Leland Dr
Greensboro, NC 27455-3014
kimmie1211@hotmail.com

From: [Heather Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:50:13 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Greene
7057 Jeffreys Creek Ln
Raleigh, NC 27616-5552
heather.fox.greene@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Whitney Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:50:45 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Whitney Taylor
2308 Shadow Valley Rd
High Point, NC 27265-2085
wmtaylor07@yahoo.com

From: [Stephen Watts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:55:24 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephen Watts
2021 Terri Creek Dr
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-5329
stevewatts.usa@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alyssa Wolcott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:56:32 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alyssa Wolcott
2433 Burgaw St SW
Supply, NC 28462-4208
frenchcoffeebean@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Dolores Matarazzo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:57:26 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dolores Matarazzo
1050 Zack Dr
Pilot Mountain, NC 27041-8321
Doloresm1965@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gina Monaci](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:57:53 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gina Monaci
1644 Pleasant Grove Rd
Hendersonville, NC 28739-6404
ginamom@pacbell.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Rasmussen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:59:23 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Rasmussen
5832 Fayetteville Rd Apt 206
Durham, NC 27713-6291
maseratipi@me.com

From: [Lynn Duryea](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:06:15 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lynn Duryea
454 Sunny Chestnut Frst
Boone, NC 28607-9519
lynnduryea@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jenn Hendrickson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:06:43 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jenn Hendrickson
92 Century Ct
Swansboro, NC 28584-8364
jenn_hendrickson@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Angela Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:08:50 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Angela Harris
3817 Oak Haven Rd
Hope Mills, NC 28348-2732
acmh@aol.com

From: [vera jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:10:34 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. vera jackson
6608 Wavcott Dr
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-7329
vvj10@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marie Mohler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:10:53 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marie Mohler
900 Hillsborough Rd Apt C
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-4664
lacylax@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Michele Derose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:17:56 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michele Derose
2309 Drake Ave
Durham, NC 27705-3141
michele.derose3@gmail.com

From: [Pamela Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:40:41 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pamela Moore
2638 Merry Oaks Trail
Winston Salem, NC 27103
pkm.lives@gmail.com

From: [Valerie Austin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 9:12:32 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Valerie Austin
516 Prince st, Laurinburg NC
Laurinburg, NC 28352
austinva@sa.edu

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 10:58:03 AM

 New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air
Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK · 10 supporters



10 more people signed in the last day

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS

 **Margaret Silvers**
Mill Spring, NC · Nov 29, 2015

 **Anna suitt**
Fuquay Varina, NC · Nov 29, 2015

 **Michael Bissette**
Raleigh, NC · Nov 29, 2015

 **Kevin conn**
stanley, NY · Nov 29, 2015

**T. Bradley**

Winston-Salem, NC · Nov 29, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Jacqueline Grillo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 11:22:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Grillo

107 Tupelo Trail
New Bern, NC 28562

From: [Riley Maness](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 12:01:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Riley Maness

111 Walnut Creek Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534

From: [Susan Sassmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:12:24 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Sassmann
3611 Birchwood lane
Greensboro, NC 27410
ssass@bellsouth.net

From: [Kathleen Basiewicz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:13:13 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathleen Basiewicz
PO Box 1204
DANA, NC 28724
wolfydog@care2.com

From: [Monica Harrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:13:37 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Monica Harrison
801 Pebble Drive
Greensboro, NC 27410
WMonica1976@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Debora Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:15:21 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Debora Williams
3688 Kings Crossroads Rd
Greenville, NC 27834-7320
typey2@embarqmail.com

From: [Riley Ferrar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:41:51 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Riley Ferrar
116 Summer Breeze Court
STATESVILLE, NC 28677
rileyferrar@gmail.com

From: [rita hernandez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:42:26 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. rita hernandez
po box 1111
murphy, NC 28906
ritamayhernandez@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melanie Cheatham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 1:45:49 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melanie Cheatham
6212 Shire Ln
Wilmington, NC 28411-1009
Melanie_Cheatham@yahoo.com

From: [MICHAEL CUSHWA](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 2:03:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL CUSHWA

309 COLONIAL DR
HIGH POINT, NC 27262

3362591511

From: [Helen Cooley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:42:07 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Helen Cooley
1010 saint andrews drive
wilmington, NC 28412
helencooley@mail.com

From: [Portia McCracken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 3:58:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Portia McCracken

917 Forest Hill Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Ron Shuster](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 4:44:06 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ron Shuster
4929 El Molino Dr
Charlotte, NC 28214-2060
rshuster@triad.rr.com

From: [Wanda Cox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:14:04 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Wanda Cox
182 Sawgrass Lane North
Lexington, NC 27295
wandawcox@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Maria Ross](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 5:46:09 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maria Ross
6311 Marywood Drive
Wilmington, NC 28409
mariaross@ec.rr.com

From: [betsy goodman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:43:10 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. betsy goodman
2907 Cambridge Dr
Wilmington, NC 28403-2514
betdygoodman@charter.net

From: [Connie Calhoun](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 6:44:33 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Connie Calhoun
1575westmainst
Bryson city, NC 28713
sugarbear0097@gmail.com

From: angela.tripp
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:14:28 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. angela tripp
1907 Blair Khazan Dr
Greensboro, NC 27405-4390
ici.diva.2k@gmail.com

From: [Sue Shuster](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:15:13 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sue Shuster
4929 El Molino Dr
Charlotte, NC 28214-2060
sshuster0522@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jacob Crofts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:15:44 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jacob Crofts
2163 Old Thomasville Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27107-8600
heyyou228@ymail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Annie Caulkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:16:05 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Annie Caulkins
4015 Songbird Ln
Hillsborough, NC 27278-9393
ajcaulkins@nc.rr.com

From: [Cathryne Schmitz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 7:44:35 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cathryne Schmitz
5503 White Blossom Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410-9238
cathryneschmitz@mac.com

From: [Khaleel Eksheir](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:15:40 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Khaleel Eksheir
11309 Coreopsis Rd
Charlotte, NC 28213-9260
khaleel.eksheir@gmail.com

From: [Axelle Faughn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:16:09 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Axelle Faughn
1809 Dills Cove Rd
Sylva, NC 28779-5299
axelleperson@hotmail.com

From: [Gisella Eaker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:17:34 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gisella Eaker
562 Surry Trace Cir NW
Concord, NC 28027-5220
nibsterdotcom@yahoo.com

From: [Corliss McGinty](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:42:09 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Corliss McGinty
1200 Briarcliff Rd
Greensboro, NC 27408-7502
corliss@hrmomma.com

From: [Nandini johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:43:24 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nandini johnson
5505 Stone Crossing Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27104-3746
nandini@triad.rr.com

From: [Anthony Morgan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 8:50:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Morgan

111 Ashworth Drive
Durham, 27707

9197243200

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 9:36:35 PM



New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK ·
10 supporters



**10 more people signed
in the last 10 hours**

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS



Jill Emory

Clayton, NC · Nov 30, 2015



Neil Quarles

Austin, TX · Nov 30, 2015



Caleb Laieski

Alexandria, VA · Nov 30, 2015



Lynne Katkowsky

Asheville, NC · Nov 29, 2015



Duane Brennan

monroe, NC · Nov 29, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Etha Kite](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 10:14:31 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Etha Kite
2917 Lime Rock Rd
Boonville, NC 27011-8139
ekiteflyshome@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [James Bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 10:16:30 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Bailey
112 Col Johnson Dr
Dunn, NC 28334-4427
ltbailey@neo.rr.com

From: [Thomas Hunnicutt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 10:46:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hunnicutt

142 South Wildflower Road
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julia Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 11:16:27 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julia Parker
PO Box 782
Buxton, NC 27920-0782
julescparker@gmail.com

From: sara.rohrer
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 11:45:13 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. sara rohrer
2706 Quailrush Rd
Charlotte, NC 28226-2921
Georgemann@aol.com

From: [Joy Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 11:45:22 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joy Griffin
5151b E Nc Highway 150
Browns Summit, NC 27214-9416
jrg194@aol.com

From: [Cathy Bugden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, November 29, 2015 11:45:38 PM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cathy Bugden
4949 Charlton Ln
Charlotte, NC 28210-3377
cbugden@carolina.rr.com

From: [Patricia Blackwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 12:44:17 AM

Nov 29, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Blackwell
4505 Edwards Mill Rd Apt B
Raleigh, NC 27612-3750
dougnpatty13@yahoo.com

From: [Ryan Fournier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 1:43:01 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ryan Fournier
105 Doe Cir
Selma, NC 27576-5739
ryan@students4trump.com

From: [Henry Wall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 2:12:45 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Henry Wall
4000 Whitetail Ct
High Point, NC 27265-9545
hank@winwinresolutions.org

From: [Mark Coates](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 2:43:49 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mark Coates
941 Parks Xroads Church Rd
Ramseur, NC 27316-8584
mcoates872@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Dixon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 3:16:41 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Dixon
4005 Halifax Rd
Wilmington, NC 28403-5423
jen_dixon@hotmail.com

From: [m.n](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 3:42:50 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. m n
3939 Sterling Pointe Dr
Winterville, NC 28590-5830
manoe@ilstu.edu

From: [Williams Virginia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 3:43:15 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Williams Virginia
1614 Moorings Cir SW
Ocean Isle Beach, NC 28469-6357
willvirg9811@gmail.com

From: [Jenna Lamore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 6:44:45 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jenna Lamore
4242 Butler Dr N
Midway Park, NC 28544-1351
yokaikitsune91@yahoo.com

From: [Ron Baxendell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 7:04:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ron Baxendell

4882 Berkley Dr
Wilmington, NC 28405

9108338841

From: [Jeffrey Wald](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 7:14:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Wald

913 Reedy Creek Rd
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Sandy Mare](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 7:15:03 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandy Mare
Wescoe
Mooresville, NC 28117
slmare75@gmail.com

From: [Ryland Duke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:13:32 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ryland Duke
PO Box 10766
Raleigh, NC 27605-0766
patandduke@bellsouth.net

From: rhonda.curtis
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:14:50 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. rhonda curtis
1355 Town Mountain Rd
Hayesville, NC 28904-4676
rhondalcurtis.rc@gmail.com

From: [Joann Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:43:55 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joann Miller
116 Weatherstone Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28311-3015
moo4ever15@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jerry Hunt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:47:21 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jerry Hunt
69 Bry Chris Rd
Roxboro, NC 27574-7292
jerryhunt@hotmail.com

From: [Jayne Boyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:39:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

What could be more important than clean air? Do we want our air to become like that in China?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jayne Boyer

4316 Thetford RD
Durham, NC 27707

919-966-1019

From: [Jeanne Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:01:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Johnson

1041 Garden Club Way
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Megan Buchanan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:14:29 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Megan Buchanan
7124 Lowell Ridge Rd
Raleigh, NC 27616-3348
meg.k.buchanan@gmail.com

From: [Sean Pennington](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:46:12 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sean Pennington
96 Green Willows Dr
Clayton, NC 27527-8322
poeticgreetings@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amanda Beaman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:47:34 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Beaman
133 Ray Davis Rd
Marshallberg, NC 28553-9728
amandabmn@yahoo.com

From: [Junior M.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 11:14:10 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Junior M.
11297 Wooden
Wilson, NC 27893
himynameisjuniormeow@gmail.com

From: [alex.kirkley](mailto:alex.kirkley@uamail.unionacademy.org)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 11:17:41 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. alex kirkley
5706 Polk Mountain Dr
Marshville, NC 28103-9208
MaclainKirkley@uamail.unionacademy.org

From: [Rita Frost](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 11:55:21 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Rita Frost
68 Craggy Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Shirley Boerstler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 12:14:01 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shirley Boerstler
875 Terrapin Track Rd
Washington, NC 27889-7032
shirley.boerstler@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jaime Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 12:17:58 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jaime Walker
65 Liberty St
Asheville, NC 28803-1111
mossjaimebryant@yahoo.com

From: [Bill Bell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 12:47:18 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bill Bell
2 Warren Ave
Asheville, NC 28803-2735
jwbell4@gmail.com

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 12:56:35 PM

 New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK · 10 supporters



10 more people signed in the last day

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS

 **Nicole Holliday**
Leland, NC · Nov 30, 2015

 **Carlos Sesia**
Cary, NC · Nov 30, 2015

 **BONNIE BELLAMY**
Mount Holly, NC · Nov 30, 2015

 **tony rangel**
Danbury, NC · Nov 30, 2015

**Heather Mitchell**

Charlotte, NC · Nov 30, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Judy Strickland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 1:14:38 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Judy Strickland
507 Main Ave W
Hildebran, NC 28637-8312
stricklandjudy122@gmail.com

From: [Debra Willsey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 1:44:16 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Debra Willsey
3572 Cedar Springs Dr SW
Concord, NC 28027-9103
dwillsey79@gmail.com

From: [Betsy Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 2:45:30 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Betsy Smith
853 Cardinal Pl SW
Concord, NC 28027-6920
tomandbetsysmith@windstream.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Shannon Priddy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 2:48:20 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shannon Priddy
1205 John Austin Ct
Kernersville, NC 27284-3764
srpriddy@aol.com

From: [Nate Pusateri](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 3:15:33 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nate Pusateri
2461 Eaton Rd
Charlotte, NC 28205-7424
natepusateri@gmail.com

From: [Donna Price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 3:44:56 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Price
215 Frontier Dr
Kernersville, NC 27284-7001
priced92@gmail.com

From: [Rachel Paradis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 4:19:11 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rachel Paradis
103 Silo Ct
Cary, NC 27513-5422
rachelp56@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cate Lineberry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 4:27:02 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cate Lineberry
6840 Mactavish Way
Raleigh, NC 27613-6270
cate@catelineberry.com

From: [Kyleigh Rossignol](mailto:kyleigh_rossignol@yahoo.com)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 4:27:08 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kyleigh Rossignol
105 Pilot Pl
New Bern, NC 28562-8843
kyleigh_rossignol@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sirishaa Bharathi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 4:39:06 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sirishaa Bharathi
6200 Daybrook Cir
Raleigh, NC 27606-4076
sirishaabharathi@gmail.com

From: [Caleb Beaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 4:53:46 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Caleb Beaver
3347 Hollis Rd
Ellenboro, NC 28040-9776
beaverc91@gmail.com

From: [Alyssa Cherry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 4:58:08 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alyssa Cherry
3201 Sprunt Ave
Durham, NC 27705-3024
alytaos@gmail.com

From: [Kelly Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 4:59:24 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelly Lane
809 W 23rd St
Lumberton, NC 28358-3425
Kellylane46@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Shawn Brock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:01:04 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shawn Brock
146 Eisenhower Dr
Raeford, NC 28376-5577
dsb0809@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [florence nohlgren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:09:40 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. florence nohlgren
5551 Overlook Cir
Winston Salem, NC 27105-1703
florencefreeland@yahoo.com

From: [Robert Bowman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:17:58 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robert Bowman
725 Transom View Way
Cary, NC 27519-6215
bugbrother1331@gmail.com

From: [Chunk punk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:17:59 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Chunk punk
109 Gurkin Ln
Plymouth, NC 27962-1700
lirsty@hotmail.com

From: [Patricia Pruitt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:20:29 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Pruitt
1350 Bethel Methodist Ch Rd
Burlington, NC 27217-7332
Patricia_F_Pruitt@yahoo.com

From: [Summer Rivenbark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:21:26 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Summer Rivenbark
7003 Cayman Ct
Wilmington, NC 28405-8371
sumsum535@aim.com

From: [Mikki Carswell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:50:59 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mikki Carswell
2997 Bethany Ford Rd
Roaring River, NC 28669-8080
TrinityCAcres@yahoo.com

From: [Alan Hedrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:55:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Hedrick

3718 Manor Dr
Greensboro, NC 27403

(336) 681-7573

From: [Andrew Ketner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:56:25 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Andrew Ketner
1065 25th Avenue Dr NW
Hickory, NC 28601-9077
acketner@gmail.com

From: [Tristan Abella](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 6:22:08 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tristan Abella
909 Woodside Park Ln
Durham, NC 27704-6048
tristan.abella@gmail.com

From: [Kaitlyn Luthi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 6:46:14 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kaitlyn Luthi
3535Alma dr
Winston Salem, NC 27105
kittykait@outlook.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amanda Boone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 6:49:42 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Boone
PO Box 744
Bridgeton, NC 28519-0744
aboonevt@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alisa Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 6:52:05 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alisa Parker
1001 Chadwyck Dr
Monroe, NC 28110-9114
maggie01magg@aol.com

From: diann.houston
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 7:49:14 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. diann houston
133 White Pine Cir
Franklin, NC 28734-9442
houstondiann@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [James Williamson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 7:51:02 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Williamson
297 Glennie Irvin Rd
Semora, NC 27343-9056
jdw9872@gmail.com

From: [Pat Weber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:20:10 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pat Weber
9617 Leslieshire Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615-8132
nerdma21@att.net

From: [trey budde](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:48:47 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. trey budde
114 Colleton Ln
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948-9118
tbud00.TB@gmail.com

From: [Hayley Korotash-Gullett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:48:47 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hayley Korotash-Gullett
112 W Union St
Hillsborough, NC 27278-2148
hayley.gullett@gmail.com

From: [Kathryn Meyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:49:29 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathryn Meyer
1219 Fence Post Ln
Carolina Shores, NC 28467-2230
mrs131@atmc.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Patricia Hager](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 8:49:48 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Hager
230 Parkgate Dr
Cary, NC 27519-5502
hagerpk@yahoo.com

From: [Emily Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:17:06 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Emily Andrews
2309 Evermore Way
Wilmington, NC 28403-4831
sriww1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Adriana Gabriela](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:18:45 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Adriana Gabriela
1201 Elizabeth Ave
Charlotte, NC 28204-2240
iceglass20@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Larry Diana](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:18:45 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Larry Diana
7204 D W Friendly Ave
Greensboro, NC 27410
larry.diana@expresspros.com

From: [Jill Maguire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:46:59 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jill Maguire
2721 Warlick Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409-2078
patrickmaguire@bellsouth.net

From: [J Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:49:19 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. J Baker
133 Falling Brook Rd
Stokesdale, NC 27357-9296
jpurrrdrago@aol.com

From: cassie.brizzi
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:49:59 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. cassie brizzi
10 Richard St
Asheville, NC 28803-2509
elementsmove2@gmail.com

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 10 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 9:51:39 PM

 New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK · 10 supporters



10 more people signed in the last 8 hours

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS

 **Melanie Brown**
Charlotte, NC · Dec 01, 2015

 **Kristen Williams**
Jacksonville, NC · Dec 01, 2015

 **Dwaine Phifer, PhD**
Cleveland, NC · Dec 01, 2015

 **Maria Guiang**
Cary, NC · Nov 30, 2015



Jamie Isenhour

Greensboro, NC · Nov 30, 2015

[View all 10 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [Lania Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:16:47 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lania Smith
4260 Brownsboro Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27106-6100
smith.lania@gmail.com

From: [JOANNE CONWAY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:17:40 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. JOANNE CONWAY
25 Calhoun Ln
Pinehurst, NC 28374-8070
jody.conway@hotmail.co.uk

From: [Donna Godfrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:18:09 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Godfrey
211 Hopesworth Ct
Hillsborough, NC 27278-9843
donnagodfrey@gmail.com

From: [John Chrystal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:33:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Chrystal

569 Chambers Point Road
Belhaven, NC 27810

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [tammy allured](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:49:12 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. tammy allured
717 S Sunset Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27103-3821
tammymetta@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ken Weber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:50:56 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ken Weber
9617 Leslieshire Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615-8132
kpw0223@yahoo.com

From: [Dr. Amanda Gurganus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:51:10 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dr. Amanda Gurganus
211 Cherrywood Dr
Greenville, NC 27858-8611
amanda.gurganus@gmail.com

From: [Cheryl Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 10:51:46 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cheryl Carter
115 Sedona Ct
Raeford, NC 28376-8711
carterej@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Janie Killian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, November 30, 2015 11:22:31 PM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janie Killian
314 Walnut Grove Rd
Archdale, NC 27263-2668
DaveK@northstate.net

From: [Sue Reese](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:16:00 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sue Reese
1308 Jamestown Rd
Morganton, NC 28655-9280
sue_reese_2000@yahoo.com

From: [Susan Long-Marin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:46:10 AM

Nov 30, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Long-Marin
305 Florence Rd
Statesville, NC 28625-4713
slmarin1@bellsouth.net

From: [Stacey Smerdell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:48:50 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stacey Smerdell
4810 Ashley Park Ln
Charlotte, NC 28210-3835
ssmerdell0926@gmail.com

From: [Karen Bradford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:16:53 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karen Bradford
833 Rockcliff Ter
Asheboro, NC 27205-1532
kbradfordtn@aol.com

From: [Jeannene Schnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:46:37 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeannene Schnell
3000 Eubanks Rd
Durham, NC 27707-3671
jeanneneschnell@gmail.com

From: [Eric Evinczik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:55:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Evinczik

781 Richmond Ave
Buffalo, NY 14222

From: [Donald Murray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:55:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Murray

1526 Old County Farm Rd
Sophia, NC 27350

From: [Jacob Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:57:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacob Johnson

815 Old Welcome Rd
Lithia, FL 33547

From: ellen.stenstrom
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:05:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ellen.stenstrom

5809 murrayhill road
charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Jennifer OConnor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:17:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very (VERY) disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer OConnor

509 Turner St
Eden, NC 27288

From: [Wendy Railton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:17:57 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Wendy Railton
9934 Devonshire Dr
Huntersville, NC 28078-5965
wrailton@icloud.com

From: [Margaret Landrum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:21:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Landrum

1280 Pondview Ave
Akron, OH 44305

From: [Tracy Gulbenkian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:48:36 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tracy Gulbenkian
600 Scaleybark Rd
Charlotte, NC 28209-2743
madaket66@gmail.com

From: [Krystal Arnold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:49:33 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Krystal Arnold
3110 Bakersville Dr
Hope Mills, NC 28348-5708
krystallarnold@gmail.com

From: [Michael Kennedy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:50:06 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michael Kennedy
419 Round Meadow Dr
Kernersville, NC 27284-2069
Michaelbluehare@yahoo.com

From: [Donna Bullock](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:37:03 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Donna Bullock
6112 Sabine Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303

From: [Lynn Spees](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:37:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynn Spees

280 28th Ave. Pl., NE
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Emily Zucchini](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: We deserve Clean Air. No biomass in the CPP!
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:37:54 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Emily Zucchini
264 Crimson Oak Drive
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Anthony Flores](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:38:06 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Anthony Flores
917 Shelby Dr
Apt B
Greensboro, NC 27409

From: [MARTIN HAZELTINE](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:38:31 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

MARTIN HAZELTINE
7614 DUNBAR DRIVE SW
SUNSET BEACH, NC 28468

From: [Jean Ann Wheelock](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:39:23 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Jean Ann Wheelock
22 Hibriten Drive
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Karla Soto](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:39:51 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Karla Soto
2660 goble st
Gastonia, NC 28056

From: [Nancy Johnson](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:45:31 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Nancy Johnson
2520 Paperbark Dr.
Monroe, NC 28110

From: [Mason Atwater](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:46:45 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mason Atwater
425 W 5th St Apt 722
Charlotte, NC 28202-1846
mason.atwater@gmail.com

From: [M. Hammond](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:47:19 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. M Hammond
8621 Seagate Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615-2609
afk@armadalekennel.com

From: [Richard Ashton](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:48:54 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Richard Ashton
15 Westchester Circle
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Carolyn Lanier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:50:22 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carolyn Lanier
2746 Gregg Dr
La Grange, NC 28551-7930
cflanier65@yahoo.com

From: [Leslie Simon](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:55:07 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Leslie Simon
60 North Market
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Carol Hoke](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:55:14 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Carol Hoke
5092 Gold Leaf Trail
None
Conover, NC 28613

From: [Ashley Gettler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:56:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ashley Gettler

1405 Burgaw Highway
Jacksonville, NC 28540

9103894817

From: [Susan Seabury](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:58:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Seabury

608 McDonald Drive
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Brian Smith](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:00:26 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Brian Smith
7 Light Cahill Ct
Biltmore Lake, NC 28715

From: [Angela Barker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:05:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Barker

715 north church street
Greensboro, NC 27401

8287365206

From: [Maynard Green](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:08:04 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Maynard Green
58 Aberdeen Dr
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Fred Stanback](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:11:10 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Fred Stanback
507 W. Innes Street, #270
Salisbury, NC 28144

From: [Charles Froelich](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:11:14 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

Clean, renewable energy sources are crucial to our state's future!!!

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Charles Froelich
204 Michigan Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Joe Miltner](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:13:40 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Joe Miltner
301 N. 26th St.
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Debbie Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:14:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debbie Walker

7190 Blackmoor Rd
Kernersville, NC 27284

3367583127

From: [Cirila Cothran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:17:06 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cirila Cothran
5708 Emerald Dr
Emerald Isle, NC 28594-3405
cirila@cirilacothran.com

From: [Adam Macon](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:22:54 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Adam Macon
196 Chatham Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Carol Moldoveanu](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:27:37 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Carol Moldoveanu
650 Woodbriar Ct
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Martin Hazeltine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:33:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Hazeltine

7614 Dunbar Dr SW
Sunset Beach, NC 28468

From: [Tanya Gerard](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:35:05 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Tanya Gerard
312 N Pinnacle Ridge Rd
Beech Mnt, NC 28604

From: [David Allred](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:40:06 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

David Allred
95 Mountain Spring Rd
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Barbara Coulson](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:40:57 AM

That North Carolina is subverting the effort to provide clean energy at lower cost to consumers is backward to say the least. I believe the DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal. The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation. The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Barbara Coulson
1001 Reems Cove Rd
Marshall, NC 28753

From: [Danna Smith](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:42:20 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Danna Smith
72 Park Avenue
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Donald Barker](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:44:52 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Donald Barker
23 13Th Ave
Southern Shores, NC 27949

From: [Robert Belknap](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:47:45 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Robert Belknap
900 Hillsborough Street
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Gayle Kumbalek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:49:21 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gayle Kumbalek
6220 Junaluska Rd
Andrews, NC 28901-7046
chalupa9@gmail.com

From: [Barbara Morales](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:53:52 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.

- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.

- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan. I am a VICTIM of the Coal Ash Ponds pollution and it is hurting me financially and at a time that I can least afford it. And from what I have seen from you people is that you are doing all you can to kiss Duke's you know what and SCREW the people of North Carolina!!!!
AND I AM GETTING MADDER EVER SINGLE DAY!!!!

Barbara Morales
2072 South Point Road
Belmont, NC 28012

From: [Gene Fox](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:54:35 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Gene Fox
PO Box 567
Wanchese, NC 27981

From: [Jerry Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:55:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerry Baker

927 Whittemore Rd
Graham, NC 27253

9197240681

From: [EILEEN JURIC](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:56:03 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

EILEEN JURIC
511 Adams Street
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Rosemarie Sawdon](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:10:33 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Rosemarie Sawdon
100 Elderberry Lane
Rougemont, NC 27572

From: [Albert Little](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:17:46 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Albert Little
7371 Beaver Run Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28314

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nicole Welbourn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:21:08 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nicole Welbourn
110 Prestwick Dr
Hendersonville, NC 28791-9798
nicole.welbourn1@gmail.com

From: [Jordan Novak](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:21:13 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jordan Novak
703 Harther Dr
Jacksonville, NC 28540-9221
jordan.novak17@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ethan Starzynski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:22:02 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ethan Starzynski
113 Sagefield Dr
Jacksonville, NC 28540-4307
ethan.starzynski@yahoo.com

From: [Xavier Boatright](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:24:07 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Xavier Boatright
1000 Aventine Dr Apt 316
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Josh LittleJohn](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:24:59 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Josh LittleJohn
4134 Bat Cave Rd
Old Fort, NC 28762

From: [Albert Little](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:27:06 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Albert Little
7371 Beaver Run Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28314

From: [Randolph Lawrence](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:32:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randolph Lawrence

4805 Highgate Drive
Durham, NC 27713

9196985387

From: [George White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:47:59 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. George White
41 Stonebridge Dr
Asheville, NC 28805-1462
ghwhite105@yahoo.com

From: [Timothy Zerr](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:50:55 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.

- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.

- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program. If coal is continued to be our fuel, you need to install the latest scrubbing technology no matter what it costs because our air and water should have no price tag for being clean. It is a universal human right to have clean air and water without being over taxed for it. If there is a will for this there is a way. Just do it.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Timothy Zerr
3237 Bragg Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [D Brown](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:58:06 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

D Brown
PO Box 98964
Raleigh, NC 27624

From: [Alan Field](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:00:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Field

1414 N Gregson St
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Cynthia Camilleri](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:18:49 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Cynthia Camilleri
93 Town Branch Road
Barnardsville, NC 28709

From: [Maria Ayala](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:20:09 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maria Ayala
4011 Foxford Pl
Charlotte, NC 28215-3207
maria.ayala1996@gmail.com

From: [Bruce Bijesse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:22:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Bijesse

35 WindSong Dr
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [David Elder](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:48:17 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

David Elder
208 West Carolinian Circle
Nags Head, NC 27959

From: [Deb Peckitt](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 12:52:02 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Deb Peckitt
Forest Lake Dr.
Foxfire Village, NC 27281

From: [Cheryl Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:23:02 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cheryl Williams
8930 Glencroft Rd
Mint Hill, NC 28227-4581
cahw2112@gmail.com

From: [Misti Schank](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:27:06 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Misti Schank
3251 Flynt Rd
Liberty, NC 27298-8223
mistinargaret@hotmail.com

From: [Eleanor Eltoft](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:32:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Eltoft

1615 Country Lane
Country Ln
Creedmoor, NC 27522

From: [Dow Bancroft](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:35:58 PM

In these times of high unemployment and rising prices, the last thing we need is an energy plan that will drastically affect costs on utility bills. to make our citizens pay while China, the MAJOR contributor to pollution, does nothing is a move in the wrong direction. Likewise, solar and wind generation are not the right way to go either as both are affected by weather and nightfall when there is no sunlight.

Therefore, I strongly urge you to do nothing that will have a negative impact on utility customers.

Sincerely,
Dow Bancroft
Hubert, NC

Dow Bancroft
109 Beaver Trail
Hubert, NC 28539

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Frances Lindy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:51:45 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Frances Lindy
1501 Pineview Dr
Raleigh, NC 27606-2561
outoforder13@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sarah Richeda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:51:53 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah Richeda
108 W Moss Creek Dr
Clayton, NC 27520-6910
evphaedrielle@yahoo.com

From: [Thomas Leonard](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:52:19 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Thomas Leonard
2201 S Live Oak Parkway
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Mary Endecott Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 1:55:03 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mary Endecott Green
1330 Crestview Dr
Shelby, NC 28150-4221
poind84566@mypacks.net

From: [Diane Engles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:11:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Engles

10628 TREDWOOD DR
RALEIGH, 27614

From: [Heather Muise](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:18:44 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Muise
101 Deerwood Dr
Greenville, NC 27858-3804
heathermuise@gmail.com

From: [Crystal Riedel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:19:21 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Crystal Riedel
1558 Granville St
Burlington, NC 27215-2008
ck11414@yahoo.com

From: [Mieke Oostveen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:20:07 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mieke Oostveen
657 Colonial Hills Dr
Lillington, NC 27546-6317
miekoostveen@yahoo.com

From: [Kicab Castaneda-Mendez](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:26:27 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
112 Rhododendron Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Rita Lampkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:27:26 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rita Lampkins
2171 Mill Pond Drive Ext
Sophia, NC 27350-8793
ritalampkins@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [mason.smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:28:07 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. mason smith
116 hilll lanes
jacksonville, NC 28540
11940275@gmail.com

From: [George Slaton](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 2:49:55 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

George Slaton
1230 South Street
Cornelius, NC 28031

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Adam Penninger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:00:57 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Adam Penninger
4806 Coppala Dr
Charlotte, NC 28216-0100
aj.itr1@gmail.com

From: [Keith Allen](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:16:14 PM

Sadly, Governor Pat ("I am/was not a lobbyist) McCrory continues to snuggle up to his old boss, Duke Energy. Enough is enough. It's time for SOMEONE in McCrory's administration to act responsibly.

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.

- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.

- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Keith Allen
3217 Mt. Zion Church Rd.
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Kelly Vaughan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:21:40 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelly Vaughan
911 N Rotary Dr
High Point, NC 27262-4664
kellyfvaughan@gmail.com

From: [Alyssa Ferguson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:22:15 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alyssa Ferguson
1617 Wake Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587-7778
alyssajferguson@gmail.com

From: [Wayne Smith](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:23:02 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal. Coal fired power plants and biomass facilities should be avoided: solar and wind and, GEOTHERMAL should be embraced.

- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.

- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Wayne Smith
5550 Trade St
Hope Mills, NC 28348

From: [Laura Strickler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:28:26 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Laura Strickler
444 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, NC 27312-8520
lstrickler55@gmail.com

From: [Tiffany Ehnes](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:31:10 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Tiffany Ehnes
429 Rainbow Rd
Advance, NC 27006

From: [Marco LaVecchia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:50:59 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marco LaVecchia
13814 Moss Rd
Charlotte, NC 28273-6799
marclavecc@gmail.com

From: [Beth Harvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:51:10 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Beth Harvey
1005 Black Oak Ct
Apex, NC 27502-1651
sale3b@nc.rr.com

From: [Sherry Roark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 3:57:37 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sherry Roark
PO Box 248
Pfafftown, NC 27040-0248
sroark@triad.rr.com

From: [Janet Tice](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:15:49 PM

It makes me sad to see this beautiful state going backwards, ignoring the well-being of its' citizens.

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.

- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.

- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Janet Tice
310 Umstead
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Greg Hamby](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:33:32 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Greg Hamby
1206 Harbor Ct.
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949

From: [Rachel Weber](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:46:31 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Rachel Weber
14 Blue Willow Lane
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Sean Cohen](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:47:24 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Sean Cohen
306 Stoneham Drive
Cary, NC 27519

From: [kelly sims](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:48:07 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelly Sims
708 Constitution Dr
Durham, NC 27705-2898
simska.photography@gmail.com

From: [Martha Spencer](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:49:07 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Martha Spencer
988 Henry Mountain Road
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Jana Green](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:50:48 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Jana Green
743 Craggy Pointe
Banner Elk, NC 28604

From: [Thomas Blanton](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:51:36 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Thomas Blanton

Granite Falls, NC 28630

From: [Tina McElhannon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 4:56:31 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tina McElhannon
5613 Indigo Run
Monroe, NC 28110-8511
tinamclhnnn02@gmail.com

From: [CINDY YATES](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 5:28:14 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

CINDY YATES
105 linville dr
Castle Hayne, NC 28429

From: [Matthew Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 5:29:04 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Matthew Green
2920 Arbor Hills Dr
Lincolnton, NC 28092-7545
mattmatt696@hotmail.com

From: [Todd Patton](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 5:32:58 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Todd Patton
4512 Bracada Dr
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lynn Jacobs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 5:57:51 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lynn Jacobs
1981 Maryland Ave
Charlotte, NC 28209-1601
lynn@thejacobs5.com

From: [Jeffrey fox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:25:23 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeffrey fox
8878 S Nc Highway 150
Linwood, NC 27299-9451
jef200027299@yahoo.com

From: [Rick Savage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:39:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rick Savage

101 Bonner Ct
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Melanie Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:48:13 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melanie Jones
1212 High Glen Pt
Raleigh, NC 27614-8504
mdj_usa@bellsouth.com

From: [Manasvi Khullar](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:50:06 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Manasvi Khullar
3212 Rapid Falls Road
Morrisville, NC 27560

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Toni Hicks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:53:31 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Toni Hicks
777 Tant Rd
Spring Hope, NC 27882-7534
tonihicks62@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Maja Moseley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 6:56:59 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maja Moseley
1104 Terrace Ct
Raleigh, NC 27615-7637
porsche567@mindspring.com

From: [David & Carol Shelton](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:01:56 PM

I would like to think that our reps in Raleigh have NC citizens best interest in mind when making decisions on future energy policy, and will not succumb to industry pressure to continue 'business as usual', (coal, etc.).

Who does not want to have a clean energy future other than the fossil fuel industry? No one.

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

David & Carol Shelton
329 Grady McNeilly Rd
Casar, NC 28020

From: [Melanie Holt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:19:23 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melanie Holt
139 Bahama Drive
Wilmington, NC 28409
melanie.holt@yahoo.com

From: [Charles Gavins](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:35:04 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Charles Gavins
638 Lex Drive
Alexander Home Apartment #638
Charlotte, NC 28262

From: [Kimberly Hart](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:40:16 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Kimberly Hart
123 Robin Dr
Advance, NC 27006

From: [Roberto Penaherrera](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:50:22 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Roberto Penaherrera
8945 Camden Creek Ln
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Roberto Penaherrera](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:52:02 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Roberto Penaherrera
8945 Camden Creek Ln
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Carson Butler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 7:53:42 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carson Butler
5109 Carversham Ct
Winston Salem, NC 27106-4783
butlcar13@students.ecu.edu

From: [Ken Mauney](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:06:02 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Ken Mauney
4012 Angier Ave
Apt/Suite
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Richard White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:20:04 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Richard White
PO Box 1191
Denton, NC 27239-1191
richard.l.white+thepetitionsite@gmail.com

From: [Michaela Letterlough](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:31:23 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michaela Letterlough
2928 Spur Ave
Fayetteville, NC 28306-8390
blessbabygirl@hotmail.com

From: [Tenille Fox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:49:12 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tenille Fox
104 Nc 54 Ste M
Carrboro, NC 27510-1597
nohandis@gmail.com

From: [Robert Swett](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:50:32 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Robert Swett
301 Montreat Rd.
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rhonda Sherrill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:56:07 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rhonda Sherrill
1746 Berry Lewis Rd
Bladenboro, NC 28320-9143
Rhondafs@aol.com

From: [Will Phelan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:58:28 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Will Phelan
3125 Cutchin Dr
Charlotte, NC 28210-4815
harbashnarba98@gmail.com

From: [Frank Stroupe](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 8:59:45 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Frank Stroupe
329 raintree dr
matthews, NC 28104

From: [Tracy Gourville](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:34:39 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Tracy Gourville
117 Royal Oak Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Leigh Stroud](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:52:09 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leigh Stroud
3305 Ventura Cir
Wake Forest, NC 27587-9389
stroud.leigh@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Meghan Marie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:53:22 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Meghan Marie
7105 Havenwood Dr
Raleigh, NC 27616-6424
mmb011493@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Antonio Ladonea](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:55:00 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Antonio Ladonea
4494 Paula Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27127-6834
antonioladonea@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Heidi Belcher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 9:55:52 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heidi Belcher
415 8th Avenue Dr NW
Hickory, NC 28601-3625
heidibelcher@charter.net

From: [Sandra booth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:12:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra booth

111 NE 14th
Oak Island, NC 28465

From: [KEvin Leder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:18:33 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. KEvin Leder
7858 Oak Haven Ln
Stanley, NC 28164-7825
lederman1@hotmail.com

From: [Buni Southerland](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:46:22 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Buni Southerland

Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Sabrina Bahre](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:21:48 PM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sabrina Bahre
5208 Ringo Dr Apt 8
Wilmington, NC 28405-3492
hthsvetgirl@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Mariesa Mcculloch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:21:57 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mariesa Mcculloch
1313 Kilmory Dr
Cary, NC 27511-5015
reesespiezes06@yahoo.com

From: [Maggie Peterson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:17 AM

Dec 1, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maggie Peterson
1238 Golden Bluff Ln
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-5324
nansammag3@hotmail.com

From: [Lola Faircloth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:15:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lola Faircloth

204 Riverside Dr
Sneads Ferry, NC 28460

9103272524

From: [Joanne McGrath](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:19:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne McGrath

924 Chestnut Cove Rd
Sylva, NC 28779

828-631-1572

From: [Blane Morgan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:21:54 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Blane Morgan
1408 Ashley Creek Ct
Mount Holly, NC 28120-9239
blanemorgan1989@gmail.com

From: [FAYE johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:21:15 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. FAYE johnson
1167 Minter School Rd
Sanford, NC 27332-2482
FAYEJ750@GMAIL.COM

From: [Jenna Haggarty](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:52:06 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jenna Haggarty
337 Little Farm Rd
Statesville, NC 28625-2320
brbqueen@yahoo.com

From: [Dee Czahor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:50:02 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dee Czahor
946 Berry Patch Ln
Pittsboro, NC 27312-6069
dytoon52@aol.com

From: [Ray LeClair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:50:47 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ray LeClair
908 Parkwood Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205-2659
raymond.m.leclair@gmail.com

From: [Crystal Jordening](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:50:50 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Crystal Jordening
5706 Wadebridge Cv
Charlotte, NC 28210-4043
Crystal.Jordening@duke-energy.com

From: [Susan Spaulding](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:51:46 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Spaulding
13717 Chambray Ln
Charlotte, NC 28278-7431
spauldingone@yahoo.com

From: [jane weitsman](mailto:jane.weitsman)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:20:36 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. jane weitsman
1816 Kelly Glen Dr
Apex, NC 27502-5291
jweitsman@cfl.rr.com

From: [Edward Iftime](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:21:14 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Edward Iftime
6115 Independence Place Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303-0226
iftime95@hotmail.com

From: [Jan Wren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:50:06 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jan Wren
271 Gardner Rd
Angier, NC 27501-6412
wushingstarhorses@yahoo.com

From: [Charlie Gayle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:12:57 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Charlie Gayle
126 W Holding Ave
Wake Forest, NC 27587-2832
charlie.gayle@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Eddie Turner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:19:06 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Eddie Turner
559 Upper Grassy Branch Rxt
Asheville, NC 28805
eddieturner41@gmail.com

From: [Samantha Burrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:24:18 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Samantha Burrow
202 Primrose Dr
Lexington, NC 27292-4265
samanthaburrow@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stacey Perkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:38:48 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stacey Perkins
10319 Kilmory Ter
Charlotte, NC 28210-8347
staceyjane02@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Stenglein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:55:59 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephanie Stenglein
3316 Draper Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205-3832
stephanie.stenglein@gmail.com

From: [Peter Zeiler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:56:03 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Peter Zeiler
1939 Woodland Dr
Charlotte, NC 28205-6353
pqzeiler@yahoo.com

From: [Vickie Sue Weiland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:58:33 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Vickie Sue Weiland
721 W Hills Rd
Hendersonville, NC 28791-3349
suweiland@att.net

From: [Al Ledbron](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:23:34 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Al Ledbron
5505 Mesa Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303-2727
al.lebron@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Colleen Gariton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:53:10 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Colleen Gariton
9915 Gray Dove Ct
Charlotte, NC 28216-5620
colleenliz@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [rosa logan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:04:40 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. rosa logan
2200 Chesterfield Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205-6016
rlog83@hotmail.com

From: cindy.bernath
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:21:45 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. cindy bernath
mackerel lane
Kure Beach, NC 28449
cindybernath1@gmail.com

From: [james Chandler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:24:17 AM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Chandler
1951 Rhoden Ct
Gastonia, NC 28054-6098
jameschandler@carolina.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Karen Bollinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:01:26 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karen Bollinger
1532 23rd Street PI NE
Hickory, NC 28601-3025
karen_62@yahoo.com

From: [Sydney Hunt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:20:47 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sydney Hunt
124 Park Ave
Southport, NC 28461-3120
Sydneyxhunt@gmail.com

From: [Mike Rodden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:28:18 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mike Rodden
7615 Neal Rd
Charlotte, NC 28262-3219
rodden.mike@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jorge Ambriz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:33:05 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jorge Ambriz
2210 West Blvd
Charlotte, NC 28208-6107
jaajorge@yahoo.com

From: [John Turnage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:46:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Turnage

90 Fred McLeod Ln
Coats, NC 27521

From: [Dale Trembley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:46:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dale Trembley

1823 Hamorton Place
Charlotte, NC 28223

7046877337

From: [John Giglia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:46:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Giglia

815 Cedar Drive
Kill Devil Hill, NC 27948

6318809843

From: [Bruce Kirchoff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:46:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Kirchoff

5504 Ashmont Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Michelle Bermeo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:46:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Bermeo

3190 Exacta Ln
Apt 211
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Cathi Culver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:47:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathi Culver

PO Box 1613
Leicester, NC 28748

2409380064

From: [Annette Musulin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:47:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Musulin

2916 Escondido Farm Rd
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Selena Lauterer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:47:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I'm an 8th generation North Carolinian and I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Let's protect our beautiful state & world!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Selena Lauterer

PO Box 606
boone, NC 28607

828 386 1337

From: [Marcos Varela](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:47:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcos Varela

160 eastwood dr
Statesville, NC 28625

7048766981

From: [Paul Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Smith

7308 Harps Mill Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615

9198184959

From: [Liesl Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Liesl Lane

348 Michaels Rd
Mocksville, NC 27028

13364321547

From: [Weldine Dossett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Weldine Dossett

415 Aberdeen Terrace
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Philip Davenport](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Davenport

10905 Fitzwilliam Street
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Elly richards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elly richards

20 Pickens Lane
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Sharon Herman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Herman

1418 Redcoat Dr
Charlotte, NC 28211

2482196038

From: [E. Houston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP) !

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

E. Houston

Hillsborough Ave
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Natalie Snow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Natalie Snow

209 Summerwalk Circle
Chapel-Hill, NC 27517

3364307448

From: [Andrea Snyder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:48:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Snyder

228f 14 th ave se
Hickory, NC 28602

From: [Boyce Booth](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:17 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Boyce Booth
153 Wisconsin St., Spindale, NC
Spindale, NC 28160

From: josh.carlough
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

josh carlough

<http://BlowingRockBrewing.com>

152 sunset drive
blowing rock, NC 28605

8287735364

From: [Kate Fries](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Fries

431 East Ridge Road
Franklin, NC 28734

From: [joann from nowhere JAMES](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

joann from nowhere JAMES

344 HENRY STREET
stanleytown, VA 24168

3364533290

From: [Denise Cantrell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Cantrell

2130 Nettlebrook Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27106

3369227325

From: [Mary T Boatwright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary T Boatwright

2040 Englewood Avenue
DURHAM, NC 27705

From: [Greg Bryant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Bryant

P o box 84
Saluda, NC 28773

From: [John Luce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Luce

2804 Mercantile Ct
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Kuri Mansa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kuri Mansa

1743 Chasewood Dr
Unit 18
Charlotte, NC 28212

7042412961

From: [Pete Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pete Miller

po box 94
Marshallberg, NC 28553

From: [Joe Gigliotti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Gigliotti

5c oceanic dr
wrightsville beach, NC 28480

3364915442

From: [Cpt. Chris Kodger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cpt. Chris Kodger

PO Box 2142
Beaufort, NC 28516

2526225501

From: [Richard Ashton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Ashton

15 Westchester Circle
Pinehurst, NC 28374

9102150573

From: [David Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Williams

2812 Somerdale Ct
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Margaret peeples](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret peeples

838 Heather Lane
charlotte, NC 28209

9197899056

From: [Marilyn Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Brown

2901 Carding Place
Matthews, NC 28105

7048470070

From: [Douglas Graham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglas Graham

145 Live Oak Lane
Mooresville, NC 28115

From: [Larry Rudel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Rudel

4417 Erie Dr
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [Delores Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Delores Mitchell

7504 Endotrail Rd
Greensboro, NC 27409

3364022011

From: [Stanley Hix](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stanley Hix

5212A Deerhaven Dr
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [David Wilson Jr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Wilson Jr

129 Barwood Ter
Trinity, NC 27370

3366985509

From: [Jason Palivoda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jason Palivoda

3346 Hamlet Chapel Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Leslie Martino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leslie Martino

130 Drexel Farm Drive
Hendersonville, NC 28739

8287721932

From: [Kate Kelleher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Kelleher

77 Brevard Rd
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Mason W. Smith, III](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mason W. Smith, III

6925 Northbury Lane
Apt. 1325
Charlotte, NC 28226

704-575-5200

From: [Maggie Szott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maggie Szott

5040 glen cove Dr
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Joseph Moran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joseph Moran

1307 LeClair St
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Sarah Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Williams

203 Shoreline Dr.E
Sunset Beach, NC 28468

From: [Valerie Steel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Valerie Steel

4 Cayman Court
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Jill Gloster](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Gloster

2501 chesterfield av
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Tanya R](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tanya R

2500 q st. nw
Washington, DC 20007

From: [Bryan Dechter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bryan Dechter

873 Silverleaf Rd
Zionville, NC 28698

From: [Edward Hill III](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Hill III

1904 Country Squire Road
KINSTON, NC 28504

From: [Barry Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are willfully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Anderson

111 W Oregon Ave
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

From: [Anna Donze](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Donze

1139 Martin St
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Tina Hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tina Hill

P.O.box 2283 Leicester hwy
Leicester, NC 28748

8284503164

From: [Joe Gilbert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:49:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Gilbert

2331 Lakeside Lofts Circle
Cary, NC 27514

From: [Andrew Wentz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:50:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Wentz

1302 Coachman Dr
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [Carol Haunton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:50:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Haunton

1632 12th St. NE
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Suzan Parrish](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:50:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzan Parrish

713 E. Boyd St Apt. A
Maiden, NC 28650

8284614265

From: [Stephen Lawson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:50:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Lawson

181 joe myers rd
ADVANCE, NC 27006

From: [VICTORIA.CHATFIELD](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:50:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

VICTORIA CHATFIELD

4933 OLEANDER DR
WILMINGTON, NC 28403

3366842002

From: [Linda Haines](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:50:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Haines

105 maxwell dr
Harkers island, NC 28531

From: [Joshua Deaton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joshua Deaton

936 Hill St
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Tracy Deibert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy Deibert

3209 SKylark Haven Dr
Pfafftown, NC 27040

From: [Brent Icenhour](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brent Icenhour

119 S.Cntr. St
Taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [Tom Sander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Sander

4155 Salem church Rd
Haw River, NC 27258

From: [Melissa Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Clark

2309 Stonewater Glen Ln
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Barbara Weaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Weaver

4706 Bluebird Ct
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Patricia Lauer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

I always vote!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Lauer

66 Glen Eden Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Dianne Tinman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dianne Tinman

265 Cumberland Ave Apt 1
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Amanda Evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Evans

30 Lawterdale Circle One
Asheville, NC 28804

6055457968

From: [Deborah Dobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Dobson

32 Main St
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Elizabeth McPherson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:51:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Do it NOW!!!!!!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth McPherson

3801 Wade Coble Drive
Burlington, NC 27215

336-538-1467

From: [Dan Livingston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:52:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dan Livingston

5020 F Turnbridge Circle
Browns Summit, NC 27214

3362359077

From: [Adam Meyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:52:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adam Meyer

4613 Grenadine Court
Raleigh, NC 27612

9193062204

From: [Kathy Osborne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:52:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Osborne

3608 Blueberry Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

9197819683

From: [Ryan Robinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:52:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ryan Robinson

313 Springtime Fields Lane
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Eric Herget](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:52:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Herget

100 Stablegate Dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Thomas Seltzer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:52:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Seltzer

102 Brinkley Rd
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Beth Stanberry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:52:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Stanberry

PO Box 468
Asheville, NC 28802

828-216-0701

From: [di inscoe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:53:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

di inscoe

515 purdue drive
wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Ericka Faircloth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:53:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. I urge you to think of the young ones in your family, did you know that asthma is the #1 reason children miss school? I was born and raised in NC, and I want my state to strive to make every effort to keep NC clean, healthy and beautiful. I have experienced nothing but disappointment with Governor Pat McCrory and the DEQ.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ericka Faircloth

1318 broad street
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Louis Gurgitano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:53:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Louis Gurgitano

7010 Porterfield Rd
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Lisa Hooper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:54:27 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Hooper
3629 Chelwood Dr NW
Concord, NC 28027-7862
momkatmich@yahoo.com

From: [Martha Spann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Spann

185 Van Gogh Dr
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [Diane Beger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Beger

918 Balcombe rd
Rocky Point, NC 28457

9102002030

From: [Audrey Basson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). We should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audrey Basson

Lochmere
Cary, NC 27518

From: [Linsi Deyo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

As threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed in you and your environmental agency.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linsi Deyo

8110 State HWY 80S
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Reginald Martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Reginald Martin

3514 Ravendale Dr
Martin
Greensboro, NC 27406

3365493662

From: [Michael Wechter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Wechter

8 Flycatcher Way unit 201
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Kacy Krieg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kacy Krieg

34 Emerald Lane
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [Robert Stanzione](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Stanzione

135 duck woods drive
Southern Shores, NC 27949

From: [Peter Gazzard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Gazzard

4013 Norseman Loop
Unit 6
Southport, NC 28461

9193028273

From: [Amy Griffith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Griffith

2405 Pittsfield Blvd
Ann Arbor, MI 48104

From: [Julia Haslett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:55:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Haslett

414 Hillsborough Street
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Gracie Woody](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:56:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gracie Woody

7804 Craig Road
Belews Creek, NC 27009

From: [Pam Alterman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:56:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pam Alterman

308 Frenchmans bluff dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Judy Jacobs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:56:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Jacobs

519 Andrews Dr
Melbourne Beach, FL 32951

From: [Ryan Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Also I request for you to stop all weather modification projects and tell the media what is really going on.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller

546 fieldtop dr
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

From: [Annette Racer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Racer

71 Old Fort Rd
Fairview, NC 28730

828-7132193

From: [Vicki Mcdaniel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vicki Mcdaniel

4801 South Shore Drive
B-5
Morehead City, NC 28557

From: [Barbara ONeill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara ONeill

1285 River Hill Trail
Lincolnton, NC 28092

From: [Gracie Woody](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gracie Woody

7804 Craig Road
Belews Creek, NC 27009

From: [Frank Rabey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Rabey

2249 Shire Drive
Winterville, NC 28590

2529171469

From: [Marilyn Hamer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Hamer

220 Dye Leaf Rd
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Sally Rogers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Rogers

P.O. Box 48
Penland, NC 28765

From: [Barbara Gray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gray

42 Forest Oaks Drive
Southport, NC 28461

From: [kathleen Stefanick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:57:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kathleen Stefanick

1604 Cedar lane
raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Sharon Goldenberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:58:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Goldenberg

27425 Walker
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Esther Mantel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:58:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Esther Mantel

1064 West Mills Street
Columbus, NC 28722

From: [Donna Bullock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:58:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Bullock

6112 Sabine Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303

From: [Stephanie Poludniak](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:58:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Poludniak

17342 Westmill Lane
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Lisa Garber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:58:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Garber

105 Fidelity St
Carrboro, NC 27510

9102805658

From: [Andrew Love](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:58:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Andrew Love

4710 Hanging Ivy Dr.
Charlotte, NC

Sincerely,

Andrew Love

4710 Hanging Ivy Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Judit Beres](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:59:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judit Beres

504 sherwood forest pl
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Hong Hessler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 12:59:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hong Hessler

2125 Rolling Rock Rd
Wake Forest, ND 27587

From: [Julie Booton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:00:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julie Booton

620 Brittany Bay E
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Dann Carnes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:00:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dann Carnes

145 Windsor Cr
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

919-260-9281

From: [Stephen Mayberry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:00:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Mayberry

2224 Heathrowe pl
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Deborah Long](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:00:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Long

3117 Waxhaw Marvin rd
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [Virginia Brien](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:00:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP.

By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Brien

3028 Airlie St
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Helen Livingston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am embarrassed by you and your environmental agency purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Livingston

311 Montrose Lane
Laurinburg, NC 28352

9102761797

From: [Teresa Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Baker

2652 NC Hwy 56
Creedmoor, NC 27522

(919)725-1417

From: [Marjorie Ewing](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Ewing

3253 Yorkshire Circle
Sanford, NC 27332

919-895-9826

From: [Tiffany Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Jackson

211 brookwood ave nw
Concord, NC 28025

7047855608

From: [Donna Roper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air

How can you do what you're doing? We only have this earth. What about your wow children, your grandchildren. Why not have a legacy of having the strongest policies on taking care of your state...being remembered for all the great things you've done instead of being just another politician that sold out.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Roper

9 Blossom Road
Sylva, NC 28779

8285063676

From: [Susan McReynolds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan McReynolds

2221 East 8th Street
Charlotte, NC 28204

From: [Kathleen Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Thompson

5813 Wrightsville Ave
Unit 158
Wilmington, NC 28403

9102321699

From: [Bryce Kuchik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bryce Kuchik

1205 Ravens Point Circle
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Garland Singletary](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:01:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Garland Singletary

38 Timmerman Drive
Elizabeth City, NC 27909

From: [David Rhyne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:02:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Rhyne

10 Briarfield Ct
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Carole Schaefer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:02:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carole Schaefer

209 Crowell Sq Ct
apt 110
Asheville, NC 28806

8648847822

From: [peter birckhead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:02:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

peter birckhead

213 wild oak ln
carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Larissa Bowman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:02:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As your constituent, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larissa Bowman

425 Flat Top Mountain Rd
fairview, NC 28730

8286063422

From: [Nancy Orban](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:02:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Orban

115 Spooks Branch Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [joonhyo kim](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:03:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

joonhyo kim

5626 david christian pl
greensboro, NC 27410

9795714513

From: [Catherine Chickos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:03:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Chickos

5200 Hallmark Rd
Durham, NC 27712

From: [Anna Tuziw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:03:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Tuziw

4625 Meadow Fork Rd
Hot Springs, NC 28743

From: [sherry macqueen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:03:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sherry macqueen

6825 Penny Road
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Rob Little](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:04:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rob Little

3500 Thornwood ct
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [A. Giddings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:04:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A. Giddings

207 Carol St
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Tywan Cansler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:04:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tywan Cansler

3 Bytha Way
Shelby, NC 28152

7049740342

From: [Dr. Mary E. Sunday](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:04:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Along with all Americans with a conscience and all those who believe in making the world safer and stronger for our children, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma, autism, and Alzheimers rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am astonished that you, your government supporters and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is of paramount importance for our families, our environment, our country and for North Carolina's economy. Please gain more NC supporters by doing the morally right thing and protect our clean environment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mary E. Sunday

44 Brookside Place
Durham, NC 27705

(919) 383-3419

From: [Beth Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:05:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Jackson

128 Weatherwood Court, Apt. 13
Winston-Salem, NC 27103

From: [Don Clapp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:05:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don Clapp

PO Box 989
Saluda, NC 28773

7047500411

From: [James Dixon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:05:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, you should be working towards reducing carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, your community, your energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for your state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for families, your environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Dixon

206 W. Washington Ave
Terra Alta, WV 26764

From: [Nancy Budzinski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:06:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Budzinski

79 Overlook Dr
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Norman LaFleur](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Norman LaFleur

7101 Markway Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Jarrett Barnhill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jarrett Barnhill

535 Ivy Dr
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Julianne Day-Evers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julianne Day-Evers

201 Thornbird Ave
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Teresa Woolverton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am beyond disappointed -- but not surprised! -that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Woolverton

2818 Edwards Street
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Ronald Sherwood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

It is very disappointing that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Sherwood

616 Crown Court
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Fred Stanback](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fred Stanback

220 Stonewall Road
Salisbury, NC 28144

From: [Kathleen Kenyon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Kenyon

1401 Oak tree Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Constance Green-Hosten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Constance Green-Hosten

6924 Heath Glen Drive
Mint Hill, NC 28227

From: [Richard Schmidt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Schmidt

67 Edgewood Ave, NE
Concord, NC 28025

7047929692

From: [Ross Stocks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

As a sufferer of asthma myself since childhood, your environmental decisions have an acute effect on me.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ross Stocks

308 Chapel Valley Lane
APEX, NC 27502

From: [Jen Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jen Johnson

1510 Orange ST
Wilmington, NC 28401

From: [Mable Slade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mable Slade

458 Hubquarter Rd
Macon, NC 27551

From: [Diane Masters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Masters

2902 Quincemoor Rd
Durham, NC 27712

From: [Ursula Campos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ursula Campos

79 Clearwater Hrb
Sanford, NC 27332

From: [Bob Kollar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution---the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, science clearly indicates that we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am appalled that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for all North Carolina, its communities and our energy mix.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

Bob Kollar

5604 Virgilwood Drive
Greensboro, NC 27409

From: [Marnette Zuchel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marnette Zuchel

8102 Park Vista Cir
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Eric Lazzari](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Lazzari

1216 Woodburn Road
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Cliff Faull](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cliff Faull

83 meadow view drive
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Nancy Mueller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mueller

409 Moonridge Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199424937

From: [Susan Steinberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:07:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Steinberg

1321 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

9199423540

From: [William Rigby](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:08:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Rigby

616 Sugarberry Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

919-443-1443

From: [Arnold Gordon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:08:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arnold Gordon

1121 Tunstall Way
Durham, NC 27703

From: [N. Marrone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:08:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

N. Marrone

Ironwood Place
chapel hill, NC 27514

From: [Gracie Hemphill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:08:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gracie Hemphill

9231 Brentfield Road
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [Annabel Collins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:08:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annabel Collins

6125 Morgan Ashley Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Elizabeth Bambara](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:08:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I want NC to be a healthy place to live, work, and play. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

PLEASE RECONSIDER YOUR POLICY STANCE, even if it is incongruent with your political party's position. I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bambara

6000 Woodknoll Court
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Domenico Frate](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:09:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

As a Republican since NIXON , I implore you to keep our environment CLEAN as humanly possible.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Domenico Frate

510 Charter Place
Greensboro, NC 27405

From: [Cheryl Vecellio](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:09:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Vecellio

305 Meadow Pathway Drive
Fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Gaylon Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:09:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gaylon Green

3740 Lynn Oaks Drive
Trinity, NC 27370

3368131366

From: [Burwell Ware](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:09:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Are you intentionally planning for our state to fail to meet federal requirements under the Environmental Protection Agency's Clean Power Plan?

If so, please explain why you are doing this. In my opinion, we should exceed federal requirements and do everything we can to protect the health of the citizens of our state.

Sincerely,

Burwell Ware

126 Kingston Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Michael Goy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:10:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Goy

142 Dixie Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Denise Icenhour](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:10:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Icenhour

1830 34th St NE
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Catherine Marie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:10:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Marie

3612 Morningside Dr
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [M.K. Ramm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:10:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

M.K. Ramm

234 Crawford Road
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Timothy Sowder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:10:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Sowder

6625 cow hollow drive #2223
Charlotte, NC 28226

704 614 7267

From: [Jay Yager](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:10:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jay Yager

200 park
Sanford, 27330

From: [Richard Cassidy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:10:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Cassidy

2323 Sunnyside Av
Winston Salem, NC 27127

From: [Janine Dupras](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:11:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janine Dupras

413 Dominion Oak Circle
Cary, NC 27519

19192571303

From: [Richard Strowd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:12:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Strowd

4845 Manns Chapel Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Scott Bates](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Bates

1300 Laurel Springs Dr
Apt 1324
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Miriam Grobelny-Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam Grobelny-Thomas

183 New Castle Dr
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

From: [Sylvia Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Williams

307 Brookwood Ave
Fayetteville, NC 28301

From: [eileen hoyt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

PLEASE PROTECT the citizens of this state

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

eileen hoyt

98 nicks bnd w
pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Tim Blaes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Blaes

407 E. Dermid Ave
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Angelina Ekis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angelina Ekis

479 Summer walk Circle
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Teresa Hauser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Hauser

113 McKinley Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27107

3364865154

From: [Rodney Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:13:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rodney Jones

960 Stonehaven Drive
Graham, NC 27253

From: [Patti Gillespie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:14:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

We MUST become less dependent on fossil fuels. We must therefore become more dependent on clean energy-- wind, sun, water. You need to move NC away from the old-style energy companies and move it to the companies suitable for the 21st, not the 19th century. As childhood asthma goes up, the state suffers from evermore abrupt weather changes, and the temperatures in the area soar above what is normal, you should realize that climate change is here NOW. It's not something that we'll see in a century or so. You need to help the state by encouraging stronger environmental regulations leading to better air and water quality.

Sincerely,

Patti Gillespie

819 Pollock St
New Bern, NC 28562

From: [james Thamm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:15:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

james Thamm

8109 High Oaks Ln
Charlotte, NC 28277

7044889760

From: [Grant Bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:15:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix. I think local and state government should be the best informed and invested in our interests and feel it is disadvantageous for Washington to determine what is best for our state.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grant Bailey

492 N. Washington St
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

2817680216

From: [Molly Sessoms](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:16:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Molly Sessoms

2983 smith mill rd
Lumberton, NC 28358

From: [Dennis C. Maulden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:17:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dennis C. Maulden

PO Box 112
Flat Rock, NC 28731

From: [Jessica Motta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:18:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Motta

22 Yadkin St
Clayton, 27520

From: [Roland Robustelli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roland Robustelli

1300 Thorny Vine Ct
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Larry Sparks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Sparks

204 Crawley Drive
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Nicole Fincher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicole Fincher

2008 Dunsmore Lane
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [wafa Khalil](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

wafa Khalil

705 Cheselden dr
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Michael Gyurica](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Gyurica

4609 Briargrove Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Neysa Raiti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Neysa Raiti

2789 oakbluff circle
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Alyssa Wolcott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Wolcott

2433 Burgaw ST SW
Supply, NC 28462

From: [Charles Baldwin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Your disgraceful actions will not be forgotten on election day.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Baldwin

103 Ironwood Dr
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [J.A. Perry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J.A. Perry

24 RIDGE AVE
ASHEVILLE, NC 28803

From: [Hamed marcus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hamed marcus

1633 east rest dr
charlotte, NC 28205

From: [catherine lavau](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

catherine lavau

605 Shady Lawn Road
chapel Hill, 27514

From: [Marcia Prince](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:19:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Prince

100 Eastwood Lake Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Richard Haas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:20:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Haas

1006 Timbergrass Ln
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Joseph Nolan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:20:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

McCrory - seriously - FUCK YOU!

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joseph Nolan

270 1/2 Sand Hill Road
Asheville, NC 28806

(828) 595-7473

From: [John Jacobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:20:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Jacobson

508 Metcalf St
New Bern, NC 28560

252-633-1033

From: [Braethun Bharathae-Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:20:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Braethun Bharathae-Lane

56 Lakeshore Dr
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Robert Rosen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:20:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Rosen

1101 Exchange Pl Apt 226
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Katherine Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:20:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

I would think that North Carolina, with its extensive coastline and wonderful barrier islands, would want to be a leader in making decisions to meaningfully lower our carbon emissions in order to help preserve our way of life.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Wilson

205 KIRKWOOD DR
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

919-869-7147

From: [Marion Greer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:21:41 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marion Greer
650 Balfour Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27104-3060
mgreer27@gmail.com

From: [Deidra Ozment](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:22:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deidra Ozment

1203 Green Springs Rd
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Johnny Mayall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:22:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johnny Mayall

86A Willow Way
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Brandon Bartley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:22:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brandon Bartley

2509 pennynshire lane
Raleigh, NC 27606

919 521 5073

From: [Denise Lytle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:22:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Lytle

73 Poplar St
Fords, NJ 08863

From: [Jenafur Maher-Bernard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:22:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jenafur Maher-Bernard

4323 Mantua Way
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [John Dennis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:24:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Dennis

160 Mundy Cove Rd
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Andrea Fox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:25:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Fox

3053 Granville Drive
Raleigh, NC 27609

9198276555

From: [Beth Livingston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:25:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). And YOU MUST, TOO!

As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am extremely disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP.

By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Livingston

1105 Trails End Road
Durham, NC 27712

919-620-7525

From: [Marcia Underwood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:25:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Underwood

520 Lockland Ave
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Chris Petree](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:25:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Additionally don't waste my money on stupid court cases to challenge the EPA!! I won't support your misuse of my hard earned money.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Petree

114 S. Fields Cir
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [LaNelle Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:26:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I'm a native born NCarolinian. I support the EPA's proposed Clean Power Plan (CPP). We should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions

.What about this do you not understand?

I know- it's all about " business"/ development , money. But you cannot sacrifice our air and water for the sake of business.

I'm a voter. NC's Dept of Env. Is a disgrace to NC and to the nation.

We should be LEADING on this by recognizing SCIENCE!!!

How on earth can you hope to advance science and technology in our state with policies that attempt to completely dismiss the very science and technology you purport to support?

Nothing about this makes any sense.

Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LaNelle Davis

105 Plant Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

919-444-3950

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [mayra dabalsa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:26:16 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. mayra dabalsa
135 Pont L Abby St
Fort Bragg, NC 28307-3416
mayra.sally@yahoo.com

From: [Lea Dutton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:26:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Climate Change creates the greatest economic opportunity in our lifetimes. We need to redesign everything - those states that take the time to understand the potential for economic gain will flourish - those who fearfully cling to the past will languish. With the advent of computers, innovations are growing exponentially in number and impact. We need visionary leaders who are capable and interested in embracing these new technologies and leveraging them to both strengthen their state's economies and improve quality of life for their constituents. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lea Dutton

343 Hillside St
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marc LeBlanc](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:27:03 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marc LeBlanc
102 Fern Bluff Way
Cary, NC 27518-8973
mleblanc@nc.rr.com

From: [Raye Fletcher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:27:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raye Fletcher

5804 Jolly Roger Ct
New Bern, NC 28560

8284037895

From: [Rainer Hilscher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:28:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rainer Hilscher

5800 Magellan Way
Raleigh, NC 27612

7343693881

From: [Elizabeth Anne Felty](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:28:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Anne Felty

93 Boxwood Lane
Brevard, NC 28712

828-884-2505

From: [Lynn Hoffman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:28:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Please do not take action that will result in further environmental pollution! I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Have a look at [billionsinchange.com](#) for super simple ways to provide power without screwing with the environment. These guys know what they are doing & do it well.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynn Hoffman

7403 Townsend Edge Way
Browns Summit, NC 27214

From: [christopher riegert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:28:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

christopher riegert

3755 millstone ridge road
3755 millstone ridge road
Radford, VA 24141

5409532912

From: [Deb Dunham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:28:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Dunham

818 2nd St. Pl. NE. Apt. 86
Hickory, NC 28601

8288551998

From: [Jeff SanGeorge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:29:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff SanGeorge

102 Wedgedale Ave
Greensboro, NC 27403

9194288165

From: [David R Pruette](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:29:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David R Pruette

4180 Melissa Dr
4180 Melissa Dr
Farmville, NC 27828

2527532364

From: [Ellen Henry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:29:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. You have reached the point where I am totally disgusted with you

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Henry

266 Hoopers Creek
Fletcher, 28732

From: [Steve Breckheimer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:30:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. We all need to do our part to address these pollution problems.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Breckheimer

1393 Macedonia Rd
Saluda, NC 28773

From: [Judith Corvin-Blackburn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:30:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Corvin-Blackburn

190 Iris Lane
Whittier, NC 28789

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [stacy honeycutt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:08 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. stacy honeycutt
2450 Nth US HWY 421
Clinton, NC 28328
elphiegirl@yahoo.com

From: [Sean Frank](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sean Frank

5260 Sheriff Watson Rd
Sanford, NC 27332

From: [Peter Stein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Stein

302 Columbia Place East
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Cor van de Water](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cor van de Water

1225 Vienna Dr SPC 979
Sunnyvale, CA 94089

From: [judy.pierce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

judy.pierce

572 mountain top drive
murphy, 28906

8286440949

From: [Nanette Stillwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nanette Stillwell

4000 Litchford Place
Winterville, NC 28590

From: [Edward T Medalis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward T Medalis

6501 HARBOR DR
CONCORD, NC 28025

7047884373

From: [Elizabeth Grovenstein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Grovenstein

Browntown Road
Leicester, NC 28748

From: [David Preston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Preston

1812 Ram's Way
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Nadine Vukovich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nadine Vukovich

8033 Hawkshead Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [william Bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

william Bailey

8902 Charlotte mtn. rd
rougemont, NC 27572

From: [Susan White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am a mother and retired teacher who cares deeply that we preserve the environment and all of the natural resources needed for our survival as well as the entire ecosystem in which we live. What will you say to your grandchildren when they ask why their world is so polluted and devoid of natural beauty?

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan White

211 Stevens Holler Dr
Murphy, NC 28906

From: [Gerald Borrelli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gerald Borrelli

4823 Whitner Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Patricia Cabarga](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cabarga

107 Stateside Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Jay Marlow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:31:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jay Marlow

67 Frances Street
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Alicia Berry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:32:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am beyond disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. I would say I am dismayed and disgusted with your rejection of the CPP! By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alicia Berry

495 Buck Branch Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [William Turpin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:33:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Turpin

4612 Thendara Way
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Margie Stewart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:33:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margie Stewart

2606 Francis St
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Pamela Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:33:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Davis

1346 Baez St
Raleigh, NC 27608

2142004449

From: [malcolm Nicholson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:33:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

malcolm Nicholson

183 hudson woods road
pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Jennifer Myers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:33:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Myers

1106 Seabrook Ave
Cary, NC 27511

From: [SJ Henning](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:33:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

SJ Henning

3006 New Hanover Dr
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Burt Melton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:34:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Burt Melton

112 Stone Point Court
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [David Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:35:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

North Carolina has the opportunity to prepare for the future by aggressively moving towards clean energy and reduce emissions. Already, our coasts are experiencing increased flooding due to global warming. By making these changes now, we avoid far more costly problems in the future, while also protecting our environment and the tourism that depends on it. Cutting carbon pollution also will decrease many other air pollutants, such as the mercury from coal burning that makes fish statewide unsafe to consume.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Campbell

College Manor Dr
Shelby, NC 28152

From: [Patti Dmuchowski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patti Dmuchowski

7320 Hidden View Dr
Oak Ridge, NC 27310

9083342370

From: [Keith Ellsworth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keith Ellsworth

29 Ninth Avenue
Southern Shores, NC 27949

From: [Kevin Flynn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Everyone seems to know that action needs to be taken with respect to global warming and weaning us off coal but a portion of the Republican party. Exxon knows, Shell knows, the US Military knows, 200 countries around the world know. So it is not OK to just thwart efforts to act in order to play to a misguided base.

Boilerplate letter to follow.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Flynn

258 Canopy
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Andrea Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Thompson

179 Swannanoa Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Deborah McCracken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah McCracken

130 Drexel Road
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Elizabeth Mangrum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

This is not just a chain letter! I personally support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Mangrum

355 Red Fox Ridge Rd
Cameron, NC 28326

From: [james corrigan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

james corrigan

3608 davis dr
356
Morrisville, NC 27560

9195218914

From: [Samra Cothran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samra Cothran

206 Covington Square D
Cary, NC 27513

9194125904

From: [Preston Peck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Preston Peck

611 Hinsdale Street
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Sean Halas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sean Halas

6 EVA CIR
Asheville, NC 28806

8282426969

From: [Sherry Turille](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:37:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherry Turille

231 Amberleigh drive
Apt 101
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [pamela woods](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:38:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

pamela woods

9 remembrance ridge
flat rock, NC 28731

From: [Kerri Gardner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:38:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kerri Gardner

2956 Settle In Lane
Raleigh, NC 27614

9199713052

From: [Mary Martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:38:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Martin

301 Briardale Ave
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Justin Bell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:39:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Justin Bell

3501 LongRidge Rd
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Sarah Walters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:39:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Walters

621 Kirby St
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Ivan Graudszus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:39:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ivan Graudszus

420 oak Springs Rd
Rutherfordton, 28139

8284472802

From: [Anita Talbott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:39:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anita Talbott

2842 Woodard Road

Winston Salem, NC 27127

From: [Steve Meyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:40:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please stop catering to the polluters and protect our clean air!!!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Meyer

316 Culvert St #6
Apex, NC 27502

From: [art streppa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:40:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

art streppa

5 gash farm rd
asheville, NC 28805

8282994231

From: [Cynthia Justice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:40:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

We see the air pollution in China and realize it did not get that way overnight. We have a chance to move in the right direction if honest people come to the fore of decisions.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Justice

21 Park Rd
Asheville, NC 28803

8282748350

From: [debbie tunnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:40:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Well, do we find ourselves without wisdom and understanding? We must act to protect and lead with great effort. Please join me to support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

debbie tunnell

po 311
Bynum, NC 27228

From: [Johnny Rice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johnny Rice

613 Allstar Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Noel Schwartz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Noel Schwartz

49 Verde Dr
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [David Fouche](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Fouche

155 Euclid St
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

3366183523

From: [MaryAnn Watjen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Watjen

16 Whisper Creek Lane
Asheville, NC 28804

828 258 8616

From: [Bill Groves](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Groves

738 Middle Skeenah Rd
Franklin, NC 28734

828-371-6803

From: [Jackie Wrobel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jackie Wrobel

3317 Octavia St
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Natalie Brezinski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Natalie Brezinski

7385A Craig Rd
Belews Creek, NC 27009

3367544452

From: [Charles Odom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Odom

4813 Tannen Hill Trl
Holly Springs, NC 27540

From: [Mary Fabrizio](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Fabrizio

8512 quail creek dr
colfax, NC 27235

From: [Trey Dubose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Trey Dubose

3656 hwy 49 n
Burlington, NC 27217

From: [Dorothy Boyd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:43:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Boyd

4907 Old Greensboro Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [David Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:44:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Parker

5011 Harness Lane
Colfax, NC 27235

From: [Ronald Clayton](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:45:05 PM

DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants.

The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Ronald Clayton
545 E DORSETT AVE
ASHEBORO, NC 27203

From: [Amy Sims](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:45:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Sims

32 HILLCREEK DR
ASHEVILLE, NC 28804

8284848929

From: [Alex Weiler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:45:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

You can't spend your paycheck when we're all dead.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alex Weiler

495 E hedgelawn way
Southern pines, NC 28387

From: [William Croom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:46:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Croom

411 E Main St
Wallace, NC 28466

From: [Janice Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:47:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Phillips

5380 Brittainywood Road
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [Nancy Hosea](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:49:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hosea

1802 Grace Street
Wilmington, NC 28405

(910)777-3466

From: [Susane Boukamel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:49:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susane Boukamel

200 Fox View Place
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Ruby Creasman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruby Creasman

300 Morning View Rd
Waynesville, NC 28786

From: [Eugene Frost](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:49:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eugene Frost

2222 Hearthstone Dr
Gastonia, NC 28056

From: [Robert Sauer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:50:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Sauer

150 Cherokee rd
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Elizabeth Downing](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:50:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Downing

86 DOVER RD
PITTSBORO, NC 27312

From: [Gavin Dillard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:51:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gavin Dillard

528 Padgettown Road
Black Mountain, NC 28711

8283578069

From: [John Fedorczyk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:52:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Fedorczyk

3669 Burton Street
Sherrills Ford, NC 28673

From: [Donald Routh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:52:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma (I have asthma and know how important clean air is.) rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Routh

167 Hemlock Street
Mocksville, NC 27028

3364039585

From: [Timothy Richards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:52:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Richards

869 Poplar Cove Rd
Franklin, NC 28734

From: [Olivia Terrell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:52:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

PS- Your decisions directly show that you're in bed with certain entities that benefit corporate greed rather than the people of NC. Your behavior is reproachable and will leave your future children and family in a planet much less desirable due to your greedy actions.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Olivia Terrell

15 Druid Dr
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Michelle Wallen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:53:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wallen

Dodsons Crossroads
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Eileen Kapetsky](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:53:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Kapetsky

7706 Meadowlark Lane
apt 2
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [melissa coe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:55:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

melissa coe

4714 liberty road
Greensboro, NC 27406

336-273-6832

From: [Margaret Clemen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:55:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for Our state, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Clemen

3805 Westcrest St
Durham, NC 27707

9198050141

From: [Luis Santiago](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Luis Santiago

6307 mill Grove Rd
Indian trail, NC 28079

From: glenn.joyner
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:55:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

glenn joyner

1517 hollybriar lane
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [ann snodgrass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:56:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ann snodgrass

2612 Pine Village Rd Apt 204
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Mary Bowman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:56:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Bowman

1612 Myers Park Drive
Charlotte, ND 28207

From: richelle.reynolds
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:56:56 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. richelle reynolds
3265 Ridgefield Rd
Eastover, NC 28312-8585
burntbreadakatoast@hotmail.com

From: [Bryan Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:57:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bryan Davis

415 Orange st
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [James Cogswell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:57:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Cogswell

200 Tabernacle Road
Apt. F-41
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Fiddle witch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:57:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fiddle witch

28tt
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Ben Reed](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:57:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ben Reed

390 Farrells Creek
Apex, NC 27523

9194514736

From: [Andrew Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 1:58:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Miller

2012 Brewton Drive
Charlotte, NC 28206

7046195691

From: [George Tolleson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:00:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Tolleson

48 W. Raleigh Rd
Asheville, NC 28803

828-281-1166

From: [DEE REED](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DEE REED

170 Galuladi Trail
MAGGIE valley, NC 28751

From: [Daniel Bossut](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bossut

po box 17211
chapel hill, NC 27514

9198835180

From: [marilyn shane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

marilyn shane

1412 milton st
spring laked, 28390

From: [Donna Ohmstead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Please let our North Carolina be a leader in the care of our planet instead of a stumbling block. If we error, it seems better to error on the side of clean air rather than poisoned, scorch planet.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Ohmstead

151 Carolina Ave
Forest City, NC 28043

828-245-8867

From: [Suzanne Everette](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Everette

3315 Winston dr
Burlington, NC 27215

From: [Carol Elliott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Elliott

2601 Sweet Gum
Hillsborough, NC 27278

9196688877

From: [ELIZABETH GREENLEE](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ELIZABETH GREENLEE

3901 ESSEX GARDEN LANE
RALEIGH, NC 27612

From: [Darleen Benson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darleen Benson

56 Donna Lane
Alexander
Alexander, NC 28701

8286580016

From: [Cynthia Weeks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Weeks

900 Old Fashioned Way
Apt 614
Newport, NC 28570

252-241-6155

From: [Barbara Hatcher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hatcher

92 Royal Pines Ct
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Rita Leadem](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:01:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita Leadem

1801 Williamsburg Rd 44G
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Marlene Hubbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:02:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marlene Hubbell

388 West Tommys Rd
Goldsboro, NC 27530

From: [Leigh Yeoman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:04:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leigh Yeoman

10501 Moss Mill Ln
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Ann Whitley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:07:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP.

By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. We deserve better from the Governor of North Carolina.

I support the EPA's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, the Clean Power Plan (CPP). We should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy.

Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Whitley

613 Candlewood Dr
Clayton, NC 27520

2527174403

From: [Marti White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:07:35 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marti White
623 Sentinel Oak Ln
Charlotte, NC 28214-8203
martilombardo@yahoo.com

From: [Donald Saunders](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:07:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a NC citizen who suffers from a chronic lung ailment, I write to say I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions and not continuing to play politics with our citizens' health.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our communities, and our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Saunders

1739 Sunset Dr
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [Norma Tate](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:07:47 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Norma Tate
1312 Oak St
Greensboro, NC 27403-3459
thegreatladywrestler@hotmail.com

From: [Dan White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:09:10 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dan White
623 Sentinel Oak Ln
Charlotte, NC 28214-8203
dannylanewwhite@yahoo.com

From: [Peggy Ingram](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:09:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Ingram

2304 Duck Crossing Dr
Graham, NC 27253

3363277934

From: [Wendy Milner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:09:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Milner

1201 Red Oak Ln
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [Jerry Kaylie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:10:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerry Kaylie

1085 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Derek Davidson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:11:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Derek Davidson

325 Woodland Springs Lane
Boone, NC 28607

From: [David Webb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:12:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Webb

PO Box 275
Ayden, NC 28513

From: [Barbara J Bergmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:13:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara J Bergmann

936 Union Branch Rd
Corapeake, NC 27926

252-465-4833

From: [Denise Plymale](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:13:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Plymale

102 South Linwood Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28208

704-641-0353

From: [Arthur Seel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:13:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arthur Seel

636 Rockwood Rd
Columbia, SC 29209

803-260-7401

From: [Heather Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:14:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Mitchell

11309 Winding Way Rd
CHARLOTTE, NC 28226

7049961103

From: [Charles calig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:14:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you. where are your brains and heart, in your pocket with your wallet??? smarten up life is not just about your political allies agenda's or your re-election but about your constituents life's, health and pursuit of happiness!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Charles calig

2222 u2 st
MURPHY, 28906

From: [Sharon Brawley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:14:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Brawley

920 Chapanoke Road
Raleigh, NC 27603

9199037667

From: [Janice Heisey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:15:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Heisey

39 River Stone Trail
Asheville, NC 28805

8282790311

From: [Paula Stober](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:15:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Stober

3607 Timberoak Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

3362882777

From: [carol meyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:15:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

carol meyer

6117 clairidge rd
wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Johanna Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:16:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johanna Campbell

521 Grist Valley Lane
Cary, NC 27518

From: [darlene millner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:18:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

darlene millner

1113 triece lane
charlotte, NC 28215

7045674994

From: [Margaret Brockmiller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:19:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Brockmiller

300 Timbercreek Hts Rd
Maggie Valley, NC 28751

From: [Michael Baranski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:19:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Baranski

12625 Cool Springs Rd
Cleveland, NC 27013

7042783672

From: [Paula Keenum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:19:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Keenum

602 Parkway Blvd
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [ardy v](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:21:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ardy v

badin
new london, NC 28127

From: elizabeth.thanasouk
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:21:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

elizabeth thanasouk

1402 cando pl
jacksonville, NC 28540

210-784-6235

From: [Kelly Backman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:22:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelly Backman

4250 wright avenue
Charlotte, NC 28211

(704) 364-3137

From: [Amanda Brandt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:22:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Brandt

374 Ferry Rd
Hertford, NC 27944

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [BeeJae Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:23:42 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. BeeJae Jones
8 Oteen Church Rd
Asheville, NC 28805-2520
claynfiber@gmail.com

From: [Tom Mathern](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:24:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Mathern

11617 Chestnut Hill Dr
Matthews, NC 28105

(704) 248-0017

From: [John Masson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:24:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Masson

375 Pine Barrens Vista
Southern Pines, NC 28387

From: [Anne RICHARDSON](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:25:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

How is the repeatedly not important to your administration?

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne RICHARDSON

9101 cedar river rd
Huntersville, 28078

From: [Nicole Sheronas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:25:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicole Sheronas

340 44th Ave Dr NW
Huckory, 28601

From: [Henry Croom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:25:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Henry Croom
192 Wards Creek Road
Beaufort, NC 28516

Sincerely,

Henry Croom
192 Wards Creek Road
Beaufort, NC 28516

2527289319

From: [Deborah Campfied](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:25:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Campfied

105 Emerald Glade Ct
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Susan Andreatta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:25:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Andreatta

315 W. Parris Ave
High Point
High Point, NC 27262

From: [Herman & Gail Schiller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:26:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herman & Gail Schiller

5508 Gondolier Drive
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Larry Ketchum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:26:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Ketchum

721 Runnymede Rd
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Carrie Goodykoontz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:27:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carrie Goodykoontz

2206 Jackson
Belmont, NC 28012

From: [Susan O'Neill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:27:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan O'Neill

104 Rosewall Lane
Cary, NC 27511

2158405571

From: [susan morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:27:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

susan morris

320 wesley drive
chapel hill, NC 27516

From: [JohnLee Johann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:27:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JohnLee Johann

220 Melrose Circle #3
Tryon, NC 28782

From: [Patti Sherrock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:27:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patti Sherrock

1814 Seminole St
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

From: [Jack Sullivan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:27:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jack Sullivan

555
Noel lane
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Meredith Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:28:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meredith Green

4901 Elder Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Tom Gingrich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:28:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Gingrich

9 Spinet St
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Xavier Boatright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:29:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Xavier Boatright

1000 Aventine Dr Apt 316
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Wanda Bass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:29:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wanda Bass

410 Liberty St
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Kelly Wiley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:31:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelly Wiley

1907 Tottenham Ct
Winterville, NC 28590

From: [Chris Wellons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:31:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Wellons

5501 Kellwood Ct
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Kerry Eckhardt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:32:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kerry Eckhardt

54 Carrisbrooke Ln
Winston Salem, NC 27104

3368160736

From: [Jane Haladay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:32:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Haladay

1401 Sherbrooke Circle
Laurinburg, NC 28352

From: [Richard Walz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:33:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Walz

1221 Kimberly
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Virginia Mendez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:33:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Mendez

NE 173 street
Miami, FL 33160

3055555555

From: [Harry Klauda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:34:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harry Klauda

12724 Amoretto Way
Raleigh, NC 27613

9198482076

From: [Dick Roberts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:34:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dick Roberts

1943 Honeysuckle Street
Wilmington, NC 28401

9102320027

From: [Donald Misch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:34:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Misch

412 Ridgecrest Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Tia Douglass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:35:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tia Douglass

PO Box 791
Williston, NC 28589

From: [Nuriya Bulatova](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:35:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nuriya Bulatova

7805 Andover Woods Dr
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Helen Tucker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:36:19 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Helen Tucker
3809 Westfield St
High Point, NC 27265-2115
htucker336@gmail.com

From: [Melva Goldhammer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:36:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melva Goldhammer

8122 Brookings Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269

7049076045

From: [Sherry Gobble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherry Gobble

PO Box 293
Salisbury, NC 28159

From: [Candido Calciolari](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:37:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Candido Calciolari

622 Morreene Rd
Durham, NC 27705

919 383 3209

From: [John McLain](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:38:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John McLain

4516 Dairyland Rd
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Jean Wheelock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:39:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jean Wheelock

22 Hibriten Drive
Asheville, NC 28801

8282558323

From: [Juli Hennessee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:40:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Juli Hennessee

3064 Frank Whisnant Rd
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Karen Beckwith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:40:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Please do the right thing for our State and our Children's future. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Beckwith

P.O. Box 270
Bryson City, NC 28713

From: [Susana Winters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:43:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susana Winters

5664 Hamstead Crossing
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [robert brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:45:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

robert brown

820 N Benton
Angier, NC 27501

From: [Jeff Hindson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:45:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hindson

5507 Harbor Gate Ct
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Lesia Mills](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:49:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lesia Mills

PO Box 1183
Clayton, NC 27528

From: [William James](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:49:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William James

103 old jims branch rd
swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Chris Hornick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:49:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Hornick

2809 Conley Road
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Jane Oakley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:49:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. I will be voting democratic in the future

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Oakley

211 West Bald Head Wynd
Bald Head Island, NC 28461

9104717810

From: [Katie Howard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:50:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katie Howard

3703 Live Oak Lane
Greenville, NC 27858

5748503607

From: [DRichard Lazar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:50:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DRichard Lazar

3016 Canopy Woods Dr
Apex, NC 27539

9109884771

From: [Ed Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:50:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ed Harris

231 Florence Harris LN
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Thomas Atherton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:53:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Atherton

32 Spears ave
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [PRISCILLA WILLIAMS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:55:00 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. PRISCILLA WILLIAMS
255 Fading Trail Ln
Raeford, NC 28376-5618
williamsvn@embarqmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nancy Simpson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:55:12 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nancy Simpson
3710 Tarlton Mill Rd
Marshville, NC 28103-9638
jsimpson78@carolina.rr.com

From: [Sara Ryals](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:55:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Ryals

5807 Loop road
Linden, NC 28356

From: [Mary WAGNER](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:55:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary WAGNER

731 Springfork Dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [David Sheronas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:55:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Sheronas

340 44th Ave Dr NW
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Sally Anger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:55:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Anger

187 Hammocks Landing Rd
Newport, NC 28570

From: [Terry LaBombard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:55:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry LaBombard

189 Miranda Ln
Roxboro, NC 27574

3362234569

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Domonique Launey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:57:03 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Domonique Launey
306 Robert E Lee Dr
Wilmington, NC 28412-6730
jdlauney@gmail.com

From: [William & Barbara Cunningham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:57:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

We support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

We are very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William & Barbara Cunningham

1550 Okd Town Way
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Robert Lacy Ranson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:58:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Lacy Ranson

118 Forthview Way
Apex, NC 27502

9199249665

From: [Thomas Oriel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:58:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Oriel

110 Heatherwood Dr
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Janet Chandler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:58:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Chandler

44 Phillips Rd
Columbus, NC 28722

From: [Darby Morsr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 2:59:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darby Morsr

925 Bobby Brown circle
Navassa, NC 28451

From: [Benjamin Berryhill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:01:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Berryhill

311 Leftwich St
Greensboro, NC 27405

336-617-0011

From: [Eddie Routten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:01:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eddie Routten

5701 Baird Drive
Raleigh, NC 27606

9198398402

From: [paula shields](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:01:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

paula shields

172 Poplar drive
Macon, NC 27551

2522574112

From: [Tami Palacky](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:03:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tami Palacky

8005 BETHELEN WOODS LN
SPRINGFIELD, VA 22153

7033823248

From: [V Reiser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:03:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

V Reiser

387 Stoney Fork Rd
Barnardsville, NC 28709

From: [David Mahood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:06:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Mahood

601 Simpson St
Greensboro, NC 27401

From: [Nikki Schipman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:07:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nikki Schipman

10307 stornoway ct
Charlotte, NC 28227

From: [Judith Gooch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:07:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Gooch

5010 Seaward Ct
Southport
southport, NC 28461

6032177521

From: [Bellita Jacobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:07:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bellita Jacobson

4327 WOODGLEN LN
CHARLOTTE, NC 28226

7049576844

From: [Laurel Callis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:07:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurel Callis

1206 Wellstone Circle
Apex, NC 27502

919-387-8003

From: [Karen Venema](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:07:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Venema

641 Par dr
Jacksonville, NC 28540

From: [Michael McConney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:07:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael McConney

1116 Princesa Ct
Ocean Isle Beach, NC 28469

From: [lawrence mclean](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:09:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

lawrence mclean

1726 charlotte hwy
mooreville, NC 28115

From: [Edward Marshall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:09:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). The primary reason is to reduce the impacts of climate change, and rising childhood asthma rates in North Carolina.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Marshall

PO Box 16217
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9192659616

From: [Sandra Wheeler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:09:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Wheeler

1403 Laurel St
New Bern, NC 28562

From: [Chris Simmons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:10:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Simmons

Pearsall street
Dunn, NC 28334

From: [Josh Copenhaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:13:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Josh Copenhaver

1031, Hwy 91
Snow Hill, NC 28580

From: [Ralph Dobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:13:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ralph Dobson

4804 Delft Dr
new Bern, NC 28562

808-227-2746

From: [Lc Shealy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:13:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lc Shealy

10606 Forest ridge court
Huntersville, NC 28078

From: [Jerome Kammermeyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:13:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerome Kammermeyer

2049 Willow Road
Hendersonville, NC 28739

8284065676

From: [EDWARD TURNER](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:15:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

EDWARD TURNER

11226 Coachman Circle
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Richard Hester](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:17:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Hester

1548 Moonstone Dr
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [Chuck Williford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:19:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chuck Williford

1600 Anderson Street Apt C5
Durham, NC 27707

910-547-1583

From: [John Godfrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:19:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Godfrey

709 Montville Ct
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Franca Gilbert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:19:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Franca Gilbert

194 Glendale Drive
Youngsville, NC 27596

From: [Jack Gentile](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:19:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jack Gentile

312 Park South Blvd
Arden, NC 28704

9176085555

From: [Cliff Greeson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:21:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cliff Greeson

1008 Twyckenham Dr
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Jennifer Holston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:21:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Holston

10415 Salvia Street, Apt. 101
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Cynthia Greenlee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:24:05 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cynthia Greenlee
2508 Faucette Ave
Durham, NC 27704-5148
Cynthiargreenlee@gmail.com

From: [Carson Harkrader](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:25:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carson Harkrader

507 Yancey St
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Justine Tullos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:27:04 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Justine Tullos
314 Pearson Dr
Asheville, NC 28801-1020
justinetullos@gmail.com

From: [Pat Cole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:28:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Cole

Galahad Pl
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Coleen Brock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:28:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Coleen Brock

3509 Windsor Drive
Trent Woods, 28562

From: [Jenny Ross](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:30:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jenny Ross

1206 Applethorn Dr
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Judy Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:31:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Carter

Marymount Drive
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Virginia McEntire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:31:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Please do not become known as the governor and his appointees who helped ruin the environment of North Carolina.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia McEntire

1271 Creek Bend Lane
Graham, NC 27253

From: [Benjamin Fischer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:33:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a primary care physician, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Fischer

510 Wayne Drive
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [John Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Thomas

4494 Camp Leach Road
Washington, NC 27889

2529275216

From: [Ellen Rubenstein Chelmis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Rubenstein Chelmis

37 Audubon Dr
true
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Nancy Botzek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:37:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Botzek

3205 Stonestrow Lane
Apt 5
Durham, NC 27713

From: [jack imbriani](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:37:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jack imbriani

104 FALLING CREEK CT
GARNER, NC 27529

From: [Erik Schreiner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:38:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erik Schreiner

423 Chesterfield Road
Raleigh, NC 27608

9847891089

From: [Marta Chase](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:39:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marta Chase

112 Rhododendron Court
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Kim Sherrill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:39:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Sherrill

398 main st
Chimney Rock, NC 28720

From: [Sarah Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:39:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

What side of history do you want to be on, when the world remembers you?

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Taylor

288 Canetuck Road
Currie, NC 28435

From: [Vincent Marra](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:39:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vincent Marra

301 West Weaver Street
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [marguerite guarisco](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:40:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

marguerite guarisco

45 Cathey Road
Candler, NC 28715

8286330503

From: [Grey Cavalier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:44:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grey Cavalier

7810 Workman St
Fayetteville, NC 28311

From: [Walter Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:45:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Walter Davis

116 N Nassau St
Youngsville, NC 27596

9195541772

From: [Harrison Curtis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:46:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harrison Curtis

702 Tarragon Ct
New Bern, NC 28562

252-288-6528

From: [O.C. Edwards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:46:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

O.C. Edwards

115 Murphy Hill Road
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Margie Zalesak](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:47:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margie Zalesak

205 McCleary Ct
Raleigh, NC 27607

9198580677

From: [Angela Heinz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:47:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Heinz

714 beaten path rd
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [Leigh Hart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:49:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leigh Hart

910 Arrowhead Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Mariah Conners](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:55:20 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mariah Conners
270 Archer Rd
Salisbury, NC 28147-9405
mariahconners56@gmail.com

From: [Gail Earley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:55:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gail Earley

3537 Lewis Loop SE
Bolivia, NC 28422

From: [Ileana Clavijo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:55:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ileana Clavijo

513 Green Meadows Dr
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Eva Rand](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:55:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eva Rand

144 S. Slope Loop Unit 3
Banner Elk, NC 28604

3017584375

From: [Amy Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:55:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Adams

2903 Broadstone Rd
Banner Elk, NC 28604

From: [Regina Stacey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:58:20 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Regina Stacey
299 Malcolm Blvd.
Rutherford College, NC 28671
rstacy82061@gmail.com

From: [Charity Adair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:59:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charity Adair

423 Panorama Park Place
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Susan Firrantello](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 3:59:56 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Firrantello
939 Manor Dr
Kings Mountain, NC 28086-4129
danelover99@mail.com

From: [Robert Rasmussen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:02:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Rasmussen

4630 NC Hwy 194 So
Todd, NC 28684

From: [Jody Hamilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:02:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jody Hamilton

7337 FONTANA RIDGE L
RALEIGH, NC 27613

9192087390

From: [Dr. Dan Graham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:03:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dan Graham

123 Grace Ave
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [steven gage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:03:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

steven gage

2409 trinity farms rd
raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Alex Krug](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:04:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alex Krug

115 bill mountain road
asheville, NC 28805

From: [Patricia Bell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:04:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Bell

297D Winston Road
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Patricia Bell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:04:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Patricia Bell

Sincerely,

Patricia Bell

297D Winston Road
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Michele Adler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:07:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Adler

150 Castle Court
Kings Mountain, NC 28086

From: [Curtis Holloway](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:11:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Curtis Holloway

1307 Pressley Rd
Apt. 4
Charlotte, NC 28217

From: [John Breckenridge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:13:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Breckenridge

954 White-Smith Road
Pittsboro, NC 27312

919-742-2799

From: [Jyl Burgener](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:13:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jyl Burgener

67 Aviary Court
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Ann Beauchesne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:13:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

I'm voting
Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Beauchesne

Po Box 973
Bryson City, NC 28713

18287369300

From: [Susan Hardy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:13:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Hardy

1008 E Sandy Ridge Rd
Monroe, NC 28112

From: [Timothy Locklear](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:13:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Locklear

P O Box 3460
Pemboke, NC 28372

From: [christine Massey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:14:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

christine Massey

1813 Nuthatch Rd
Fayetteville, NC 28304

From: [Kimberlin Hogan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:15:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberlin Hogan

507 hillcrest rd
apex, NC 27502

9193625815

From: [Denise Lytle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:17:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Lytle

73 Poplar St
Fords, NJ 08863

From: [Derek Worley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:19:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Derek Worley

2055 Rhymer Ln
Granite Falls, NC 28630

From: [Lynn and Richard Jefferys](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:19:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynn and Richard Jefferys

127 Longridge Ln
waynesville, NC 28785

828-926-8386

From: [Leslie Rae](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:19:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leslie Rae

604 22nd St
Butner, NC 27509

From: [John Koneski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:21:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Koneski

2128 Maizefield Lane
Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526

From: [Wendy Outland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:21:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Outland

PO Box 1382
Asheville, NC 28802

From: [Larry Bohs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:25:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Bohs

5001 Murphy School Road
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Norma and Bob Kimzey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:28:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Norma and Bob Kimzey

700 Walnut Ridge Dr
Clyde, 28721

From: [MICHAEL HORNE](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:29:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL HORNE

1903 PAULETTE ROAD
MOREHEAD CITY, NC 28557

From: [Chloe Rivenbark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:31:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chloe Rivenbark

112W Main St
Rose Hill, NC 28458

910-284-7216

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Deanne Byler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:35:18 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Deanne Byler
3706 Sandra Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28304-5048
deanneklipp14@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Marlatt-Murdoch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:35:19 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Marlatt-Murdoch
100 Braxton Village Way
Holly Springs, NC 27540-7566
libbymarlatt@yahoo.com

From: [Terri Hastings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:35:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terri Hastings

Camelot Dr
Newton, NC 28658

From: [Gayle Anstine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:36:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gayle Anstine

9619 woodland circle
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Diana Light](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:37:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diana Light

131 Church St
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Carolyn Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:37:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Smith

1101 Grogan Road
Stoneville, NC 27048

3365732903

From: [Mike Spruell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:37:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Spruell

105 Kilborne Rd
Mooresville, NC 28117

7049077907

From: [Jennifer Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:38:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). We must work towards carbon emission reduction for the benefit of public and environmental health.

I have concern about actions taken by North Carolina government representatives, including Governor McCrory, that block efforts to comply with the CPP. I have concern that these actions have been unjustly influenced by the political pressure of Duke Energy.

An elected official serves the people and renders decisions by and for the people. I ask you to honor your commitment to serve through enactment of policies that promote sustainable energy sources and diversified energy carriers.

We must work to protect the health and environment of North Carolina. I appreciate your critical appraisal of this message. Choose the bold path of noble action that places the needs of the people before the needs of corporations.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Baker

104 W Main St #3
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Chris Moses](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:39:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that our environmental agency is setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Moses

125 Sprunt St
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Michelle Kearney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:39:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Kearney

6201 Heacham Court
Raleigh, NC 27614

2035580910

From: [Carla Skuce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:40:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carla Skuce

3940 lake ferry dr
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [John Osinski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:40:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Osinski

174 Treasure Island Way
Wilmington, NC 28411

910-962-4271

From: [Nancy Milling](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:43:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you. Don't u like to breathe too?

Sincerely,

Nancy Milling

3800 Shamrock Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215

7045327208

From: [Anthony Austin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:44:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Austin

276 Goose Creek Road
Hubert, NC 28539

9103261293

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Eileen Wolfe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:47:11 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Eileen Wolfe
19506 Waverunner Ln Apt 201
Cornelius, NC 28031-6475
cks982@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [anthony motta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:50:34 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. anthony motta
132 Villavista Trce
Garner, NC 27529-2874
ramot198@live.com

From: [Andre Meaux](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:51:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andre Meaux

6016 Dixon Drive
Raleigh, NC 27609

2488947302

From: [Matthew Amick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:53:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Amick

512 Arrowhead Drive
Greensboro, NC 27410

3365088024

From: [Miriam Grobelny-Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:53:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam Grobelny-Thomas

183 New Castle Dr
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

From: [Elaine Lite](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elaine Lite

72 Gibson Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Lamie Hardman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:55:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lamie Hardman

319 Songbird Lane
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [John Kibler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:55:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Kibler

3614 S Rocky River Rd
Monroe, NC 28112

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gloria Dieterich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:55:36 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gloria Dieterich
8817 Lake Pines Dr
Cornelius, NC 28031-5779
glodrn@aol.com

From: [Carol Oettinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:56:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Oettinger

2701 Pickett Rd. Apt. 4015
Durham, 27705

From: [Katie Reily](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:57:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katie Reily

1200 Galilean Trail
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Arnold Gordon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:58:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arnold Gordon

1121 Tunstall Way
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Renee Ertischek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:58:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Ertischek

539 Windstar Lane
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Melvin Hoot](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:58:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melvin Hoot

405 Alderson Rd
Washington, NC 27889

From: [Bob Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 4:59:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Brown

5109 Huxey Glenn Ct
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Dennis Maneri](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:01:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dennis Maneri

5021 Glen Cove Dr
Southport, NC 28461

From: [James Braden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:03:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Braden

1210 W 10 St
Roanoke Rapids, NC 27870

2523264670

From: [Joyce Hudson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:03:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Hudson

1104 Little River Drive
Elizabeth City, NC 27909

From: [Joanna Jessup](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:03:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanna Jessup

6500 Piney Path road
Charlotte, NC 28212

From: [Christina Vonthronsohnhaus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:05:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina Vonthronsohnhaus

35 Grove St Apt 301
Asheville, NC 29901

From: [Jonathan Dinh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:06:10 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jonathan Dinh
316 Melbourne Ct
Charlotte, NC 28209-1823
jdinh1993@gmail.com

From: [Grace Neff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:09:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grace Neff

800 28th Ave. S.E
Albany, OR 97322

From: [Nancy Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:13:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Jones

115 Essex Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Jamo Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:14:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jamo Smith

150 Camp Creek Estate Dr
Murphy, NC 28906

From: [Matthew Hoh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:14:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Hoh

1701 Alexander Springs Lane
#21
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Tiffani HERZOG](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:15:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tiffani HERZOG

1017 Fitzgerald Drive
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Phyllis Ott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:19:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Ott

3 Carlo Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Chris Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:19:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Mitchell

149 Cold Springs Rd
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Thomas Helms](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:23:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Helms

5904 Coral Ridge Ln
Indian Trail, NC 28079

7044412925

From: [Jacklene Spector](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:25:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacklene Spector

402 Dover Church Rd
Mount Airy, NC 27030

From: [David James](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:25:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David James

124 Edgewater Lane
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Charlotte McMillan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:26:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlotte McMillan

Lake James
Nebo, NC 28761

From: [Jean Hunt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:27:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jean Hunt

705 Staunton Drive
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Cynthia Dunn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:28:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Dunn

2411 Wynbrook Square Ct
Winston-Salem, NC 27103

From: [Zaeem Chaudhry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:29:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zaeem Chaudhry

2424 Claridge Rd
Concord, NC 28027

781-690-8877

From: [Catherine Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:31:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Carter

300 Avalon Park Circle
Arden, NC 28704

6017153055

From: [Karl DeKing](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:31:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karl DeKing

145 Keener Rd
Canton, NC 28716

From: [Roderick Robertson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:31:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roderick Robertson

301 N Spring St
Winston Salem, NC 27101

From: [julie gros](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:33:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

julie gros

63 macon ave
asheville, NC 28801

From: [Ken Blackman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:33:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ken Blackman

6 Dubois Dr
Asheville, NC 28805

828 505 0334

From: [Kenneth Rose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:35:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Rose

809 Carolina Ave
Durham, NC 27705

919-886-0350

From: [Nancy Sharp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:37:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Sharp

609 Holbrook Avenue
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [JAMES Burkhart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:37:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JAMES Burkhart

7713 Jenks Rd
apex, NC 27523

919-362-7848

From: [Janine Tokarczyk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:37:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janine Tokarczyk

109 n Oakland Dr
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Judy Fore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:37:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Fore

62 Middle Mountain Rd
Black Mountain, NC 28711

828-664-0303

From: [Anthony Scardaci](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:39:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Scardaci

3483 Liner Creek Rd
Clyde, NC 28721

From: [LAURA WEAVER](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:39:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LAURA WEAVER

pob 11775
CHARLOTTE, NC 28220

From: [Helen Wrobel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:40:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Wrobel

1044 Lewisville Vienna Rd
Lewisville, NC 27023

From: [Shelby Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:40:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shelby Wilson

110 Torrington Ave
Fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Janice Benjamin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:41:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Benjamin

3412 Denise Street
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Timothy Stevenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:43:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Stevenson

2615 Oak Ridge Rd
Oak Ridge, NC 27310

3365885270

From: [Joanne Heckel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:43:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne Heckel

115 Sir Patrick's Ct
Clemmons, NC 27012

From: [Eva Patrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:43:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eva Patrick

PO Box 1062
702 Sunset dr
Carthage, NC 28327

From: [Abbygale Huffman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:43:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Abbygale Huffman

2506 22nd Street NE
Hickory, NC 28601

806-335-5990

From: [Christy Sammons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:44:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christy Sammons

3423 Cotillion Ave
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [James Tompkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:45:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Tompkins

2101 wentworth
wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Wanda Hanacek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:47:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wanda Hanacek

104 Cardinal Woods Lane
Wallace, NC 28466

From: [Carol Tutton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Tutton

4613 Kings Drive
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Freya Hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:49:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Freya Hill

4704 Dorsey Hall Dr #207
Ellicott City, MD 21042

4109929775

From: [Eric Waite](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:53:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Waite

2664 Quakenbush Rd
Snow Camp, NC 27349

From: [John Bolick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:54:27 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. John Bolick
7430 Honey Flower Pl
Charlotte, NC 28214-2157
bolick_j@yahoo.com

From: [Alfred Catalano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 5:55:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alfred Catalano

2216 Madeira Circle
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [Evan Hoffman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:01:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evan Hoffman

13133 poetry Lane
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Nancy Montgomery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:03:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Montgomery

269 Pleasant Hill Loop Road
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

8282875405

From: [Lilly Knuth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:03:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lilly Knuth

38 Harvard Rd S
Garden City, NY 11530

From: [Mark Walters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:03:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Walters

1150 Krishna Road
Sandy Ridge, NC 27046

From: [Sarah Carrigan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:07:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Carrigan

127 Ward Rd
Greensboro, NC 27405

From: [Sheila Read](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:10:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheila Read

2356 Ravenhill Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [White Owl](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:11:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

White Owl

5801 Simpson Rd
Charlotte, NC 28216

7047125932

From: [Paul Wright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:12:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Wright

2596 MOUNTAIN DALE RD
vilas, NC 28692

From: [Rivin May](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:12:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rivin May

390 Windsor
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Joan PARKS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:13:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joan PARKS

PO Box 1209
Cherokee, NC 28719

From: [Ronald May](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:13:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I do NOT support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am very glad that you and your environmental agency are championing a plan that is 'designed to fail.' Keep up the good work

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ronald May

4627 Scythe Rd N
Julian, NC 27283

3367721202

From: [Rebecca Cade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:13:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Cade

208 howland ave
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Al Sniff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:14:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Al Sniff

2040 Watts Landing Rd
Hampstead, NC 28443

From: [Cathy Johnston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:16:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Johnston

218 NE 43rd Street
Oak Island, NC 28465

From: [Jude Maglione](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:16:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jude Maglione

10 Moreview Drive
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Sandra Strunk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:17:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Strunk

1112 Carole Ct
Matthews, NC 28104

From: [Francis Pflug](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:17:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francis Pflug

1012 Wakehurst Drive
Cary, NC 27519

9193637510

From: [susan clayton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:17:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

susan clayton

111 guinevere ln
greenville, 27858

2522580674

From: [Jeff Schweickert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:19:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Schweickert

1125 Stoneferry Lane
Raleigh, ND 27606

4193040034

From: [Carolyn Hess](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:19:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Hess

140 Sunset Circle
Hertford, NC 27944

2524269563

From: [cornelia cornils](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:23:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

cornelia cornils

218 seminole ave
concord, NC 28025

From: [Beverly Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:25:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Wilson

3134 Rolling Acres Road
Lenoir, NC 28645

8287545058

From: [mari elvi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:26:29 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. mari elvi
1 main st
ALEXANDER MILLS, NC 28043
riaelvira631@gmail.com

From: [Steve Stephans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:27:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Stephans

105 Holly Green Lane
Holly Springs, NC 27540

19198891801

From: [Hannah Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:27:59 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah Campbell
7789 Springdale Meadow Dr
Stokesdale, NC 27357-7806
hcampbell726@gmail.com

From: [Debra Kaufman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:28:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debra Kaufman

207 W. Holt St
Mebane, NC 27302

9195632075

From: [Amanda Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:29:23 PM

Dec 2, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Campbell
7789 Springdale Meadow Dr
Stokesdale, NC 27357-7806
a_campb311@yahoo.com

From: [Rob Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:29:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rob Scott

Po box 1305
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Annie Taft](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:31:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annie Taft

Hunts a ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Victoria Howell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:31:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victoria Howell

2521 Summerlake Rd
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Cathy Winkler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:32:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Winkler

414 Whittaker Point Rd
WCYOA W21
Oriental, NC 28571

2525710235

From: [Stephen Orzell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:33:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Orzell

1105 Turnstone Dr
Street Address 2
Rocky Mount, NC 27803

2524510987

From: [Lynn Hale](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:37:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynn Hale

3601 Fieldgate Rd
Greensboro, NC 27406

3363394525

From: [Sanae Moorehead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:37:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sanae Moorehead

405 Barbour Rd. #F115
Morehead City, NC 28557

252-499-9295

From: [Diane Vanderwerff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:37:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Vanderwerff

3904 Hunt Chase Court
Greensboro, NC 27407

336-299-5899

From: [Roberto Penaherrera](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:37:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roberto Penaherrera

8945 Camden Creek Ln
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Richard Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:43:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Brown

209 Timberline Dr
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

From: [Robert Fearn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:45:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Fearn

339 audubon Drive
Corolla, NC 27927

2053966855

From: melanie.husfelt
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

melanie husfelt

405 herndon dr
winston salem, NC 27104

From: [Janice sigmon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:50:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice sigmon

160 Victoria Dr
Statesville,, NC 28625

From: [Jamee Warfle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:52:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jamee Warfle

30 Ocala St
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Jennifer Symonds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:56:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Symonds

110 Windy Hill Court
Aydlett, NC 27916

From: [Jean McCormick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:59:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jean McCormick

581 Mountainview Dr
Scaly Mtn., NC 28775

From: [Alice Carey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 6:59:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alice Carey

515B Fitzsimmons St
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Jeffrey Snow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:01:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Snow

3108 Hunters Bluff Dr
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [suzanne williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:06:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

suzanne williams

8 rockwell ave
savannah, GA 31419

From: [david.tayloe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:07:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

david tayloe

1406 east mulberry street
goldsboro, NC 27530

From: [Margarita Delgado](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:07:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margarita Delgado

321 MLK Jr.Dr
Greensboro, NC 27406

From: [Jason Sperati](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:08:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jason Sperati

3116 Country Club Dr
Charlotte, NC 28205

7046049193

From: [Debbie Hatcher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:09:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debbie Hatcher

532 Audubon Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Helene Gaede](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:10:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helene Gaede

3209 Dove Hunter Cir
Fayetteville, NC 28306

From: [ruth.klug](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:15:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ruth.klug

624 Kenmure Drive
Flat Rock, NC 28731

8284351278

From: [Diane Fulcher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:19:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Fulcher

1817 Watkins St
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Michelle Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:21:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Mitchell

17227 Chardonnay Ct
Cornelius, NC 28031

7048969629

From: [Samantha worrell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:26:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samantha worrell

1282 mccrary rd
burgaw, NC 28425

9102592979

From: [Shelli Kaiser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:26:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shelli Kaiser

3671 Glen Meadows Drive
Denver, NC 28037

(704) 483-2721

From: [Marissa Waltz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:28:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marissa Waltz

2543 Costmary Lane
unit 9
Wilmington, NC 28412

9105128287

From: [Thomas Spencer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:31:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency plan to fail the CPP. Governor McCrory does not work for Duke Progress Energy or the Republican Party. He is paid by and works for ALL NC citizens.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Spencer

3607 Millridge Cir W
Wilson, NC 27893

252.373.2843

From: [Stuart Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:34:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stuart Carter

333 Island Creek Drive
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Breeya Barbree](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:34:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Breeya Barbree

80 Sharon Rd
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Richard Cadwalader](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:35:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Cadwalader

285 Bynum Ridge Road
Pittsboro, NC 27312

9103152672

From: [Mary Stone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Stone

500 Audubon Dr
Oriental, NC 28571

From: [Claudia Schuler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:38:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Claudia Schuler

129 Lowry st
Pineville, NC 28134

From: [Mark Sonnentag](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:39:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Sonnentag

42 Serenity Hill Circle
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199423799

From: [Jennifer Fogle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:43:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Fogle

9641 Belloak Lane
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [Richard Monson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:43:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Monson

1805 Hampton Forrest Dr
Concord, NC 28027

(980)248-1080

From: [Jim Simmons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jim Simmons

920 Cane Oaks Dr m
Efland, NC 27243

From: [RAYMOND HARRIS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:49:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

RAYMOND HARRIS

210-D TIFFANY CT
FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28301

From: [Vickie Mckee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:51:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vickie Mckee

1264 rev. Henderson rd
Henderson, NC 27587

From: [Carole VanGorder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:51:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carole VanGorder

1004 Pavestone Ct
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Priscilla Yokote](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:52:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Yokote

311 Spring St
Hendersonville, NC 28739

828-551-1181

From: [Charles Hamilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:53:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Hamilton

4748 Melvina Road
Greensboro, NC 27406

From: [Peggy Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:55:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Davis

3868 Mindona Dr
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [Allie Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:56:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allie Smith

4108 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, 27312

From: [Nancy Wells](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:56:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Wells

3417 Talon Ct
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Philip Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:57:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Moore

3190 Tusquittee Rd
Hayesville, NC 28904

From: [Jeff Harbaugh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:01:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Harbaugh

320 Cinnamon Way
Clemmons, NC 27012

From: [Ann Floyd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:01:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Floyd

8545 Chicken Foot Rd
Saint Pauls, NC 28384

910-865-4319

From: [Jane Beattie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:04:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Please support the Clean Power Plan.

Childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina.

The effects and threat of climate change continue to increase.

These statistics require a reduction in carbon emissions.

You and your environmental agency want North Carolina fail by denying it the opportunity to plan for the state, its community, and the energy mix.

You have allowed far-away bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for the state. .

Clean air is essential for families, the environment and for North Carolina's economy.

Please protect North Carolina's clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Beattie

PO Box 5591
Ketchum, ID 83340

From: [Elizabeth Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:07:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Long term health and well-being should be a higher priority than short-term economic gains. Doing otherwise is so irresponsible and short-sighted.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Smith

1412 US Hwy 15 501
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Mary Hopp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:07:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Hopp

534 Ballymote Ct
Matthews, NC 28104

From: [Connor Rettammel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:09:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Connor Rettammel

210 Garden Plaza Way
Apex, NC 27502

9198027044

From: [Donna Benoist](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:17:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Benoist

6432 Green Arbor Ln
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Anna Lie-Nielsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:19:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Lie-Nielsen

1445 Meadow Wind Ln
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Michelle Belanger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:23:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Belanger

209 Karen Cir
Winston Salem, NC 27105

From: [Ruth Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:25:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruth Miller

1819 Billabong Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Denzil Spain](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:26:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denzil Spain

1302 Faulkenberry Rd
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Susan Silbernagel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:28:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Silbernagel

PO Box 8383
Duck, NC 27949

From: [Sharon Nicodemus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:29:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Nicodemus

2710 Danube Dr
Sacramento, CA 95821

(916) 488-1946

From: [Michele Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:31:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Anderson

615 blue mist way
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Susan Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:32:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

A FRIEND AND A SCIENTIST, FORMER PROFESSOR FROM NC STATE, HAS A MUCH BROADER VISION OF WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. PEOPLE OUTSIDE THE SYSTEM, SUCH AS HIM, NEED TO BE CONSULTED SO WE GET THIS RIGHT.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Smith

245 SEMINOLE DR
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Carolyn Christian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:37:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Christian

450 Oakland Drive
Burlington, NC 27215

From: [Wanda Guokas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wanda Guokas

11 Forest View Dr
Asheville,, NC 28804

8285050191

From: [Debby Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debby Baker

8504 Castle Pine Ct
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Erika Booth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:43:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erika Booth

109 Cayman Ct
Winnabow, NC 28479

9106171644

From: [Douglas Karr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:43:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglas Karr

441 Peregrine Ridge Dr
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Patricia Shine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:45:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Shine

911 shipyard Pt
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Karen Hodges](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:49:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Hodges

2641 Palm Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28205

7045691678

From: [Janet Wall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Wall

2630 Weymoth Rd
Winston-salem, 27103

From: [Brenda Hayes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:50:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda Hayes

403 St. Mary's Rd
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Susan Humphrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:52:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Humphrey

158 Smyrna Lane
Mooresville, NC 28115

From: [Rebecca Withrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Withrow

33 Woodrow Avenue
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Vincent Oliver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:55:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vincent Oliver

105 Attmore Way
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Dolores Saenz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:57:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dolores Saenz

15 Dominion Ct
Cameron, NC 28326

5206476466

From: [Kayla Benton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:03:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kayla Benton

3520 Stratford Blvd
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [constance mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:04:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

constance mitchell

28 Robinhood Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

828-254-2209

From: [Scott Self](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:07:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Self

285 Holly Pl Ct
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

3367567353

From: [Rebecca Burmester](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:07:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Burmester

2121 North Hills Dr. Apt I
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Ron and Nancy Bryant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:10:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ron and Nancy Bryant

5546 Old Thompson Road
Norwood, NC 28128

7044749134

From: [J. Kent Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:10:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J. Kent Williams

704 Freemasons Dr
Greensboro, NC 27407

From: [Joan Tidwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:13:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

. My precious daughter and my grandson who has numerous birth defects both suffer from asthma. How can you sacrifice their health for profit?

God knows you have such power to do good. Why would you not do it?

Sincerely,

Joan Tidwell

64 Ormand Ave
Arden, NC 28704

From: [JAMES APPLETON](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:13:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JAMES APPLETON

103 DARK OAK DRIVE
CARY, NC 27513

From: [Jack Palm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:13:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jack Palm

30 June Bug Ln
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Lenore Reeves](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:15:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lenore Reeves

19934 Hickory Stick Ln
Mokena, IL 60448

From: [Rev. William R. Hoyt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:27:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rev. William R. Hoyt

11 Wakefield Dr., Apt. 2305
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Karen McCall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:29:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen McCall

620 Park Pl
chapel Hill, 27514

From: [Lorri Drozdyk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:29:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lorri Drozdyk

7411 Troon Lane
Rougemont, NC 27572

From: [Bob Coleman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:30:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Coleman

103 Candlelight Ct
Durham, NC 27707

919 490 6876

From: [Steve Obendorf](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:31:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Obendorf

3709 Tranquil Ct
Gastonia, NC 28056

From: [Deborah Norton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:31:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Norton

5805 Baird Drive
Raleigh, NC 27606

919-828-0721

From: [Rev. William R. Hoyt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:32:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rev. William R. Hoyt

11 Wakefield Dr., Apt. 2305
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Dr. Sara L. Hoyt MD](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:34:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sara L. Hoyt MD

11 Wakefield Dr., Apt. 2305
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Giavanna Richards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:35:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

I believe North Carolina should be setting the example as a state that values its environment and actively pursues policies to protect the environment and reduce greenhouse emissions.

Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Giavanna Richards

204 Bon Marche Lane
Raleigh, NC 27615

919-844-7274

From: [Bretton Little](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:37:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bretton Little

2711 Bennington Road
Fayetteville, NC 28303

From: [John Little](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:37:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Little

4201 Windsor Pl
Raleigh, NC 27609

919-781-0829

From: [John Ashcraft](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:39:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. I should know, because I have asthma myself.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Ashcraft

7 Blue Spruce Dr
Whittier, NC 28789

828-505-5855

From: [Michael Morrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:43:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Morrow

1003 A Foxrun Dr
Albemarle, NC 28001

From: [Annette Kirshner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:43:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Kirshner

2524 Wrightwood Ave
Durham, ND 27705

From: [Joe Wells](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:45:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Wells

1605 Westbridge Court
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Linda Block](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:45:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Block

3 Sandy River Rd
Leicester, NC 28748

8286838433

From: [Celeste Winterberger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:49:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Celeste Winterberger

3901 Rim Court
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [James Shelton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:49:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Shelton

811 ROEHAMPTON CT
NORTH CHESTERFIELD, VA 23236

8043604780

From: [Charles Mumford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:51:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As an asthma sufferer, and as childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Mumford

3007 Pisgah Place
Apt C
Greensboro, ND 27455

From: [Kelley Wallace](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:51:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelley Wallace

6272 Cattail Court
Southport, NC 28461

9103634724

From: [Cheryl Morlote](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:52:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Morlote

1744 Tall Mast Ct
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [ANNE Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ANNE Taylor

395 Cecil Road
WENDELL, NC 27591

From: [Glen Cotten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:55:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is a birth right for our children and families, and essential for a healthy environment and for a prosperous economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glen Cotten

1227 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Rose Marie Tresp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:01:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Our children and grandchildren deserve that you work hard to support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I support them for the sake of the health of our children and for all of us. As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

And surely ethical people would not try to cheat and lie on what you propose to do to follow the Federal requirements.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rose Marie Tresp

101 Mercy Drive
Belmont, NC 28012

956-324-7057

From: [Russell Hindle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:04:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Russell Hindle

6101A Spioco Ridge Ln
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Carolyn Smalley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:09:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you. Carolyn Smalley

Sincerely,

Carolyn Smalley

455 s. main st.appt.304
Winston Salem,, NC 27101

3369087105

From: [Mary McKeown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:09:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary McKeown

66 Blue Ridge Assembly Drive
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Janis Holder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:13:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janis Holder

60 Shady Side Dr
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Cece Joseph](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:13:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cece Joseph

1507 Sedwick Rd
Durham, 27713

From: [Victoria O'Connor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:15:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victoria O'Connor

30 Candlewood Circle
WAYNESVILLE, NC 28785

From: [Tom Gooley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:19:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Gooley

10400 stonemede lane
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [yuri.koslen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:25:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

yuri.koslen

30 jefferson dr
Asheville, NC 28801

8285450342

From: [Alison Blue](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:25:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Seriously? I thought this was 2015.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alison Blue

4401 Malvern Road
Durham, NC 27707

9512359976

From: [Ann Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:29:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Baker

3745 Wabash Blvd
Winston-Salem, NC 27106

From: [dogan ozkan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:31:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

dogan ozkan

abbasaga mahallesi yildiz vaddesi no 39/1
besiktas istanbul turkey, Quebec 99701

5418629938

From: [Michael Callis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:37:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Callis

1206 Wellstone Cir
apex, NC 27502

From: [Pat Powell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:42:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am deeply concerned about the environment that we will leave our children and grandchildren. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Powell

2779 Oakbluff Circle
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Stephanie Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:47:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Miller

26 Richmond Ave
Asheville, NC 28807

From: [Rita English](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:47:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita English

425chester woods court
High point, NC 27262

From: [Judy Allen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:55:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Allen

90 Buccaneer Blvd
Hampstead, NC 28443

9105472104

From: [Terry Timmermans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:57:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry Timmermans

1904 Fishers Island Ct
Raleigh, NC 27604

9196237245

From: [Janis Phillips](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 10:57:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janis Phillips

235 Oakland Dr
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Aubrey McManus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:01:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aubrey McManus

5425 Rock Service Station Rd
Raleigh, NC 27603

9197794740

From: [Rory McIlmoil](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:03:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rory McIlmoil

562 Jakes Mountain Rd
Deep Gap, NC 28618

4234339415

From: [David Funsten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:03:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Funsten

31 Knoll Ridge DR
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Pat Nassef](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:03:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Nassef

96 Glenhaven rd. E
Washington, NC 27889

From: [Marlene Pratto](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:13:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Our political party will not matter when the weather gets warmer or more strange than it currently is. Daffodils in my yard are 4-5 inches high? Why? It is warm and wet. We need to work to limit the amount of carbon we put into the air.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy no matter what political party we belong to.. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marlene Pratto

105 Ridgeway Drive
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Lillie Holdsclaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:16:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lillie Holdsclaw

1146 Justin Rd
Westfield, NC 27053

From: [Margaret Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:31:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Davis

2026 Dartmouth Place
Charlotte, NC 28207

From: [Nola Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:35:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nola Jackson

204 Abbey Circle
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Judy Allen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:35:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Allen

90 Buccaneer Blvd
Hampstead, NC 28443

9105472104

From: [Herman Taft](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:45:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herman Taft

301B Watauga Ave
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Mary Arnold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 11:57:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. I have asthma, like so many others in NC. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Arnold

193 Viburnum Way
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Jennifer Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:02:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Harris

3829 Westridge Farm Ln
Clemmons, 27012

From: [Tracey Kruger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:07:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracey Kruger

3401 Bragg Drive
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Darlene Azarmi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:09:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darlene Azarmi

35 First St
Asheville, NC 28803

828 216 3430

From: [Kathi Brinkman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:27:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathi Brinkman

119 Arcaro dr
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Scot Quaranda](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Urging the DEQ to take the CPP seriously!
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:40:28 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Scot Quaranda
199 Waynesville Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Barbara Robertson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:58:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Robertson

4019 Old NC 10
Durham, NC 27705

From: [J.F. Heffren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:07:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J F Heffren

191 Blue Ridge Ave
Boone, NC 28607

From: [DeLisha Byrd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:07:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DeLisha Byrd

1200 Kelston Place
Apt. 208
Charlotte, NC 28212

9806369163

From: [Julie Cannon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:19:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julie Cannon

3861 Bethany Ct
Concord, NC 28027

From: [Carol Kemmler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:37:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Kemmler

5737 Chrismon Rd
Browns Summit, 27214

From: [Clark Hunsinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:43:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clark Hunsinger

106 Queensferry Rd
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Patricia Burgert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:49:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Burgert

516 Walters Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587

704-644-9535

From: [Sydney Vespi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:55:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sydney Vespi

616 Burroughs br
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Linda Sharp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:04:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Sharp

764 Lowery Street
Shelby, NC 28152

From: [Robert Blansett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:22:18 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

You don't need to look too hard to realize that the hotter our air temperatures become, the more difficult and expensive it becomes to maintain quality land for agriculture/livestock production. As well as keeping land suitable for housing and businesses. Every year, more and more families and firefighters pay as record forest fires burn; taking property and sometimes lives with them. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Blansett

804 Lee Public Rd
Four Oaks, 27524

9194649394

From: [Neva Duncan Tabb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:27:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Neva Duncan Tabb

31 Midland Drive
Waynesville, NC 28785

From: [Susan Chiurco](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:25:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Chiurco

100 Rock Haven Rd. apt. E102
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Oscar Revilla](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:58:16 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for persons, our environment and for economy. Do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Oscar Revilla

Juan de Herrera
San Sebastian de los Reyes, none

From: sue.coggins
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:10:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sue coggins

3005 claymore Ct
hope Mills, NC 28348

From: [Suzanne Rosen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:15:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Rosen

1016 east Worthington ave
Charlotte, NC 28203

From: [Gina Lucas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:40:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gina Lucas

13972 Idlewild rd
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [Chris Farr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:44:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Farr

4509 Calico Rd
Lenoir, NC 28645

8286404856

From: [Margaret Denison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:55:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Denison

9408 Cartersville Ct
Raleigh, NC 27617

From: [amy brennan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:02:02 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

amy brennan

270 Pyrus
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Sarah Janssen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:11:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Janssen

PO Box 392
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Roger Shew](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:13:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I believe the current effort to "fight" the implementation of the Clean Power Plan is both poorly advised and not beneficial to the citizens of NC. Limits on carbon pollution to 30% less than 2005 levels will both improve air quality and health and it will also lead to additional jobs in other energy sectors that could promote the NC economy. My argument doesn't even need to address the likely valuable impact of the plan in reducing greenhouse gas emissions, which may contribute to increased global temperatures, as the plan stands on its own for the other reasons.

I believe the cost-benefit analyses of this plan means favoring its implementation and I urge you to take the long view of the benefits of this plan and that you should drop any lawsuit against the EPA to block these rules. And in fact you should whole-heartedly support the plan.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roger Shew

4910 Park Ave
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Denise Reilly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:33:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Reilly

7524 Surreywood Pl
Charlotte, NC 28270

From: [Dr. and Mrs. Lewis Patrie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:45:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

We support EPA's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we must work toward reducing our carbon emissions.

We are disillusioned that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our future. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dr. and Mrs. Lewis Patrie

26 Wesley Drive
Asheville, NC 28803

828 2852599

From: [Linda Konold](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:49:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Konold

315 Burning Tree
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Kenneth Leary](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:51:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Leary

airport road
pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Vicki Sutton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:55:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vicki Sutton

101 E Edgewood dr
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Kevin O'Donnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:59:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin O'Donnell

808 Ward St
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Dwayne Bass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:01:54 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dwayne Bass

2085 Pope Road
Dunn, NC 28334

From: [Dan Krebill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:23:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dan Krebill

107 silo drive
chapel hill, NC 27514

From: [A Keegan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:23:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A Keegan

1260 West Fourth St
Winston Salme, NC 27101

3364148643

From: [Mary Bennett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:26:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Bennett

2013 McCarthy Street
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [Caitlin Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:27:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Taylor

905 7th Ave
22 F
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Jonny Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:28:06 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jonny Thompson

523 W. Wilkinson St
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

From: [SHERRY JOHNSON](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:29:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

SHERRY JOHNSON

804 valley forge court
WILMINGTON, NC 28411

From: [nick.morgan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:34:04 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

nick morgan

14 1/2 Pine Grove Ave
asheville, NC 28801

From: [Theresa Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:39:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Theresa Jones

2018 East Cotton Gin Drive
Clayton, NC 27527

From: [Katherine Meyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:43:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Meyer

185 Windover Drive
Forest City, NC 28043

From: [Susan Morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:47:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air, as well as for our future generations.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Morris

PO Box 2138
Mars Hill, NC 28754

From: [Patricia Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:47:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Griffin

1275 Seven Lakes N
West End, NC 27376

From: [Sarah Charles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:51:52 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Charles

1701 Gentry Ct
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Todd Lee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:56:02 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Todd Lee

23 Gentlebreeze Lane
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Glenda Snider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:59:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenda Snider

350 Valhalla Road
Southern Pines, NC 28387

From: [Stephen H. Juhlin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:01:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. Juhlin

135 Candy Mt. Hts
Murphy, NC 28906

From: [Leah Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:05:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leah Anderson

4129 NC Hwy 11 N
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Heide Coppotelli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:07:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heide Coppotelli

5569 Fisherman's Drive
Bradenton, FL 34209

8052848764

From: [Cathy Brunick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:07:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Brunick

14133 Walkers Crossing Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Elizabeth Reece](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:07:44 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Reece

360 heartland dr
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Teresa Kazek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:13:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Kazek

498 Willis Cove Road
Canton, NC 28716

From: [Ruth Miller](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:17:38 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.

- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.

- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Ruth Miller
1819 Billabong Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Jacob Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:18:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacob Johnson

815 Old Welcome Rd
Lithia, FL 33547

From: [Jane Norton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:19:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I used to be proud to be a North Carolinian, now I am embarrassed!

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Norton

5605 Mt. Sinai Rd
Durham, NC 27705

9194901849

From: [David Cash](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:22:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. North Carolina can lead the way and not succumb to the pressures from coal, oil and gas producer lobbies. We do not want to look like China.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Cash

8704 Anklin Forrest Dr
Waxhaw, 28173

From: [Daphne Littiken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:22:10 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daphne Littiken

136 Charles Street
Beaufort, NC 28516

From: [Laurie Zimmerman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:23:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. This is not a game to play with the US government, or the consensus of environmentalists. Your choices here look like children defying their parents. Reconsider the wisdom of your plans. I see no wisdom in them.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurie Zimmerman

115 Oak Terrace
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Peggy Wright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:23:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Wright

3036 Rosebriar Dr
Durham, NC 27705

919-479-5201

From: [Elaine Cahn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:25:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elaine Cahn

625 Baldwin ave
charlotte, NC 28204

From: [john baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:26:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

john baker

251 wiltshire circle
fletcher, 28732

From: [Ruby Creasman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:28:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruby Creasman

300 Morning View Rd
Waynesville, NC 28786

8285508246

From: [Lucia De Ratmiroff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:28:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

I must add that I am a Geochemist, and I'm aware of the devastation that may descend upon our planet if we fail to act now.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lucia De Ratmiroff

3602 Regents Park Ln
GREENSBORO, NC 27455

From: [George Vlasits](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:29:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Vlasits

6618 MOTTS VILLAGE RD
WILMINGTON, NC 28412

9104658871

From: [Becky Wilkes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:37:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I can't get over how irresponsible this legislature is getting.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

We should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state.

Nothing about this makes sense.

Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Becky Wilkes

117 Winterberry Ridge Dr
Durham, NC 27713

9196041152

From: [J. Austin Watson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:37:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J. Austin Watson

170 colony rd
Hendersonville, NC 28793

From: [Rae Haney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:46:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rae Haney

4001 meadowridge
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Lucy's Wetzel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:52:06 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lucy's Wetzel

35 Northview st
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Catherine Moorehead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:52:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Moorehead

P.O. Box 425
Black Mountain, NC 28711

828-545-2842

From: [Temika Singletary](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:53:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Temika Singletary

710 Hathcock Glen Drive
OAKBORO, NC 28129

From: [Larry Sauder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:56:02 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. This has been studied and studied. Scientists agree. We have to clean up our air and water. You are a good thinker and surely should see this. You need to act to protect where we live, our state and our planet.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Sauder

331 Scofield Rd
Charlotte, NC 28209

7045532308

From: [John Watts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:57:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Watts

695 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Pam Watkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:02:00 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pam Watkins

926 West Markham
DURHAM, NC 27701

From: [Louis Parrish](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:02:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Louis Parrish

134 Harrison Hill Road
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Denise Flynn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:02:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

CLEAN AIR IS OUR RIGHT

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Flynn

PO Box 373
Marshall, NC 28753

8287124448

From: [Lane Tracy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:05:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I moved to NC eleven years ago because I liked the climate here. I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lane Tracy

106 Talon Drive
Cary, NC 27518

919 467 5416

From: [Denise Cornell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:06:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise Cornell

67 Springside Dr
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Robert Dawson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:19:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Dawson

209 Alderson Rd
Washington, NC 27889

252-414-8769

From: [Catherine Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:22:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Andrews

3038 FIELDSTONE LN
Mebane, NC 27302

3362130026

From: [randy sailer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:25:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

randy sailer

1018 cherry lane
BEULAH, ND 58523

From: [Linda Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:27:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Williams

1025 Kingston Grove Dr
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Judith Barton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:28:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Barton

118 Wolf's Trail
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: peter.boettger
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:33:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

peter boettger

206 riverdale ct
greenville, NC 27858

From: [Peter Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:37:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Thompson

133, Sycamore st
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Brian Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:37:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Adams

5909 crosscreek dr
Mebane, NC 27302

9196063393

From: [Marlene Jaar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:38:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marlene Jaar

513 Shumont Estates Dr
Lake Lure, NC 28743

828-625-3474

From: [David Bridenbaugh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:44:06 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Bridenbaugh

503 Lyndenbury Drive
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Jean Carr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:45:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jean Carr

1208 College Place
Raleigh, NC 27605

(919) 834-9955

From: [Art Pfefferle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:49:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Art Pfefferle

2411 Wertherson Ln
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Gary Tull](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:55:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Tull

Alpine Way
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Katherine Gonzalez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:03:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Gonzalez

3878 Longwood Dr. SW
Concord, NC 28027

7049208877

From: [Laurice ferris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:05:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurice ferris

112 Turnberry lane
ChapelHill, NC 27517

From: [Fillmore Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:07:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fillmore Williams

1244 Arbor Rd. #245
Winston-Salem, NC 27104

From: [Wendie Schneider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:10:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendie Schneider

135 NW 2nd St
Oak Island
OAK ISLAND, NC 28465

9108056201

From: [Judy Aulette](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:19:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). Childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase. We must work toward reducing our carbon emissions.

I am disgusted that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is essential for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy Aulette

2214 chatham
Charlotte, NC 28205

7042094385

From: [Susan Babbitt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:19:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Babbitt

319 South Tenth Street
#133
Philadelphia, PA 19107

From: [David Kerley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:21:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Kerley

764 Zeb Watts Road
Taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [Margaret Bollini](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:31:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Bollini

363 Daniel Drive
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Deborah Genz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:32:11 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Genz

350 Sunset Dr
Asheville, NC 28804

8283334977

From: [Thomas Struhsaker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:33:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Struhsaker

2953 Welcome Dr
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Sharon Mora](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:43:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Mora

PO Box 97
Whittier, NC 28789

From: [Kathleen Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:46:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Carter

107 double woods dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Audrey Newton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:49:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audrey Newton

501 Wellingham Drive
Durham, NC 27713

From: [kam neel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:51:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kam neel

204 winding arbor circle
cleveland, NC 27013

From: [suzann p](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:52:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am VERY disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to FAIL with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

suzann p

160 laurel court
winston salem, NC 27127

From: [Joane Barber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:01:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joane Barber

1896 Bell Road
Otto, NC 28763

828-369-0085

From: [Jerome Barber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:03:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerome Barber

1896 Bell Road
Otto, NC 28763

828-369-0085

From: [Iain McGarvie-Munn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:03:56 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Iain McGarvie-Munn

14 Skyview Ct
Asheville, NC 28803

5125696438

From: [Carlton Craig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:05:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carlton Craig

17 Munn Drive
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [David Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:05:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Adams

10625 Whittersham dr
Charlotte, NC 28262

From: [Catherine Tata](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:07:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Tata

9515 Yearwood Lsne
Charlotte, NC 28214

9802370705

From: [R Martin Armstrong](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:10:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

R Martin Armstrong

109 W Greene Street
--
Snow Hill, NC 28580

2527473723

From: [Ashley Gannaway](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:11:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ashley Gannaway

203 N Becket Street
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Mary Maness](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:19:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Maness

111 Walnut Creek Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534

9197789859

From: [Kenneth Crews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:28:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Crews

P. O. Box 1062
Durham, NC 27702

From: [barbara Lile](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:31:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

barbara Lile

1102 Stanridge Drive
raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Marcia Harris-Owens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:32:13 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Harris-Owens

2208 Lockhart Dr
Charlotte, NC 28203

From: [MK Austin, PA, MPH](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:33:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MK Austin, PA, MPH

PO Box 118
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Amanda Kiehm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:55:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Kiehm

2917 Henslowe Drive
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Jana Albritton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:56:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jana Albritton

7001 WAXMYRTLE CT
Wilmington, NC 28409

910-392-3066

From: [Arlene Sandoval](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:57:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense and it is unacceptable!

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. You and your children breathe the same air, think about their futures.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arlene Sandoval

4053 C NC Hwy 56
Franklinton, NC 27525

From: [Jonathan Brake](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:01:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Brake

6710 Amberley Lane
Clemmons, NC 27012

From: [Leslie Rupert](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:10:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leslie Rupert

5309 Willow cry lane
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Alex Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:22:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alex Warren

297 Sixth Street
Ayden, NC 28513

(252)746-2602

From: [Malgorzata Zytkow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:25:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Malgorzata Zytkow

2613 SILKSTREAM LN
Charlotte, NC 28262

From: [Carolyn Jane Smyth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:26:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Jane Smyth

6708 Holly Mill Court
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Jeffrey Nicolaisen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:44:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Nicolaisen

2528 Perkins Rd
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Ronna Pandres](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:49:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ronna Pandres

2100 Wellwood Circle
Charlotte, NC 28212

From: [Susan Jnes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:53:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Jnes

100 Gordon Dr
Winston, NC 27104

From: [Raign Biddix](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:01:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raign Biddix

79 Cushion Creek drive
not provided
Fletcher, NC 28732

8282907211

From: [John Wolfe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:01:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Wolfe

25 Torrance Way
Youngsville, NC 27596

From: [Kimala Burklow](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:10:02 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Kimala Burklow
10 Talmadge St.
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Claudia Nix](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:43:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Claudia Nix

72Sherwood RD
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [peggy baum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:43:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.
Sincerely,
Peggy Baum
139 Wanderless Lane
Taylorsville.NC 28681

Sincerely,

peggy baum

139 wanderless lane
taylorsville, NC 28681

From: [janis epton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:56:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

janis epton

3005 woods pl
raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Stephanie Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:04:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Jones

5607 Meadow Bluff Ct
Concord, NC 28027

7047872849

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Anne Paul](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:08:17 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anne Paul
1 Buck Acres Dr
Asheville, NC 28806-9715
cosmicdancer@charter.net

From: [Deja Lizer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:29:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deja Lizer

6 Eva Cir
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Mary Anne Loughlin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:41:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Anne Loughlin

4703 old river rd
Canton, NC 28716

From: [Michele Whalen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:45:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Whalen

464 Goddard Ln
Millers Creek, NC 28651

336-984-9834

From: [carol.ostrow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 2:55:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

carol ostrow

2728 hardwood
hillsboro, NC 27278

From: [Tim Leighton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:01:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Leighton

17019 Ashton oaks dr
Charlotte, NC 28278

From: [James Bowman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:01:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Bowman

201B Hickory St
Greenville, NC 27858

2528308007

From: [Mazie Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:04:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

I strongly support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. As a lifelong resident of the coast of North Carolina, I am seeing first-hand the impact of climate change on sea level rise. It is imperative that we take a pro-active stance on this or our children and grandchildren will be left with nothing!

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. You owe it to the citizens of this State to do the right thing.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mazie Smith

6200 Quarter Road
Swan Quarter, NC 27885

2529269131

From: [LD Kranz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:05:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LD Kranz

Pobox 13
Whittier, NC 28789

8287365971

From: [Julie Coleman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:16:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julie Coleman

809 smith level rd
Chapel Hill, 27516

919-967-3221

From: [Caulean Vesey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:25:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caulean Vesey

203 Bogue Drive
Morehead City, NC 28557

2528082460

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alon Kaplan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:30:52 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alon Kaplan
33 Fairfax Ave
Asheville, NC 28806-3221
sonofdionysis@gmail.com

From: [Stephanie Phillippi](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:34:32 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Phillippi
101 Dove Street
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [James Judkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:37:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Judkins

1540 Sandy Bay Cir
Fayetteville, NC 28312

From: [Lawrence Turk, RN](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:43:46 PM

Stop fouling us!

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

I await your reply.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Turk, RN
POB 203
Hendersonville, NC 28791

From: [Todd Patton](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:45:51 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Todd Patton
4512 Bracada Dr
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Lori Gilcrist](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:52:23 PM

I support a clean, beautiful, healthy North Carolina for current and future generations. That requires a reasonable common sense approach that includes a strong state Clean Power Plan. The N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not even come close. Please accept the following comments on the draft:

- Draft a decent plan and not a legalistic dodge. That way NC businesses and communities will have the opportunity to have input in a more reasonable federal plan that can be implemented in the best interest of all our state's citizens.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. As a resident of an economically deprived rural community I think North Carolina should seriously consider participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for rural populations, geographically isolated populations, historically marginalized and ignored populations of all kinds including minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Lori Gilcrist
Spruce Pine, NC

Lori Gilcrist
135 Hillcrest Circle
Spruce Pine, NC 28777

From: [Jesse Boeckermann](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 3:55:15 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Jesse Boeckermann
102 Charles Ridge Road
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Andrea Crook](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:01:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Crook

200 Kelly Road
Sanford, NC 27332

9104785092

From: [Molly Moore](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:03:28 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Molly Moore
215 Incline Dr
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [Stanley W Messick Jr](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:05:48 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Stanley W Messick Jr
200 Rustic Ridge
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Jordyn Ballentine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:13:37 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jordyn Ballentine
3600 Cumberland Creek Rd
Raleigh, NC 27613-3943
endangered_species13@yahoo.com

From: [Antoinett Rohrbach](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:28:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Antoinett Rohrbach

1218 Cochrane Woods Lm
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [Erin Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:29:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Williams

700 West Hargett St
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Jacquelyn Hough](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 4:31:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support EPA's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am appalled that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our communities, and our energy mix -- and probably costing us a lot of money in litigation that will be lodged against you for failing in your duties.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and create a real plan that will protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Hough

305 Andrews Road
Red Springs, NC 28377

From: [Deborah H. Chilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:01:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah H. Chilton

169 Caldwell Rd
Brasstown, NC 28902

8283614995

From: [Burt Aycock](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:01:41 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Burt Aycock
132 Longmeadow Rd.
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Gretchen Simpson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:01:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Simpson

494 Frank Rector Rd
Marshall, NC 28753

From: [David Wells](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:03:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Wells

261 JACOBS CREEK RD
Madison, NC 27025

From: [Lisa Waugh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:27:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Waugh

250 Lentz Harness Shop Rd N
Mount Pleasant, NC 28124

From: [Conrad Norvell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:27:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Conrad Norvell

7310 Beacon Hill Lane
Charlotte, NC 90210

2523265368

From: [Adam Versenyi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:28:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adam Versenyi

205 Oleander Road
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Christina Morrison](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:28:28 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Christina Morrison
1190 Patton Thicket Rd
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Carl Sigel](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:29:51 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Carl Sigel
11116 Bremerton Ct
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Michele Harvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:39:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Harvey

15 Ocaso Dr
Asheville, NC 28806

8286653582

From: [Doris Hinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 5:39:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

I realize the above is a form letter, but I whole heartedly agree with the statements.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doris Hinson

9 Fircrest Court
Durham, NC 27703

919-596-7687

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marcia Poteat](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:14:55 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marcia Poteat
315 Golf Course Rd Apt 1808
Morganton, NC 28655-5201
debpoteat001@gmail.com

From: [Sam Hay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sam Hay

118 Fox
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [MARTIN HAZELTINE](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:40:04 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

MARTIN HAZELTINE
7614 DUNBAR DRIVE SW
SUNSET BEACH, NC 28468

From: [Charles Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:43:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Brown

150 Gilead Ln
Statesville, NC 28625

704-380-2914

From: [Greg Lemons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:43:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Lemons

5471 Dona Road
Julian, NC 27283

From: [Steve Copulsky](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:46:10 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Steve Copulsky
6614 Lynn Ave
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Toni Cessna](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:47:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Toni Cessna

1011 Brook St
Fayetteville, NC 28305

From: [danna mcintock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 6:49:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

danna mcintock

920 tumbling fork road
Waynesville, NC 28785

9043883188

From: [Bud Seagraves](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:13:51 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bud Seagraves
PO Box 588
Etowah, NC 28729-0588
Budseagraves@att.net

From: [Charlotte Morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:16:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Morris

6813 Paint Rock Lane
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [Tom Schultz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:20:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Schultz

1521Fieldview Rd
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Carla Ashley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:25:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carla Ashley

412 Woodlawn Ave
Greensboro, NC 27401

From: [Jeff Alguire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:32:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Alguire

2210 Charlotte St
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Kevin Merck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:37:06 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kevin Merck
5706 Polk Mountain Dr
Marshville, NC 28103-9208
Kevinmerck@uamail.unionacademy.org

From: [Mary Frazer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:46:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

PS Hi Joelle!

Sincerely,

Mary Frazer

1716 evergreen
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Melody Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:49:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melody Wood

3404 Old Barn Road
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Christi Dillon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 7:55:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christi Dillon

175 Forest Ridge Rd
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [Karen Orsini](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:13:45 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karen Orsini
531 Michael St
Kernersville, NC 27284-3115
ksmak03@live.com

From: [neil chartier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:18:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

neil chartier

3612 cranston road
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Barry Rosett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:31:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Rosett

2419 Tiltonshire Lane
Apex, NC 27539

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Yamilet Trejo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 8:54:27 PM

Dec 3, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Yamilet Trejo
10416 Aberdale Way
Charlotte, NC 28273-3743
yamilettrejo1213@gmail.com

From: [Susan Strine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:26:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Strine

16 Melfort Dr
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Diane Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:43:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Clark

4115 Castleford Dr
Colfax, NC 27235

From: [JoAnn Salley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:56:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Salley

7205 Abalone Way
Wendell, NC 27591

From: [Annette Tenny](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:07:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Tenny

1097 N. Stokes School Rd
Danbury, NC 27016

From: [Pamela Brandt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:09:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Brandt

2425 Sattsgate Drive
Lewisville, NC 27023

From: [Esther Murphy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 10:40:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Esther Murphy

7235 Darden Rd
Apt. 127
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Rebecca Brady](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2015 11:06:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Brady

4808
Edgecliff Ct
holly springs, NC 27540

From: [Martha Spencer](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 12:25:59 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Martha Spencer
988 Henry Mountain Road
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Christina Hugenschmidt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 12:39:58 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As much as you would like to, you can't legislate away the facts. Our current NC Government's stance on environmental issues does not respond to reality and therefore jeopardizes our future well-being.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina Hugenschmidt

448 North Hawthorne Road
Winston-Salem, NC 27104

From: [Holly Potthoff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 12:52:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Holly Potthoff

306 N. Country Club Dr
Oxford, NC 27565

9196036922

From: [Rebecca Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 1:22:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Taylor

4005 Glen Laurel Dr
Raleigh, NC 27612

9193320143

From: [Laura Whyte](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 1:34:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Whyte

1206 Angier Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Heidi Rivera](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 4:35:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heidi Rivera

2848 Chillingworth Drive
Fayetteville, NC 28306

From: [Kaeley Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 6:20:10 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kaeley Williams

107 Pudding Pan Lane
Southern Shores, NC 27949

From: [Wendy Stevens](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 6:25:10 AM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Wendy Stevens
7024 Hidden Creek Dr
Charlotte, NC 28214

From: [Patrick Repper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 8:01:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patrick Repper

402 Cricket Hearth Road
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Jessica Motta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 8:11:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Motta

22 Yadkin St
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Amber Doran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 8:26:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amber Doran

612 Patterson St
Charlotte, NC 28205

7047566452

From: [Delores Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 9:05:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Delores Mitchell

7504 Endotrail Rd
Greensboro, NC 27409

3364022011

From: [Richard Heywood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 9:21:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Heywood

645 W Middle Creek Rd
Otto, NC 28763

From: [Rhonda Bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 9:29:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Bailey

201 Severin Str
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Tom Alligood](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 9:41:00 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Tom Alligood
11949 Pinnacle Point Lane
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Nathan Holder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 10:19:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nathan Holder

919 Shellbrook Ct Apt 8
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Paul Turner](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 10:20:31 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Paul Turner
230 Peepeye Cove Rd
Leicester, NC 28748

From: [David Robertson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 10:36:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Robertson

4012 6th ST NW
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Chrystele Lacroix-Burns](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 11:14:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chrystele Lacroix-Burns

4552 Bent Grass dr
Fayetteville, NC 28312

9106447298

From: [Patricia Ray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 1:01:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Ray

102 Odessa Cir
Cary, NC 27513

9194698811

From: [lea Ray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 1:22:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

lea Ray

5306 Pelham Rd
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Elizabeth Guzynski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 1:31:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Guzynski

144 Cooley Rd
MOORESVILLE, NC 28117

7046637725

From: [John Wolfe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 1:38:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Wolfe

25 Torrance Way
Youngsville, NC 27596

From: [Dianne Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 2:30:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dianne Carter

240 Marion Rd
Saint Pauls, NC 28384

From: [Susan Treadway](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 3:21:11 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Susan Treadway
49 Long View Dr
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Gary Logan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 4:56:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Logan

2617 Pepperstone Dr
Graham, NC 27253

From: [Katheine Baer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 7:19:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katheine Baer

702 Bolin Creek Dr
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Phil Floyd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 7:31:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phil Floyd

426 S 4th St
Wilmington, NC 28401

From: [Linda Kehew](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 8:46:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Kehew

211 Buckingham Dr
Winterville, NC 28590

(252) 364-2152

From: [Abbye Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 11:52:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Abbye Wilson

3601 FoxRun Road
Matthews, NC 28104

From: [Mary Maness](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 04, 2015 11:55:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Maness

111 Walnut Creek Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534

9197789859

From: [Ed Mezynski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 12:03:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ed Mezynski

338 W Salisbury St
Pittsboro, NC 27312

9192809782

From: [Joanne McGrath](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 1:19:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne McGrath

924 Chestnut Cove Rd
Sylva, NC 28779

828-631-1572

From: [Allyson Bowers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 3:39:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allyson Bowers

303 mill stream lane
Lexington, NC 27292

From: [Nancy Keith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 7:53:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Keith

P.O. Box 178
636A Lacers Way
Currie, NC 28435

From: [Marcy Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 9:27:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcy Jackson

157 Shadow Run Road
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Janice Heisey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 9:58:00 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Heisey

39 River Stone Trail
Asheville, NC 28805

8282790311

From: [Barbara Lembo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:16:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Lembo

3941 Arborway
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Meggan Moorhead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:32:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meggan Moorhead

1411 Broad St
Durham, 27705

From: [Beth Whithaus](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:33:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Whithaus

1015 Woodside Park Ln
Durham, NC 27704

9192254600

From: [Terry Woods](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:44:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry Woods

540 Riverside Dr Apt 105
Eden
Eden, NC 27288

From: [Carl Fisher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:46:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Fisher

225 Oak Hill Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Renee Hayes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:47:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Hayes

6903 Fairview Church Rd
Trinity, NC 27370

3364343582

From: [Annette Dunkel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:13:33 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Dunkel

6 Monica Lane
Bracebridge, Ontario P1L1P8

From: [Gregory Walls](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:14:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gregory Walls

510 Power Drive
Lowell, NC 28098

704-301-9160

From: [Susan Lang](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:17:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Lang

408 Ocean Spray Dr
Swansboro, NC 28584

From: [Raleigh Stout](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:21:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raleigh Stout

1001 YANCEYVILLE ST APT A
GREENSBORO, NC 27405

3365546763

From: [kay Doost](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:31:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kay Doost

1618 Marion Street
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [L Heintz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 12:35:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

L Heintz

81 Locust St
Black Mt, NC 28711

From: [Kelly Gay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 1:01:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelly Gay

8532 boysenberry ln
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Jan Turner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 1:31:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jan Turner

875 Judes Gap Road
Mill Spring, NC 28756

From: [Rita Burns-Wooten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 1:43:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rita Burns-Wooten

1150 35th St. Pl. NE
Conover, NC 28613

From: [Portia McCracken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 2:37:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Portia McCracken

917 Forest Hill Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [nathan adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 3:01:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

nathan adams

1263B meadowcreek drive
china grove, NC 28023

From: [Chelsea Barnes](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 3:11:01 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Chelsea Barnes
2505 TRYON PINES DR
RALEIGH, NC 27603

From: [jamie bass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 4:31:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jamie bass

710 Byrd ridge rd
north Wilkesboro, NC 28659

From: [Anita Stilley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 4:45:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anita Stilley

3916 Mountain Cove Drive
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Dionne Holliday](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 6:55:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dionne Holliday

165 Ernest Lane
Linden, NC 28356

9195487281

From: [Barbara Conrad](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 9:19:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Conrad

6212 N. Highland Blvd
Grifton, NC 28530

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Katherine Kent](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:11:14 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katherine Kent
319 Warmsprings Rd
Alexander, NC 28701-9200
byrdie@afgdistribution.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Anne Nadeau](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:18:18 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anne Nadeau
133 Hedgerow Ct
Cary, NC 27513-4232
acnadeau2@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Daniela Amortegui](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:28:42 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Daniela Amortegui
13517 Idlewild Rd
Matthews, NC 28105-3668
d.amortegui@icloud.com

From: [Hart Squire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:29:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hart Squire

34 Locust Cove Road
Weaverville, NC 28787

(828) 658-9442

From: [Shifra Nerenberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:37:42 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shifra Nerenberg
PO Box 16502
Asheville, NC 28816-0502
shifra.carolinamornings@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nicole Parris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:44:09 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nicole Parris
205 Arbor Ln
Hendersonville, NC 28791-8852
nicoleparris@yahoo.com

From: [megan bones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:49:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

megan bones

103 piney creek court
holly ridge, NC 28445

From: [Hannah Lawrence](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:50:52 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah Lawrence
20 Manor Ridge Ct
Greensboro, NC 27407-5873
hannahlawgv@gmail.com

From: [Susan Traversi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 10:52:30 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Traversi
5553 Spence Plantation Ln
Holly Springs, NC 27540-7293
straversi@yahoo.com

From: [Madyson Mumma](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:04:41 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Madyson Mumma
7009 Brookgreen Ter
Matthews, NC 28104-2205
madyson.mumma@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Emily Stranahan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:05:33 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Emily Stranahan
115 Lonebrook Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-1136
epstranahan@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Brandon Paul](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:09:02 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brandon Paul
1021 Northshore Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587-9738
bonehead2131990@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marguerite Doman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:10:33 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marguerite Doman
3121 Willow Oak Rd
Charlotte, NC 28209-1517
mdoman@aol.com

From: [Lois Hinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:13:10 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lois Hinson
414 Brenda Dr
Albemarle, NC 28001-9750
porterfalcon@gmail.com

From: [Lyn Carlisle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:13:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lyn Carlisle

261 Jacobs Creek
Madison, NC 27025

From: stephanie_williamson
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:28:02 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. stephanie williamson
17 Halyburton St
Canton, NC 28716-4505
stephphoto76@aol.com

From: [leo klausmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:33:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. Less emissions help avoid health problems related to smog, too.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

leo klausmann

1206 james st
apex, NC 27502

From: [Carol-Anne Abbink](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:40:33 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carol-Anne Abbink
12512 Angel Oak Dr
Huntersville, NC 28078-7850
myrtle1987@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Roy Franklin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:43:24 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Roy Franklin
700 Calabash Rd NW
Calabash, NC 28467-1905
ryfrln@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amanda Aaron](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:45:01 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Aaron
1230 Hugo Ln
Walnut Cove, NC 27052-6058
amanda09176@yahoo.com

From: [Jonathan Halperen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:50:43 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jonathan Halperen
2606 Wells Ave
Raleigh, NC 27608-1946
jhalperen@yahoo.com

From: [Nancy Steele](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2015 11:52:00 PM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nancy Steele
7003 Hallstead Ct
Wilmington, NC 28411-1067
namorrow@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Skyla Kirby](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 12:02:00 AM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Skyla Kirby
3811 Amandcroft Way
Raleigh, NC 27616-8441
skylakirby98@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Pamela Keefer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 12:32:31 AM

Dec 5, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pamela Keefer
103 Sweetgum Ct
Maple, NC 27956-9711
k9designs@centurylink.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Philip Voss](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:01:22 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Philip Voss
716 Winding Oak Way
Clayton, NC 27520-7129
pvcoss@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [debbie cruse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:04:51 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. debbie cruse
399 Reynview Vista Rd
Jackson Springs, NC 27281-9794
crusemd@centurylink.net

From: [Patrick Jean](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:07:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and as the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working toward reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patrick Jean

3910 Herman Sipe Road
Conover, NC 28613

From: [Elizabeth Poole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:11:31 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Poole
134 Wilshire Ln
Four Oaks, NC 27524-9684
puddieclawdette@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tracy Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:17:43 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tracy Campbell
111 Katie Mays Ct
Taylorsville, NC 28681-8889
tlccivicboy@yahoo.com

From: [Anna Holmes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:25:13 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anna Holmes
2458 Maplewood Ave
Winston Salem, NC 27103-3535
Aellerneck@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [michaela.hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:25:18 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. michaela hill
12930 Settlers Trail Ct
Charlotte, NC 28278-7760
michaela.hill.14@gmail.com

From: [Michael Winnie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:31:54 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michael Winnie
21335 Pine St
Cornelius, NC 28031-6524
winnie.michael87@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Dr. Rebecca Powers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:36:01 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dr. Rebecca Powers
214 Quail Hollow Rd
Greenville, NC 27858-8970
rebeccapowers595@gmail.com

From: [Loren Garland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:37:36 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Loren Garland
270 Horse Farm Ln
Cameron, NC 28326-7537
dunenuff528@yahoo.com

From: [Jamie Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:38:54 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jamie Baker
2405 Old Cedarwood Dr NW
Concord, NC 28027-2637
dbjb2009@carolina.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [jennifer.oates](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:40:33 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. jennifer oates
1816 Fulton Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205-3526
wilyoat@mac.com

From: [Donna Roper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:47:52 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Roper
9 Blossom Rd
Sylva, NC 28779-7338
donnawonderland@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julie Bell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 1:50:36 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julie Bell
66 Spruce Pine Ln
Mill Spring, NC 28756-6817
julieabell54@aol.com

From: [Katherine Hatley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 2:05:54 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katherine Hatley
1564 Creek Bed Rd
Kernersville, NC 27284-8909
katherinehatley@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tracy Smallacombe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 2:33:48 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tracy Smallacombe
160 Queens Cove Way
Whispering Pines, NC 28327-9799
tasmallacombe@gmail.com

From: [Ryan Comer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 2:43:04 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ryan Comer
516 Broad Creek Loop Rd
Apt 5
Newport, NC 28570-5019
overboardwill@gmail.com

From: [Aimee Harling](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 2:55:09 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Aimee Harling
3419 South Pitt St
Farmville, NC 27828-1868
aimeenicole510@gmail.com

From: [David Schmidt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:12:33 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. David Schmidt
265 Hardison Rd
Holly Ridge, NC 28445-7559
david.schmidt924@gmail.com

From: [Elaine Aarsand](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:20:08 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elaine Aarsand
2020 Montrose Ln
Wilmington, NC 28405-6208
elaine@aarsand.com

From: [Terri Cevet](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:22:13 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Terri Cevet
5 Mountain View Ave
Black Mountain, NC 28711-3288
tmcnov59@charter.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [jill silver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:35:44 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. jill silver
218 Northern Blvd
Wilmington, NC 28401-6734
jillsilverstudio@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Linda Meadows](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:43:20 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linda Meadows
150 Etheridge Rd
Manteo, NC 27954-8901
lindameadows94@gmail.com

From: [Molly Cozza](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:48:04 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Molly Cozza
121 Seawatch Way
Kure Beach, NC 28449-4813
mollymariec@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [MaryLee Tyndall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:52:10 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. MaryLee Tyndall
401 E Jackson St
Mebane, NC 27302-2735
mltisme2@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jacob Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:53:53 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jacob Warren
1309 S 2nd St
Wilmington, NC 28401-6278
jakobmwarren@gmail.com

From: [Chandler Lang](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:59:24 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Chandler Lang
12313 Lookout Point Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269-8521
chandlerl@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:01:04 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Warren
1610 Ambergate Dr
Waxhaw, NC 28173-6751
lizwarr97@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amy Lynn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:10:33 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amy Lynn
3408 Warlicks Church Rd
Connelly Springs, NC 28612-7761
lifeofwork2005@yahoo.com

From: [Cheryl Shepley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 8:51:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Shepley

206 Alicia Drive
Marshall, NC 28753

8287774719

From: [Danielle Rosander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 9:34:58 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Danielle Rosander
4816 Red Brush Dr
Mc Leansville, NC 27301-9314
astr323@hotmail.com

From: [Belinda Carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 9:37:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Belinda Carter

2064 Lapham Dr
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bilal Laghari](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 9:48:10 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bilal Laghari
135 Cloister Ln
Mooresville, NC 28117-9152
753957@student.iss.k12.nc.us

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 10:12:03 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephanie Moore
118 Pinecrest Pkwy
Wilmington, NC 28401-7845
stephanie.moore1128@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [June Gooding](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 10:15:18 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. June Gooding
101 Islander Dr
Emerald Isle, NC 28594-2428
jgooding1943@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Denise Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 10:17:33 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Denise Miller
12345 dataw lane
Indian trail, NC 28069
neecie70@yahoo.com

From: [Shawnda Curtis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 10:17:35 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shawnda Curtis
3729 Chancellorsville Ct
Raleigh, NC 27610-6379
curtis.shawnda@yahoo.com

From: [Suzanne Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 12:00:22 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Suzanne Warren
1 Terrace Rd
Asheville, NC 28801-1509
switheringtonwarren@yahoo.com

From: [sarah sarah](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 12:01:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

sarah sarah

main street
weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ann Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 12:20:36 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ann Wilson
1912 Falcon Pointe Dr Apt G
Winston Salem, NC 27127-4614
annwilson91276@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melissa Springer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 12:23:54 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Springer
3220 Selwyn Farms Ln Apt 6
Charlotte, NC 28209-5002
Melissa.C.Springer@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Renee Hinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 12:38:32 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Renee Hinson
79 Griggs Rd
Old Fort, NC 28762-8636
renehinson13@gmail.com

From: [Jose Lopez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 2:52:16 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jose Lopez
7712 Vauxhill Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615-3610
joserlopez@gmail.com

From: [Austin Dishman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:21:35 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Austin Dishman
116 Poplar Ridge Rd
Jacksonville, NC 28546-8711
usmedish@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ashley Martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:25:16 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ashley Martin
104 Parsley Ln
Leland, NC 28451-9354
ashleymartin526@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Janet Bullins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:29:13 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janet Bullins
1095 Lady Bug Ln
Madison, NC 27025-6605
janetbullins@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Virginia Owen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:29:24 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Virginia Owen
528 Mailman Rd
Knightdale, NC 27545-8432
vopainter@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jean Anderson DeVito](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:45:47 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jean Anderson DeVito
915 Elderberry Ln SW
Sunset Beach, NC 28468-5837
beachtabbit@atmc.net

From: [Jon Bartlett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:46:13 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jon Bartlett
32 Swannanoa Ave
Asheville, NC 28806-4431
sirwilderness@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marlena Phelps](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 3:47:07 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marlena Phelps
100 Adden Ln Apt B
Hendersonville, NC 28739-6535
mlp0101@msn.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [James Droddy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:00:15 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Droddy
HP 105 ROOM 110
Camp Lejeune, NC 28542
droddy2hottie@gmail.com

From: [Hector Perez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:04:15 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hector Perez
306 Ontra Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28311-2741
hectorperez211058@gmail.com

From: [Pat Roberts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:20:06 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pat Roberts
6374 Hanna Ct NW
Concord, NC 28027-2504
Probe122013@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Danalaty Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:25:54 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Danalaty Brown
406 Luxury Ln
Knightdale, NC 27545-7023
saved01@ymail.com

From: [Carole Oglesbee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:30:04 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carole Oglesbee
10088 Flowes Store Rd
Midland, NC 28107-9410
secoffsite@carolina.rr.com

From: [Alicia Swaringen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:33:30 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alicia Swaringen
65 Edgewood Rd Apt 3
Asheville, NC 28804-3500
rubiasavage@gmail.com

From: [Myra Bronstein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:33:48 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Myra Bronstein
340 Concord Pkwy N
Concord, NC 28027-6780
myra.bronstein@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [APRIL WEATHERMAN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:36:33 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. APRIL WEATHERMAN
5770 Gayray St
Clemmons, NC 27012-9212
aprilweatherman.5@facebook.com

From: [Darlene buttery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:38:21 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Darlene buttery
126 Blythe Dr
Thomasville, NC 27360-9356
dcbuttery@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [alexia clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:41:30 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. alexia clark
grandview dr.
fayetteville, NC 28314
tamahomemiaka@hotmail.com

From: [Chasta Schneider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:44:52 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Chasta Schneider
2558 Broodmare Dr
Maiden, NC 28650-8803
authorcls12@gmail.com

From: [Terry Story](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 4:58:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry Story

3439 buccaneer dr
nags head, NC 27959

From: [Unice McMullen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:00:01 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Unice McMullen
415 Savannah Dr
Jacksonville, NC 28546-8673
unice.mcmullen@gmail.com

From: [Joe Schuch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:03:48 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joe Schuch
1615 Holly Grove Way
Durham, NC 27713-4524
joe.schuch@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sue Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:13:59 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sue Jones
8817 New Britton Hwy E
Whiteville, NC 28472-7869
carissa420@gmail.com

From: [Cheryl Pavlovic](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:18:23 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cheryl Pavlovic
10817 Debnam Rd
Zebulon, NC 27597-9342
pavlocdo@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jeenie shank](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:21:08 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeenie shank
2532 White Fence Way
High Point, NC 27265-9307
shankjj@hotmail.com

From: [Jo Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:22:32 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jo Greene
1828 C R Brooks Rd
Granite Falls, NC 28630-8921
myws6@ymail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [k_havner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:28:34 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. k havner
Albert St.
Winston Salem, NC 27101
kalina_j@hotmail.com

From: [Cindy Brooks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:28:49 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cindy Brooks
62 Beech Tree Rd
Whittier, NC 28789-8169
cmcbrooks42@yahoo.com

From: [DeOnte Coppage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:34:47 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. DeOnte Coppage
1543 Bridle Cir
Greenville, NC 27834-6695
games_4_life82@yahoo.com

From: [judy Lynn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:49:18 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. judy Lynn
5748 Three Oaks Dr
Raleigh, NC 27612-6137
judylynn5@gmail.com

From: [Dani White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 5:58:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am angered that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dani White

134 Linwood Rd
Mooresville, NC 28115

From: [Pat Richardson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 6:05:48 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pat Richardson
21126 Harken Dr
Cornelius, NC 28031-6250
pwimr@aol.com

From: [Joshua Lobe](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 6:43:45 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Joshua Lobe
303 South 8th Street
Mebane, NC 27302

From: [Colleen Longo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 7:38:15 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Colleen Longo
10130 Standing Stone Ct
Charlotte, NC 28210-8155
pineapplevista89@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alana zander](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 7:57:26 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alana zander
4034 Landover Ln
Raleigh, NC 27616-9026
zandercoupon@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Andrew King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 7:59:17 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Andrew King
716 Tanbridge Rd
Wilmington, NC 28405-4031
scubadrew.king@gmail.com

From: [Jay Hawekotte](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 8:03:04 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jay Hawekotte
107 Acorn Ln
Point Harbor, NC 27964-9604
ncsurfhawk@hotmail.com

From: [Mary-Jo Paull](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 8:11:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary-Jo Paull

9180 Ellis St SE
Winnabow, NC 28479

From: [Beth Morrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 8:37:03 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Beth Morrison
11630 Clingman Ln
Charlotte, NC 28214-5430
bethie1122@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Socorro Sepulveda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 9:02:52 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Socorro Sepulveda
502 Birchwood Dr
High Point, NC 27262-2410
freckledorage@yahoo.com

From: [Luisa Morell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 9:10:17 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Luisa Morell
503 N Wilson St
Walstonburg, NC 27888-8915
morels5@aol.com

From: [Thomas Blanton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 9:37:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Blanton

2228 Russell Dr
Granite Falls, NC 28630

From: [Alton Tyre](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 9:43:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alton Tyre

217 Sawmill Road
Cedar Grove, NC 27231

9197323218

From: [Valerie Whitfield](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 10:33:43 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Valerie Whitfield
18216
Coulter Pkwy
Cornelius, NC 28031

From: [Michele Olivier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 10:58:15 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michele Olivier
7216 Lace Leaf Way
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-5447
olivier.michele07@gmail.com

From: [Erin Hartsoe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:05:50 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Erin Hartsoe
161 Poplar Bend Ct N
Randleman, NC 27317-8327
totalperfektion@msn.com

From: [Patricia Stubenvoll](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:10:18 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Stubenvoll
104 S Widgeon Ct
Grandy, NC 27939-9798
pats645@centurylink.net

From: [Jensen Nesbit](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:23:07 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jensen Nesbit
17 Friendly Way
Asheville, NC 28806-4701
jjnesbit@charter.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Megan Stephenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:27:02 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Megan Stephenson
76 Ithica Ln
Bunnlevel, NC 28323-9109
lizzybeth143@gmail.com

From: [Isabella Gill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:34:29 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Isabella Gill
336 Brightwood Church Rd
Gibsonville, NC 27249-8803
ilyoutubers@outlook.com

From: [Sherry Belk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:43:34 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sherry Belk
421 Cottonwood Cir
Monroe, NC 28110-7803
sbelk1@gmail.com

From: [Zac Tomchin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:44:16 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Zac Tomchin
9500 Brandemere Ct
Charlotte, NC 28210-1027
ztomchi0@email.cpcc.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [tiffany Keaton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:49:35 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. tiffany Keaton
5545 Sparks Rd
Hamptonville, NC 27020-8351
tnrogersnc@aol.com

From: [Courtney Daley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2015 11:54:30 PM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Courtney Daley
646 glenbale dr
Statesville, NC 28677
courtneydaley.daley@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Carlos Manosalva](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:02:53 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carlos Manosalva
520 W 5th St
Charlotte, NC 28202-1823
cmuf06@gmqil.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Crystal Church](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:03:42 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Crystal Church
1135 N First St Apt A
Mebane, NC 27302-8727
Cmcpbt@yahoo.com

From: [Alicia Butron](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:11:28 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alicia Butron
9 Mace Ave
Asheville, NC 28806-2724
aliciabutron@gmail.com

From: [Paul Gregory](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:26:29 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Paul Gregory
218 Dogwood Dr
Wilmington, NC 28403-2010
paul@aquaticsafaris.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [martha lamsayety](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:42:11 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. martha lamsayety
1372 Oak Ave NE
Winnabow, NC 28479-5470
yourenononlylove2172@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Newlin Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:43:55 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Newlin Parker
6800 Crescent Moon Ct Apt 101
Raleigh, NC 27606-3184
lulighttec@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Samantha Costner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:49:16 AM

Dec 6, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Samantha Costner
1633 E Hudson Blvd
Gastonia, NC 28054-1647
samantha_costner@yahoo.com

From: [Elaine Shappell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:55:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elaine Shappell

4505 Noland Drive
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julia Lasure](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:19:24 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julia Lasure
17314 Shadow Bark Dr
Cornelius, NC 28031-9299
lasurejulia@yahoo.com

From: [Melissa Hyman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:29:51 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Hyman
135 S Dogwood Trl
Southern Shores, NC 27949-3104
zimzalaz@aol.com

From: [Beth Ruberry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:35:06 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Beth Ruberry
509 Hidden Hollow Dr
Andrews, NC 28901-9123
bizkit1735@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kelly Gordon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:50:37 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelly Gordon
109 North Carolina
Beaufort, NC 28516
kjgordonmitchell@yahoo.com

From: [Virginia Garner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:11:12 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Virginia Garner
4004 Nc 772 Hwy
Walnut Cove, NC 27052-6663
vsgarner@live.com

From: [Nicole Savard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:30:44 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nicole Savard
983 Ramsgate Dr SW
Concord, NC 28025-9222
nsavard1983@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cameron Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:40:28 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cameron Davis
405 W Morisey Blvd
Clinton, NC 28328-4405
dollarbill.9@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [kimberly price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 3:36:26 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kimberly price
5709 Julian Airport Rd
Liberty, NC 27298-8036
kimberlyprice36@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Janet LaCorte](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 3:40:36 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janet LaCorte
5931 Hidden Meadow Ln
Charlotte, NC 28269-6164
that_girl@cutey.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hannah King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:20:59 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah King
52 Haney Rd
Alexander, NC 28701-8704
missmarkimoo@gmail.com

From: [Cecil Seal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:24:09 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cecil Seal
7109 Falls Glen Ct
Raleigh, NC 27614-7174
kaiseal01@gmail.com

From: [Waverli Almand](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:34:34 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Waverli Almand
324 Texas Rd
Montreat, NC 28757
me@waverli.com

From: [Trae Perry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:38:44 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Trae Perry
110 W Martin St
Eureka, NC 27830-9379
traeperry16@hotmail.com

From: [Nick Wade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:44:46 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nick Wade
814 Rosemont Ave
Wilmington, NC 28403-2633
nickwadephotography@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alexis Keyworth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:45:04 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alexis Keyworth
3520 Drawbridge Pkwy
Greensboro, NC 27410-9621
alexis.keyworth11@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Brendale Todd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:46:01 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brendale Todd
541 Baker Crossroad Rd
Reidsville, NC 27320-7412
btodd0177@yahoo.com

From: [Hillary Opdyke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:46:34 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hillary Opdyke
506 Cornelia Dr
Graham, NC 27253-4116
heopdyke@yahoo.com

From: [Jonathan Lund](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:48:48 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jonathan Lund
9326 John Russell Rd
Charlotte, NC 28215-7599
dnulnoj@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [tyna walston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:51:05 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. tyna walston
217 Palomar St
Fayetteville, NC 28314-1504
tyna2web@hotmail.com

From: [Lisa Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:53:42 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Smith
289 Town N Country Dr
Wilkesboro, NC 28697-7536
smithdrnktx@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Katherine Stevens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:59:40 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katherine Stevens
3503 River Hills Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410-8301
kstevens67@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rachel Gebelein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:01:31 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rachel Gebelein
359 Old E King St
Boone, NC 28607-5881
rachelgebelein@gmail.com

From: [Anjuli Luthra](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:08:21 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anjuli Luthra
Medical Center Blvd
Winston-Salem, NC 27157
jluthra@wakehealth.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [David Gentry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:14:38 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. David Gentry
1935 King George Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303-3898
davidgentry123@gmail.com

From: [Elizabeth Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:33:17 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Jackson
101 Bristol Cir
Clayton, NC 27527-7675
domestgoddess@earthlink.net

From: [Laura Moodie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:09:22 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Laura Moodie
2623 Beechwood St
Greensboro, NC 27403-2001
lgardengoddess@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Michelle cox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:12:52 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michelle cox
4333 Canova Forest Dr
Conover, NC 28613-9753
michellecox1012@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Maija Harrington](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:19:15 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maija Harrington
2701 Pickett Rd Apt 2033
Durham, NC 27705-5649
majaharrington@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tony Bridgefoot](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:31:09 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tony Bridgefoot
5909 Tanson Trl
Marshville, NC 28103-9447
tbridgefoot@btinternet.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amanda Gallop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:46:00 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Gallop
9005 New Century Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587-9141
amanleigh76@gmail.com

From: [Riley Maness](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:46:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Riley Maness

111 Walnut Creek Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [dusty pratt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:49:33 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. dusty pratt
3328 Baltimore Rd east bend nc 27018
East Bend, NC 27018-7080
oneida80100@gmail.com

From: [Zach Harper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:02:37 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Zach Harper
803 S Pollock St
Selma, NC 27576-3000
harperzach@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Camille Carr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:02:47 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Camille Carr
3101 Seth Williams Blvd
Camp Lejeune, NC 28547-1403
mymelody713@gmail.com

From: [Pang Khang](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:06:57 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pang Khang
358 soencer rd ne
Conover, NC 28613
pangkhan06@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Mihelle Allman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:09:07 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mihelle Allman
5105 Southwind Rd
Greensboro, NC 27455-2232
Mlallman22@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nathan Gray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:23:21 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nathan Gray
3531 9th Infantry St
Fort Bragg, NC 28310-7713
nsg954@yahoo.com

From: [Moez Awan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:26:40 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Moez Awan
957 Silverberry St
Gastonia, NC 28054-1330
moez.awan15@gmail.com

From: [Heather Fritzshall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:28:42 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Fritzshall
6225 Independence Place Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303-0228
heatherfrech@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kiyryn Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:31:25 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kiyryn Harris
556 Hay River St
Garner, NC 27529-7264
kiyrynh@gmail.com

From: [Erica Fultz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:32:41 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Erica Fultz
4928 Betty Dr NE
Hickory, NC 28601-9712
elf2508@uncw.edu

From: [Brandy Faulkner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:52:08 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brandy Faulkner
1011 Cleburne St
Greensboro, NC 27408-8427
punxicano95@gmail.com

From: [Tori Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:07:19 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tori Clark
7608 Humie Olive Rd
Apex, NC 27502-9670
torijaydee@bellsouth.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alice Skehel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:11:39 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alice Skehel
541 Rose Ave
Wilmington, NC 28403-3439
als1187@uncw.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sarah McCarthy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:14:53 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah McCarthy
125 Plummer Dr
Archdale, NC 27263-2841
sarahmc57@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jaedyn Putnam](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:17:54 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jaedyn Putnam
611 E Colonial Ave Apt 2
Elizabeth City, NC 27909-4471
jrputnam99@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [clayton Tiderman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:19:04 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. clayton Tiderman
5109 Clear Run Dr
Wilmington, NC 28403-1914
crt9652@uncw.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Logan Wilmouth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:31:21 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Logan Wilmouth
308 Lance Dr
Elizabeth City, NC 27909-6351
loggymae@gmail.com

From: [Tevin Charles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:39:01 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tevin Charles
140 White Cedar Ln
Camden, NC 27921-7545
okiboy0813@gmail.com

From: [jayne burleson](mailto:jayne_burleson)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:47:24 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. jayne burleson
129 Guinea Rd
Moyock, NC 27958-9288
jaymeburleson@gmail.com

From: [David Henne](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:49:08 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. David Henne
12 Birch Pond Dr
Shallotte, NC 28470-6052
davidhenne@hotmail.com

From: [Susan Matthews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:57:48 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Matthews
225 Quail Trl
Saluda, NC 28773-8855
skmatthews@rsnet.org

From: [TROY KIRSCHNER](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:15:02 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. TROY KIRSCHNER
7821 Bergstrom Dr
Raleigh, NC 27616-3442
ACESpaceKrafters@att.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Vivian Manduca Marquez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:15:15 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Vivian Manduca Marquez
314 Pebble Ln
Jacksonville, NC 28546-7929
acuvivy@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bailey Mizell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:18:38 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bailey Mizell
901 N King St
Windsor, NC 27983-6828
baileymiz829@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jean Reilein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:24:44 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jean Reilein
5219 Woodrun On Tillery
Mount Gilead, NC 27306-9593
jeanreilein@gmail.com

From: lisa.lazarus
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:29:29 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. lisa lazarus
205 Lake Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-9302
lisa.lazarus@gmail.com

From: [Tricia Garrett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:41:18 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tricia Garrett
3118 Campbell Rd
Raleigh, NC 27606-4424
tricia5919@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Krista McKinney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:51:36 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Krista McKinney
804 Saint Andrews Dr Apt J
Wilmington, NC 28412-8353
krista.mckinney@ymail.com

From: [Heidi Seymour](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:51:37 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heidi Seymour
107 Stratford Rd
Greenville, NC 27858-4410
seymourh13@students.ecu.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Dana Arbuckle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:04:06 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dana Arbuckle
212 Brambleton Ct
Winston Salem, NC 27106-4961
Luvstmarys@aol.com

From: [Bobbie Bingham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:09:46 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bobbie Bingham
110 E Bessemer Ave
Greensboro, NC 27401-1414
bbinghamlpc@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Haley Marlowe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:13:03 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Haley Marlowe
97 Peach St
Clarkton, NC 28433-9629
bripoonscatpoop@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [mitzi collins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:21:15 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. mitzi collins
700 Norman Rd
Mount Airy, NC 27030-9364
mitzicollins@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Carol Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:22:11 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carol Smith
PO Box 832
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949-0832
carol.smith074@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [maria roloff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:33:42 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. maria roloff
37 Eden Glen Rd
Black Mountain, NC 28711-7721
marirol250@gmail.com

From: [Shelly McGahee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:36:36 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shelly McGahee
5705 Davis Mill Rd
Greensboro, NC 27406-8102
mcgah9@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hubert Sylvester](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:47:17 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hubert Sylvester
210 cable rd
East flat rock, NC 28726
vero.beach_bum@yahoo.com

From: [Alexis Henderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:48:40 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alexis Henderson
12027 Ulsten Ln
Huntersville, NC 28078-3760
alexis_luv_n0@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Daye](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:52:07 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Daye
2015 Bertha St
Winston Salem, NC 27107-1315
jennifer.daye1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [felicia millilen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:27:16 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. felicia millilen
729 Bragg Dr
Wilmington, NC 28412-0969
cricket.flea65@gmail.com

From: [Bridgette Pace](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:29:08 AM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bridgette Pace
2325 Bear Creek Rd
Leicester, NC 28748-6324
PikaKetchum1234@gmail.com

From: [Carol Swing](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:58:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Swing

223 Dula Springs Road
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Chelsea Barnes](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: NC needs a strong set of rules to combat carbon emissions
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:11:40 PM

Dear Ms. Burluson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers state incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low-income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this program, but the draft rules do not include the program. Please address this and include language supporting this program in your final rule.

Thank you.

Chelsea Barnes
2505 TRYON PINES DR
RALEIGH, NC 27603

From: [Jennifer Rennicks](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:11:49 PM

Dear Ms Burluson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Jennifer Rennicks
221 Aurora Dr
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Deborah Stephenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:12:08 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Deborah Stephenson
11428 Nc 24 27 Hwy
Carthage, NC 28327-8218
dpsweddings@gmail.com

From: [Christine Voss](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:12:22 PM

Dear Ms Burlison,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Christine Voss
106 Locust Ct.
Pine Knoll Shores, NC 28512

From: [Pat Moore](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:12:35 PM

Dear Ms Burlison,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Pat Moore
1571 queens rd w
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Mary Cerra](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:16:02 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mary Cerra
2911 Benton Chase St NW
Concord, NC 28027-3432
zx9abi@hotmail.com

From: [Jennifer Presley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:20:28 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Presley
Riggs road lot 75
Hubert, NC 28539
jjpresley_09@hotmail.com

From: [kandi trantham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:20:41 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kandi trantham
100 Cajun Ln
Waynesville, NC 28786-9714
kanditrantham71@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jeanne Cina](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:22:09 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeanne Cina
4101 Hoffmeister Dr
Waxhaw, NC 28173-7045
jeannecina@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alma Victoriano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:28:08 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alma Victoriano
9605 Fir Knoll Rd
Mint Hill, NC 28227-4142
almav151@gmail.com

From: [Robert Gross](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:28:16 PM

Dear Ms Burlison,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Robert Gross
160 Ohle Rd
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Perry Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:34:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Perry Smith

414 N 6th St
Wilmington, NC 28401

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Patricia Lyn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:43:12 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Lyn
10 Pond St
Arden, NC 28704-8500
plyn26@gmail.com

From: [Jennie Hostetler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:43:43 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennie Hostetler
129 Belle Boyd Dr
Raeford, NC 28376-6731
jungostetler@gmail.com

From: drusilla.blattermann
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:46:01 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. drusilla blattermann
3003 Windsor Chase Dr
Matthews, NC 28105-0776
dblattermann@carolina.rr.com

From: [Linda Fowler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:46:17 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linda Fowler
2621 Old Nc 10 Apt B
Hillsborough, NC 27278-8650
candlhack@aol.com

From: [Christina Modesto](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:48:13 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Christina Modesto
116 Eisenhower Ave
Greensboro, NC 27406-2916
christinamodesto89@yahoo.com

From: [joe zinich](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:49:00 PM

Dear Ms Burlison,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

joe zinich
306 Mountain laurel
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robin Bateman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:53:29 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robin Bateman
11514 Helmond Way
Raleigh, NC 27617-4421
aquagirl.robin@gmail.com

From: [Rebecca Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:55:08 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rebecca Greene
1814 Fillmore Dr
Creedmoor, NC 27522-7029
becca00053@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Michelle Velcich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:55:49 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michelle Velcich
1100 Falls Creek Ln
Charlotte, NC 28209-4725
mvelcich1230@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Dawn Berliner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:58:11 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dawn Berliner
4217 13th St
Nags Head, NC 27959-9221
dawnberliner@gmail.com

From: [Jody McClung](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: NC needs a strong set of rules to combat carbon emissions
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:01:32 PM

Dear Ms. Burleson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers state incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low-income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this program, but the draft rules do not include the program. Please address this and include language supporting this program in your final rule.

Thank you.

Jody McClung
103 Waters Edge Drive
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Cassie Gavin](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) draft rules upcoming public hearings - speaker sign up question?
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:05:28 PM

Thank you for this information Joelle; that is helpful. I'd guess for the Charlotte hearing a safe bet is that at least 100 attendees will be there - and some portion will wish to speak. I'm less sure about numbers for Raleigh and Wilmington.

Thanks again, Cassie

On Mon, Dec 7, 2015 at 12:45 PM, Burluson, Joelle <joelle.burluson@ncdenr.gov> wrote:

Hi Ms. Gavin:

We will have attendees sign in (name, whom they represent, and whether they wish to speak) as they come in to the hearing and indicate if they would like to speak at the hearing. Speakers present in the order that they sign up. It would be helpful if speakers can arrive a bit early given that there is the potential for there to be a greater number of speakers on this topic than we typically have at hearings. There is not a predetermined number limit on speakers and the hearing officer will determine the amount of time allowed per speaker at the hearing depending on how many people there are that sign up to speak. In the past for large hearings I have seen about 3 minutes per speaker.

I hope this is helpful. How many speakers are you expecting from your organization at the three hearings?

Have a nice day.

From: Cassie Gavin [mailto:cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org]
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2015 2:09 PM
To: Burluson, Joelle <joelle.burluson@ncdenr.gov>
Subject: 111(d) draft rules upcoming public hearings - speaker sign up question?

Good afternoon Joelle,

I'm reaching out to see if you might have any info on plans for the process of speaker sign ups at upcoming public hearings on the draft state rules to comply with 111(d) (Standards of Performance for Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Emissions for Existing Electric Utility Generating Units (EGUs) Under Clean Air Act Section)?

Will members of the public who wish to speak need to arrive early to sign up? How much time will speakers be allowed? And will the number of speakers be limited to a predetermined number?

Thanks for anything you can share; I realize that the EMC may still be working these details out.

Thanks!

--

Cassie Gavin, Director of Government Relations

Sierra Club - NC Chapter

cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org

19 W. Hargett Street, Suite 210

Raleigh, NC 27601

[919.833.8467 x 104](tel:919.833.8467)

--

Cassie Gavin, Director of Government Relations

Sierra Club - NC Chapter

cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org

19 W. Hargett Street, Suite 210

Raleigh, NC 27601

919.833.8467 x 104

From: kellie.truett
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:08:05 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kellie truett
1678 Lowell Bethesda Rd
Gastonia, NC 28056-7348
kelliedst357992@gmail.com

From: [Rita Frost](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: NC needs a strong set of rules to combat carbon emissions
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:30:05 PM

Dear Ms. Burleson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers state incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low-income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this program, but the draft rules do not include the program. Please address this and include language supporting this program in your final rule.

Thank you.

Rita Frost
68 Craggy Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tiffany Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:36:35 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tiffany Adams
4384 Terrapin Track Rd
Washington, NC 27889-6925
nickynicole8301994@gmail.com

From: [Doris Whitfield](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:44:55 PM

Dear Ms Burluson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Doris Whitfield
109 Renwick Ct.
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Flavia Crovesi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 1:55:35 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Flavia Crovesi
100 Main Street
Charlotte, NC 28176
flavia11@hotmail.com

From: [Webb Gardner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:11:18 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Webb Gardner
1300 Leclair St
Chapel Hill, NC 27517-3033
webb@unc.edu

From: [Lauren Self](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:14:33 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lauren Self
8715 Parkland Cir
Charlotte, NC 28227-0623
Selfrose3634@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Paula Mazzoccante](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:19:33 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Paula Mazzoccante
5422 Sun Coast Dr
Wilmington, NC 28411-6514
pmcarina@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Welborn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:20:50 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rebecca Welborn
3 Hodge Ct
Greensboro, NC 27407-6760
Beckaway@yahoo.com

From: [Jeanine Kimmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:20:55 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeanine Kimmer
3128 Joyner Rd
Harmony, NC 28634-9473
jeanine.kimmer@gmail.com

From: [SHARON SHIBLEY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:33:30 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. SHARON SHIBLEY
2401 Sandare Dr
Zebulon, NC 27597-7655
NIKKI27616@YAHOO.COM

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ursula Campos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 2:36:08 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ursula Campos
79 Clearwater Harbour
Sanford, NC 27332-6601
uhcmapos@yahoo.com

From: [Carole Larivee](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 3:32:07 PM

Dear Ms Burleson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Carole Larivee
126 Liberty Church Road
Waynesville, NC 28785

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robin Mosley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 3:43:09 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robin Mosley
509 One Half W Henry St
Belmont, NC 28012
r_j_andc@yahoo.com

From: [Nick Foley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:18:33 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nick Foley
3425 Gloucester Ln
Greensboro, NC 27410-2415
nafmoomash@yahoo.com

From: chandra.sherrill
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:18:37 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. chandra sherrill
1720 Village Pl
Winston Salem, NC 27127-5820
sherrillchandra1@gmail.com

From: tammy.livengood
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:20:44 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. tammy livengood
175 Rosemont Rd
Salisbury, NC 28146-9791
sassypeach66@gmail.com

From: [MARIA MARROQUIN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:27:59 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. MARIA MARROQUIN
227 Zion Church Rd
Mount Olive, NC 28365-9020
M1A2R3Y4MARY@YAHOO.COM

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sara Vernon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 4:44:13 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sara Vernon
619 Atwater St
Burlington, NC 27215-3601
saravernon@me.com

From: rhianna.collins
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:08:13 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. rhianna collins
5603 Rush Springs Ct
Summerfield, NC 27358-9747
collinsrhiannam@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [lisa willingham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:08:23 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. lisa willingham
2240 Little Peak Creek Rd
Jefferson, NC 28640-9075
mlwillingham@skybest.com

From: [Stephanie Franklin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:12:13 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephanie Franklin
15b Cedarwood Dr
Asheville, NC 28803-9666
sfrankli@unca.edu

From: [Hannah Carothers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:17:11 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah Carothers
3590 Stimpson Dr
Pfafftown, NC 27040-8609
hannah.carothers@yahoo.com

From: [Mary Goodkind](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:36:39 PM

Dear Ms Burluson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Mary Goodkind
23 Ridgefield Pl
Biltmore Forest, NC 28803

From: [Jane Laping](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 5:46:12 PM

Dear Ms Burluson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Jane Laping
14 Concord Place
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lauren Zepp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 6:56:54 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lauren Zepp
6222 Ruffin Ln
Conover, NC 28613-7837
margi101@yahoo.com

From: [Melissa Sheppard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:05:26 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Sheppard
4927 Legion Rd
Hope Mills, NC 28348-1987
mls1984@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Superior Allah](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:12:17 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Superior Allah
2060 Ayrsley Town Blvd
Charlotte, NC 28273-4222
selfuniversal1@aol.com

From: katrina.lazar
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:14:58 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. katrina lazar
4204 Maple Tree Ct
Colfax, NC 27235-8705
katlazar11@aol.com

From: [Henry Ferzola](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:35:37 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Henry Ferzola
6077 Blue Ray Dr
Leland, NC 28451-9495
hferzola3@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lauren Walters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:38:45 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lauren Walters
5516 Indian Brook Dr
Matthews, NC 28104-6058
jkdandme@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Tait](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 7:44:20 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cynthia Tait
4185 Appleton Hollow Ave NW
Concord, NC 28027-4502
cynl.tait@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [NANCY MAINES](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:03:47 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. NANCY MAINES
401 Colington Dr
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948-9568
Burkekatz1@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kierra Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:04:46 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kierra Williams
6014 Albiza Dr
Harrisburg, NC 28075-8373
kierrawilliams0723@gmail.com

From: [Sandra Holt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:06:31 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandra Holt
1944 Conners Ct
Burlington, NC 27215-8056
stum.sandra@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [vin freeman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:12:33 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. vin freeman
5521 Vista View Ct
Raleigh, NC 27612-8701
morgantowntacos@gmail.com

From: [Elisha Schwabauer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:13:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elisha Schwabauer

1420 James St
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tina Kessinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:18:23 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tina Kessinger
6 Waters Rd
Asheville, NC 28805-1334
tkessinger@gmail.com

From: [Kristin Hanrahan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:22:57 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kristin Hanrahan
3707 Tiger Lily Ct
Southport, NC 28461-9097
kristinhanrahan@hotmail.com

From: [Jessika M. Ward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:23:16 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessika M. Ward
8201 Lantana Ln
Wilmington, NC 28411-8312
J_salas@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Crystal Schlitts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:23:19 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Crystal Schlitts
133 Wood Ct
Winston Salem, NC 27107-8990
knockoutgbs2013@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alex Hartman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:40:07 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alex Hartman
2932 Eagle Crest Ln
Fayetteville, NC 28306-8168
alexhartmanblog@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Vianca Sanchez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:44:08 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Vianca Sanchez
9892 hwy 705
Eagle Springs, NC 27242
vianky08@gmail.com

From: [Terri Murphy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:51:17 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Terri Murphy
817 Palmers Grove Church Rd
Hillsborough, NC 27278-9442
terrimurphy817@earthlink.net

From: [Sharon Lipe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 8:55:22 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sharon Lipe
8808 Webb Rd
Stanfield, NC 28163-9578
zappal1@msn.com

From: [Leslie Stafford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:16:38 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leslie Stafford
1208 Longleaf Dr
Southport, NC 28461-7703
coastalyoga.om@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kim Bird](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:23:07 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kim Bird
204 Bennington Pkwy
Durham, NC 27713-8668
kimbird246@gmail.com

From: zoia.regensburger
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:40:51 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. zoia regensburger
3721 Koomen Ln
Raleigh, NC 27606-4437
zoia.c.r.36@gmail.com

From: [Millie Allen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:51:37 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Millie Allen
1000 Acorn Ct
Knightdale, NC 27545-9293
millmill922@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ali Bremer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 9:52:02 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ali Bremer
4005 Wright Ave
Charlotte, NC 28211-2474
alisonbremer@yahoo.com

From: [Jane Dunkelberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:11:05 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jane Dunkelberg
100 Dunks End Rd
Brevard, NC 28712-6558
janewm@embarqmail.com

From: [Alexandra Akat](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:15:27 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alexandra Akat
18416 Harbor Light Blvd
Cornelius, NC 28031-7795
alexandraakat0104@gmail.com

From: [Rosemarie Sawdon](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:33:19 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Sawdon
100 Elderberry Lane
Rougemont, NC 27572

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [francine keiter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:48:10 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. francine keiter
517 Riverwind Dr
Hendersonville, NC 28739-7307
fkeiter@att.net

From: [Margaret Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 10:51:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Mitchell

1555 Ferrells Bridge Rd
Louisburg, NC 27549

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Margo MacIntyre](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:15:00 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Margo MacIntyre
215 Moonridge Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-9384
margalo215@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [jamie whitewolf clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 07, 2015 11:23:25 PM

Dec 7, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. jamie whitewolf clark
1844 20th Avenue Dr NE
Hickory, NC 28601-0603
juggafur691@gmail.com

From: kisha.smith
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 1:43:02 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kisha smith
607 N Miller Ave
Statesville, NC 28677-3737
esmith1987@yahoo.com

From: [Judith Poole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 4:41:32 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Judith Poole
9010 Highland Hills Dr NE
Leland, NC 28451-8322
jpoole25@bellout.net

From: [Joan Kelly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 5:12:39 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joan Kelly
2112 Ballingarry Dr
Statesville, NC 28625-8292
joane.kelly@hse.ie

From: [Natalie unkiewicz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 7:11:56 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Natalie unkiewicz
201 Friday Dr
Wilmington, NC 28411-9637
nunkiewicz@yahoo.com

From: [skinner skip](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 8:22:40 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

skinner skip

3552 tump wilkins road
Stem, NC 27581

From: [Patricia Hayes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 8:34:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Hayes

147 Spring Hill Lane
Mill Spring, NC 28756

8288948662

From: [John Sintef](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 8:43:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Sintef

5223 botany bay dr
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Nick Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 8:43:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nick Davis

240 Shady Grove Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Mellissa Mader](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 8:58:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense. Even the Ukraine are up and going with their energy programs at 95% forward. I agree -you must start joining the Global Actionaries to pledge health solutions. It is unconscionable able to take the risk with drilling oil in NC Seashore.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.
Melissa Mader
21317 Cornelius Street
Cornelius, NC 28031

Sincerely,

Mellissa Mader

21317 Cornelius St
Cornelius, NC 28031

7049879647

From: [Meredith Binder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:14:59 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Meredith Binder
1100 Andover Rd
Charlotte, NC 28211-1934
mewvet@yahoo.com

From: [Jeff Carr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:15:28 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeff Carr
3101 Seth Williams Blvd
Camp Lejeune, NC 28547-1403
jeffrey.carr@am.dodea.edu

From: [Catherine Verna](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:16:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Verna

1070 Anjanette Lane
Creedmoor, NC 27522

From: [Patrick Carney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:28:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patrick Carney

6014 Beech Cove Ln
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Anne Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:44:09 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anne Green
312 Old Dutch Rd W
Indian Trail, NC 28079-8761
abgreen@mail.com

From: [Paula Galwith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:12:34 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Paula Galwith
1316 Holland Hills Dr
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526-7726
pgalwith1@netzero.com

From: [Sue Schneider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:17:09 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sue Schneider
215 Highview Dr
Youngsville, NC 27596-7910
suz.schneider@hotmail.com

From: [Julia Murphy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:45:41 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julia Murphy
2 Carter Crest Ln
Fletcher, NC 28732-9721
julia.murphy720@gmail.com

From: [Edward Kensicki](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:49:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Kensicki

3728 Congeniality Way
Raleigh, NC 27613

9198455005

From: [Cathy Hennessy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 11:17:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Hennessy

94 Inoli Circle
Brevard, NC 28712

828-877-4961

From: [Sarah Burns](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 11:42:23 AM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah Burns
4402 Harvard Ave
Greensboro, NC 27407-1714
sarahjgolo@gmail.com

From: [Marcy Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 12:40:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcy Jackson

157 Shadow Run Road
Hendersonville, NC 28793

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Leslie Rowland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 12:48:57 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leslie Rowland
185 Glendale Ave
Asheville, NC 28803-1337
habitstdesignstudios@yahoo.com

From: [Martin Hazeltine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 1:01:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Hazeltine

7614 Dunbar Dr SW
Sunset Beach, NC 28468

910-575-2546

From: [Lisa Styron](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 1:17:08 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Styron
151 Hull Ct
Newport, NC 28570-9424
lisa.styron@gmail.com

From: [Starr DiGiacomo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 1:25:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Starr DiGiacomo

Bearwallow Cemetery Rd
Gerton, NC 28735

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Towler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 1:47:47 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephanie Towler
1233 Morningside Meadow Ln
Matthews, NC 28104-8553
stephtowler@knights.ucf.edu

From: [Scott Bornkessel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 2:14:35 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Scott Bornkessel
112 Branchside Ln
Holly Springs, NC 27540-6838
scottbmaps@icloud.com

From: [Eleni Chouvarda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 2:21:28 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Eleni Chouvarda
255 Old 19-23
CANDLER, NC 28715
dr_aristea@yahoo.gr

From: [Michael Collins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 2:25:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Collins

180 Mountainside Ln
Boone, MA 28607

From: [Jason Parsley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 2:41:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jason Parsley

4913 Knob View Court
Winston-Salem, NC 27104

13367936903

From: [Tina Tinsley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 2:44:28 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tina Tinsley
213 Clayton James Rd
Jacksonville, NC 28540-9587
tinaheather@charter.net

From: [doug franklin](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 2:45:07 PM

Dear Ms Burleson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

doug franklin
195 D. C. lane
hayesville, NC 28904

From: [doug franklin](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 2:45:14 PM

Dear Ms Burleson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

doug franklin
195 D. C. lane
hayesville, NC 28904

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Keith Saunders](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 4:22:18 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Keith Saunders
8000 Ives Dr
Oak Ridge, NC 27310-8727
keith.saunders.2001@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nickey barn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 4:25:35 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nickey barn
201 Amy Ln
Reidsville, NC 27320-0690
kiowagordon325@gmail.com

From: [Zach Whitson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 4:44:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zach Whitson

182 Normandy Road
Mooresville, 28117

6502699726

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Monica Bueno](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 4:50:30 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Monica Bueno
1734 Farningham Ln
Burlington, NC 27215-6890
monibueno2@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Bendfeldt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 4:55:22 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephanie Bendfeldt
14120 Lissadell Cir
Charlotte, NC 28277-3137
stephaniebendfeldt@hotmail.com

From: [caryn sumic](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 5:13:05 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. caryn sumic
PO Box 1067
Hildebran, NC 28637-1067
gothicwedding@hotmail.com

From: [Terry Pope](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 5:21:31 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Terry Pope
393 Lakeside Dr
Aberdeen, NC 28315-5714
tbudpope@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hamza Raja](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 5:23:31 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hamza Raja
613 Belmont Dr
Archdale, NC 27263-2105
hraja7@yahoo.com

From: [Ania Syska](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 5:43:40 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ania Syska
1731 Sanridge Wind Ln
Charlotte, NC 28262-3462
asgymnast18@gmail.com

From: [Ashlee Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 5:45:14 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ashlee Adams
247 Bishop Falls Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587-1314
ashlee@thesutherland.com

From: [Randy Whittington](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 6:21:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Clean energy is the way to go.

Sincerely,

Randy Whittington

83 Linden Ave
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [T.S](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 6:43:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

T S

1 ascot point circle
#206
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Clair Brake](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 6:55:18 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Clair Brake
537 Cane River School Rd
Burnsville, NC 28714-8104
cbird_3476@yahoo.com

From: [Scott Jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 7:13:32 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Scott Jordan
264 Wildwood Rd
Rutherfordton, NC 28139-6617
wscottjordan@gotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Austin Outlaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 7:29:47 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Austin Outlaw
21180 Crawford Lake Rd
Laurel Hill, NC 28351-7508
aoutlaw@mail.com

From: [Justin Grebe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 7:30:11 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Justin Grebe
297 Brook Glen Dr
Mooresville, NC 28115-7177
ecodieseldynamics@gmail.com

From: [Mary Maness](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 7:43:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Maness

111 Walnut Creek Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534

9197789859

From: [Kristina Hartwig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 7:54:22 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kristina Hartwig
127 Lilly Ave
Salisbury, NC 28144-2447
krubyleah@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sarah Roseboro](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 7:58:37 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah Roseboro
12847 Planters Row Dr
Charlotte, NC 28278-0024
sarahroseboro@gmail.com

From: barbara.dougherty
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 8:13:29 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. barbara.dougherty
1230 Ridgebury Ter
Matthews, NC 28105-8800
docbarb65@mail.org

From: [Ross Marchese](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 8:16:53 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ross Marchese
203 N Cannon Blvd
Kannapolis, NC 28083-3820
oldskoolrocker24@aol.com

From: [Amy Harrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:15:21 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amy Harrison
4025 Forest Oaks Ln
Mebane, NC 27302-9613
amitgood@hotmail.com

From: [Jeannie Hoppenjans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:43:23 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeannie Hoppenjans
7206 Box Car Ct
Mint Hill, NC 28227-1462
jhoppen@gmail.com

From: [Donald Hughes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:44:00 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donald Hughes
181 Pine Tree Rd
Murphy, NC 28906-5162
donald.hughes89@yahoo.com

From: [Brianna Greene](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 9:44:59 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brianna Greene
1326 Bills Creek Rd
Lake Lure, NC 28746-9321
bgreene1990@yahoo.com

From: [Tracey Crowe](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:05:22 PM

Dear Ms Burlison,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Tracey Crowe
3713 Chevington Road
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Erica Jimenez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:17:54 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Erica Jimenez
213 Summerlake Dr SW
Concord, NC 28025-5769
ebspears7@gmail.com

From: [Lee Ann Brumble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:45:28 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lee Ann Brumble
1628 Memorial Dr
Burlington, NC 27215-3592
lbrumble@triad.rr.com

From: [Sarah Anthony](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:46:17 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah Anthony
1383 Glen Oaks Rd
Clemmons, NC 27012-9074
chasa200@earthlink.net

From: [KnowWho Services](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:47:36 PM

Dear NC Division of Air Quality,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

North Carolina should build upon our state's booming clean energy sector to generate clean, renewable energy that will create jobs and investment in our communities. Unfortunately, the plan put forth by the Department of Environmental Quality does not contain any new clean energy requirements.

Further, our state should take advantage of energy efficiency as the least cost compliance option for reducing carbon pollution and, at the same time, help consumers reduce their energy consumption and power bills. I highly encourage DEQ to revise the proposed Clean Power Plan to include clean energy, like solar and wind, as well as energy efficiency.

I urge you to take a close look at what has happened in California. With an economy growing 50% faster than the national average, California shows it is possible to increase jobs AND cut harmful emissions-reducing per capita energy use and saving citizens money through energy efficiency and cleaner cars.

Thank you and I hope the McCrory administration revises its plan to better protect our communities and make significant carbon dioxide reductions.

Sincerely,

Stephen Boletchek
1106 Elbury Dr
Apex, NC 27502-
sboletchek@gmail.com
9193879588

From: [Donna Shawver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 10:49:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Shawver

704 Charlotte Ave
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

From: [Jane Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 11:13:41 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jane Jones
6801 Queensberry Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226-7652
janejones2392@gmail.com

From: [Sherwin Ting](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 11:16:11 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sherwin Ting
8 Fieldcrest Ct
Durham, NC 27713-8110
sherwin.ting@gmail.com

From: [Ryan Hardin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 11:17:34 PM

Dec 8, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ryan Hardin
601 S College Rd
Wilmington, NC 28403-3201
rmh2363@uncw.edu

From: [Gerald Roybal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 11:27:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gerald Roybal

2452 Tantelon Pl
Winston-Salem, NC 27127

3365753404

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Judy Palmieri](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:17:23 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Judy Palmieri
9553 White Carriage Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587-6722
tjpalmieri90@gmail.com

From: [Fenn Humphrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 3:14:29 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Fenn Humphrey
1000 Spring Garden St
Greensboro, NC 27412-5068
endangeredbydesign@gmail.com

From: [Raleigh Stout](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 4:53:31 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raleigh Stout

1001 YANCEYVILLE ST APT A
GREENSBORO, NC 27405

From: [Becki Ashford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 8:45:33 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Becki Ashford
311 Broad St
Roxboro, NC 27573-5061
gdabfa@gmail.com

From: [Desiree Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 8:46:33 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Desiree Jackson
5185 Asia Chanel Dr
Winston Salem, NC 27105-9815
alohamoon2169@gmail.com

From: [Kathy Correa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:51:49 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathy Correa
4334 Caney Fork Rd
Cullowhee, NC 28723-8237
pfelegante@frontier.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cynthia Miles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:52:57 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cynthia Miles
2765 Scotts Hill Loop Rd
Wilmington, NC 28411-6716
milesclc@hotmail.com

From: [Hal Trufan](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:10:23 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Hal Trufan
6808 Old Forge Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lanita Breeze](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:19:40 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lanita Breeze
546 Flat River Church Rd
Roxboro, NC 27574-7882
lanitabreeze82@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Maddie Brumble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:21:58 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maddie Brumble
519 Laurel Hill Dr
Burlington, NC 27215-5315
brumble@triad.rr.com

From: [Eliza Laubach](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:50:07 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Eliza Laubach
356 Buckner Branch
Mars Hill, NC 28754

From: [Julie Hansen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:10:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julie Hansen

3340 oaklyn springs dr
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Lee Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:10:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lee Andrews

1468 Alamance Church Rd
Greensboro, NC 27406

From: [Lorelei Nemcik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:10:10 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lorelei Nemcik

605 Runningbrook Lane
Rural Hall, NC 27045

8653135367

From: [Anthony Gianoulos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:10:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Dear sirs

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Gianoulos

1810 Conley Rd Lot 19
Morganton, NC 28655

8282342329

From: [Suzan Parrish](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:10:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzan Parrish

713 E. Boyd St Apt. A
Maiden, NC 28650

8284614265

From: [Diane Beger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:11:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Beger

918 Balcombe rd
Rocky Point, NC 28457

9102002030

From: [Steve O'Neil](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:11:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve O'Neil

134E. Dogwood Ln
Pisgah Forest, NC 28768

8286068939

From: [Valerie Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:12:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Valerie Walker

413 Hurston Circle
Charlotte, NC 28208

From: [Victor Coggins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:12:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victor Coggins

950 Bruce Coggins rd
Sanford, NC 27332

From: [Stephen McConnell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:12:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen McConnell

96 Chestnut Street
Mars Hill, NC 28754

From: [Kimberly Hart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Hart

123 Robin Dr
Advance, NC 27006

From: [Tammy Dudley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tammy Dudley

4211 Murphy School Rd
DURHAM, NC 27705

9194752041

From: [Robyn Cloin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robyn Cloin

1206 Crows Nest Ct
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Elizabeth Mathews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:32 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Mathews

5100 Tybrook Court Apt 101
Raleigh, NC 27612

9196287338

From: [Marl Hendriksen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

My family, friends, and neighbors support the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marl Hendriksen

1108 Doverstone
Matthews, NC 28104

From: [Thomas Allen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Allen

1305 Cardinal Pl
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [William Copley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Copley

1409 wagon ct
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Carey Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:36 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carey Scott

7810 Fawnbrook Drive
Hillsborough, 27278

9194773788

From: [Junior Wilkinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Junior Wilkinson

196 Westview rd
Carthage, 28327

6097060024

From: [Jerri Andrews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:38 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jerri Andrews

2235 N NC Hwy 87 Lot 23
Elon, NC 27244

3365497605

From: [Wendy upright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy upright

183 old mill rd
Penrose, NC 28766

8284214613

From: [Emily Shaules](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:40 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emily Shaules

92 B Louisiana Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Viral Joshi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:40 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Viral Joshi

4 Starwood Lane
Durham, NC 27712

From: [Maura Egan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:41 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maura Egan

1329 woodmanor dr
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Sara Vernon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:46 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed and dismayed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. It is your duty to protect the beautiful natural resources that have existed in this state for millions of years. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Don't sell your soul to the highest bidder.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Vernon

619 Atwater Street
Burlington, NC 27215

From: [Wendie Arison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:13:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendie Arison

11 St. Georges Drive
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [Kimberly Nelson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:14:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Nelson

2355 Metcalf Drive
Sherrills Ford, NC 28673

From: [Jim Amerault](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:14:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jim Amerault

9209 hunters Greene dr
Zebulon, NC 27597

From: [Robert Finch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:14:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Finch

1414 Carthage St apt 15
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Dan Harvey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:15:15 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dan Harvey
35 Henson Cove Rd
Canton, NC 28716-9010
danhar9@gmail.com

From: [Cathy Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:15:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Moore

321 Camellia Drive
Washington, NC 27880

From: [Blair Walter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:15:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Blair Walter

4309 Timberwood Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

919-880-3211

From: [Grace Egly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:15:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grace Egly

12401 Glanlivet Way
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Jessica Hadlock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:15:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Hadlock

1525 Luther St
Charlotte, NC 28204

15404215862

From: [Jacqueline9 White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:16:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline9 White

1231 chronicle ave
Gastonia, NC 28052

7276471876

From: [Brian Keck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:16:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the EPA's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards REDUCING our carbon emissions.

I am VERY DISAPPOINTED that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail. The DEQ is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for us, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please DO THE RIGHT THING and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Keck

40 Pinedale Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Cynthia Bernett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:16:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Bernett

10636 Rippling Stream Dr NW
Concord, NC 28027

980-248-1734

From: [Kathy Rayle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:16:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Rayle

15 Borden Ave
Wilmington, NC 28403

910-612-9955

From: [Ashley Bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:16:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ashley Bailey

714 Industrial Ave NE
Wilson, NC 27893

From: [Mr Murphy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:16:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mr Murphy

PO Box 36
Nashville, NC 27856

From: [Annie McCann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:18:27 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Annie McCann
1326 Bills Creek Rd
Lake Lure, NC 28746-9321
annieapplchks@aol.com

From: [S. frazier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:19:33 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

S. frazier

1220 W. Northwood St
greensboro, NC 27408

3367728889

From: [Rea Lachney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:21:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rea Lachney

2209 Wilmore Dr
Charlotte, NC 28203

7049654916

From: [Ben Inskeep](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:21:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ben Inskeep

3220 Shire Ln
Apt K
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Bel Purcell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:24:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bel Purcell

3025 Rice Rd
Matthews, NC 28105

From: [Penny Hooper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:25:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Penny Hooper

PO Box 186
273 East City Rd
Smyrna, NC 28579

252-7292521

From: [Corinne Yip](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:25:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Corinne Yip

5128 Nicholas creek circle
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Mary Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:28:08 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Lane

3904 Brandt Lake Ct
Greensboro, NC 27410

3362024869

From: [Pam Alterman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:31:43 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pam Alterman

308 Frenchmans bluff dr
Cary, 27513

From: [Angelique Dean](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:31:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angelique Dean

2740 NC 126
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [LD Kranz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:31:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

LD Kranz

Pobox 13
Whittier, NC 28789

8287365971

From: [Jana Albritton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:31:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jana Albritton

7001 Wax Myrtle Court
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [Jill Solow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:32:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Solow

3021 Heathstead Place Unit E
Charlotte, NC 28210

7049753300

From: [Cindy Collins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:32:14 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Collins

PO Box 1625
Sparta, NC 28675

3365291184

From: [Debra Gilliam](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:32:56 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debra Gilliam

3700 Stonecrest Ct
Raleigh, NC 27612

9198054238

From: [Katherine Crothers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:35:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

At this point, given the environmental lack of stewardship in NC and poor air quality and heavily-polluting, gridlocked "infrastructure" in Charlotte area, I would not want my grandchildren to leave the more environmentally healthy State they now live in.

It is a shame because we had hoped that our daughter and her husband would consider re-locating to Charlotte area..but with asthma in the family and award-winning public education, and cleaner water and air where they live; we in NC can't compete at this time.

Please at least raise our environmental standards of behavior for now. Perhaps in a few years, given stewardship, our schools here can compete as well!! For now, NC won't be getting an engineer who makes state of the art medical equipment or an award-winning tech savvy optimization and marketing specialist. :(How many more educated, productive transplants will NC continue to miss out on? Please raise our standards of expected environmental stewardship.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Crothers

273 Castles Gate Drive
Mooresville, 28117

From: [Mark Halverson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:37:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Halverson

13002 peacock lane
Charlotte, NC 28215

7048779195

From: [Abbie Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:40:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Abbie Wilson

106 Chatburn Cir
Cary, 27513

From: [Rachel Scharfenberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:42:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Scharfenberg

2818 Crest Street
Durham, NC 27705

9286790808

From: [Terah Graybeal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:43:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terah Graybeal

210 seacrest drive
Wrightsville beach, NC 28480

From: [Lincoln Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:43:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lincoln Miller

1121 US Hwy 21
Hamptonville, NC 27020

3364687515

From: [Frances Pinkston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:43:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frances Pinkston

P.O.Box 1748
Weaverville, 28787

828 319 7766

From: [Ethan Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:45:57 AM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ethan Campbell
3106 N Main St
Tarboro, NC 27886-1900
aparkedprius@gmail.com

From: [Abby Hancock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:48:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Abby Hancock

165 Simpson Rd
Barco, NC 27917

From: [Mae Arant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:49:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mae Arant

106 Morganscliff
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Joe Horn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:50:04 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Horn

PO Box 68
Woodleaf, NC 27054

From: [Cindy Bunch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:51:47 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Bunch

PO Box 38
Marshallberg, NC 28553

2523422771

From: [Charles Froelich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:55:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Froelich

204 Michigan Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

8282061774

From: [Vesta Burnett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:55:31 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vesta Burnett

pob 2246
banner elk, NC 28604

8288988111

From: [Karen Lewis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:58:02 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Lewis

4005 Stoney Creek Church Road
Elon, NC 27244

From: [Darragh Fertitta-Boutis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:58:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darragh Fertitta-Boutis

801 Gordon Ct
Pilot Mtn, NC 27041

3362933637

From: [Tracy Warfield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:04:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy Warfield

142 east canvasback dr
Currituck, NC 27929

From: [Sarah Corcoran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:19:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Corcoran

2332 western pk Ln
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Jeffrey Egerton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:26:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Egerton

207 Sedalia Road
Gibsonville, NC 27249

From: [Carol Nye](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:27:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Nye

1715 Pharview Dr
Ocean Isle Beach, NC 28469

9105407324

From: [Emily Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:31:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emily Scott

352 Sandhill Drive
Goldsboro, NC 27530

From: [Kathy LeFevre](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:31:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy LeFevre

605 Jones Ferry Rd. Apt. V V-3
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Eileen Litchfield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:34:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Litchfield

6300 Terrace Verde DR.. UNIT 116
Raleigh, NC 27604

9197714133

From: [Joy Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:38:14 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joy Smith

26 peace street
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [BILLY TAYLOR](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:43:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

BILLY TAYLOR

9315 VIRGILINA RD
ROXBORO, NC 27574

From: [Virginia Connor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:48:08 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Virginia Connor
2178 Sharon Ln
Charlotte, NC 28211-3736
virginiaconnor@carolina.rr.com

From: [Ashlee Bonham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:49:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ashlee Bonham

Po box 341
Knightdale, NC 27545

From: [Michael Hall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:49:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Hall

1200 Memory Ln
Monroe, NC 28112

From: [Josie Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:55:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Josie Smith

478 West Hills Drive
Henderson, NC 27537

From: [Patrick Carney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:01:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patrick Carney

6014 Beech Cove Ln
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Sandra Wheeler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:08:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Wheeler

1403 Laurel St
New Bern, NC 28562

From: [laura.martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:16:03 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. laura martin
2945 Baxter Deaton Ln
Lincolnton, NC 28092-7235
eternallife4me@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Thomas Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:20:35 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Thomas Moore
750 Williamsburg Ct NE
Concord, NC 28025-2539
thomasfmoore3@gmail.com

From: [Jessica Motta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:21:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Motta

22 Yadkin St
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melissa Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:21:46 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melissa Wilson
8009 Hebron Church Rd
Garner, NC 27529-9162
madntad@aol.com

From: [Michelle Wells](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:25:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wells

113 Modena Dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Michelle Wells](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:26:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wells

113 Modena Dr
Cary, NC 27513

9196490700

From: [Leon Penny](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:31:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leon Penny

509 Glenwood Ave., #1304
Raleigh, 27603

From: [Jenny Edwards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:37:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jenny Edwards

507 Edney Ridge Road
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [June Gregor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:43:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

June Gregor

3506 Ridge Ct
Morganton, NC 28655

828-391-8225

From: [Stephanie Princip](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:43:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Princip

2662 Merry Oaks Trl
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: edna.schroeer
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:44:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

edna.schroeer

21 shady lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Keith Stone](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:48:31 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Keith Stone
294 W End Blvd
Winston Salem, NC 27101-1234
kstone@crewstone.com

From: [Ahmed Omar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 1:53:00 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ahmed Omar
942 Athens Dr
Raleigh, NC 27606-1575
Ah900@hotmail.com

From: [carmen Ramos-Kennedy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:10:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

carmen Ramos-Kennedy

24 curve st
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Kristen Sampsell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:13:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kristen Sampsell

120 Linbrook Drive
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Stefanie Milovic](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:18:24 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stefanie Milovic
100 Campus Dr
Elon, NC 27244-9423
sportsycrystal@aol.com

From: [Xiaoying Li](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:18:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Xiaoying Li

3800 Hobbs Rd
Palmyra Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Deirdre Haj](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:20:51 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Deirdre Haj
300 Parkview Cres
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-4024
dshine1@earthlink.net

From: [Bill Carley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:22:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Carley

8605 Golf Ridge Drive
Charlotte, NC 28277

9104653925

From: [Bobbi Beck](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:25:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bobbi Beck

3501 J C Galloway Rd
Greenville, NC 27858

2527171813

From: [Amanda Morgan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:33:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Morgan

105 Mishoe road
Castle Hayne, NC 28429

From: [Anna Swaringen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:45:34 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anna Swaringen
1131 Bird Dog Trl
Rockwell, NC 28138-8512
Annaswaringen@gmail.com

From: [Mary Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 2:49:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Scott

152 Christopher dr
Kitty hawk, NC 27949

7576500783

From: [Walter Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 3:00:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Walter Wood

304 Hedrick St
Beaufort, NC 28516

3019070170

From: [Kim Hombs](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 3:00:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Hombs

16303 Farmchase Court
Charlotte, NC 28277

704-543-8513

From: [Siobhan Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 3:16:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Siobhan Wilson

2010 Fall Dr. Apt h
Wilmington, 28401

From: [Mary Hawkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 3:16:07 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mary Hawkins
3614 Hope Valley Rd
Durham, NC 27707-5127
maryhawkins08@gmail.com

From: [Liz Shinohara](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 3:49:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Liz Shinohara

69 Greenview Lane
Bath, NC 27808

From: [Lashonna Geter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 4:01:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lashonna Geter

460 York Road
Salisbury, NC 28147

From: [Frank Lorch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 4:43:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Lorch

1522 Lynway Dr
Charlotte, NC 28203

(704) 442-2539

From: [Christie Driscoll](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 4:43:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christie Driscoll

2117 Bay St
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Patricia Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 4:47:11 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Jones
224 Bloomington Ln
Wilmington, NC 28411-7155
pixiediver1@yahoo.com

From: [Betty Kluttz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 4:47:32 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Betty Kluttz
109 Wee Loch Dr
Cary, NC 27511-3885
bettykluttz@mindspring.com

From: [Susan Wade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 5:09:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Wade

4317 Woodlawn Dr
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Evan Kirk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 5:14:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evan Kirk

205 Barnes St
Carrboro, NC 27614

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Laurinda Ammerman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 5:27:12 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Laurinda Ammerman
1200 Brecken Ct
Kannapolis, NC 28081-5783
michelleinwyo@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bill Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 5:28:27 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bill Harris
1680 Parham St
Henderson, NC 27536-3979
nitsnatsmusic@gmail.com

From: [Alvin Gravley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 5:38:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alvin Gravley

pobox346
Rosman, NC 28772

From: [Virginia Kellum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 5:46:53 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Virginia Kellum
5308 Baywood Forest Dr
Knightdale, NC 27545-8915
ginkellum@aol.com

From: [Monica Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 5:46:58 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Monica Jackson
106a Golda Ave
Asheboro, NC 27203-3316
jackson3488@gmail.com

From: [Leo Roberson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 6:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burtleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Leo Roberson

3 Wingate Court
Pinehurst, NC 28374

From: [theresa mcaskill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:02:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

theresa mcaskill

3112 lassiter st
durham, NC 27707

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sharon D'Andrea](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:04:42 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sharon D'Andrea
1937 Larkhill Dr
Kernersville, NC 27284-8643
shrn37@yahoo.com

From: [Margaret \(Molly\) Urquhart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:17:33 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Margaret (Molly) Urquhart
3104 Hillmer Dr
Raleigh, NC 27609-7812
urquharmears@gmail.com

From: [Debra Walsh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:17:36 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Debra Walsh
8308 Stone Mason Dr
Raleigh, NC 27613-6940
dwalsh1007@aol.com

From: [Laure Ragen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:19:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laure Ragen

118 W Ninth St
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Christine Evans](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:19:43 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Christine Evans
590 Axton PI NW
Concord, NC 28027-6790
chrisbuthcodyo@gmail.com

From: [Hen Nills](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:46:47 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hen Nills
1212 little rock Rd
Charlotte, NC 28212
mills1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [angela carter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 7:49:59 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. angela carter
1045 Adams Creek Rd
Cherokee, NC 28719-8214
angie.sitel@hotmail.com

From: [Rachel Shoemaker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 8:46:32 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rachel Shoemaker
2013 Fall Dr
Wilmington, NC 28401-6842
r.shoemaker21@gmail.com

From: [Melissa Harrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 8:55:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Harrison

3634 Sugar Tree Pl
Durham, NC 27713

From: sara.altshuler
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:16:28 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. sara altshuler
1714 Valley Ridge Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28303-3365
inuse419@live.com

From: [Patricia Jude](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:16:53 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Jude
91b Circle Dr
Pittsboro, NC 27312-9303
stpatti1945@gmail.com

From: [Susan Charbonneau](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:37:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Charbonneau

614 Lakeshore Drive
Goldsboro, NC 27534

From: [Anna Madson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:47:29 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anna Madson
123 Classic Ln
Statesville, NC 28625-2308
illyria@yahoo.com

From: [Margaret Holt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:47:36 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Margaret Holt
8003 McKee Dr
Raleigh, NC 27616-5997
mmolliesangel@aol.com

From: [Elisabeth Villano](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:48:39 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elisabeth Villano
410 Liberty St
Durham, NC 27701-3408
lisavillano69@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nicole Stewart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:49:44 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nicole Stewart
4401 Sharon Chase Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215-4922
eventplannernicole@gmail.com

From: peggy_bayless
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:17:34 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. peggy bayless
1751 8th Street Dr NE
Hickory, NC 28601-2336
soldbypeggy@surewest.net

From: [Tracy Mallon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:18:34 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tracy Mallon
12\$ wild primrose lane
Chapel hill, NC 27514
tracymallon@gmail.com

From: [Kristin Nakajima](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:19:38 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kristin Nakajima
1210 Bowfin Ln
Carolina Beach, NC 28428-5567
krism1582@gmail.com

From: [Ian Kane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:46:44 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ian Kane
2-1120 Armisted Rd
Fort Bragg, NC 28310-0001
imk0001@yahoo.com

From: [Lisa Hostetler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:18:28 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Hostetler
4221 United St
Greensboro, NC 27407-1309
lucyhere@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Roxanne Bush](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 11:20:00 PM

Dec 9, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Roxanne Bush
418 Deerhaven Ln
Hendersonville, NC 28791-8613
roxbush03@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Victoria Bounds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 1:20:02 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Victoria Bounds
4 robin wood ave
Asheville, NC 28806
bictoriabound@gmail.com

From: [angela hill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 1:31:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

angela hill

3894 old county home rd
nashville, NC 27856

2529074954

From: [Sandra Smathers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 3:47:25 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandra Smathers
1923 Pisgah Hwy
Candler, NC 28715-7139
blackbear1934@gmail.com

From: [Sally Gray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 4:17:23 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sally Gray
3014 Medlin Rd
Monroe, NC 28112-7474
sallygray@carolina.rr.com

From: [Conda Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 5:37:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Conda Jones

PO Box 681508
Charlotte, NC 28216

704-516-4867

From: [Amber Lee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 6:48:27 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amber Lee
219 Prong Rd
Dunn, NC 28334-7772
estrellia007@Yahoo.com

From: [Mallory Sutton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:18:44 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mallory Sutton
2538 Amber Creste Ln
Charlotte, NC 28212-6311
mrhyansutton@gmail.com

From: [Jessica Godfrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:18:54 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessica Godfrey
103 Cow Trail Ln
Cameron, NC 28326-9251
tigerfish21@hotmail.com

From: [Dianne Morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:19:01 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dianne Morris
PO Box 275
Stanley, NC 28164-0275
ldymorris@bellsouth.net

From: [Camila Hanisch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:31:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Camila Hanisch

5209 Oakbrook Dr
Durham, NC 27713

9193816807

From: [Mary jo Bacorn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:47:47 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mary jo Bacorn
2028 Verde Creek Rd
Charlotte, NC 28214-3140
Mjbacorn@gmail.com

From: [Virginia Maldonado](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:19:35 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Virginia Maldonado
3102 Kool Park Rd NE
Hickory, NC 28601-9203
martinlovesginny@aol.com

From: [Christy Garcia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:19:50 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Christy Garcia
1945 Hinshaw Rd
Yadkinville, NC 27055-5211
lovenme3.cg@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sherry Richey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:20:43 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sherry Richey
506 W 7th St
Newton, NC 28658-3804
stritchey44@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Catherine Chickos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:20:50 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Catherine Chickos
5200 Hallmark Rd
Durham, NC 27712-2058
maurovisioncare@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [joan Naylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:20:54 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. joan Naylor
12 Spruce st.
Barnardsville, NC 28709
joannaylor@gmail.com

From: [Patricia Baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:09:57 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Baker

1381 CBH Lodge Rd
Washington, 27889

From: [MICHAEL FILIPCIC](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:19:29 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. MICHAEL FILIPCIC
410 Loma Linda Dr
Cape Carteret, NC 28584-9274
m_filipcic@yahoo.com

From: [Marty Madsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:19:30 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marty Madsen

401 homepage circle
Apez
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Patricia Oliveira](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:20:03 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Oliveira
129 Ridgewood Dr
Boone, NC 28607-6207
oliveirapa@email.appstate.edu

From: [Carol Mayer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 10:07:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Mayer

3723 Huffine Mill Rd
Gibsonville, NC 27249

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jamie Gaudette](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 10:21:19 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jamie Gaudette
13535 Cleveland Rd
Garner, NC 27529-7926
jamiesuecerice@hotmail.com

From: [Donna Sullivan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 10:49:34 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Sullivan
2513 Old Concord Rd
Salisbury, NC 28146-1335
roger_donna@ymail.com

From: [Ellen Fugere](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 11:18:10 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ellen Fugere
22 Whisper River Ct
Sapphire, NC 28774-4507
lefugere@gmail.com

From: [Rhonda Gibson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 11:21:07 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rhonda Gibson
153 Beaver Run S
Canton, NC 28716-3200
rhondagibson18@yahoo.com

From: [Kathy Helms](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 11:25:01 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Helms

3340 York Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [Alex Lewison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 11:50:11 AM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alex Lewison
3208 Central Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205-5521
alexlewison@yahoo.com

From: [Chelsea Summers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 12:19:34 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Chelsea Summers
431 Christian Creek Pl
Cary, NC 27519-6547
xslashizmiherox@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kate Hanline](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 12:29:04 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kate Hanline
16211 Hollingbourne Rd
Huntersville, NC 28078-5732
katehanline@gmail.com

From: [Clare Curtis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 12:57:26 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Clare Curtis
717 Carl Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-9359
clare.curtis36@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Darlene Wallace](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 1:19:52 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Darlene Wallace
501 Bridle Ridge Ln Apt 201
Raleigh, NC 27609-2781
dar@thewallaces.cc

From: [Steve Dollar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 1:21:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Dollar

6428 Wilkinson Blvd
#131
Belmont, NC 28012

From: [Peter Walz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 2:51:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Walz

1116 Culpepper Ln
RALEIGH, NC 27610

9198247802

From: [Christie Jahn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 2:55:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christie Jahn

1172 Stone Creek Dr
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marty Armstrong](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 3:09:47 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marty Armstrong
PO Box 366
Knightdale, NC 27545-0366
martya1226@hotmail.com

From: [Dana Davi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 3:10:31 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dana Davi
3808 Holly Oak Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28314-1285
apashoshit@walla.co.il

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amy Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 3:34:00 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amy Smith
6 Marsh Landing Ct
Durham, NC 27703-6717
greyghost919@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Mery Krause](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 3:40:43 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mery Krause
22 Old Camby Rd
Asheville, NC 28805-9707
merykrause@mac.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [BRODY DELGADO](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 6:27:48 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. BRODY DELGADO
501 Jones Ferry Rd
Carrboro, NC 27510-2189
edelgado@Email.unc.edu

From: [Jessica Barbour](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 6:39:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Barbour

1526 Tamworth Drive
Charlotte, NC 28210

7049966046

From: [Lynn Burklow](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:05:16 PM

I am writing because DEQ must do more to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan by including clean zero-emission energy, energy-efficiency, and input from low-income communities in NC's state implementation plan. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Carolina's state plan to reduce carbon pollution from power plants. The federal Clean Power Plan is a tremendous opportunity for the State to not only reduce carbon pollution that contributes to climate change but to also improve public health, generate new clean energy jobs, and reduce consumers' electricity bills.

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately DEQ's proposed rules do not come close to achieving the carbon dioxide reductions required by the EPA. I respectfully request that the Division of Air Quality consider my comments on the draft rules to comply with Section 111 (d) of the Clean Air Act (Clean Power Plan):

- Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan. North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our zero-emission renewable energy successes (i.e. wind, solar). Currently, there is no clean energy in DEQ's proposal. Renewable energy must be zero-emission. This means no woody biomass as studies have proven biomass is worse for our climate than coal.
- The state plan must include documentation that the agency has conducted community outreach and community involvement, including engagement with vulnerable communities. The Department of Environmental Quality has failed to engage stakeholders as required by the Clean Power Plan. The draft rules do not include this documentation.
- The EPA Clean Energy Incentive Program offers states incentives, such as double credits, to develop clean energy and energy efficiency projects for low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in the EPA Program, and should include the voices of NC communities impacted by dangerous pollution caused by woody biomass facilities.. The draft rules do not take advantage of this program.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment as North Carolina develops its state Clean Power Plan.

Lynn Burklow
17 Trellis cr.
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Debbie Tellman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:21:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debbie Tellman

42 S Broad St
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Colleen Musser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:29:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colleen Musser

131 skipwyth circle
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Krissy Barber](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 7:59:46 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Krissy Barber
7716 Arboretum Dr
Charlotte, NC 28270-2363
krissybarber46@outlook.com

From: [Paul Southcott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:12:38 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Paul Southcott
59 Eden Glen Rd
Black Mountain, NC 28711-7721
paranoidepiphany@gmail.com

From: [Chris Boyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:21:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Boyer

51 Enochs Way
Fletcher, 28732

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gina Nash](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:37:22 PM

Dec 10, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gina Nash
311 Walker St
Kannapolis, NC 28081-5417
gina3701@yahoo.com

From: [Harold Lorentson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 8:55:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harold Lorentson

200 Echo Valley Dr
Bryson City, NC 28713

From: [Michael Morgan](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2015 11:50:21 PM

Dear Ms Burleson,

I support a strong and just state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, DEQ's proposed rules fall well short of achieving the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations. I respectfully submit these comments on the state's draft rules to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act for your consideration.

North Carolina is well positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our state's solid clean energy economy. At present the state's plan ignores our clean energy resources, but renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan.

Thank you.

Michael Morgan
501 Dennis Street
Swannanoa, NC 28778

From: [Mark Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 2:45:01 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mark Smith
506 Davie Rd
Carrboro, NC 27510-1912
markthehobo@yahoo.com

From: [Christina Powers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 3:03:23 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Christina Powers
514 Meredith Ct
Concord, NC 28025-8407
hammocklife1028@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robin Pentek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 3:34:14 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robin Pentek
408 Briarwood Ln
New Bern, NC 28560-9370
robinann92760@yahoo.com

From: [Marvin Rogers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 4:44:40 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marvin Rogers
2575 Foxfire Ln
Burlington, NC 27217-7085
marvulus55@yahoo.com

From: [Julie Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 4:48:40 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julie Jones
2334 Arbor View Dr
Cary, NC 27519-7381
radala26@hotmail.com

From: [Dagney Helms](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 4:57:19 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dagney Helms
6206 Birkdale Valley Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277-4533
dagneyhelms@hotmail.com

From: [Terri Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 5:07:21 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Terri Smith
311 Brown St
Rockwell, NC 28138-7533
Tpsmith17@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [crystal harlan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 5:07:58 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. crystal harlan
1861 Woodridge Cir
Hickory, NC 28602-5513
christy.harlan@yahoo.com

From: [Erica Danak](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 5:26:21 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Erica Danak
105 Whitechapel Ln
Raeford, NC 28376-8247
edanak@aol.com

From: [JAMES GALLMAN](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 5:34:04 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. JAMES GALLMAN
3792 Worthington Pl
Southport, NC 28461-8221
Jim.gallman@gmail.com

From: [Jennifer Mueller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 5:48:28 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Mueller
235 Springside Dr
Holly Springs, NC 27540-8535
jjjj29@gmail.com

From: [Patsy King](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 6:47:07 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patsy King
1288 Gus Hill Rd
Clemmons, NC 27012-7345
kingp98@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Valerie Bower](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 6:53:58 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Valerie Bower
PO Box 3482
Boone, NC 28607-0782
valeriebower@gmail.com

From: [Laura Fitzpatrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 7:27:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Fitzpatrick

3612 Briarthorne Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [mark muniz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 7:29:29 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. mark muniz
12326 Jessica Pl
Charlotte, NC 28269-1600
markmuniz69@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Skylar Dove](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 8:00:33 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Skylar Dove
213 Forest Brook Dr
Cary, NC 27519-5837
sedove@ncsu.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Robin darch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 8:04:57 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Robin darch
13109 Bold Run Hill Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587-8003
robin.darch@allentate.com

From: [Rose Mary Santori](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 8:14:27 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rose Mary Santori
21 W Cotton Ave
Black Mountain, NC 28711-3072
roaldie@juno.com

From: [Jenne Cogen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 8:19:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jenne Cogen

4790 Winchelsea rd
Winston salem, NC 27104

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Michelle Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 8:22:38 AM

Dec 11, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michelle Johnson
PO Box 533
High Point, NC 27261-0533
johnsonmichelle528@gmail.com

From: [ANDREA AZCARATE](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 9:21:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ANDREA AZCARATE

606 ROSEMONT AVE
Raleigh, 27607

From: [Rebecca Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 10:16:09 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Davis

57 Miller Rd
Candler
Candler, NC 28715

8283297150

From: [Erin Doyle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 10:37:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Doyle

258 Jones Rd
Leicester, NC 28748

From: [Dhijana Scott-harmony](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 11:03:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dhijana Scott-harmony

2036 edgewater drive
Harmony Gardens
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [Makenzie green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 11:21:48 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Makenzie green

p.o.box 2834
Boone, NC 28607

8287197205

From: [Kim Jacobson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 11:44:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Jacobson

6035 Currituck rd
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949

From: [Amy Adams](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 1:50:17 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Amy Adams
2903 Broadstone Rd
Banner Elk, NC 28604

From: [Lauren Brockman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 2:37:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lauren Brockman

7353 Newport Ave
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Judith Hughes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 6:37:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Hughes

34 Pleasant Street
Apt. 5A
Woodstock, VT 05091

From: [John Haywood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Friday, December 11, 2015 10:55:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Haywood

501 Oak Island
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [randy knotts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 8:29:27 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

randy knotts

105 auburn cove
mt holly, NC 28120

From: [Paul Strickland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 8:43:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Strickland

9 BESSEMER CT
GREENSBORO, NC 27401

3362544134

From: [Judy White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 4:27:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judy White

4005 Waldenbrook Ct
Greensboro, NC 27407

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Curtis Lee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 8:32:28 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Curtis Lee
177 W Trade Rd
Mount Olive, NC 28365-8344
lee408@bellsouth.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tina Baisden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 10:08:53 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tina Baisden
530 Brewer Rd
Seagrove, NC 27341-7263
daisychain732002@yahoo.com

From: [Pattie Treece](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 10:14:26 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pattie Treece
1303 Prospectors Ct
Monroe, NC 28110-9675
pstreece@ymail.com

From: [Gesila Hargrove](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 10:18:46 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gesila Hargrove
45 Ransdell Ln
Louisburg, NC 27549-6807
htickles1@gmail.com

From: [Cynthia Shade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 10:20:47 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cynthia Shade
1408 Hartsfield Forest Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587-4223
horse.fly@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Aila Sundelin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 10:29:13 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Aila Sundelin
10 Mint Ln
Asheville, NC 28806-7450
sundelinaila@gmail.com

From: [Rev. Kevin Baker-Rooks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 10:31:01 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rev. Kevin Baker-Rooks
9535 Hinson Dr
Matthews, NC 28105-5557
revkevdoc@gmail.com

From: [Ralph DeMaria](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:01:01 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ralph DeMaria
5072 Swinging Bridge Rd
Conover, NC 28613-8431
rfdin2003@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Coleen Clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:14:56 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Coleen Clark
434 Old Causeway Rd
Beaufort, NC 28516-8614
wyonana@hotmail.com

From: todd.papaleo
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:19:53 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. todd papaleo
8309 Raintree Ln
Charlotte, NC 28277-9864
tmpapa@ymail.com

From: [Maiah Cope](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:27:30 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maiah Cope
907 Clay Hill Dr
Knightdale, NC 27545-9285
sillyhat24@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Don Ward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:30:06 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Don Ward
1623 Haw Branch Rd
Chocowinity, NC 27817-9207
familyguy71@live.com

From: [Heather Mountz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:32:32 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Mountz
5516 Scenic Brook Ln
Raleigh, NC 27616-6184
hlmountz@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephen Davidson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:35:34 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephen Davidson
265 Harbor Town Dr
Taylorsville, NC 28681-7644
stevedav@aol.com

From: [Timothy Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:44:36 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Timothy Smith
227 Harbor Landing Dr
Mooresville, NC 28117-9444
timothysmith4654@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rachel Mecimore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:45:36 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rachel Mecimore
2629 US 70 East Stonehaven H-5
Valdese, NC 28690
rachel@mecimore.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [angel silvers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:46:15 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. angel silvers
121 N New Hope Rd
Gastonia, NC 28054-4706
notesuchan_angel@hotmail.com

From: levie.lepinski
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:50:41 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. levie lepinski
43 Pirates Cove Dr
Swansboro, NC 28584-8362
levielepinski21@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jessica McGee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2015 11:50:50 PM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessica McGee
1919 Hornbeck Ct
Raleigh, NC 27614-7049
ourbathtubpoems@gmail.com

From: loria_lowery
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:01:35 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. loria lowery
6121 Cain Forest Dr
Walkertown, NC 27051-9316
lorialowery@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [pamela clark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:01:59 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. pamela clark
1804 Piperwood Ct
Durham, NC 27713-4351
busterboysmom@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lynne Herbst](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:03:06 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lynne Herbst
103 Spearwood Dr
Goldsboro, NC 27534-8361
lynne.herbst@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Breanner Wall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:11:30 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Breanner Wall
125 Webster Ave
Rockingham, NC 28379-6871
breanner.wall@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tom Wyble](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:18:55 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tom Wyble
3017 Oak Ridge Rd
Summerfield, NC 27358-9105
tomwyble@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [ginger.godard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:29:03 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. ginger godard
21 Winston Ave
Asheville, NC 28803-1036
ginger.godard@gmail.com

From: [Abram Elam](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:35:10 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Abram Elam
4108 Spring St
Matthews, NC 28105-7220
abelamkms@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [ROBIN DAVIS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:38:20 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. ROBIN DAVIS
3307 Burgess Dr
Mebane, NC 27302-9608
DAVISFAMILEY63@HOTMAIL.COM

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ben Tzinberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:44:20 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ben Tzinberg
5 Woodrow Pl
Asheville, NC 28801-1215
btzinberg1@gmail.com

From: [Michelle Felicetti](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:48:51 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michelle Felicetti
20 Jefferson Dr
Asheville, NC 28801-3115
mfelic90@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jessie Birckhead](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:54:02 AM

Dec 12, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessie Birckhead
25 Current Ln
Durham, NC 27712-8964
jessieleebirckhead@gmail.com

From: [Annadell Sinclair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:10:34 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Annadell Sinclair
7840 Dogwood Dr
Laurinburg, NC 28352-7887
anna_sinclair@alumni.baylor.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [denetra staton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:13:17 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. denetra staton
1402 Taylor St Apt 1
Durham, NC 27703-3044
dstaton0408@yahoo.com

From: [Sandy Windelspecht](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:13:38 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandy Windelspecht
1221 Niley Cook Rd
Blowing Rock, NC 28605-9558
sandyw@ricochetprod.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Erin Schalow](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:23:43 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Erin Schalow
PO Box 923
Hendersonville, NC 28793-0923
rnsweetbriar@outlook.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [D.M. Pearson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:28:14 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. D.M. Pearson
207 Crestline Blvd
Greenville, NC 27834-6815
dpearson20@suddenlink.net

From: [Henry Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:35:49 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Henry Smith
16 Parkside Pl
Asheville, NC 28804-1324
janehenry1991@gmail.com

From: [Kevin Tillett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:42:52 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kevin Tillett
143 Holly Ridge Rd
Manteo, NC 27954-9360
tkm953@gmail.com

From: delima.mccann
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:47:47 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. delima mccann
462 Pisgah De
BREVARD, NC 28712-8919
mccnn.lee@gmail.com

From: [Dorothy Philbrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:56:25 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dorothy Philbrick
1832 Wilmore Dr
Charlotte, NC 28203-4619
dvphilbrick@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lauren Cannon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:59:20 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lauren Cannon
3676 Lake Spring Ave NW
Concord, NC 28027-8183
l.e.connon7@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [chrs bennett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:04:04 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. chrs bennett
412 Dingler Ave
Mooresville, NC 28115-3314
ttennebbc@att.net

From: joey.harrington
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:07:32 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. joey harrington
32 Lookout Dr
Asheville, NC 28804-3330
joey_h@mac.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Shane McCarthy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:07:43 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shane McCarthy
104 McFalls Rd Apt 1
Asheville, NC 28805-9273
shanemccarthy1994@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Huguette Meadows](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:15:58 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Huguette Meadows
1299 Zion Hill Rd
Marion, NC 28752-9670
pnutboiler@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kristen Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:23:59 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kristen Anderson
3336 Armstrong Creek Rd
Marion, NC 28752-6576
keschreibs@yahoo.com

From: [Elizabeth Randell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:27:48 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Randell
5033 Junaluska Rd
Boone, NC 28607-7571
icons_er@yahoo.com

From: [Ania A A](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:31:03 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ania A A
2116 McClintock Rd
Charlotte, NC 28205-5157
aapicionek@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Linda Kanupps](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:31:23 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linda Kanupps
908 Turrentine St
Burlington, NC 27215-6546
lmkanupps0429@yahoo.com

From: [Kerry Brust](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:31:27 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kerry Brust
910 N Saylor St
Southern Pines, NC 28387-3233
kerrybrust66@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tonyah Gwyn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:34:51 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tonyah Gwyn
507 Linville St
Newland, NC 28657-9758
tonyah.gwyn@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lisa Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:36:15 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Harris
PO Box 491
Stokesdale, NC 27357-0491
Lharris@velocityairinc.net

From: [steven walter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:38:26 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. steven walter
222 Kingsport Dr NE
Concord, NC 28025-2986
paintballer2375@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [grant smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:43:55 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. grant smith
7316 Sparhawk Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587-5493
grant.smith1993@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ed Mathis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:59:09 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ed Mathis
9 Hawthorne Ln
Youngsville, NC 27596-2083
emathis2015@att.net

From: [Diana Seward](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:03:39 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Diana Seward
4144 Willow Haven Ct
Raleigh, NC 27616-8703
dsewa4@aol.com

From: [sheila.jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:04:35 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. sheila.jackson
466 Vail Dr
Greenville, NC 27834-0797
syjackson64@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Amanda cushman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:08:15 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda cushman
1639 Marion Ave
Durham, NC 27705-5808
amandascooking@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Debbie Nickel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:08:41 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Debbie Nickel
9221 McAlwaine Preserve Ave
Charlotte, NC 28277-5710
debbienickel@ymail.com

From: [renee machel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:08:52 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. renee machel
477 Gable Dr
Raeford, NC 28376-6925
nee8160@yahoo.com

From: [Emily Sears](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:13:08 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Emily Sears
117 Icenhower Rd
Leicester, NC 28747
mollywolly620@yahoo.com

From: [Whitney Clarke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:13:53 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Whitney Clarke
216 Bracken Ct
Raleigh, NC 27615-6101
whitneyclarke@nc.rr.com

From: [Lori Tse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:15:11 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lori Tse
73 Hardee Rd
Enfield, NC 27823
lorijtse@cs.com

From: [Karen Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:15:25 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karen Walker
651 Summit St
Winston Salem, NC 27101-1141
kwalker983@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [zack_young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:20:04 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. zack young
51 Green Park Ln
Spruce Pine, NC 28777-8240
Zackyoung67@yahoo.com

From: [Kenneth Bend](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:22:02 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kenneth Bend
928 Farmington Rd
Mocksville, NC 27028-7643
kbend928@gmail.com

From: [Stormy Beveridge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:24:06 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stormy Beveridge
2525 Piney Rd
Morganton, NC 28655-9779
wulybunny@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kayla Benton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:26:14 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kayla Benton
3520 Stratford Blvd
Wilmington, NC 28403-2635
ncackgrl@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Johnny Kroll](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:32:41 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Johnny Kroll
2043 Slick Fisher Rd
Lake Toxaway, NC 28747-8743
pandaseoul@yahoo.com

From: [Althea McMinn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:33:07 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Althea McMinn
45 Joyner Ave
Asheville, NC 28806-4307
altheamcminn@thefsi.us

From: [Michael Suarez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:41:25 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michael Suarez
1320 Londonderry Cir
Raleigh, NC 27610-4236
miketopia101@gmail.com

From: [Joseph Gooding](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:44:11 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joseph Gooding
273 Morning Chase Ln
Clayton, NC 27527-9702
tcboot@aol.com

From: [Katrina Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:45:34 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katrina Miller
6717 Arnish Ct
Fayetteville, NC 28306-4541
pebbles1126@hotmail.com

From: [GLENN TAYLOR](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:49:18 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. GLENN TAYLOR
PO Box 1363
Randleman, NC 27317-2363
edimae39@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [James Sydenstricker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:49:20 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Sydenstricker
6215 Dumont Ln
Charlotte, NC 28269-6223
jts1178@gmail.com

From: [Michael Watts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:49:40 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michael Watts
2346 Smyre Farm Rd
Newton, NC 28658-8699
wattsmichaelw@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [James Goodwin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:49:50 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Goodwin
8 Sunset Dr
Weaverville, NC 28787-9462
clatu53@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Debra Tobin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:50:07 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Debra Tobin
3757 English Oak Dr
Lincolnton, NC 28092-8107
tritobin@charter.net

From: [Christina Brewer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:51:50 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Christina Brewer
9625 Berryville Ct
Raleigh, NC 27617-7607
christina.m.brewer@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Patricia Hall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:53:05 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Hall
886 Webb Mill Rd
Four Oaks, NC 27524-8287
pmoore030@gmail.com

From: [Katina Rodis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:53:31 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katina Rodis
126 Nordic Trl
Asheville, NC 28804-1970
katinar@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [April Hawkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:53:44 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. April Hawkins
5611 Startown Rd
Newton, NC 28658-8751
ahawkgal@gmail.com

From: [Pamela Puett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:58:47 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pamela Puett
1796 Person Rd
Louisburg, NC 27549-7936
ldodge113@aol.com

From: rusty_aven
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:01:15 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. rusty_aven
PO Box 202
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949-0202
rusty_aven@yahoo.com

From: [Julia Wiltshire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:03:25 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julia Wiltshire
5544 Sunlight Dr
Durham, NC 27707-9066
jcwiltshire@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Terry Cx](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:06:55 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Terry Cx
3418 Balsam Tree Dr
Charlotte, NC 28269-0694
tccox@bellsouth.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Barclay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:08:25 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephanie Barclay
7250 Pegram Rd
Belews Creek, NC 27009-9789
greeneggs_n_ham72@yahoo.com

From: [Angela Howe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:12:06 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Angela Howe
60 Thistle Ln
Fletcher, NC 28732-9488
a_brickey@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Matthew Kauppila](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:19:34 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Matthew Kauppila
114 Woodsway Ln
Morganton, NC 28655-9039
mattakauppila@gmail.com

From: [Molly Rosch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:20:47 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Molly Rosch
20 Harris Ave
Asheville, NC 28806-2504
molly.rosch@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kate Duffy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:24:26 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kate Duffy
8829 Rayners Hill Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277-1634
katidid.duffy23@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Raymond Purton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:27:52 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Raymond Purton
309 Marsh Ct
Raeford, NC 28376-6056
rapurton@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Carol Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:32:12 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carol Harris
2039 Horizon Ct
Matthews, NC 28104-7280
charris721@aol.com

From: [Whitney Walls](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:32:15 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Whitney Walls
1916 Austin Chaney Rd
Wingate, NC 28174-9762
wnwalls.2012@mymail.jcsu.edu

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Deborah Wampler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:32:51 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Deborah Wampler
935 Flat Rock Church Rd
Louisburg, NC 27549-9183
wampler.deborah@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [emilie.gallagher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:32:52 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. emilie gallagher
1845 Scotts Hill Loop Rd
Wilmington, NC 28411-6637
coastalgallagher@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [jay Robbins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:37:04 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jay Robbins
1008 Chadwell St
Wake Forest, NC 27587-3701
jayrobbins1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [reggie watson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:40:07 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. reggie watson
5214 Eastcrest Rd
Mc Leansville, NC 27301-9676
filbertsbakery@gmail.com

From: connie.shadeed
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:40:40 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. connie shadeed
297 Forest Grove Ave
Jacksonville, NC 28540-5718
conniemarlene@embarqmail.com

From: [Carra Bastien](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:42:28 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carra Bastien
326 McCotter Blvd
Havelock, NC 28532-1712
pieceofheaven89@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [fitz hamrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:42:57 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. fitz hamrick
17 Arborvale Rd
Asheville, NC 28801-2105
hamrickf@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Abril Garma](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:43:50 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Abril Garma
3143 Kelsey Plz
Kannapolis, NC 28081-6500
abygm7@yahoo.com

From: [Barbara Owens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:45:02 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Barbara Owens
660 S Main St
Jonesville, NC 28642-2728
barbara_owens@ymail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melanie Shapiro](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:48:06 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melanie Shapiro
81 Carolina Shores Dr
Carolina Shores, NC 28467-2602
mojohowitz3330@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [GEORGE SPURLOCK](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:51:31 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. GEORGE SPURLOCK
205 Pelican Ln
Clemmons, NC 27012-6984
gspurlock@triad.rr.com

From: [Leslie barry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:53:28 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leslie barry
8207 Lake Providence Dr
Matthews, NC 28104-9540
lahbarry@windstream.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kelly McLucas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:54:09 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelly McLucas
11616 Charnwood Ct
Charlotte, NC 28277-5652
kamclucas@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jackie Baumann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:54:46 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jackie Baumann
48 Ocean Blvd
Kitty Hawk, NC 27949-3611
iackie.baumann@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [.Nancyann Kershaw](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:56:03 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. ,Nancyann Kershaw
112 Summer Dr
Boone, NC 28607-6104
goofball1125@yahoo.com

From: [Sakshi Sharma](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:56:05 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sakshi Sharma
12925 Darby Chase Dr
Charlotte, NC 28277-2652
sakshi0512@ymail.com

From: [Kathryn Tillett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:01:56 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathryn Tillett
3500 Oleander Dr
Wilmington, NC 28403-0811
kathryntillett@gmail.com

From: [barbara knowles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:03:06 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. barbara knowles
701 Hill St
Pineville, NC 28134-6555
aknowles1@PINEVILLEDSL.NET

From: [Victoria Nicpon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:05:21 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Victoria Nicpon
7510 Southforty Rd
Bahama, NC 27503-9792
vnicpon@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julie Lupella](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:07:47 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julie Lupella
600 Jordan narron
Selma, NC 27576
jrlupella@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bunny Barly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:09:19 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bunny Barly
7115 Taunton Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410-8665
bonnieandyoda@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Casey First](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:14:03 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Casey First
23 Jarnaul Ave
Asheville, NC 28804-2219
kc1st@mac.com

From: [Cari Mustard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:11:36 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cari Mustard
3606 Broad Oak Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273-3765
cari@carolina.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Alison Lane](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 7:11:23 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alison Lane
7916 Timber Commons Ln
Charlotte, NC 28212-8634
kalisonlane@yahoo.com

From: [James Walters](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 8:33:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Walters

418 Chapel Point Rd
Lake Lure, NC 28746

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Joe Oliver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 8:41:15 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joe Oliver
122 High Oak Ct
Pinnacle, NC 27043-9006
Joecreature@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [John Morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 9:12:00 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. John Morris
110 Cumberland Ave
Asheville, NC 28801-1712
john@jqmorris.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Maureen Cecchino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 9:12:05 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maureen Cecchino
20 Mayo St
Raleigh, NC 27603-1532
mrcechino@hotmail.com

From: [Adriana Henderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 9:41:26 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Adriana Henderson
100 Traylee Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587-5559
thender841@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julie Tampa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 10:11:51 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julie Tampa
265 Fairway Dr
Southern Pines, NC 28387-2711
julietampa@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julie Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 10:47:33 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julie Smith
5628 Old Pearce Rd
Wake Forest, NC 27587-7279
Julie@steampronc.com

From: [MC Carrigan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:03:43 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MC Carrigan

1330 5th St NE
NC, 28601

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kate Vickery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:12:34 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kate Vickery
10101 Grafton Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615-1142
knvickery@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [MELODY HOWELL](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:16:12 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. MELODY HOWELL
2775 Harbour Pointe Ct
Sherrills Ford, NC 28673-9294
melh14@hotmail.com

From: [Casey McGuire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:42:12 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Casey McGuire
119 Parkwood Dr
Wilmington, NC 28409-5111
fontsacant.cm@gmail.com

From: [Dan Gottlieb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:15:49 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dan Gottlieb
27 Hillcreek Dr
Asheville, NC 28804-9793
dangottlieb1@gmail.com

From: [Susan Howell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:16:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Howell

113 Arbor Dr
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Russ Root](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 12:45:08 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Russ Root
29 Crescent St
Asheville, NC 28801-1905
li4fun1@aol.com

From: [Jacob Garrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 1:46:05 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jacob Garrison
94 Beale Rd Apt 8
Arden, NC 28704-8602
jacob.97.97.garrison@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jennifer Behbehani](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:42:57 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennifer Behbehani
PO Box 393
Harrisburg, NC 28075-0393
jbbnad@yahoo.com

From: martha.oneill
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 2:44:24 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. martha oneill
334 Caswell Beach Rd
Oak Island, NC 28465-8443
mo@ec.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tabitha Rogers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:11:51 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tabitha Rogers
152 Daisy St
Jacksonville, NC 28546-5105
Tdrogers912@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [susan snyder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:12:56 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. susan snyder
62 Glenberry Way
Mills River, NC 28759-7412
twinbrud@yahoo.com

From: [Jason Rush](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 3:14:55 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jason Rush
5161 Windsbury Ridge Rd
Clemmons, NC 27012-7158
jasonbrush1@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [lisa farmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:12:23 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. lisa farmer
12021 Regent Ridge Ln
Charlotte, NC 28278-0016
lisamfarmer@hotmail.com

From: [Mary Simpson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:13:03 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mary Simpson
103 S Arcadian Way
Mooresville, NC 28117-9220
Girlloveslife@aol.com

From: [Amy Henderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 4:44:50 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amy Henderson
12600 Parks Farm Ln
Charlotte, NC 28277-5628
amy.henderson1122@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Natalie Gasperini](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:12:19 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Natalie Gasperini
G 65 Bear Creek Lane
Asheville, NC 28806
ngasperini@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Carol Orr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:42:26 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Carol Orr
159 Princeton Ct
Advance, NC 27006-7898
hawkspirit1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Olyvia Knight](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 5:44:17 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Olyvia Knight
202 Huffstetler Rd
Gastonia, NC 28056-8556
olyvia.knight064@gaston.k12.nc.us

From: [Ray Reed](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:12:27 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ray Reed
139 Oak Grove Church Rd
Gaston, NC 27832-9031
Denisdreed@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Julian Van Hoy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:12:31 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Julian Van Hoy
132 Buffalo Meadows Rd
West Jefferson, NC 28694-7144
rustyashley@skybest.com

From: [Linda Sheets](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:12:32 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linda Sheets
16908 Landings Dr
Huntersville, NC 28078-4984
llsheets@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [pamela.messer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:43:50 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. pamela messer
PO Box 781
Mooresville, NC 28115-0781
pMesser2015@Gmail.com

From: [Anna Sadler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:43:54 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anna Sadler
3121 Compatible Way
Raleigh, NC 27603-3175
anna-sadler1@hotmail.com

From: [Marcy Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:45:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcy Jackson

157 Shadow Run Road
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Joanne Napoli](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 6:58:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne Napoli

1001 Prologue Road
Durham, NC 27712

9194779315

From: [Lisa Waugh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 7:01:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Waugh

250 Lentz Harness Shop Rd N
Mount Pleasant, NC 28124

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ellen Glenn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 7:12:30 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ellen Glenn
104 Deer Run
Asheville, NC 28805-8751
nellecreative@yahoo.com

From: [Brenda Young](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 7:44:21 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brenda Young
7316 Winery Ln
Charlotte, NC 28227-8147
byoung2426@carolina.rr.com

From: [Catherine Verna](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 8:19:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Verna

1070 Anjanette Lane
Creedmoor, NC 27522

9197649209

From: [Kelly Foster](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 8:43:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelly Foster

4613 Pine Hollow Road
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Eric Thames](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 9:13:11 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Eric Thames
217 Glensford Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28314-0892
chaosed2@yahoo.com

From: [jayne worth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 9:15:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jayne Worth

802 n boylan ave
raleigh, NC 27605

9196212253

From: [Rachel Ackerman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 9:44:03 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rachel Ackerman
64 Middlemont Ave
Asheville, NC 28806-2557
littleblugrassshopper@yahoo.com

From: [Deborah Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 10:42:59 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Deborah Moore
PO Box 5384
Asheville, NC 28813-5384
da_moore3@juno.com

From: [Asia Watts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:13:59 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Asia Watts
5819 Cherrycrest Ln
Charlotte, NC 28217-4029
madeinasia7@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hannah Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:43:53 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hannah Moore
1413 Townsend St
Lumberton, NC 28358-4254
hamichellemo@gmail.com

From: [Dazah Falls](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:43:58 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dazah Falls
901 Echo Glen Rd
Charlotte, NC 28213-5911
dazahfalls@icloud.com

From: [Destiny Castro](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2015 11:44:18 PM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Destiny Castro
4516 Carriage Drive Cir
Charlotte, NC 28205-4918
destiny112597@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Yajaira Chavez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 12:14:00 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Yajaira Chavez
1406 Wembley Dr
Charlotte, NC 28205-5534
yajaira1228@yahoo.com

From: [Evan Grimm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 12:14:22 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Evan Grimm
10519 Brawley Ln
Charlotte, NC 28215-7350
ib.henrikibsen@gmail.com

From: [Leona Yohn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 12:43:44 AM

Dec 13, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leona Yohn
115 Redman Ct
La Grange, NC 28551-7657
leona.yohn@gmail.com

From: [Katie Grice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:13:42 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katie Grice
300 S Elliott Rd Ste C
Chapel Hill, NC 27514-5886
elizabee.kg@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Angela Manning](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:14:06 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Angela Manning
PO Box 3324
Huntersville, NC 28070-3324
twoangie@msn.com

From: [Carolyn Kerr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 4:49:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Kerr

6900 North Ridge Dr
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Laura Restrepo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:43:40 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Laura Restrepo
7025 Sycamore Grove Ct
Charlotte, NC 28227-3019
l.restrepo98@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Zila Sanchez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:44:10 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Zila Sanchez
5834 Cedars East Ct
Charlotte, NC 28212-0102
zilasanchez1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lizette Cabrera](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:13:24 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lizette Cabrera
3932 Irvington Dr
Charlotte, NC 28205-4630
Lizette.cabrera0418@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Angela Izquierdo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:13:30 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Angela Izquierdo
3259 Shamrock Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215-3086
gangela1105@gmail.com

From: [Orvin Romero](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:13:33 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Orvin Romero
7810 Hereford St
Charlotte, NC 28213-5195
orvin16@icloud.com

From: [Angel Rodriguez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:13:46 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Angel Rodriguez
3100 Edsel Pl
Charlotte, NC 28205-4351
rodriguezjovanni10671@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jasmin Castaneda](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:13:57 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jasmin Castaneda
5641 Starkwood Dr
Charlotte, NC 28212-3619
jasmin.castaned@yahoo.com

From: [Jonathan Lopez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:13:58 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jonathan Lopez
2717 Dora Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215-3000
jonathanlopez310@yahoo.com

From: [Bunni Donohue](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:14:07 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bunni Donohue
111 Seaview Ct
Bath, NC 27808-9189
hrlyrabbit56@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jeffrey Rhudy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:43:36 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeffrey Rhudy
2715 Rockwood Rd
Greensboro, NC 27408-3233
tio.orso@gmail.com

From: [Jackie Hernandez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:44:07 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jackie Hernandez
6114 Gold Worthy Ct
Charlotte, NC 28212-6999
jackie.hernandez81@yahoo.com

From: [Christine McElwee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:07:39 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine McElwee

122 Southern Drive
Hendersonville, NC 28792

From: [Kim Dobesh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:10:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Dobesh

386 Rickard Road
Winston Salem, NC 27101

3367259981

From: [Joanne Bunch](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:13:00 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne Bunch

1077 Woodland Church Road
Wake Forest, NC 27587

919-570-1692

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sharon Freeman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:14:05 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sharon Freeman
81 Westwood Pl
Asheville, NC 28806-4223
worldgadabout@yahoo.com

From: [tucker bailey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:16:04 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

tucker bailey

8175 bean acres road
belews creek, NC 27009

From: [Barry Walker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:25:55 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Walker

308 Roxbury Pl
Arden, 28704

828 244-9487

From: [tawania davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:26:10 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

tawania davis

3628 contentnea park lane
griffon, NC 28530

2524954315

From: [M.K. Ramm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:27:45 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

M.K. Ramm

234 Crawford Road
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Charles A Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:30:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles A Miller

621 Preston Andrews Rd
Bahama, NC 27503

9199086401

From: [Pamela Myers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:31:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Myers

716 Golf House Rd W
Whitsett, 27377

From: [Morgan Widmaier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:37:40 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Morgan Widmaier

10404 Pickereel Lane
Charlotte, NC 28213

From: [Michelle Windsor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:39:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Windsor

4335 Sienna Ter
Apt 1D
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Pokyes Kromtit](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:44:28 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Pokyes Kromtit
109 Chattaroy Dr
Charlotte, NC 28214-9272
pokyeskromtit@gmail.com

From: [Jessica Motta](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:46:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Motta

22 Yadkin St
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Colleen Mashburn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:51:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colleen Mashburn

205 church view dr
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Anne Coln](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:51:57 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Coln

mnalsfkho
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Janice Heisey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:55:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Heisey

39 River Stone Trail
Asheville, NC 28805

8282790311

From: [Karen Lebing](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:01:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Lebing

p.O. Box 462
Waves, 27982

From: [Michael Weaver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:07:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Weaver

119 W Devonshire St
Winston Salem, NC 27127

From: [JOHN OKSANISH](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:09:50 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JOHN OKSANISH

803 MELROSE ST
Apt/Suite
Winston Salem, 27103

9788551806

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Bill Richards](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:13:45 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bill Richards
530 Planters Ridge Dr
Sunset Beach, NC 28468-6169
cpecdr@atmc.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jean Hart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:14:52 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jean Hart
63 Janice Ln
Clinton, NC 28328-8923
finnicum97@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rogelio Gallegos](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:15:10 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rogelio Gallegos
4308 Somerdale Ln
Charlotte, NC 28205-4679
rogelio.gallegos.05@gmail.com

From: [Kimberly Roth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:15:13 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kimberly Roth
305 Woodberry Pl
Jacksonville, NC 28540-4265
fitwithkim@yahoo.com

From: [Jaime Lowe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:22:12 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jaime Lowe

11829 Pump Station Road
Charlotte, NC 28216

From: [Melissa Hudgens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:27:53 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Hudgens

104 Eastgreen Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Leslie Waslo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:45:14 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leslie Waslo
7728 Orchard Park Cir
Harrisburg, NC 28075-8725
leslie@cruisecaptives.com

From: [Ocean Priselac](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:46:18 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ocean Priselac

2004 Pender Avenue
Wilmington, NC 28403

9102332167

From: [Brinkley Steelhammer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:51:57 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brinkley Steelhammer

116 Ski Haven Place
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [Samantha Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:19:33 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samantha Thomas

736 N Forest Hills School Rd
Marshville, NC 28103

From: [Portia McCracken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:25:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As usual, NC's governing body never fails to miss an opportunity to fail.

I am disappointed but not surprised that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is designed to fail, the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Portia McCracken

917 Forest Hill Dr
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Martin Hazeltine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:31:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Hazeltine

7614 Dunbar Dr SW
Sunset Beach, NC 28468

910-575-2546

From: [Olivia Mungal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:37:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Olivia Mungal

806 Englewood St
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Judith Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:49:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Williams

16 Vance Circle
Lexington, NC 27292

3362486530

From: [jane kopecky](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:07:56 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jane kopecky

112 drake rd
hampstead, NC 28443

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jannette Lopez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:14:15 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jannette Lopez
2717 Dora Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215-3000
jjlopez264@gmail.com

From: [Alice Bestman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:16:28 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Alice Bestman
4610 Pruett Dr
Morganton, NC 28655-7907
ajbstmn@gmail.com

From: [Danyell Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:23:05 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danyell Scott

PO Box 333
Williamston, NC 27892

From: [Ralph Gardner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:34:17 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ralph Gardner

1300 LeClair St
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Williema Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:47:22 AM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Williema Harris
2994 Penny Hill Rd
Greenville, NC 27834-6632
williemharris@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kelley Crawford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 12:47:09 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelley Crawford
12330 Creektree Ct
Charlotte, NC 28278-7221
evekel7@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Katie Sauerbrey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 12:49:33 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Katie Sauerbrey
487 N Ashe St
Southern Pines, NC 28387-5507
katie.sauerbrey@gmail.com

From: mail@changemail.org
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 100 more people signed "Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air"
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 12:57:47 PM



New signatures

Joelle Burleson – This petition addressed to you on Change.org has new activity. See progress and respond to the campaign's supporters.

Gov. McCrory: Stop protecting polluters and start protecting clean air

Petition by NORTH CAROLINA CONSERVATION NETWORK ·
100 supporters



100 more people signed

[View petition activity](#)

RECENT SUPPORTERS



Hassan Abdallah

Indian Trail, NC · Dec 14, 2015



Mariesha Crews

Hickory, NC · Dec 14, 2015



ted midgett

Manteo, NC · Dec 14, 2015



Peter Raines

Greensboro, NC · Dec 14, 2015



Lauren Smith

Raleigh, NC · Dec 14, 2015

[View all 100 supporters](#)

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. Respond to let the people petitioning you know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to daq.publiccomments@ncdenr.gov, the address listed as the decision maker contact by the petition starter. If this is incorrect, please [post a response](#) to let the petition starter know.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [susan.steffe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:01:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

susan.steffe

19 willow rd
asheville, NC 28804

From: [Kelsey Sheehan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:13:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Sheehan

1364 Beaufort Dr
Graham, NC 27253

9195394858

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Nancy Morales](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:14:23 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nancy Morales
6611 Trammel Ln
Charlotte, NC 28227-8063
nancyamoraless98@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [gretchen.coll](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:16:34 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. gretchen.coll
4 Oakview Pl
Whispering Pines, NC 28327-9472
gretchen.coll@gmail.com

From: [Thaddeus Szostak](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:20:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thaddeus Szostak

1103 Dover Rd
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: susan_reynolds
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 1:49:41 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. susan reynolds
1115 Grovewood Dr
Charlotte, NC 28208-1619
susanandrew07@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rana Fahey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:19:37 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rana Fahey
1513 Heritage Links Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587-3820
ranakhan0618@aim.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lisa Croyle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:19:38 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Croyle
1110 Casey Dr
Wake Forest, NC 27587-7890
lbuote@yahoo.com

From: [Lashonna Geter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:25:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lashonna Geter

460 York Road
Salisbury, NC 28147

From: [Richard Hicks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:26:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Hicks

2930 Eddystone Ln
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Abbie Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:31:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Abbie Wilson

106 Chatburn Cir
Cary, 27513

From: [Josh London](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:32:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Josh London

5115 Partha Ln
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [karen callahan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:44:37 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. karen callahan
2539 Evans Frazier Rd
Oxford, NC 27565-8007
kcallahan@vancecounty.org

From: [Benjamin Burns](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:49:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Burns

437 N. Broad ST
Seagrove,, NC 27341

3368738066

From: [Xavier Parks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 2:50:14 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Xavier Parks
2711 Chari Park Ln
Walkertown, NC 27051-9023
xavierparks@gmail.com

From: [David Chaika](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 3:03:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Chaika

1016 Hillside Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Janet Quate](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 3:16:48 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janet Quate
PO Box 2791
Asheville, NC 28802-2791
quate7@bellsouth.net

From: [Linda Greeff-Hansen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 3:48:31 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linda Greeff-Hansen
2420 Kimberly Dr
Fayetteville, NC 28306-2345
lgreeffhansen1@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lynn Cole](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 3:52:44 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lynn Cole
PO Box 185
Jacksonville, NC 28541-0185
lynncole757@gmail.com

From: [Jory Froggatt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 3:55:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jory Froggatt

5411 Ashbey Lane
Summerfield, NC 27358

From: [Kate Fields](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 4:35:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Fields

223 Straight Br
Robbinsville, NC 28771

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Monica McKay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 4:44:37 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Monica McKay
101 R and B Ct
Chinquapin, NC 28521-8853
cherokee_80_19@yahoo.com

From: [Janice Palmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 4:53:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Palmer

1800 US 70 W
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [pauline gleason](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:18:13 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. pauline gleason
366 Rose Hill Rd
Asheville, NC 28803-8542
paulinegleason@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [mike bodenheimer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:19:00 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. mike bodenheimer
6919 Aspen Ct
Denver, NC 28037-5439
slixit_99@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lauren Coplin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:19:01 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lauren Coplin
6910 Woody Ridge Rd
Snow Camp, NC 27349-9125
lcopli55@gmail.com

From: chelsea.vesely
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:54:09 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. chelsea vesely
209 Eucalyptus Ln
Wilmington, NC 28412-7515
vesely.chelsea@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marlene Devito](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:55:28 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marlene Devito
809 Saint Vincent Dr
Wilmington, NC 28412-3468
marld@bellsouth.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lilian Ringlein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:56:33 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lilian Ringlein
587 Friendship Ln
Pisgah Forest, NC 28768-9887
duliza@citcom.net

From: [Pam Nelligan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 5:58:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pam Nelligan

102 dron place
Wilmington, NC 28409

910-398-4334

From: [Ellen Suthard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:04:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Suthard

1649 Wolf Rd
Iron Station, NC 28080

From: [Andy Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:30:19 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Andy Griffin
289 Anchor Dr
Alexander, NC 28701-9700
bosoxs10@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Caten Murphy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:51:48 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Caten Murphy
209 Riverchase Ln
Mooresville, NC 28115-8337
catennmariee@gmail.com

From: [richard bisher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 6:55:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

richard bisher

932 maple ridge rd
asheboro, NC 27203

From: [Brett Brenton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:01:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brett Brenton

2725 Halfhitch Trail
Raleigh, NC 27615

9194496267

From: [Elizabeth McPherson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:13:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth McPherson

3801 Wade Coble Drive
Burlington, NC 27215

336-538-1467

From: [Jan French](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 7:26:52 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jan French
3106 Ithaca St
Durham, NC 27707-3836
jfrench6@gmail.com

From: [Ramona Smerz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:01:26 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ramona Smerz
2907 Tesie Trl
New Bern, NC 28562-9160
Satorei@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Savanah Hopper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:44:44 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Savanah Hopper
PO Box 1926
Cullowhee, NC 28723-1926
luvscuba101@yahoo.com

From: [Amanda Friscia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:54:12 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amanda Friscia
3293 E 10th St
Greenville, NC 27858-8589
amandafriscia@aol.com

From: [DeeAnn Shade](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:59:22 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. DeeAnn Shade
PO Box 130
Winterville, NC 28590-0130
maypop2698@gmail.com

From: [Beverly Bull](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:01:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Bull

PO Box 46
Frisco, NC 27936

2529955684

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Teresa Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:17:15 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Teresa Johnson
9200 Oceangate Plaza Ext
Leland, NC 28451-6002
betagirl1114@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kellis Dryer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 9:49:27 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kellis Dryer
528 SE Maynard Rd
Cary, NC 27511-5716
pianokel@nc.rr.com

From: [Shirlee Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:01:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shirlee Miller

309 Somers Ave
Apt. A
Burlington, NC 27215

From: [Linda Guedalia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:50:14 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Linda Guedalia
5118 Jefferson St
Stedman, NC 28391-9500
lindaganddaffy@hotmail.com

From: [Dawson Wall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 10:51:35 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dawson Wall
5847 Bass Mountain Rd
Snow Camp, NC 27349-9665
banchourataz@gmail.com

From: [Virginia Baysden](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:05:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Baysden

102 Mills Street
Richlands, NC 28574

9102007555

From: [Mariah Huffman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:15:27 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mariah Huffman
3701 Waterton Leas Ct
Charlotte, NC 28269-1226
mhuffm18@uncc.edu

From: [Larry Medlin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:21:32 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Larry Medlin
4902 Unionville Rd
Monroe, NC 28110-9437
larrymedlin1946@gmail.com

From: [Lindsey Decarlo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:23:04 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lindsey Decarlo
300 Palisades Cir
Asheville, NC 28803-0112
ljdecs21@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [lannette moran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Monday, December 14, 2015 11:52:25 PM

Dec 14, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. lannette moran
8600 Kelly Lee Dr
Stokesdale, NC 27357-9289
lsmoran2727@gmail.com

From: [Johnny Rice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:26:15 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Johnny Rice

613 Allstar Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [Frank Graham Sr.](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:48:23 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Graham Sr.

107 East Peyton ave. Apt. 8n
Kinston, NC 28501

From: [Beth Hackney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:17:57 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Beth Hackney
424 Craven St
Durham, NC 27704-2944
bthhackney@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [luann justice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:45:33 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. luann justice
3427 Beaux Ct
Raleigh, NC 27616-8934
pixiej677@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kirsten Wiechmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:46:01 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kirsten Wiechmann
527 Groves Point Dr
Hampstead, NC 28443-3158
kisstenw918@gmail.com

From: [Peggy Holliday](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:26:03 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Holliday

209 Wetherburn Lane
Raleigh, 27615

From: [Jennifer Filipowski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:55:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Filipowski

5260 Wilson Hill Ct
Winston-Salem, NC 27104

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sandra Smyre](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:16:09 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandra Smyre
1316 Wandering Way Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226-5728
sandysmyre@gmail.com

From: [Chad click](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:16:44 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Chad click
1521 W Sugar Creek Rd
Charlotte, NC 28262-4943
odmegamusic@gmail.com

From: [Heather McKay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:17:16 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather McKay
2027 Gillingham Cir
Fayetteville, NC 28304-5659
0704hcm1986@gmail.com

From: [Molly Nauman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:17:35 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Molly Nauman
429 Neill Ridge Rd
Matthews, NC 28105-2001
66molly@gmail.com

From: [Jacob Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:55:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacob Johnson

815 Old Welcome Rd
Lithia, 33547

From: [Janice Heisey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:01:43 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Heisey

39 River Stone Trail
Asheville, NC 28805

8282790311

From: [Elizabeth Kalies](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:17:00 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Kalies
2125 N Lakeshore Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27514-2026
elizabeth.kalies@tnc.org

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melanie Shive](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:17:01 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melanie Shive
4624 Logan Creek Rd
East Bend, NC 27018-8618
melshive@gmail.com

From: [Rhyanna Davis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:17:10 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rhyanna Davis
1101 Coyote Dr
Boonville, NC 27011-8477
rhya69@gmail.com

From: [Nicole Kellum](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:46:43 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Nicole Kellum
162 Carver Dr Lot 22
Midway Park, NC 28544-1155
nicolekellum15@gmail.com

From: [Marian Davies](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:47:27 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marian Davies
3012 Ed Reid St
Charlotte, NC 28216-6759
daviesmarian1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Leslie Fleckenstein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:49:26 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leslie Fleckenstein
966 Green Mountain Dr
Burnsville, NC 28714-7111
lesfleck13@gmail.com

From: [Jennie Stencel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:52:07 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jennie Stencel
3005 Landing Ct
Jamestown, NC 27282-7520
jennie.stencel@gmail.com

From: [Pete Key](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:59:20 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

When I voted for you Pat, I expected you would protect the best interest of the people of NC. I can't see how your energy policies are keep us as your first priority. I'd love to see a statement from your office that connects the dots towards long term improvements for the people who elected you. Sincerely.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pete Key

238 NE 38th Street
Oak Island, NC 28465

From: [Bernice Peltier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:01:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

From the coast to the mountains, North Carolina is a jewel of the east. Every time we fail to protect our environmental treasure we chip away at the facets on our jewel. Please stop devaluing what we have!

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bernice Peltier

31 Knoll Ridge Drive
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Barry Loehr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:07:28 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Loehr

362 old Mars hill hwy
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Charles Sparacino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:16:21 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the EPA's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). Virtually all climate scientists agree that the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, and we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Sparacino

3209 Jennifer Dr
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Erin Flower](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:19:02 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Erin Flower
206 Poplar St
Belmont, NC 28012-3841
eflower324@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Piper Parker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:20:10 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Piper Parker
221 London Rd
Asheville, NC 28803-2709
pparker@ashevillehabitat.org

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stacey Frady](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:24:28 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stacey Frady
43 Tipperary Dr
Asheville, NC 28806-2154
staceyfrady79@gmail.com

From: [Mark Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:47:08 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mark Smith
506 Davie Rd
Carrboro, NC 27510-1912
fleetwoodmarc@gmail.com

From: [Janet Black](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:08:06 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Janet Black
12 Warren Creek Rd
P.O. Box
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Morgan Crawford](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:08:07 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Morgan Crawford
3101 sun dr
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [brian halliday](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:08:51 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

brian halliday
603 29 th ave n.e.
unit 212
hickory, NC 28601

From: [Kenneth A Byrd](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:08:53 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Kenneth A Byrd
3300 Robin Hood Drive
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Beth Ullmer](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:09:09 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Beth Ullmer
23 North Barclay Dr
Asheville, NC 28801
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Barry Anderson](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:09:23 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Barry Anderson
111 W Oregon Ave
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

From: [Marilyn Constine](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:10:18 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Constine
337 Lake Knoll Ct
Lewisville, NC 27023

From: [Carol Hoke](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:10:54 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Carol Hoke
5092 Gold Leaf Trail
None
Conover, NC 28613

From: [Sarah Kellogg](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:11:22 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Sarah Kellogg
1434 Hwy 321
Sugar Grove, NC 28679

From: [Janice McMurry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:13:34 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice McMurry

111 Wildwood Forest LN
Mills River, NC 28759

From: [Abigail West](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:14:22 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Abigail West

Abigail West
296 Turnpike Rd
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Andrea Thompson](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:16:30 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Andrea Thompson
179 Swannanoa Ave
Asheville, NC 28730

From: [Beth Stanberry](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:19:36 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Beth Stanberry
PO Box 468
Asheville, NC 28802

From: [Lauren Essick](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:22:46 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,
Lauren Essick

Lauren Essick
3390 Mountain Dale Rd
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [Robert K MORGAN](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:24:22 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Robert K MORGAN
15 Eastwood Road
asheville, NC 28803

From: [Candace L](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:25:09 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Candace L
103 twisted oak
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Ruth Miller](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:28:12 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Ruth Miller
1819 Billabong Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Erica Gunnison](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:29:02 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Erica Gunnison
68 Kentucky Dr
Apt C
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Isabel Clinard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:29:39 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Isabel Clinard
1602 Wendover Dr, High Point, NC
High Point, NC 27262-2440
isaziga10@yahoo.com

From: [Steven Tracy](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:33:22 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Steven Tracy
1118 Heatherloch Dr.
Gastonia, NC 28054

From: [ALLAN WAGNER](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:35:06 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

ALLAN WAGNER
PO Box 3473
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Mike Przykucki](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:35:06 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Mike Przykucki
10351 Kirkmont Dr.
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Michael Loven](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:44:02 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Michael Loven
4915 Mill Hill Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Michael Loven](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:44:07 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Michael Loven
4915 Mill Hill Lane
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Kathy Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:49:58 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Anderson

7242 Kinley Commons Ln
Charlotte, NC 28278

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [SUSAN CRABILL](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:50:52 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. SUSAN CRABILL
2720 Cedar Creek Dr
Durham, NC 27705-5931
crabi001@mail.duke.edu

From: [Doyle Graham](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:51:24 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Doyle Graham
5106 Murphy School Rd
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Doug Wingeier](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:53:07 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Doug Wingeier
36 Bust-O-Dawn
Waynesville, NC 28785

From: [M. Huggins](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:54:31 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

M Huggins
77 W Fox Chase Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Suzanne McKenna](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:57:43 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Suzanne McKenna
910 Tryon Hill Drive
apt 536
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Penny Levenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:25:57 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Penny Levenson
2212 Upper Valley Ct
Cary, NC 27518-8931
penny1158@aol.com

From: [Jeffrey Secrest](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:26:42 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Secrest
30 Green Hill Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [William, Margaret & Scott Holcomb](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:27:19 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

William, Margaret & Scott Holcomb
190 HAWKS' HAUNT LN
TRYON, NC 28782

From: [Ken Goldsmith](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:37:07 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Ken Goldsmith
2231 Cloud Cover Ln
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Judith Phoenix](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:45:13 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Judith Phoenix
1241 Deck Hill Rd
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Steve Miller](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:52:09 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Steve Miller
419 Upper Grassy Branch Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Gary Auen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:57:27 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gary Auen
110 Lake Clair Pl
Fayetteville, NC 28304-3348
majglauen@hotmail.com

From: [Naualie Olson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:00:08 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Naualie Olson
101 Roseberry Way
Holly Springs, NC 27540-9483
natalie.olson@yahoo.com

From: [William & Barbara Cunningham](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:17:25 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

William & Barbara Cunningham
1550 Old Town Way
Hendersonville, NC 28739

From: [David Jones](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:18:02 PM

I strongly support a strong state Clean Power Plan. I am willing to have my utility rates rise if that is a side effect of the Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

David Jones
1027 Beaver Dam Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Bobbi Dancy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:27:03 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Bobbi Dancy
122 Canfield Dr
Olin, NC 28660-9502
bjdancy22@gmail.com

From: [Joseph Smith](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:51:06 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Joseph Smith
2401 NC 181
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Carol Swing](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:51:44 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Carol Swing
223 Dula Springs Road
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Janett Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:58:49 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janett Anderson
2730 Hampton Glen Ct
Matthews, NC 28105-6612
homecrew@live.com

From: [Maryam F](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 1:59:14 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maryam F
4348 Halliwell Dr
Raleigh, NC 27606-6403
anamumeenah@yahoo.com

From: [Anita Nielsen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:24:36 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anita Nielsen
3380 Hickory Hwy
Statesville, NC 28677-1373
cheezyrat@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Rockis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:25:27 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rebecca Rockis
203 E Wilkinson St
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948-7772
becrockis@gmail.com

From: [Elvira Alger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:25:29 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elvira Alger
12241 Silveroak Ln
Charlotte, NC 28277-1582
algerelvira@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Barbara Dull](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:50:50 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Barbara Dull
4218 Batiste Rd
Raleigh, NC 27613-5352
basia726@gmail.com

From: [Hugh Ashcraft](#)
To: [Burleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 2:51:54 PM

PLEASE cut the monkey business and do right for our state!

Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.

- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Hugh Ashcraft
2334 Ainsdale Rd
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Walsh, Joan F](#)
To: [Burlleson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Meet or exceed EPA target
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 3:03:17 PM

Dear Ms. Burlleson:

2015 is on track to be the hottest year on record. Our planet and our very survival as a species are at risk. It's time and past time to get serious about cutting emissions and minimizing further warming. I was dismayed to hear of Gov. McCrory's suit against the EPA, whose target is very reasonable, and less than many other states plan to do. What can the Governor be thinking? Doesn't he have children, and perhaps grandchildren? He and I will be gone before the worst consequences arrive, but our descendants will be suffering horribly if more is not done now.

Please tell him his constituents don't want more illness, more sea level rise, more wars, famines, and "natural" disasters. We can avert at least some of these consequences of climate change by making genuine, serious efforts to reduce emissions. NC needs to do our part.

Thank you.

Joan Walsh
2403 Prince St.
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Molly Moore](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 3:46:15 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Molly Moore
215 Incline Dr
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [kathy gray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 3:47:23 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kathy gray
6554 Derby Ln NW
Concord, NC 28027-5213
cindell77@hotmail.com

From: [Josh Gambrel](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 4:04:15 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Josh Gambrel
238 Berton st
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Roderic Mullen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 4:31:21 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Roderic Mullen
305 North St
Norlina, NC 27563-9379
R_L_Mullen@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rachel Lamd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 4:33:29 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rachel Lamd
3999 Sterling Pointe Dr
Winterville, NC 28590-7898
ellisetl@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lois Bollinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 4:36:31 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lois Bollinger
230 Dogwood Dr
Sunset Beach, NC 28468-4212
loitamay@hotmail.com

From: [john slater](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 4:49:10 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

john slater
98 brushey creek circle
2527 Greendale Pl.
linville falls, NC 28647

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lori Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 4:51:01 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lori Jones
335 Lazy Creek Rd
Rutherfordton, NC 28139-7399
ljones83169@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Denise Gillespie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 5:20:19 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Denise Gillespie
120 Thomas Rock Dr
Harmony, NC 28634-9041
chinadolldn@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lakyna Watts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 5:22:10 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lakyna Watts
418 Central Dr
Statesville, NC 28677-3000
lakyna106@aol.com

From: [James Kinsey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 5:22:11 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. James Kinsey
501 Oakridge Ave
Fayetteville, NC 28305-4623
rettkinsey@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ashley Stevenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 5:25:15 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ashley Stevenson
905 Marshall St
Statesville, NC 28677-6774
riri_mommie07@yahoo.com

From: [John Herron](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 5:58:03 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

John Herron
PO Box 34201
Charlotte, NC 28234

From: [kendra rocap](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:03:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kendra rocap

1602 glendale ave
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [William Brandon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:17:45 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. William Brandon
508 Boger St
Mooresville, NC 28115-2226
chillwill32.wb@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Dennis lassiter jr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:47:21 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Dennis lassiter jr
612 N Bost St
Statesville, NC 28677-3323
deejaylassiter@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Marcella Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:47:58 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marcella Jackson
315 Branchwood Rd
Statesville, NC 28625-8172
sue.jackson95@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [ashley gillespie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:49:18 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. ashley gillespie
612 N Bost St
Statesville, NC 28677-3323
ash_2372@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [kayla scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:50:00 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kayla scott
1434 Huggins St
Statesville, NC 28677-5016
anyae31@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Shahidi Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:57:06 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shahidi Williams
123 Ashley Brooke Ln
Statesville, NC 28677-9687
shahidiw02@gmail.com

From: [kendra taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:57:16 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kendra taylor
634 N Bost St
Statesville, NC 28677-3323
kendrataylor40@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Monique Maddox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:19:06 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Monique Maddox
3125 Harris Houston Rd
Charlotte, NC 28262-9194
mmonique240@gmail.com

From: [Iris Mayfield](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:20:06 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Iris Mayfield
545 S Green St
Statesville, NC 28677-6171
mayfieldiris@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Brittany Varner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:20:08 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brittany Varner
920 Berkley Rd
Kernersville, NC 27284-8188
Varnerbrittany26@gmail.com

From: [Nancy Simmons](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:21:14 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Nancy Simmons
470 Banner Grande Lane
Banner Elk, NC 28604

From: [Marianne Balfe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:27:55 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marianne Balfe
1234 Won't Give
Asheville, NC 28815
marianne.balfe@gmail.com

From: [Lenore Madeleine](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:46:40 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Lenore Madeleine
700 Vista Lake drive, #308
Apartment 308
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Anne Denise White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:51:02 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anne Denise White
45 Libbey Ln
Mills River, NC 28759-8512
farmgoddess@outlook.com

From: [Patricia Church](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:55:19 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patricia Church
3501 Cliffdale Dr
Concord, NC 28025-9467
skyblupat@msn.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Heather Bingham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:57:07 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Bingham
9327 Camberwell Rd
Mint Hill, NC 28227-0454
bingham1974@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Annette amarose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 7:58:32 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Annette amarose
11726 US Highway 258
Scotland Neck, NC 27874-8636
dstssrukaa@gmail.com

From: [Paula Finck](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:16:18 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Paula Finck
571 Bairds Creek Road
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [James Schall](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:42:50 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

James Schall
31 Elizabeth St
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [nancy.moore](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:48:18 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

nancy moore
po box 621
asheville, NC 28802

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gerrick White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:48:57 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gerrick White
1105 Lerain Ct
Statesville, NC 28677-6817
gerrick73@gmail.com

From: [Lititia Watts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:50:26 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lititia Watts
1856 Simonton Rd Apt G
Statesville, NC 28625-4371
lititiaalexander@att.net

From: romento.evans
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:52:36 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. romento evans
1413 10th St
Statesville, NC 28677-6580
romentoevans@yahoo.com

From: tomeka.smith
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:55:43 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. tomeka smith
167 Lockhart Farm Ln
Statesville, NC 28677-2001
tomekahouser@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gala Minter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:17:47 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gala Minter
155 Christine Dr
Garner, NC 27529-8661
gtbridges@hotmail.com

From: asha_handville
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 9:54:21 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. asha handville
622 Fitzsimmons St
Hendersonville, NC 28792-6326
ashashark@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jan blume](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:21:25 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jan blume
2411 Pine Cape Ct
Kannapolis, NC 28083-6484
blumesfarm@gmail.com

From: [Toni Watts](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:28:07 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Toni Watts
1130 Adams St
Statesville, NC 28677-6785
tawatts593@gmail.com

From: [Frank Lorch](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:47:52 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Frank Lorch
1522 Lynway Dr.
Charlotte, NC 28203

From: [Denise DerGarabedian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 10:55:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Denise DerGarabedian

20137 Joe Brown Hwy
Murphy, NC 28906

From: [Edna parks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:20:45 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Edna parks
427 S Race St
Statesville, NC 28677-5743
parksedna38@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Mandi Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:24:31 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Mandi Smith
164 West
Nags head, NC 27949
georgiapeach1197@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Letisha Lewis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 11:48:09 PM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Letisha Lewis
2555 E Broad St
Statesville, NC 28625-4409
letisha_l@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Holly Rocherolle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:20:57 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Holly Rocherolle
130 Barcroft Ct
Southern Pines, NC 28387-7151
hollysark@yahoo.com

From: [Tammy Godley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:21:01 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tammy Godley
123 Harvette Rd
Washington, NC 27889-8817
oohay2249@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [essence whitesides](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:23:03 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. essence whitesides
465 10th Avenue Dr NE Apt 9
Hickory, NC 28601-2652
essencewhitesodes@gmail.com

From: [Cody Killian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:24:02 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cody Killian
9475 Old Beatty Ford Rd
Rockwell, NC 28138-7668
codyminaj75@Yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Quintin Blackmon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:48:38 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Quintin Blackmon
1320 Rankin Rd
Cleveland, NC 27013-8770
queblackmon@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tiylisha Smyre](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:49:58 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tiylisha Smyre
160 Dutchman Rd
Harmony, NC 28634-9424
tiylisas@yahoo.com

From: [Randall Carr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:50:55 AM

Dec 15, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Randall Carr
644 Salisbury Rd
Statesville, NC 28677-6206
scanran@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Calvin Daniels](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:19:15 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Calvin Daniels
188 Oak Knoll Dr
Statesville, NC 28677-9336
buff2276@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Willie Parks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:50:22 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Willie Parks
280 Jaycee Park Loop
Taylorsville, NC 28681-6242
parkswillie54@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Anya Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:48:38 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anya Scott
2113 Ballingarry Dr
Statesville, NC 28625-8292
adscott2001@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sophie Capshaw-Mack](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:18:26 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sophie Capshaw-Mack
438 Dartmouth Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27104-2043
capshawm@live.unc.edu

From: [Oscar Revilla](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:43:35 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan.

Accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Oscar Revilla
Juan de Herrera
San Sebastian de los Reyes, NC 28024

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Douglas Blackwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 5:18:27 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Douglas Blackwell
329 Winchester Rd
Troutman, NC 28166-9644
blackwellslugger@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cam Pass](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 6:19:21 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cam Pass
1134 Caldwell St
Statesville, NC 28677-6624
Cpass704@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [robert stackhouse](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 6:48:57 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. robert stackhouse
166 Marcel Rd
Statesville, NC 28625-8891
stackhouserobert185@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tracy Ramseur](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 6:49:13 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tracy Ramseur
433 W Bell St
Statesville, NC 28677-5715
tracyramseur78@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Joyce Johnson Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:48:40 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joyce Johnson Mitchell
902 Unity Dr
Statesville, NC 28625-6281
jmhoneybun@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ebony Stevenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:48:58 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ebony Stevenson
1346 Lerain Ct
Statesville, NC 28677-6822
ebonystevenson00@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Donna Timberman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:49:14 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Donna Timberman
14909 Pawnee Trl
Matthews, NC 28104-5151
dtimbergirl@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [sherrod_jordan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:18:42 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. sherrod_jordan
1011 Knox Ave
Statesville, NC 28677-4902
dogpound1@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Maria Stevenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:18:42 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Maria Stevenson
1129 Well Spring Dr
Charlotte, NC 28262-3265
mariastevenson57@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tammy Tiffany](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:18:48 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tammy Tiffany
5212 Duraleigh Rd
Raleigh, NC 27612-7630
colourstylist@usa.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [john simpson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:19:08 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. john simpson
93 American Ave NE
Concord, NC 28025-3201
johnsimpson112877@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ebony Lassiter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:49:20 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ebony Lassiter
107 Greylin Loop Apt 102
Statesville, NC 28625-2806
nicoleebony704@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [April Chambers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:50:10 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. April Chambers
2214 Klein Rd
Wilmington, NC 28405-2722
Apes73superbettle@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sarah Weil](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:50:12 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sarah Weil
106 Lindsey St
Pittsboro, NC 27312-9301
splashrider@earthlink.net

From: [Valerie Reynolds](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:16:27 AM

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!! I am tired of having to explain to my children and my students why our Governor refuses to improve the state of our environment and our future. It is abhorrent that you will put your own interests above those of others. Just who, exactly, are you listening to anyway? I have never been so happy to know there is an election next year. This is truly sickening the way you have chosen to abandon our rights to clean water and air.

Dr. Valerie Reynolds
UNC Charlotte Geology Lecturer

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Valerie Reynolds
21225 Pine Ridge Dr.
Cornelius, NC 28031

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Patrice Stevenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:19:27 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Patrice Stevenson
1105 Lerain Ct
Statesville, NC 28677-6817
stevensonpatrice@aol.com

From: [Shannon Price](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:36:02 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Shannon Price
7525 Old Concord Rd.
Charlotte, NC 28213

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sharon Ashburn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:49:23 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sharon Ashburn
1909 Middlewood Ct
High Point, NC 27265-1438
sharon.ashburn@yahoo.com

From: [Marsha Ferguson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:51:58 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marsha Ferguson
7208 Bulb Rd
Julian, NC 27283-9202
mferguson7208@gmail.com

From: [Brenda McGee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:53:22 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda McGee

208 Castle Ridge Rd
New Bern, NC 28562

2526339552

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kathe Hoffman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:19:11 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathe Hoffman
201 Hampton Lee Ct Apt 1c
Cary, NC 27513-2454
kathe.with.an.e@gmail.com

From: [Dawn Crawley](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:27:36 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Dawn Crawley
2930 Colon Rd
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Jeremy Webb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:58:07 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Webb

Pisgah dr
Canton, NC 28716

From: [Serena Johns](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:07:37 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Serena Johns

2585 Bradford Ln
Maiden, NC 28650

From: [Steven Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:13:24 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Johnson

Po box 955
Swansboro, NC 28584

From: [kate marks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:13:49 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kate marks

211 split rail lane
hillsborough, NC 27278

919.619.0737

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [CYNTHIA MILLER](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:19:03 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. CYNTHIA MILLER
1128 S Meeting St
Statesville, NC 28677-6658
M.CYNT@YAHOO.COM

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rick Dixon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:19:49 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rick Dixon
5975 Beaver Creek Rd
New Hill, NC 27562-9032
bdog2000r@gmail.com

From: [Joan Bathanti](#)
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:49:10 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Joan Bathanti
2118 Linville Creek Road
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [Shatara Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:52:08 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shatara Jones
2106 Kennedy Dr
Statesville, NC 28677-4821
ssjones90@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jenny Lee](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:19:46 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jenny Lee
1845 Pinelog Ln
Greenville, NC 27834-4749
jennyrosaliex@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Samantha Torres](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:21:51 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Samantha Torres
165 Post Oak Ln
Sanford, NC 27330-9600
samiik@icloud.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Melody Beach](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:49:44 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Melody Beach
1812 Lowder St
Albemarle, NC 28001-4429
melody@ramcoapparel.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jessica Curry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 12:49:56 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessica Curry
705 Shady Meadow Ct
Winterville, NC 28590-8319
jessica.curry90@gmail.com

From: [Kyle Stoudt](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:17:35 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Kyle Stoudt
2815 Meeting St
Gastonia, NC 28054

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [marquita wellman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:19:40 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. marquita wellman
1521 W Sugar Creek Rd
Charlotte, NC 28262-4943
marquita.wellman@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jessica Michels](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:19:45 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jessica Michels
373 Lytle Cove Rd
Swannanoa, NC 28778-3706
jessica.michels87@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Laticia White](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:19:47 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Laticia White
317 Vernon Ln
Statesville, NC 28677-7179
whitelaticia@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Tracey Wasson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:20:05 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Tracey Wasson
812 Enwood Ct
Statesville, NC 28677-3776
traceywasson42@gmail.com

From: [Marissa Cyr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 1:22:37 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Marissa Cyr
237 Hunter Ln
Zebulon, NC 27597-5103
9166mc@gmail.com

From: [George Barnes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 2:31:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Barnes

P o box 14
Nags Head, NC 27959

From: [Courtney Mayes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:22:38 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Courtney Mayes
860 Lynchburg Dr
Jacksonville, NC 28546-6010
courtney.nicole@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Leah Cummings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:51:44 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Leah Cummings
667 Martin Rd
Saint Pauls, NC 28384-6836
cummingsleah16@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cartrell Eberhart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:21:04 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cartrell Eberhart
930 Faye St
Statesville, NC 28677-3584
trezzy34eberhart@gmail.com

From: [Kaitlin Pope](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:22:56 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kaitlin Pope
130 Kanuga Chapel Dr
Hendersonville, NC 28739-9520
kaitlinpope@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jeanne Ruder](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 5:22:08 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeanne Ruder
10412 Fairbrook Ter Apt 202
Raleigh, NC 27617-1849
dogonet@earthlink.net

From: [Kelly Bullard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 5:22:45 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kelly Bullard
477 Green Street
Fayetteville, NC 28313
kda0008@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Jen russell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 6:22:07 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jen russell
4 Casabelle Ct
Durham, NC 27713-8679
jen.m.russell@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Brenda Reese](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:21:48 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Brenda Reese
197eeason dr
ROCKINGHAM, NC 28379
brendareese67@gmail.com

From: [Jamee Warfle](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:36:26 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Jamee Warfle
30 Ocala St
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [D.Coleman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:51:02 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. D Coleman
106 Hanford Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-7703
coleman.daniel.a@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Vernia Dulin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:20:33 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Vernia Dulin
341 Deaton St
Statesville, NC 28677-3819
verniadulin@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Paul Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:21:17 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Paul Taylor
3519 Brentwood Rd
Raleigh, NC 27604-1649
pjtaylor7766@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [carryn kliesen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:22:03 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. carryn kliesen
4500 Cheshire Ct
Durham, NC 27705-1817
ponyonaboat@earthlink.net

From: [Colleen Ripley](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:25:03 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Colleen Ripley
1755 Camden Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Anthony Scott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:51:06 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Anthony Scott
4505 Tesla Park Dr
Wilmington, NC 28412-6964
bo1980scott@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [kim davison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:51:39 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kim davison
187 Coston Rd Lot 10
Richlands, NC 28574-7150
kimmedd@yahoo.com

From: dru.quarles
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:53:28 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. dru quarles
1700 Queens Rd
Charlotte, NC 28207-2508
druquarles@carolina.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Ann-Kathrin Cabanaa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:20:30 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Ann-Kathrin Cabanaa
625 Ravenhurst Cir
Spring Lake, NC 28390-3286
anka_hieke@gmx.de

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Stephanie Burke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:21:16 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Stephanie Burke
4519 Providence Rd
Charlotte, NC 28226-5111
sburke@globalbroadband.net

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Test Rabbit](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:21:22 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Test Rabbit
9125 Twin Trail Dr
Huntersville, NC 28078-6801
huntersvillental@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [lisette.cornwall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:21:29 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. lisette cornwall
1015 arn palm dr
sunset beach, NC 28468
lolkoops@yahoo.om

From: [HK GUNN](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:21:03 PM

Please clean up your environmental policy & finally do something we North Carolinians can be proud of instead of staying your dangerous & backwards & Nationally embarrassing course of protecting & promoting polluters.

We need you to do your job to PROTECT OUR PRECIOUS ENVIRONMENT.

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

HK GUNN
PROTECT OUR AIR & WATER WAY
Little Switzerland, NC 28749

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Heather Gilmore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:21:23 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Gilmore
514 S Kerr Ave
Wilmington, NC 28403-8419
haynepearish@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Wanda Faulk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:21:44 PM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Wanda Faulk
214 Mohawk Trl
Wilmington, NC 28409-3415
w.b.faulk@hotmail.com

From: rclemenzi@geothermaldesigncenter.org
To: [Burluson, Joelle](#)
Subject: I support a strong proposal to meet the Clean Power Plan rules
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 12:10:45 AM

Dear Ms Burluson,

I support a strong and effective state Clean Power Plan. We can meet all of the carbon pollution reductions required by EPA's new regulations with good leadership. I am told that DEQ's proposed rules for complying with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act fall well short of achieving the level of leadership required to meet the carbon reduction goals. That would be unfortunate, and I respectfully ask that the state's draft rules be changed to comply with the Clean Air Act.

We support a full conversion of our energy economy to Renewable Energy in North Carolina. We are already doing this in our Renewable Energy companies every day, and completely affordable Green Bond financing is readily available to fully convert our buildings to Geothermal Heating and Cooling and PV power. We can do this now and we should start now. And, if we start now, we can completely succeed.

We invite the NC DEQ to join with Net Zero Foundation (<http://netzerofoundation.org/>) and PACE-NC (<http://pace-nc.org/>) to make this change to Renewable Energy. We can definitely show DEQ how to meet the EPA's Clean Air Act -- the law of our land.

Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency should be a core part of the state's Clean Power Plan!

Thank you.

Richard A. Clemenzi, PE, CGD
Geothermal Design Center Inc.
828-772-5277
rclemenzi@geothermaldesigncenter.com
Certified GeoExchange Designer

NC 28814

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Betsy Stratemeyer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 12:20:38 AM

Dec 16, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Betsy Stratemeyer
9620 Bartons Creek Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615-9702
bstratemeyer@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Beth OHara](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:21:04 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Beth OHara
PO Box 2358
Atlantic Beach, NC 28512-2358
beohara@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Michelle Heinen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 6:21:26 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Michelle Heinen
13019 Lake Erie Ln
Charlotte, NC 28273-7146
michellemazoo@gmail.com

From: [Lucy Harrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 7:31:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lucy Harrison

257 Winners Circle
Clyde, NC 28721

From: [Nancy Yarosis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 7:33:51 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Yarosis

2375 Godwin Lake Rd
BENSON
Benson, NC 27504

2033647509

From: [David I](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 7:49:25 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David I

1037 FLT
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Gallina Fiedler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 7:51:41 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Gallina Fiedler
6723 Woodthrush Dr
Charlotte, NC 28227-1059
protectthem4ever@gmail.com

From: [Nancy Montgomery](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:20:06 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Montgomery

269 Pleasant Hill Loop Road
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

8282875405

From: [Suzanne Stanton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:37:26 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Stanton

321 Silverberry Ct
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Hunter Powell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:52:06 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Hunter Powell
1272 Brecken Ct
Kannapolis, NC 28081-5783
hpowell1@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Utley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:52:11 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Elizabeth Utley
232 Alphin Rd
Dunn, NC 28334-6076
reply@none.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lisa Parra](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:52:23 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Parra
3740 The Plaza
Charlotte, NC 28205-1242
lisaparra3@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Autumn Geisinger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:21:51 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Autumn Geisinger
13 Macie Ln
Candler, NC 28715-8704
a_geisinger@hotmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Karen Groce](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:22:07 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Karen Groce
8201 Legacy Oaks Pl
Asheville, NC 28803-4616
karen_groce@hotmail.com

From: [Ken Winter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:39:59 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ken Winter

705 Glosson Rd
Siler City, NC 27344

From: [Donald Dawson](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:49:38 AM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
 - The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
 - North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
 - The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
 - DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.
- . The current "head in the sand " cause that's what your financial backers require of you is not going to help your personal wealth enough to overcome the devastating effects of climate change. Your children and grand children are going to wonder what the f*** you were thinking. This is more important than your personal benefit. Clean energy presents an opportunity for our state to excell economically but ya'll are too hung up on the diet of misinformation fed to you by the ALEC. Come on people!

Sincerely,

Donald Dawson
1288 Garren Creek Rd.
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [taj.Keaton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:52:02 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. taj Keaton
922 S Meeting St
Statesville, NC 28677-6654
tajh942tk@gmail.com

From: [Robert du Rivage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:52:19 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert du Rivage

38 hurst dr
candler, NC 28715

8286332683

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Peg Snider](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:52:52 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Peg Snider
25 Sycamore St
Asheville, NC 28804-2722
dbl.latte7@gmail.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Kathleen Berry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:53:49 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Kathleen Berry
104 Cypress Knee Dr
Richlands, NC 28574-8389
sgtberryka@yahoo.com

From: [Daniel Overman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 10:01:35 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Overman

2403 Swans Rest Way
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Randy Harrison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 10:39:58 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randy Harrison

3942 NW Currawong Court
Camas, WA 98607

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [kathy ferri](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 10:52:35 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. kathy ferri
1001 River Dee Ct
Apex, NC 27502-4153
stuart59@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Lisa Gonzalez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 10:53:17 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Lisa Gonzalez
4452 Piedmont Trace Dr
Greensboro, NC 27409-9200
lisamgonzalez85@gmail.com

From: [Anamaria Moreno](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 11:13:29 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anamaria Moreno

7220 Eastover Dr
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sharon Shipwash](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 11:22:30 AM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sharon Shipwash
1752 First St
Asheboro, NC 27205-7426
sshipwash@gmail.com

From: [Sharon Mills](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 11:31:40 AM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Mills

224 Shady Summit Road
Hendersonville, NC 28792

(828) 216-3030

From: [Claudine Cremer](#)
To: [Burlison, Joelle](#)
Subject: Re: 111(d) Draft Rule Comments
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 12:38:16 PM

I support a strong state Clean Power Plan. Unfortunately, the N.C. Department of Environmental Quality's current proposed rules do not come close to achieving the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency goals, have failed to engage stakeholders as required, and fail to include clean energy resources in the proposal. Please accept the following comments on the draft clean power plan:

- Drafting a weak plan that the EPA is certain to reject increases the likelihood that North Carolina will have a federal plan implemented. That takes away an opportunity for North Carolina businesses and communities to provide input.
- The Clean Power Plan is an opportunity for every state to chart its clean energy future and rapidly expand renewable energy generation. North Carolina's goal is to reduce carbon pollution by 12.5% (from 2012 levels) by 2030 and the state is well-positioned to meet this target with increases in energy efficiency and renewable energy.
- North Carolina is well-positioned to meet the goals set forth in the Clean Power Plan if we build upon our solar and energy efficiency successes. Currently, there is no clean energy in the proposal written by the DEQ. Renewable energy and energy efficiency should be a core part of developing the state's Clean Power Plan.
- The EPA offers a Clean Energy Incentive Program, which provides incentives to develop clean energy and energy efficient projects that benefit low income communities. North Carolina should prioritize participation in this EPA program, rather than ignoring it.
- DEQ should provide transparent and meaningful engagement for minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples during the drafting of the state's plan, as required by the EPA.

Sincerely,

Claudine Cremer
260 Dula Springs Road
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Lillian Spiller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 12:49:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lillian Spiller

1206 Hill St
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Susan Ansley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 12:56:19 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Ansley
PO Box 402
Saluda, NC 28773-0402
sdansley@yahoo.com

From: [Daniel Bernard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:21:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bernard

120-A Kingston Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Amber Beckler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:21:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amber Beckler

9303 Tabriz pt
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Hanna Kiebel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:21:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hanna Kiebel

2830 16th Street NE
Apt. 95
Hickory, NC 28601

From: [Larissa Bowman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:21:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larissa Bowman

425 Flat Top Mountain Rd
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Katherine Solomita](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:21:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Solomita

1010 Leesburg Dr
Leland, NC 28451

From: [Tom Stilwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:21:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Stilwell

70 Timber Ridge Rd
Franklin, NC 28734

From: [John Turnage](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:22:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Turnage

90 Fred McLeod Ln
Coats, NC 27521

From: [Brett Wiegand](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:22:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brett Wiegand

307 King St
3H
Greensboro, NC 27406

3362126419

From: terry.lansdell
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:22:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

terry lansdell

421 minuet lane
charlotte, NC 28217

9802136446

From: [Mark Zalaznik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:23:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Zalaznik

2861 Skye drive
Fayetteville, NC 28303

From: [Levi Acuff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:23:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air for our children and grandchildren.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Levi Acuff

3158 Rabbit Ridge Road
Morganton, NC 28655

From: [Joanne Franklin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:23:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joanne Franklin

305 West Church Street
Elizabeth City, NC 27909

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Cynthia James](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:23:38 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Cynthia James
3314 Glenn Rd
Durham, NC 27704-3512
gatorbait@nc.rr.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Rebecca Cool](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:24:59 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Rebecca Cool
106 E B St
Newton, NC 28658-3306
Rebeccabarnhardt@yahoo.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Susan Drury](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:24:59 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Susan Drury
417 Heather Ln
Statesville, NC 28677-6040
susan.drury@yahoo.com

From: [WJ Richardson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

WJ Richardson

3712 Bryn Mawr Ct
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Jennifer Gentle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Gentle

405 Uwharrie St
Asheboro, NC 27203

From: [Melissa Williams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Williams

115 Estelle Park Drive
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Amber Graul](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:27 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Amber Graul
255 Edgewood Rd S
Asheville, NC 28803-1862
lousyhunter2@yahoo.com

From: [Ruben Orozco](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruben Orozco

860 Jarman Dr
Jamestown, NC 27282

From: [Sandi Spier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandi Spier

2127 bluff oak dr
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Kathy Jones](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Jones

290 Gary Dr
Angier, NC 27501

From: [Summer Causby](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Summer Causby

113 rural lane
Shelby, NC 28152

From: [Kirk Herrick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kirk Herrick

165 Broadview Cir
Mooresville, NC 28117

7045284821

From: [Dena Verdesca](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dena Verdesca

509 N Elizabeth ST
Durham, NC 27701

7187818089

From: [Brenda Denton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda Denton

30 Lawterdale Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

8282548650

From: [Catherine Bray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Bray

1029 W South St
Raleigh, NC 27603

From: [Robert Sons](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Sons

7010 Monroe rd
charlotte, NC 28212

From: [Joshua Kricker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joshua Kricker

224 Buteo Ridge
Pittsboro, 27312

From: [James Bengel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Bengel

20 Canterbury Ct
Wendell, NC 27591

From: [Jessica Rowe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Rowe

300 E Meadow Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [kim.Thomas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

kim Thomas

103 south 4th street
wilmington, NC 28401

3108741699

From: [Mary Pagan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Pagan

105 NE 4th St
Oak Island, NC 28465

From: [Hannah Borababy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hannah Borababy

96 Louisiana Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

2404607174

From: [Francis Penkava](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francis Penkava

9120 Post Canyon Ln
Apt 5108
Charlotte, NC 28213

From: [Erica Bagwell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erica Bagwell

100 Kilgore Rd
Waynesville, NC 28785

From: [Cornelia Cornils](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:25:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cornelia Cornils

218 seminole ave
Concord, NC 28925

From: [michele polzer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:26:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

michele polzer

5634 cottingham lane
charltn
Charlotte, NC 28221

7045762621

From: [Delois High](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:26:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Delois High

512 Tabb Dr
Gaston, NC 27832

From: [Rachel Stein](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:26:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Stein

94 Pearson Dr
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Cathy McLaughlin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:26:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy McLaughlin

934 W 5th St
winston Salem, 27101

From: [Danny Post](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:26:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danny Post

2329 Hunter Davis Ct
Monroe, NC 28110

7044419710

From: thomas.carlson-reddig
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:26:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

thomas carlson-reddig

5815 westpark drive
charlotte, NC 28217

7046493045

From: [Gary Cozzolino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:26:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Cozzolino

104 Glenhigh Ct
Cary, NC 27511

From: [jeremy kearney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:28:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

jeremy kearney

1312 pagan rd
Raleigh, NC 27603

7142332391

From: [Jeff Applewhite](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:28:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Applewhite

25 Ballard Court
Durham, NC 27712

9196203695

From: [Adrienne Reilly](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:28:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Reilly

338 Brookstone Lane
Columbus, NC 28722

From: [Sara Hardy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:28:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Hardy

300 W Hargett St
Apt 217
Raleigh, NC 27601

From: [Ingrid Fromm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:28:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ingrid Fromm

6601 Keystone Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Patricia Mckenzie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:29:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Mckenzie

3430 Kirklees Road
Winston Salem, NC 27104

From: [Barbara Bennett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:29:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bennett

4 Tilbury Court
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Michael Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:29:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

and basically you sorry gd excuse for a governor shoot yourself ...you greedy arrogant EVIL cocksucker

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

538 Stonemason Drive
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579

7345317873

From: [Wayne Mickel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wayne Mickel

178 Richland St
Asheville, NC 28806

8283670302

From: [Burt Melton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Burt Melton

112 Stone Point Court
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [Bob Coleman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Coleman

103 Candlelight Ct
Durham, NC 27707

919 490 6876

From: [Aaron Allen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aaron Allen

3601 Dogwood Dr
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Stephanie Phillippi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Phillippi

101 Dove Street
Carrboro, NC 27510

9199323369

From: [Carolyn Elkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Elkins

674 Pressley Creek Road
Cullowhee, NC 28723

From: [Mary Jo Fohner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Jo Fohner

1160 Peppertree Drive
Mount Holly, NC 28120

From: [Kristy Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kristy Thompson

5733 Furman Lane
Durham, NC 27712

From: [Jessica Webb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessica Webb

PO Box 1381
Bryson City, NC 28713

8287125599

From: [Thomas Atherton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Atherton

32 Spears Ave
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Nancy Nice](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Nice

2812 Silver Stirrup Lane
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Christy Kitson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christy Kitson

7633 summer pines way
Wake forest, NC 27587

From: [Kathleen Zeren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Zeren

24 Morgan St
Candler, NC 28715

From: [Bill Lea](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Lea

108 Enchanted Lane
Franklin, NC 28734

828/508-1751

From: [Gail Dragon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:31:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gail Dragon

114 East Street
Fuquay Varina, NC 27526

9196126021

From: [Victoria Hagemeister](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:32:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victoria Hagemeister

115 Scotland Rd
Camden, NC 27921

2523312026

From: [Jan Zollars](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:32:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jan Zollars

105 Scottlyn Ct
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Randy Bernard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:32:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randy Bernard

18 Plateau Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

8282982566

From: [Herbert Perry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herbert Perry

7286 Finch Rd
Bailey, ND 27807

From: [Roger Belanger](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Roger Belanger

523 meadowmont village circle
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

9195251965

From: [Eliza Root](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eliza Root

5111-F Strawberry Hill Drive
Charlotte, NC 28211

9802971112

From: [Charles Floyd](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Floyd

60 Caledonia Road
#107
Asheville, NC 28803

2024945612

From: [Patricia Cooper](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cooper

1050 Amity Rd
Asheboro, NC 27203

3369639145

From: [Carol Bentley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Bentley

2232-A Shade Valley Road
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Joy Fields](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. My son and husband suffer from asthma and we feel the effects of poor air quality.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are not supporting cleaner air for your constituents and the citizens of NC. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joy Fields

3500 Normandy Rd
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Brian Bordeaux](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Bordeaux

188 Tree Cutters
Sanford, NC 27332

From: [Raina SCOTT](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raina SCOTT

17 North St
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Jacelyn Eckman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacelyn Eckman

15 Maybury Ct
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Scott Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Brown

2204 Gordon Road
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Mark Palinski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Palinski

600 Preston Village Way
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Patricia Stover](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:33:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Stover

628 Tina Dr
Shelby, NC 28152

From: [Rana Halawani](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:34:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rana Halawani

201 Trackers Road
Cary, 27513

From: [Donald motley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:34:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald motley

po box 383
thomasville, NC 27361

3364754485

From: [Carlos Reyes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:34:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carlos Reyes

218 north tenth st
218 north tenth st
Sanford, NC 27330

9197993362

From: [Edward Stoddard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:34:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Stoddard

5805 Contour Dr
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Henry More](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:34:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Henry More

7010 Rock Creek Circle
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Michelle Wild](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:34:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wild

591 Nickel Creek Ct
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [Kieran Wright](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:35:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kieran Wright

5063 Tall Pines Ct
Raleigh, NC 27609

From: [Ebony Demory](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:35:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ebony Demory

2918 Catalina Road
Fayetteville, NC 28306

From: [Erica Walk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:35:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erica Walk

303 Ashwood Drive
Jacksonville, NC 28546

From: [Tom Koenig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:35:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

North Carolina has no real fossil fuels. We have plenty of flat terrain, sunshine and predictable wind and our electricity rate are waaaay too high. It only makes sense. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Koenig

482 Chicod St
Grimesland, NC 27837

2529451623

From: [Frances Armstrong](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:35:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frances Armstrong

264 Teachs Cove
Bath, NC 27808

252-923-1041

From: [Scott Bradley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Bradley

Box 402
Ocracoke, NC 27960

From: [Annie Whitted](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annie Whitted

3236 Dearborn Drive
Durham, NC 27704

9199282698

From: [Jeff Hindson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hindson

5507 Harbor Gate Ct
Greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Mark Ziesmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Ziesmer

2016 Planters Knoll Dr
Monroe, NC 28110

2679423738

From: [Ann Fisher](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Fisher

P O Box 173 112 Holly Hill Dr
Columbus, NC 28722

From: [Diane Thornton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Thornton

4704 Wilsham Court
Charlotte, NC 28226

704-998-8012

From: [Carl Shipes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Shipes

310 Ferguson St
Randleman, NC 27317

3364957674

From: [Matt Elliott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matt Elliott

108 Dwelling Place
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Nancy Gray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Gray

539 W Wilkinson St
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

8289641597

From: [Tom Barton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Barton

180 Broadmoor Lane Apt. R
Winston Salem, NC 27104

From: [Rabbi Philip Bentley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a scholar who has studied environmental ethics for over forty years, I know that our role vis a vis nature is one of stewardship rather than ownership. This is true in Jewish, Christian and Muslim traditions.

As an American I want to see our government and industry both to do what is needed to protect the quality of the soil, water, and air on which our lives depend.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rabbi Philip Bentley

111 White Water Drive
Hendersonvillw, NC 28792

From: [Parveen Baig](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Parveen Baig

7444 Lagrange Drive
Raleigh, NC 27613

9193418330

From: [Peter Charles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Charles

250 Bell Tower Drive
3144 Genome Sciences Building
Chapel hill, NC 27599

9193083839

From: [Eric Waite](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:37:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Waite

2664 Quakenbush Rd
Snow Camp, NC 27349

919-357-3203

From: [Patricia Kaufman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:38:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Kaufman

1719 Pembroke Jones Drive
Wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Clay Thornton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:38:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). We should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions for a host of reasons, one being the effects on climate change.

I'm perplexed and disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. I would think you would want North Carolinian's to have greater control on this issue and it does seem ironic that you would seemingly 'throw the baby out with the bathwater' when it comes to this plan.

Clean air is needed for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing to protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clay Thornton

5406 Dobson Rd
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Kenneth Bennett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:38:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Bennett

1410 East Gaston St
Lincolnton, NC 28092

From: [Donna Harris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:38:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Harris

16 Robinwood Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Avery Goldman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:38:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Avery Goldman

444 S Blount St
Suite 212
Raleigh, NC 27601

From: [Lauren Essick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:38:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lauren Essick

3390 mountain dale rd
Vilas, NC 28692

From: [Carol Mohr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Please come and join us in the 21st century! I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Mohr

47 bellemont
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Viola Baldwin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Viola Baldwin

6708A Glendower RD
Raleigh, NC 27613

9194174597

From: [Laura Love](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Love

196 Victory Ln
Ronda, NC 28670

From: [Alisa Ostwalt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alisa Ostwalt

232 Essic Road
Mocksville, NC 27028

From: [Jeff Headley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeff Headley

4006 Vallonia Drive
Cary, NC 27519

From: [Anthony Artis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Artis

5187 NC Hwy 96 N
Selma, NC 27576

919 631 2823

From: [Claudia Kaplan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Claudia Kaplan

4911 Victoria Dr
Dutham, NC 27713

From: [Neil Infante](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Neil Infante

314 churn grove blvd
hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [Chris Craven](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:39:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Craven

164 Mandarin Drive
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [Karen Rund](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karen Rund

6759 Greenway Rd
Oxford, NC 27565

9196991337

From: [Mike Giles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Giles

309 West Salisbury Street
Wrightsville Beach, NC 28480

9102316687

From: [Cathy Brunick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Brunick

14133 Walkers Crossing Dr
Charlotte, NC 28273

From: [Iliana Hidalgo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Iliana Hidalgo

3915 Garrett rd
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Elaine Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elaine Anderson

109-57 Oakmont Drive
Greenville, NC 27858

From: [Daniel Bair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bair

1 pine tree loop
Broadway, NC 27505

7248334788

From: [Anna Lockhart](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Lockhart

5300 Corbarron CT
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Carol Rawleigh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Rawleigh

1413 WHilden Place
Greensboro, NC 27408

From: [Paul Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Smith

7308 Harps Mill Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615

9198184959

From: [Cammie Bishop](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cammie Bishop

1951 Grassy Knob road
Rutherfordton, NC 28139

From: [Doris E Bornkessel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doris E Bornkessel

116 Fountain Ridge Place
Holly Springs, NC 27540

From: [Eileen Morales](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Morales

6208 Clarkwood Circle
Greensboro, NC 27410

From: [Gary Mitchell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Mitchell

PO Box 596
Ocracoke, NC 27960

From: [Christine Conley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:40:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Conley

4800B Walden Ct
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Rob Mackie](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:41:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rob Mackie

1000 Vickie Drive
Cary, NC 27511

9196211245

From: [Deby Jizi](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:41:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deby Jizi

3216 Kemptown Square
Waxhaw, NC 28173

7046216792

From: [Heather Silvia](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:42:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Silvia

2415 Sides Rd
Rockwell, NC 28138

7042022185

From: [Kerry Bullock-Ozkan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kerry Bullock-Ozkan

104 Pine Hill Dr
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Nancy Newberry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Newberry

100 Club Dr Ste 190
Burnsville, NC 28714

From: [Alison Smitley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alison Smitley

916 Devonport Drive
Raleigh, NC 27610

9193086406

From: [Marilyn Stemp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Stemp

229 Brambleton Ct
WINSTON SALEM, NC 27106

From: [gregory jaynes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

gregory jaynes

4061 johannes ln
pfafftown, NC 27040

From: [John Donadieu](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Donadieu

521 Chase Prairie Lane
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [Kathy Gaines](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Gaines

506 Forest Lake Rd
Fayetteville, NC 28305

From: [Anthony Artis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Artis

5187 NC Hwy 96 N
Selma, NC 27576

919 631 2823

From: [Sebastian Hibbard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sebastian Hibbard

100 Raleigh St
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Robert Sowa](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Sowa

301 Abbey Ln
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Dianne McQueen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dianne McQueen

4001 brookview
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Dave kilian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave kilian

4204 Azalea Drive
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Nancy Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

As a North Carolinian, a mother and a grandmother, I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our future, our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Brown

48 Elijah Hall Rd
PO Box 1548
Black Mountain, NC 28711

8286691818

From: [Janet Palmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I have a granddaughter who's been diagnosed with asthma, and I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Instead of leading the way toward a healthy environment, you've chosen to follow short-term interests--a disappointing and flawed strategy.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janet Palmer

136 Castle Heights
Boone, NC 28607

From: [Joyce Loring](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joyce Loring

4501 Wingate Drive
Raleigh, NC 27609

9198727432

From: [Diane Whitlock](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Whitlock

112 E. Marshall St
WAYNESVILLE, NC 28786

From: [Darren Bedell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:40 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

What is going on in our state?

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Darren Bedell

PO Box 20237
Raleigh, NC 27619

From: [Dan Mathews](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dan Mathews

314 Beaver Creek Rd
Lexington, NC 27295

From: [Cathy Handy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Handy

2524 Covington Loop
Graham, NC 27253

From: [Martha Reiskind](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:43:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air. Please do not turn your back on science and the future of our planet for our children and their children,

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Reiskind

2825 Old Orchard Rd
Raleigh, NC 27607

831-419-7586

From: [nina.corbett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:44:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

nina.corbett

2558 pond drive n
wilson, NC 27896

From: [Julia Colton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:45:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

It's so scary to look at the big picture and see the damage we've done already. For the health and well being of all generations behind us, we have to make the clean choice

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Colton

1800 Eastwood rd
#171
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Kevin Hofmaenner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:45:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Hofmaenner

1408 Ruffin Street
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Don Clapp](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:46:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don Clapp

PO Box 989
Saluda, NC 28773

7047500411

From: [Tracy Gulbenkian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:46:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy Gulbenkian

600 scaleybark rd
Charlotte, NC 28209

203-559-5607

From: [Katherine Barr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:46:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine Barr

6801 Sandwell Lane
206
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Jennifer Ransom-Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:46:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Ransom-Smith

813 Pineburr Rd
Jamestown, NC 27282

3368834684

From: [Brenda Pressley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:46:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda Pressley

PO Box 1060
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Brian Kline](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:47:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Kline

3142 Groveshire Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616

9196734810

From: [Sheryl Kohn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:47:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Kohn

211 E Quail Ridge Rd
Oxford, NC 27565

From: [Melinda Vann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:47:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melinda Vann

30802 Blounts Bay Lane
Blounts Creek, NC 27814

From: [Alexis LaMere](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:47:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alexis LaMere

3265 Northwest Trace
Elon, NC 27244

From: [Nancy Jimerson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:48:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Jimerson

139 W Lakefield Dr
Greensboro, NC 27406

3362741257

From: [Eric Helff](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:48:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Helff

102 Jesnick Lane
Cary, NC 27519

From: [nasser vakili](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

nasser vakili

301 coalyard drive
Garner, NC 27529

From: [Philip Rees](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Rees

750 Weaver Dairy Rd #170
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Evelyn Vincent](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Vincent

1415 Farwell Drive
Fayetteville, NC 28304

910-425-2520

From: [Donna M](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna M

Rinderle
Charlotte, NC 28270

7049049497

From: [Kameron Dennis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kameron Dennis

5483 Cocker Spaniel Ct
Iron Station, NC 28080

From: [Edgar zeferino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edgar zeferino

2718 w mountain street
kernersville, 27284

3369265816

From: [Laurie Armstrong](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurie Armstrong

2651 Bloomsbury Manor Dr
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Kate Bond](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Bond

595 Highland View Lane
Mill Spring, NC 28756

From: [JEff Rose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JEff Rose

3200 HARDENRIDGE CT
APEX, NC 27539

From: [Kristen Bretz](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

You and your administration look like petulant children throwing a tantrum because things aren't going your way. Clean power is the future whether you like it or not, and standing in the way of that is bad for business, our health, and the environment (...not that you care about the latter two).

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Stop making the state look bad; you're embarrassing yourselves AND US.

Sincerely,

Kristen Bretz

124 Hillcrest Rd
Raleigh, NC 27605

From: [Evans Prater](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evans Prater

19 N Liberty St
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [G.D. Anderson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

G.D. Anderson

Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Michael Green](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Green

411 hidden springs dr
Durham, NC 27703

From: [Rinat Harel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:49:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rinat Harel

2411 Greenway Ave
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [Kathy Reynolds](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:51:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Reynolds

300 Allen Mountain Dr
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Michael Price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:51:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Price

106 Melvin street
Belmont, 28012

From: [Anita Pallay](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:51:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anita Pallay

3821 heritage spring circle
Wake forest
Wake forest, NC 27587

From: [Robert Delavega](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:51:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Delavega

3001 groves edge lane
marvin, 28173

From: [Bill Robinson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Robinson

3830 Jackson St
Apt L 13
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Jill Twark](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Twark

106 North Harding St
Greenville, NC 27858

2523531499

From: [Ashley Hawkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ashley Hawkins

3401 Luther street
Winston Salem, NC 27127

8035564648

From: [Laura England](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura England

279 Makenzie Lane
Sugar Grove, NC 28679

From: [Charles Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Moore

3948 Hickwood Forest ct
High Point, NC 27265

From: [Travis Graves](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Travis Graves

200 7th Street
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Patricia Heuermann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Heuermann

210 Crowfields Drive
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Jeri Graham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:52:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeri Graham

2000 Country Club Court
Sanford, NC 27332

9194994228

From: [Jamie Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:53:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jamie Johnson

103 baker farm rd
hampton, VA 23321

From: [julie nutter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:54:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

julie nutter

1051 old henrietta road
forest city, NC 28043

From: [Michael Moore](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Moore

150 Houndstooth Trail
Boone, NC 28607

8289632720

From: [Patricia Creighton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Creighton

111 Indiana Ave
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Karin Lukas-Cox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karin Lukas-Cox

3200 Mill Pond Road
Charlotte, NC 28226

From: [Chad Chandler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chad Chandler

5108 Damask Ct
Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526

From: [Tania Byers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tania Byers

1928 Silverstone Dr
Gastonia, NC 28052

From: [Andrew Quinn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Quinn

2875 flat gap rd
Valdese, NC 28690

From: [Erin McCully](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am VERY disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air and protect US!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin McCully

PO Box 583
Webster, NC 28788

8283337077

From: [Alexander Osborn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alexander Osborn

2515 Wunningham Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9516670049

From: [Victry lamar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:55:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victry lamar

510 uwharrie street
ASHEBORO, NC 27203

3366535934

From: [Adrianna Shelton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:56:06 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Adrianna Shelton
47 E Main St
Marion, NC 28752-3245
shelton.adrianna@gmail.com

From: [William Reddy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:56:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

What ye sow so shall ye reap. I hope you might find it in your heart to stop sowing the seeds of environmental catastrophe. My first grandchild was born on Monday. Do you have any, or hope to have any? Think of them today.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Reddy

105 Mimosa Dr
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Jackie Hofmaenner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:56:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jackie Hofmaenner

1408 Ruffin St
Durham, NC 27701

From: [Deb Skolnik](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:56:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Skolnik

debskolnik@yahoo.com
debskolnik@yahoo.com
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Sondra Vitols](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:56:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sondra Vitols

8208 Bald Eagle Lane
Wilmington, NC 28411

917-449-5407

From: [Victry lamar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:57:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victry lamar

510 uwharrie street
ASHEBORO, NC 27203

3366535934

From: [James Howe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:57:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Howe

1444 Roaring Fork Rd
Hot Springs, NC 28743

From: [Jody Ford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:57:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jody Ford

102 belles way
New bern, NC 28562

From: [Lamie Hardman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:57:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lamie Hardman

319 Songbird Lane
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [RICHARD MCCRARY](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:58:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

RICHARD MCCRARY

1759 yellowstone court # I
I
gastonia, NC 28054

From: daniel.bossut
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:59:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

daniel bossut

109 W 13th st
Greenville, NC 27834

From: [Robert Wallen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 1:59:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Wallen

302 JEB Stuart Drive
Wilmington, NC 28412

From: [Diane Pyun](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:01:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Pyun

813 Woodburn Road
Raleigh, NC 27605

919-412-4564

From: [Lesia Mills](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:01:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lesia Mills

PO Box 1183
Clayton, NC 27528

From: [Laura Booth](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:01:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Booth

109 W Summersby
Fort mill, SC 29715

From: [Morgan Tucker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:01:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Morgan Tucker

601 park south blvd
Arden, NC 28704

From: [Jane Volland](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:01:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Volland

1013 Homer St
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Ruth Talley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:02:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ruth Talley

212 Pollock St
Beaufort, NC 28516

From: [Mary Blake](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:02:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Blake

908 Harrill St
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Janice Bolick](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:02:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Bolick

2863 12th Ave SE
HICKORY, NC 28602

828-855-2131

From: [Tim Howell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:03:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Howell

329 Lipscomb Road
Angier, NC 27501

9192185192

From: [Czavier Tan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:03:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Czavier Tan

170 Fawn Ln
Mooresville, NC 28117

From: [Brien Deuteran](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:03:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burlison,

My son is an Environmental Science major at UNC-CH and has shared so many of his findings through education about the importance of keeping North Carolina headed in the right direction environmentally. We had been on such a progressive track, please do not take us backwards! Our beautiful state deserves clean energy, not only for our own benefits and enjoyment, but for the continued economic need to attract others to our state for both tourism and new business.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brien Deuteran

107 W Brentwood Rd
Greensboro, NC 27403

From: [robin.kohanowich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:04:10 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

robin kohanowich

329 W.Smith Rd
pittsboro, NC 27312

919-545-9256

From: [Joe Grimes](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:04:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Grimes

613 Casey Drive
Grifton, NC 28530

2525241186

From: [Tom Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:05:22 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Warren

7304 Demly Ct
Wilmington, NC 28411

From: [Jocelyn Moon](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:05:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jocelyn Moon

615 Howard Street
Archdale, NC 27263

3364347314

From: [levie lepinski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:07:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

levie lepinski

43 Pirates Cove dr
Swansboro, NC 28584

From: [Edwina Zagami](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:07:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edwina Zagami

2 Timberlyne Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

9199670404

From: [Isaac Riley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:07:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I don't know about you, Governor, but I personally want to live on a planet with clean air, water, and land. And if that's not a problem for you, then don't drag us down the path of pollution and smog.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isaac Riley

5142 Arbor Chase Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Paige Marsh](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:07:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paige Marsh

206 Penn Lake Dr
Reidsville, NC 27320

From: [Margaret Galbraith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:07:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Galbraith

2337 Churchill road
Raleigh, NC 27608

From: [Daniel McCullough](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:07:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel McCullough

2520 Brassfield Rd
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Amany Amra](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:07:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amany Amra

115 Rocklyn Ln
Apex, NC 27502

From: [Brittany Auten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:08:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brittany Auten

626 Knollwood St
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Lori Campbell](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:08:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lori Campbell

P.O. Box 5307
Cary, NC 27512

From: [Suzanne Null](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:09:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Null

69 Grove Circle
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [M. Bernardo`](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:10:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

M Bernardo`

30 Ravenscroft Drive
Asheville, NC 28801

From: [Sharon Ambrose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:10:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Ambrose

108 W Skyhawk Dr
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Pamela Morris](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:10:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Morris

2688 Jenkins Lake Ct
Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526

From: [Sarah Warren](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:10:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Warren

921 Brookgreen drive
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Cindy Perno](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:13:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Perno

1231 Spot Lane
Carolina Beach, NC 28428

From: [Judith Walter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:13:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Walter

11600 Calgary Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Keith Cutler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:13:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Keith Cutler

99 Jackson St
#1708
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [vance REECE](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:13:39 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

vance REECE

10C Twin Lakes Drive
Pittabro, NC 27312

From: [Janice Blackham](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:13:42 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Blackham

70 Lucerne Avenue
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Michael Jackson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:13:43 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Jackson

116 N. Running Deer Circle
Dudley, NC 28333

9197098254

From: [Elias Jeyarajah](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:13:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elias Jeyarajah

5505 Killarney Hope Dr
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Brace Boone III](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:14:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brace Boone III

408 Elm St
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Tilda Prosser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:14:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tilda Prosser

2888 Beaty Rd
Gastonia, NC 28056

From: [Debra Stephenson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:15:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debra Stephenson

421 Joe Collins Road
Lillington, NC 27546

9106581306

From: [Mary Solesky](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:15:58 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Solesky

PO Box 1991
North Wilkesboro, NC 28659

From: [Margaret Dugan](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:16:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Dugan

10 Chestnut Top Circle
Mills River, NC 28759

From: [Bridget Allison](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:16:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bridget Allison

714 Skytop Road
Waxhaw, 28173

From: [Laura Heise](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:16:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Heise

3396 Alston Chapel Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Doris Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:16:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doris Wilson

6624 Professor St
Raleigh, NC 27616

From: [Nancy Fauser](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:16:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Fauser

405 W. Mountain St
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [Jay Henson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:16:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jay Henson

2320 Bristers Spring Way
Apex, NC 27523

From: [David Elliston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:16:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Elliston

349 Old Toll Circle
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [Tammy Bleier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:17:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tammy Bleier

2620 Northchase Pkwy SE
G104
Wilmington, 28405

5859449038

From: peter.cullen
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:18:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

peter cullen

1013 shaker ct
winston-salem, NC 27104

From: [Margaret Spallek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:18:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Spallek

13 Lagoon Drive
Wrightsville Beach, NC 28480

From: [Dave Rogers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:19:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave Rogers

1616 Delaware Ave
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Nancy Mueller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:19:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mueller

409 Moonridge Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199424937

From: [Larry Tollen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:19:34 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Larry Tollen

2833 McDowell Rd
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Elizabeth Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:19:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

The CPP for NC needs to reduce our reliance on costly, outdated, inefficient, dirty and toxic waste generating energy systems and spur smart local clean energy innovations. I drive an EV, own a HERS rated EE Home with solar panels and have reduced both our utility bills and CO2 emissions by 20% since 2003. I choose to work, shop and invest with companies that have a 100% RE and EE Strategies. Solar powered Electric buses, E-trains, E-homes, and E-vehicles and Smart Grids are technologies with unlimited potential to solve urban problems. The CPP for NC needs to focus on empowering communities, businesses and individuals to transition to a clean, renewable, energy efficient economy, creating local green jobs. NC should be a Climate Leader in quickly reducing GHG emissions while also cutting costs and risks for NC citizens and businesses. Rate-payers should not be absorbing the high costs and risks of new nuclear or behind the fence-line efficiency improvements.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Adams

103 Larkspur Lane
Cary, NC 27513

From: [Janice Hansen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:20:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Janice Hansen

155 Mill Pond Ln
Mooresville, NC 28115

From: [Lydia Eller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:20:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lydia Eller

409 Kentwood Street SW
Lenoir, NC 28645

From: [nicole hernandez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:21:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

nicole hernandez

301 blooming meadows road
holly springs, NC 27540

9195865782

From: [Pam Frome](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:22:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pam Frome

Drive
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Jeremiah Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:22:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeremiah Johnson

109 Hite Lane
Garner, NC 27529

From: [john baker](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:22:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

john baker

251 wiltshire circle
fletcher, NC 28732

From: [Deb Bair](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:22:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Bair

525 Lydia Perry
Sanford, NC 27330

From: [Melissa Daniel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:22:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Daniel

304 E Maple Ave
Holly Springs, NC 27540

From: [Calvert Pelletier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:22:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Calvert Pelletier

Windsor ridge dr
Charlotte, NC 28277

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Sandi Maki](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:23:25 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Sandi Maki
110 Lonnie Mack Dr
Nebo, NC 28761-6118
sandi.l.maki53@gmail.com

From: [Jean Ulrich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:23:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions. As an person who has asthma, this is an important issue to me.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jean Ulrich

223 Foxcroft Drive
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Julia Wiltshire](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:25:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Wiltshire

5544 Sunlight Dr
#308
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Mary Anne K](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:25:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Anne K

3400 Monadnock Ridge
Efland, NC 27243

From: [Ken Atwood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:25:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ken Atwood

2953 Denton Road
Thomasville, NC 27360

From: [Jocelyn Lucas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:25:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jocelyn Lucas

204 east street south east
204 east street south east
wilson, NC 27893

From: [Krista McKinney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:25:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Krista McKinney

804 St. Andrews Dr. Apt. J
Wilmington, NC 28412

3373969991

From: [Beth Roose](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:25:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Roose

411 north 4th st
Wilmington, NC 28401

+19106124471

From: [Sarah Colvin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:28:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Colvin

2524 Riceville Rd
Asheville, NC 28805

From: [Herbert House](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:28:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herbert House

1921 W Front
Burlington, NC 27215

3362221923

From: [R. Schlagal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:28:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

R. Schlagal

18723 Hwy 88
Creston, NC 28615

From: [Julia Scarborough](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:28:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Scarborough

632 Timberline Ridge Ln
Winston Salem, NC 27106

From: [J. Dan Pittillo](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:28:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J. Dan Pittillo

675 Cane Creek Road
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Russell Pence](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:28:13 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Russell Pence

3301 Falconhurst
Wake Forest, NC 27587

From: [Kim Hammill](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kim Hammill

1369 st Stephens ch rd
Gold hill, 28061

From: [Mary Veal](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Veal

1812 Ellison Creek
Lewisville, NC 27023

From: [Elizabeth Adams](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Adams

195 Nicks Bend W
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Mary Bridges](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

Common sense measures to reduce pollution and protect our environment are essential. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Bridges

PO Box 415
Goldsboro, NC 27533

From: [Eric Norman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Norman

281 Linville Rd
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [Rose Jenkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rose Jenkins

2 Westhaven Dr
Asheville, NC 28804

5407175605

From: [Andrea Vargas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Vargas

8430 Newfane rd
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Sherrie Langston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:31:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherrie Langston

142 Becky Lane
Princeton, NC 27569

From: [Audrey Godhshall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:32:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audrey Godhshall

174 Summer Haven Rd
Swannnoa, NC 28778

From: [Charles Cotter](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:32:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

May I suggest you do your research. I do this when I teach my classes. 95% of all scientist today state that climate change is happening. They also state that Carbon Dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels is the source of this increase. I find it incredible that you and others insist burying your head in the sand. Our Outer Banks is going to pay a heavy price. Our temperatures lately have been record breaking. We are experiencing climate change now. You Must Act!

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Cotter

PO Box 66
1242 Knight Road
Pine Hall, NC 27042

From: [Meredith Emmett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:33:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meredith Emmett

1301 Alabama Ave
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Erica Rapport Gringle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:33:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erica Rapport Gringle

2014 Wilson St
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Amanda Zimmer](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:34:02 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Zimmer

34 Robeson St
Spring Lake, NC 28390

From: [Trevor Shelton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:34:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Trevor Shelton

2739 Westmore Ct
WINSTON SALEM, NC 27103

From: [Peter van Dorsten](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:34:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter van Dorsten

7301 Rainwater Rd
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Perri Morrison Smith](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:37:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Perri Morrison Smith

235 Rollins Rd
Marshall, NC 28753

8287740312

From: [Rachel Clarke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:37:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rachel Clarke

2939 Wycliff Road
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Gary Gartner](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:37:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Gartner

6 Scotland Pl
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Robert Taylor](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:37:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Taylor

523 Westwood Place
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Olympia Canady](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:37:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Olympia Canady

1658 Halltown Rd
Jacksonville, NC 28546

From: [Isa Ferrall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:37:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isa Ferrall

103 Windfall Court
Cary, NC 27518

From: [Donna R](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:38:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna R

Condor Ct
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Lucie Laberge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:39:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lucie Laberge

6442 Donnegan Farm Rd
Charlotte, NC 28270

From: [Susan Henning](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:40:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Henning

558 east road
Pittsboro, NC 27312

From: [Vicki Fuller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:40:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vicki Fuller

4310 holt school rd
Durham, NC 27704

From: [Marolyn McDiarmid](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:43:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marolyn McDiarmid

94 Log Barn Road
Pittsboro, NC 27312

9195422593

From: [NancyLee Spears](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:43:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

NancyLee Spears

1212 Red Oak Avenue
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Pamela Brownscombe](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:43:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Brownscombe

1404 Cortland Road West
Charlotte, NC 28209

From: [Randy Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:44:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Randy Miller

12537 PRESERVATION POINTE DR
CHARLOTTE, NC 28216

From: [Paul Southcott](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:44:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Southcott

59 Eden Glen Rd
Black Mountain, NC 28711

From: [John Owens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:45:47 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Owens

2254 Old Oak Rd
Kinston, NC 28504

From: [Frans Verhagen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:45:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frans Verhagen

327 Carolina Meadows Villa
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

9192407164

From: [Elisabeth Bechmann](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:45:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Bechmann

Neugebäudeplatz
St. Pölten, NO 03100

From: [Maritza Melara](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:46:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maritza Melara

5202 Notrthlanc Ct
Mcleansville, NC 27301

From: [Jen Brown](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:46:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jen Brown

10708 Chesson Drive
Raleigh, NC 27614

9194246941

From: [Jan Fagerberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:46:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jan Fagerberg

5201 Country Pines Court
raleigh, NC 27616

9198155751

From: [Patty Shipman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:46:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patty Shipman

3140 Chatham Church Rd
Moncure, NC 27559

From: [Renee Lawson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:47:20 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Lawson

4916 Lighthouse Court
Winston Salem, NC 27127

From: [Melissa Sempowski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:47:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Sempowski

3021 Pump Station Lane
Durham, NC 27712

From: [peter grant](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:47:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

peter grant

400 ward st
graham, NC 27253

From: [Mary Blevins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:47:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Blevins

2520 White Thorne Ln
Waxhaw, NC 28173

From: [Kathy Collins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:47:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathy Collins

3664 Bridgewater drive
Southport, NC 28461

From: [Heather Rankine-Long](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:48:01 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Rankine-Long

12106 Journeys End Trail
Huntersville, 28078

From: [Kirk Folk](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:49:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kirk Folk

3134 Dunlavin Way
Charlotte, NC 28205

2075963063

From: [teresa.curry](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:49:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

teresa curry

6723 Mintwood court
wilmington, NC 28405

From: [Ian Draughn](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:49:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ian Draughn

5329 Masonboro Loop Rd
Wilmington, NC 28409

From: [David Tate](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:49:36 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Tate

25 Timberhill Dr
Canton, NC 28716

From: [Scott James](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:50:18 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott James

324 Beaver Dam Run
324
Durham, NC 27703

3364036030

From: [Katherine van Zandt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:51:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Katherine van Zandt

933 Burnley Road
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [alyzza may](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:51:50 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

alyzza may

2016 brice st
greensboro, NC 27403

From: [Anne Hopkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:51:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Hopkins

4705 Stafford Drive
Durham, NC 27705

From: [Patricia Shine](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:53:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Shine

911 shipyard Pt
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Elizabeth Conroy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:55:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Conroy

2811 welcome drive
Durham, NC 27705

9194931238

From: [RoseMarie Dombrowski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:55:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

RoseMarie Dombrowski

1105 Queensbury Circle
Durham, NC 27713

9197970076

From: [Pam Marvel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:55:27 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pam Marvel

2127 willow hill lane
Clayton, NC 27520

From: [Jeffrey Wells](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:55:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I have lived in NC most of my 45 years! The environment is so important to me and my children.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Get a grip on the reality for the common people here. Have a conscience!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Wells

505 Kenion Road
Hillsborough, NC 27278

From: [John Rawlings](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:55:35 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Rawlings

9322 Stantonsburg Road
Walstonburg, NC 27888

From: [Diana Thompson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:55:36 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Diana Thompson
259 Liberty Rd
Candler, NC 28715-8450
DThomRN2@aol.com

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [Heather Wood](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:55:57 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Heather Wood
1421 Raybon Dr
Wendell, NC 27591-9318
heathermichelle1077@gmail.com

From: martha.calloway
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:56:04 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. martha calloway
26 Log Cabin Trl
Candler, NC 28715-8457
mtewcs@yahoo.com

From: [Shannon Napier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:56:28 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Shannon Napier
3503 Cole Mill Rd
Durham, NC 27712-3245
pookiethemonsterdog@gmail.com

From: [Frank Peplowski](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:57:57 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

As cheap oil and fossil fuels continue to glut the market, we do not need to expand offshore drilling in any way, shape or form. North Carolina's natural resources are far more important than developing relationships with the energy industry, even at the expense of fewer jobs.

I am very disappointed that the NC environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Peplowski

522 Tanners Park Ct
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

From: [Ajay Deshpande](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:58:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ajay Deshpande

431 westfalen dr
cary, NC 27519

From: [Cam Malcolm](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:58:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cam Malcolm

4468 Yoruk Forest Ln
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [Linda Barnett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:58:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Barnett

4100 Thetford Road, Durham, NC
Durham, NC 27707

9197406496

From: [Anne Burgess](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:58:09 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Burgess

3740 U.S. Highway 321 South
Blowing Rock, NC 28605

From: [Brian Dumas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:59:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Dumas

7513 Boscobel Way
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Martin Greenhut](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 2:59:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Greenhut

POB 31
Marshall, NC 28753

From: [Robert Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:01:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Robert Johnson

102 club view court
kill devil hills, NC 27948

From: [Mary Stoudt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:03:51 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Stoudt

116 Jimmy Court
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

2524419377

From: [Montie Hamby](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:03:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Montie Hamby

1175 Romano ct
Winston Salem, NC 27103

336 659 0956

From: [Melissa Holmberg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:03:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Holmberg

1000 Sabre Ct
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

9199699714

From: [Margueritte Cox](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:04:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margueritte Cox

834 Millspring Drive
Durham, NC 27705

From: [susan hendrickson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:05:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

susan hendrickson

4005 Gilmore dr
Greensboro, NC 27407

3362993091

From: [Christine Bertha](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:07:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Bertha

737 Loch Highlands dr
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Moni Choudhury](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:07:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Moni Choudhury

507westminster dr
Chapel hill, NC 27514

From: [Jill Pike](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:07:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill Pike

8128 Calais Court
Raleigh, NC 27613

From: [Richard Hicks](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:07:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Richard Hicks

2930 Eddystone Ln
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Helen Kline](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:08:08 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Kline

7031 globe rd
Lenoir, NC 28645

From: [Michelle Miller](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:08:19 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Miller

306 Timber Ridge Drive
Hubert, NC 28539

From: [Howard Bowers](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:08:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Howard Bowers

4602 Zaldivar Way
RALEIGH, NC 27612

From: [allan pratt](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:09:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

allan pratt

105 nahunta pines drive
pikeville, NC 27863

From: [Mark Korey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:10:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Korey

5008 Knaresborough Rd
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [john mooney](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:10:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

john mooney

2512 veranda lane
greensboro, NC 27455

From: [Michele Hewson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:13:03 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele Hewson

706 Ashby Dr
Davidson, NC 28036

From: [Carrie Williford](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:13:12 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carrie Williford

6416 Valley Estates Dr
Raleigh, NC 27612

6783718085

From: [K Packard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:13:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

K Packard

8804 Gotherstone
Raleigh, NC 27615

From: [Karaya McMurtray](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:13:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Karaya McMurtray

3114 Douglas st
Raleigh, NC 27607

From: [Margot Ringenburg](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:14:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margot Ringenburg

720 Sugar Spring Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Laura Runge](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:14:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Runge

2126 Lands End Way SW
Supply, NC 28462

From: [Charlotte Layton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:14:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Layton

888 Cannon Street
Front Royal, VA 22630

From: [Beth Collom](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:17:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Collom

721 Governor Morrison Street
Charlotte, NC 28211

From: [William Wilson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:17:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Wilson

205 Kirkwood Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

From: [Laura Neidich](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:17:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura Neidich

2032 Hartwicke place
Charlotte, NC 28270

From: [Bill Medlin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:18:21 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Medlin

1 Perdue Place
Asheville, NC 28806

3365588239

From: [Raye Duke](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:19:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Raye Duke

9900 Avon Farm Lane
Charlotte, NC 28269

7573343610

From: [Carl Martin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:19:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carl Martin

665 E. Chatham St. #1
Newport, NC 28570

From: [Barton Armstrong](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:19:31 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barton Armstrong

2757 Toxey Drive
Raleigh, NC 27609

919-782-0770

From: [Nancy Silver](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:21:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Silver

2400 Timber Pl
Asheville, NC 28804

From: [Maggie McKinley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:22:11 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maggie McKinley

1372 Harbour Dr. Apt A
Wilmington, NC 28401

From: [Kathryn Charles](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:22:17 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Charles

2715 Old Highway 60
Purlear, NC 28665

From: [Care2](#) on behalf of [lynnsey lanier](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:22:52 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. lynnsey lanier
2836 Attaberry Dr
Charlotte, NC 28205-2502
lynnsey523@gmail.com

From: [Weiland Tarpley Jr](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:23:23 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Weiland Tarpley Jr

1452 Middlesbrough Drive
Fayetteville, NC 28306

9107470577

From: [Patty Lewis](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:25:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patty Lewis

5117 shaftwood dr
Indian trail, NC 28079

From: [Sharon Mazurek](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:25:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Mazurek

2630 Meadowbrook Road
Rocky Mount, NC 27801

2529779550

From: [Jessie Spivey](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:25:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jessie Spivey

5011 Malibu Dr
Charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Clyde Lundy](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:25:37 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clyde Lundy

6428 Bayswater Trail
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Hilary Hafeken](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:25:48 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hilary Hafeken

103 Jennings Way
Morrisville, NC 27560

9199463692

From: [Joseph Hamilton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:25:55 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Joseph Hamilton
323 Nolley Rd
Mocksville, NC 27028-5413
josephhhilton69@gmail.com

From: [Jan Nance](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:26:05 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jan Nance
781 Brunswick Pl Se
Leland, NC 28451
jan_nance@yahoo.com

From: [Jeisha Sanchez](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:26:10 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Jeisha Sanchez
720 5 Mile Rd
Richlands, NC 28574-5212
jeishasanchez81@gmail.com

From: [Janice Gross](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:26:21 PM

Dec 17, 2015

Joelle Burleson
NC

Dear Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Sincerely,

M. Janice Gross
108 Admiralty Ct
Grandy, NC 27939-9600
janicekgross@gmail.com

From: [Veronica Johnson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:27:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Veronica Johnson

3608 Horsemint Trail
Zebulon, NC 27597

From: [J. Lelling](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:27:55 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J. Lelling

40 Galax Lane
Brevard, NC 28712

From: [Frank Yelverton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:28:15 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Yelverton

617 Surry Street
Wilmington, NM 28401

From: [Tim Hubbard](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:29:32 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Hubbard

915 Old Orchard Rd
chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Andrew Reed](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:29:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Reed

4339 Georges Gap rd
vilas, NC 28692

From: [Terry Price](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:31:33 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

Please help protect the environment. It's the only one we have and I-along with my children-need it to survive.

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry Price

103 Gibbs St
Swannanoa, NC 28778

(828) 423-0857

From: [Patricia Harms](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:31:44 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support Clean Air and the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Harms

814 S. Yaupon Terrace
Morehead City, NC 28557

From: [Elizabeth Parson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:34:05 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Parson

4005 Bowman Ave
Randleman, NC 27317

From: [Bruce Yelton](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:34:45 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Yelton

4406 Woods End Lane
CHARLOTTE, 28277

From: [Maurice 7 barbara Barnett](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:37:24 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maurice 7 barbara Barnett

602 Water oak Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28791

From: zoya.regensburger
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:37:26 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

zoya regensburger

3721 koomen ln
raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Harrison Webb](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:37:28 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harrison Webb

10233 Dominion Village Drive
Charlotte, NC 28269

From: [Meredith Carson](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:37:29 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meredith Carson

6193 Moores Creek Drive
Summerfield, NC 27358

From: [Greg Jenkins](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:37:49 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is "designed to fail," the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Jenkins

4607 Moores Creek Road
Durham, NC 27705

919-621-2588

From: [Bridget Liston](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:38:16 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bridget Liston

217 Cottage Row
Cashiers, NC 28717

From: [Donna MacDougall](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAQ.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:38:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna MacDougall

144 Shirley Dr
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Julia Holiman](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:40:07 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Holiman

4717 Rose Rd
Durham, NC 27712

9196004040

From: [Alissa Tepedino](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:43:41 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alissa Tepedino

425 Hillsborough St
Apartment 32h
Chapel Hill, NC 27265

From: [Christine Gillen](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:45:46 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Gillen

379 bethany church rd
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [S. Teel](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:45:56 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

S. Teel

2204 Commonwealth Ave
Charlotte, NC 28205

From: [Linda Bradley](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:46:00 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Bradley

51 Wilderness Trail
Candler, NC 28715

828-665-1505

From: [ERIC MCMANUS](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:46:04 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ERIC MCMANUS

8019 GERA EMMA DRIVE
Charlotte, NC 28215

From: [Mary Pachas](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:46:06 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Pachas

229 Soundview Dr
Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

From: [Dianne Griffin](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:48:53 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dianne Griffin

483 Winfield Huffman Rd
Wilkesboro, NC 28697

From: [Donna Bekiarian](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:48:54 PM

Dear Joelle Burluson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,

Donna Bekiarian

140 Clipper Ave
Edison, NJ 08817

From: [Jon Doyle](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:48:59 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jon Doyle

1909 manuel street
Raleigh, NC 27612

9194385859

From: [Anita Obler](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:49:25 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anita Obler

3603a St John's Ct
Wilmington, NC 28403

From: [Judith Dewar](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:49:30 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Dewar

714 Murray Hill Rd
Fayetteville, NC 28303

9102611091

From: [Mark Hutchens](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO_publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:49:38 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Hutchens

6721 Branson Mill Rd
Pleasant Garden, NC 27313

From: [Amanda Hendler-Voss](#)
To: [SVC_DENR.DAO.publiccomments](#)
Subject: 111(d)
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2015 3:51:52 PM

Dear Joelle Burleson,

I write to you today as both a pastor and a mom. I support the Environmental Protection Agency's recently proposed limits on carbon pollution, known as the Clean Power Plan (CPP). As childhood asthma rates continue to rise in North Carolina and the effects and threat of climate change continue to increase, we should be working towards reducing our carbon emissions.

I am very disappointed that you and your environmental agency are purposefully setting North Carolina up to fail with the federal requirements under the CPP. By championing a plan that is 'designed to fail,' the Department of Environmental Quality is denying North Carolina the opportunity to create a plan that works best for North Carolina, our community, our energy mix, and instead allowing bureaucrats in Washington to determine what is best for our state. Nothing about this makes sense.

Clean air is good for our families, our environment and for North Carolina's economy. Please do the right thing and protect our clean air.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Hendler-Voss

21 Pennsylvania Ave
Canton, NC 28716