Feasibility And Advisability Of The Use Of A Terminal Groin As An Erosion Control Device
Meeting Ground Rules

- Bob Emory Will Moderate the Meeting
- Please Hold Comments Until Public Comment Portion of Meeting
  - Presentation - First Hour
  - Public Comment/Discussion - Remainder
- Overall Objective of Meeting is To Present Project Team, Scope of Work and Discuss Study
  - Project Schedule Necessitated Contract to Be Developed Quickly
  - Contractor is Responsible for Technical Study
  - CRC Will Be Responsible for Policy Recommendations
Two Sections:

“An Act To Impose A Moratorium On Certain Actions Of The Coastal Resources Commission Related To Temporary Erosion Control Structures And To Direct The Coastal Resources Commission To Study The Feasibility And Advisability Of The Use Of A Terminal Groin As An Erosion Control Device.”

26th day of August, 2009
SECTION 1:

“Moratorium Established. … there is hereby established a moratorium on certain actions of the Coastal Resources Commission related to temporary erosion control structures. The Commission shall not order the removal of a temporary erosion control structure that has been permitted under Article 7 of Chapter 113A of the General Statutes in a community that is actively pursuing a beach nourishment project or an inlet relocation project on or before the effective date of this act.”
SECTION 1: Moratorium Exceptions. –

1. Granting permit modifications to allow the replacement, within the originally permitted dimensions, of temporary erosion control structures that have been damaged or destroyed.

2. Requiring the removal of temporary erosion control structures installed in violation of Article 7 of Chapter 113A of the General Statutes and rules adopted pursuant to Article 7.

3. Requiring that a temporary erosion control structure that has been modified in violation of Article 7 of Chapter 113A of the General Statutes and rules adopted pursuant to Article 7 be brought back into compliance with permit conditions.

4. Requiring the removal of a temporary erosion control structure that no longer protects an imminently threatened road and associated right-of-way or an imminently threatened building and associated septic system.
SECTION 2:
“The Coastal Resources Commission, in consultation with the Division of Coastal Management, the Division of Land Resources, and the Coastal Resources Advisory Commission, shall conduct a study of the feasibility and advisability of the use of a terminal groin as an erosion control device at the end of a littoral cell or the side of an inlet to limit or control sediment passage into the inlet channel. For the purpose of this study, a littoral cell is defined as any section of coastline that has its own sediment sources and is isolated from adjacent coastal reaches in terms of sediment movement.”
Items Identified In House Bill 709

Shall consider:

(1) Scientific data regarding the **effectiveness of terminal groins** constructed in North Carolina and other states in controlling erosion. Such data will include consideration of the effect of terminal groins on adjacent areas of the coastline.

(2) Scientific data regarding the **impact of terminal groins on the environment** and natural wildlife habitats.

(3) Information regarding the **engineering techniques used to construct terminal groins**, including technological advances and techniques that minimize the impact on adjacent shorelines.
Items Identified In House Bill 709

Shall consider:

(4) Information regarding the current and projected *economic impact* to the State, local governments, and the private sector from erosion caused by shifting inlets, including loss of property, public infrastructure, and tax base.

(5) Information regarding the public and private monetary *costs of the construction and maintenance* of terminal groins.

(6) Whether the potential use of terminal groins should be *limited to navigable, dredged inlet channels*.
Public Input
• In conducting the study, the Commission shall hold at least **three public hearings** where interested parties and members of the general public will have the opportunity to present views and written material regarding the feasibility and advisability of the use of a terminal groin as an erosion control device at the end of a littoral cell or the side of an inlet to limit or control sediment passage into the inlet channel.

Report
• No later than **April 1, 2010**, the Commission shall report its findings and recommendations to the Environmental Review Commission and the General Assembly.
Agenda

- Introductions
- Discussion of Potential Conflicts of Interest
- Scope of Work Overview
- Project Schedule
- Selection of Initial Study Sites
- Scheduling of Public Hearings
- Project Contact Person
- Roles of CRC/CRAC, Science Panel, and DLR
- Open Discussion / Public Comment
- Next Steps
- Adjourn
Discussion of Potential Conflicts

Project Team Members

- Moffatt & Nichol
- Dial Cordy and Associates, Inc.
- Dr. Bill Cleary
- Dr. Chris Dumas
JETTY - On open seacoasts, a structure extending into a body of water, which is designed to prevent shoaling of a channel by littoral materials and to direct and confine the stream or tidal flow. Jetties are built at the mouths of rivers or tidal inlets to help deepen and stabilize a channel.

GROIN - Narrow, roughly shore-normal structure built to reduce longshore currents, and/or to trap and retain littoral material. Most groins are of timber or rock and extend from a seawall, or the backshore, well onto the foreshore and rarely even further offshore.

TERMINAL GROIN – a groin, often at the end of a littoral cell or at the updrift side of an inlet, intended to prevent sediment passage into the channel beyond.
What is a Terminal Groin?
Project Team

- **Moffatt & Nichol** – Project Lead/ Coastal Engineering Analyses/Construction/Costs/Locations

- **Dial Cordy and Associates, Inc.** – Environmental Resource Assessment

- **Dr. Bill Cleary** – Coastal Geology

- **Dr. Chris Dumas** – Socio-Economics
Task 1 – Coastal Engineering Analyses of Effectiveness and Impacts of Terminal Groins

Task 2 – Environmental Resource Analyses of Potential Effects of Terminal Groins

Task 3 – Construction Techniques to Limit Impacts

Task 4 – Economic Study of Impacts of Shifting Inlets

Task 5 – Initial Construction and Maintenance Costs

Task 6 – Potential Locations Study

Task 7 – Public Input

Task 8 – Draft and Final Report
Important Considerations for Analyses

- Data Collection and Assessments for Existing Projects Will Be Site Specific – The Applicability to North Carolina Individual Inlets Will Not Be
- Analyses and Studies Will Focus On What Can Be Learned From Existing Installations and What Those Lessons Mean for Applicability in NC
  - Geology
  - Sediment Transport Patterns
  - Hydrodynamics
  - Natural Resources
  - Etc.
- Modeling to Be Done Will Also be Schematic, Desktop Level Analyses
  - Will Not Be Site Specific
  - Will Look to Determine Relative Trends and Behaviors – Not Absolutes
- Please Recall that the Purpose of the Contractor Study Is a Technical Assessment of Terminal Groins Not a Policy Recommendation
Task 1 – Coastal Engineering Analyses

- Data Collection for Terminal Groins on East Coast – Focused in the SE (Use NE sites only if needed)
- Select Eight (8) Best Sites
  - Richness of Datasets Will Be Key Selection Factor
  - Will Try to Select Range of Projects (Length, Height, Porosity, Sediment Transport, Locations)
- Will Collect “Raw” Datasets Where Possible to Limit Bias
- Will Develop Procedures to Net Out Nourishment and Other Project Effects on Impact Calculations
- Calculation Procedures Will Be Documented for Transparency and Reproduction By Interested Parties
- Geological Factors Will Also Be Considered
Task 2 – Environmental Analyses

- Existing Data Collection and Literature Review
- Assess From Existing Data Terminal Groin Effects on the Natural Environment
- Report Preparation
Task 3 – Construction Techniques

– Conduct Literature Review of Techniques Used to Limit Impacts on Adjacent Shorelines:
  • Limits on Groin Height and Length
  • Porosity of Structures (Sediment Transmission)
  • Etc.

– Schematic Modeling to Assess Techniques Under Average and Storm Wave Conditions
Task 4 – Economic Study

– Impacts of Shifting Inlets to State, Local, and Private Sectors

• Define “Baseline”, “Terminal Groin”, and “Unimpeded Inlet Shifting” Cases

• Assemble Current Property Location and Value Data

• Identify Property Appreciation Scenarios Under Three Cases

• Assess Property Value Losses (Current and 50-yr) Under Each Case Including Property Loss, Diminished Market Value, and Tax Base Losses

• Compare Net Economic Impact
Task 5 – Initial Construction & Maintenance Costs

- Review Available Data on Initial Construction and Maintenance For Existing Terminal Groins Including Public and Private Costs
- Develop Ranges of Potential Costs Based on Typical Expected Terminal Groin Dimensions and Typical North Carolina Offshore Slopes
Project Work Plan

Task 6 – Potential Terminal Groin Locations

– Literature Review of Existing Locations (Inlets – dredged, natural; end of non-inlet littoral cell)
– Schematic Assessment of Potential Locations (Inlet vs. Non-Inlet Littoral Cell)
– Average and Storm Wave Conditions Considered
– Will Not Recommend Specific Sites
– Assessment of Appropriate and Inappropriate Conditions
Task 7 – Public Input

– Three Public Meetings
  • Sheraton Atlantic Beach – October 29th, 2009 - 5 PM
  • Raleigh – January 13th, 2010 - TBD
  • Sunset Beach - Sea Trail – March 24th or 25th - TBD

– State Web Site Will Be Developed
  • http://dcm2.enr.state.nc.us/index.htm
  • Under What’s New Section

– Email – jim.gregson@ncdenr.gov
Task 8 – Draft and Final Report

– Draft Report (February 1, 2010)
– Final Report (March 1, 2010)
# Project Schedule

## Total Project Duration is 7 Months (Sept – March)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Coastal Engineering Analyses of Potential Impacts of Terminal Groins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Environmental Analyses of Potential Impacts of Terminal Groins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Engineering Construction Techniques to Limit Impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Economic Study of Erosion Impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Initial Construction Cost and Maintenance Cost Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Study to Determine Potential Use at Inlets Only or Other Locations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Public Hearings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Draft and Final Report, Project Management &amp; Admin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selection of Initial Sites

Initial Site List is Concentrated in Southeast Due To Environmental and Other Similarities

– Will Utilize Northeast Sites Only If Needed
– Will Select Eight (8) Best Sites for Coastal Analyses
– Coastal Analyses Will Overlap as Much as Possible with Environmental Analyses (site data diversity)
– Will Provide Final List As Soon As Possible for Review
Preliminary Site List

**New York** - Coney Island
  - Rockaway

**Maryland** - Ocean City Inlet

**Virginia** - Willoughby Spit
  - Chesapeake Beach

**North Carolina** - Oregon Inlet
  - Buxton
    (Cape Hatteras Lighthouse)
  - Fort Macon
  - Shell Island (removed)

**South Carolina** - Folly Beach
  - Hunting Island (not built)

**Georgia** - Tybee Island

**Florida** - Amelia Island
  - St. Lucie Inlet
  - Jupiter Inlet
  - Baker’s Haulover Inlet
  - Captiva Island
  - Boca Grande Lighthouse
  - John’s Pass
  - Clearwater Pass
  - Honeymoon Island
Public Hearings and Reports to GA

Public Hearings
- Sheraton Atlantic Beach – October 29th, 2009 - 5 PM
- Raleigh – January 13th, 2010 - TBD
- Sunset Beach - Sea Trail – March 24th or 25th – TBD

Reports to General Assembly
- Findings of the Study and Commission Recommendations Will Be Submitted to the ERC for Consideration and Further Action
Jim Gregson, Executive Secretary for the Coastal Resources Commission

- Will Verify That the State Has a Record of All Comments for the Study
- Please Send Comments by email so that there is a Record
- jim.gregson@ncdenr.gov
- Written Comments May Be Sent to:
  NCDCM
  400 Commerce Avenue
  Morehead City, NC 28557
CRC/CRAC
- Will Provide Guidance to M&N During the Study
- Will Be Responsible for Developing the Policy
  Conclusions and Recommendations to Be Supplied to the
  ERC and Ultimately the General Assembly

Science Panel
- Science Panel Will Be Involved in the Scoping Meeting
  and Peer Review of Interim Documents and Draft and
  Final Report Review
- M&N Will Provide Memos Describing Methodologies and
  Analyses for Review and Comment – Schedule Will
  Necessitate Quick Responses

Division of Land Resources
- Involved With Initial Contracting
Two Phases for Today’s Meeting

– Question and Answers (Open Discussion)
– Public Comment
Next Steps

- Finalize Data Collection
- Develop List of Selected Sites
- Develop Methodology Statements for Analyses
- First CRC Meeting – October 29th, 2009 – Sheraton Atlantic Beach – 5 PM
Thank You!

Additional Comments? Please email to: jim.gregson@ncdenr.gov

Thanks Again for Your Interest and Input!