Monitoring Report

Stanley's Slough Stream and Wetland Restoration Site DMS Contract 004635 DMS Project Number 95356

> Stanley's II Wetland Restoration Site DMS Contract 5151 DMS Project Number 95838

> > Northampton County, NC CU# 03010204 DWR# 2013-0596 SAW# 2012-01918

Monitoring Year 04



Prepared for:

NCDMS, 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

Construction Completed: April 2014 Data Collection: 2017 Submitted: January 2018

Design and Monitoring Firm



4505 Falls of Neuse Road Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 Phone: (919) 278-2514 Fax: (919) 783-9266

Project Contact: Tim Morris Email: <u>tim.morris@kci.com</u> KCI Project No: 20122005



ENGINEERS • SCIENTISTS • SURVEYORS • CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS 4505 Falls of Neuse Road Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214 (919) 783-9266 Fax

MEMORANDUM

Date:	February 14, 2018
To:	Lindsay Crocker, DMS Project Manager
From:	Adam Spiller, Project Manager
	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA
Subject:	MY-04 Monitoring Report Comments
-	Stanley's Slough IMS#95356, Contract 004635
	Stanley's Slough II, IMS#95838, Contract 005151
	Chowan River Basin CU 03010204
	Northampton County, North Carolina

Please find below our responses in italics to the MY-04 Monitoring Report comments from NCDMS received on January 30, 2018, for the Stanley's Slough/Stanley's II Restoration Sites.

- Please add the Project County, CU, DWR and USACE numbers for this project on the cover page.
- > These have been added to the cover page.
- Stanlye's II Asset Table 1B. This table appears to have changed from the AB, Mitigation Plan, and previous monitoring year data. I am thinking this is just an error and needs to be updated.
- This typo has been corrected.
- Page 33, 70/30 Graph- It may be useful to show the antecedent rainfall from November and December of 2016 as these low amounts may account for gauges not meeting hydrology in MY4.
- This data has been added to the 70/30 Graph.
- I do not see the hydrology data from the reference gauge. Is this available and useful in justifying the lower hydrology for some gauges in MY4?
- The reference gauge hydrograph has been added to the report and Table 10 has been updated to include the reference data for all years. This data shows the reference gauge meeting in the previous two years (when data was collected) and not meeting in the current year.
- Be prepared to discuss why some of the gauges did not meet for the 2018 Credit Release meeting.
- > KCI believes the low achievement of the hydrology success criteria is due to the low amount of rainfall that the site received in 2017 and is prepared to discuss this with the IRT.

Please contact me if you have any questions or would like clarification concerning these responses.

Sincerely, Alan Sille

Adam Spiller Project Manager

KCI Associates of North Carolina, P.A.

Table of Contents

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT1
2.0	MONITORING RESULTS
3.0	REFERENCES

Appendix A – Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

Figure 1.	Vicinity Map	.5
v	Project Components and Mitigation Credits	
Table 2.	Project Activity and Reporting History	.8
Table 3.	Project Contacts Table	.9
Table 4.	Project Attribute Table	10

Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data

Current Condition	on Plan View	13
Table 5.	Vegetation Condition Assessment	14
Photo Reference	Points	16

Appendix C – Hydrologic Data

Table 9.	Verification of Support for the Restored Channel	24
Stream Water L	evel Plots	26
Table 10.	Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment	32
	e Graph	
	d Water Level Plots	

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY / PROJECT ABSTRACT

The Stanley's Slough Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (SSS) was completed in April 2014 and restored a total of 4,274 linear feet of headwater stream along with restoring 3.6 acres of riparian wetlands. The SSS is a headwater stream and riparian wetland system in the Chowan River Basin (03010204 8-digit HUC) in northern Northampton County, North Carolina, that had been substantially modified to maximize agricultural production. The Stanley's II Wetland Restoration Site (SII) is located directly adjacent to SSS and was also completed in April 2014, restoring a total of 7.6 acres of riparian wetland restoration. The completed SII project restored, enhanced, and protected wetlands within a productive headwater stream/wetland system.

The SSS is protected by a 17.6-acre permanent conservation easement, while SII is protected by a 9.4acre permanent conservation easement, both held by the State of North Carolina. Both sites are located on two parcels located off of Margarettsville Road, approximately 0.3 mile north of Margarettsville, North Carolina. The project sites are bounded by NC 186 to the south and by agricultural land on all other sides. The sites have a long history of hydrologic modification in order to allow for farming to take place on the property.

The Chowan River Basin Restoration Priorities state the goals for the SSS and SII's 14-digit HUC are to protect and improve water quality throughout the basin by reducing sediment and nutrient inputs into streams and rivers and to support efforts to restore local watersheds (NCDENR EEP, 2009). The project goals for SSS and SII are in line with the basin priorities and include the following:

- Restore streams and riparian buffers to provide shade and temperature control and increase instream woody debris for habitat.
- Restore and protect sensitive aquatic resources to improve habitat and species diversity through the restoration of wetlands, streams, and riparian buffers.
- Implement wetland and stream restoration projects that reduce sources of nutrient pollution and surface runoff by restoring hydrology and vegetation, stabilizing banks, and restoring natural geomorphology where appropriate.

Additional goals for the project include:

- Increase the local hydroperiod by encouraging both surface and subsurface storage and retention.
- Restore and establish a functional and diverse headwater stream/wetland community.

The project goals will be addressed through the following objectives:

- Restore a headwater stream/wetland vegetation community through maintenance and germination of volunteer wetland vegetation from adjacent seed sources, planting of native trees and shrubs, and incorporation of a custom native seed mix.
- Elevate the local groundwater table through the elimination of lateral drainage ditches and modification of existing channelized streams.
- Reconnect site hydrology to historic flow paths.

The mitigation at SSS included approximately 4,274 linear feet of stream restoration, 3.6 acres of riparian wetland restoration, and 0.5 acre of wetland preservation for a total of 4,274 Stream Mitigation Units and 3.1 Wetland Mitigation Units. The mitigation at SII included approximately 7.6 acres of riparian wetland restoration for a total of 6.9 Wetland Mitigation Units.

2.0 MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Monitoring Results

The vegetation monitoring success criterion for the planted mitigation area is a density of 320 stems/acre after the third year of monitoring and an allowance for 10% mortality in the following years for a stem density of 288 stems/acre after four years, 260 stems/acre after five years, and 210 stems/acre after seven years to be considered successful. To determine the success of the planted mitigation area, twenty permanent vegetation monitoring plots (10 by 10 meters) have been established in the mitigation area at locations that represent all site conditions. Eleven of these plots are in SSS and nine of these are in SII. Vegetation monitoring did not occur during Monitoring Year 4, in accordance with the mitigation plan, but will be repeated again in Monitoring Year 5.

2.2 Hydrology Monitoring Results

Twelve groundwater monitoring gauges were installed in the wetland mitigation areas to measure soil saturation and any surface ponding at the site. Four of these gauges are in SSS and eight of these are in SII. The soil survey for Northampton County estimates that the growing season begins March 11 and ends November 20 (254 days). The success criteria for the site states that the water table of the restored wetlands must be within 12" of the soils surface continuously for at least 9% (22 days) of the 254-day growing season during normal weather conditions. A "normal" year is based on NRCS climatological data for Northampton County, and using the 30th to 70th percentile thresholds as the range of normal, as documented in the USACE Technical Report "Accessing and Using Meteorological Data to Evaluate Wetland Hydrology" (Sprecher and Warne, 2000).

The daily rainfall data was obtained from a local weather station in Emporia, VA; provided by the NC State Climate Office. For the 2017 year, no months experienced an above average rainfall, while March, April, May, August, September, and October experienced average rainfall. The months of January, February, June, and July recorded below average rainfall for the site. Overall, the area experienced below average rainfall during the 2017 growing season.

During the site's fourth growing season, five of the twelve gauges met the success criterion. Gauges 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14, and 17 did not meet the success criterion. Collectively the wetland gauges averaged 14.2% (31 days) continuous saturation. The reference gauge, located approximately 900 feet north of T2 also did not achieve the success criteria this year. The low rate of achievement of success criteria across the site is likely due to the very low amount of rain that the site received this year and is not seen as indicative of a problem with the site.

2.3 Headwater Stream Performance

SSS will also be monitored to document the development of the headwater stream system. The success criteria for the headwater stream states that it will have continuous surface water flow within the valley, for at least 30 consecutive days annually. Additionally, the stream must show signs of supporting the restored channel form as documented with photos. These indicators may include evidence of scour, sediment deposition and sorting, multiple flow events, wrack lines and flow over vegetation, leaf litter, or water staining.

In the headwater stream, six automatic recording gauges were installed to document the presence of surface water within the restored channel. Weirs were constructed just downstream of three (Gauges 2, 3 and Gauge 18) of these gauges to provide a known elevation at which the stream could be considered flowing. Using these elevations as the basis for flow, all three gauges achieved at least 30 consecutive

days of flow. Gauges 2 and 3 (on T1) averaged 122 consecutive days of flow between them and Gauge 18 (on T2) achieved 39 consecutive days of flow. See Appendix D, Photo 2 for an example of these weirs.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report and in the Mitigation Plan documents available on the DMSs website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from DMS upon request.

3.0 REFERENCES

- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm)
- NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2009. Chowan River Basin Restoration Priorities 2009. Raleigh, NC. http://www.nceep.net/services/restplans/FINAL_RBRP_Chowan_2009.pdf
- Sprecher, S. W., and Warne, A. G. (2000). "Accessing and Using Meteorological Data to Evaluate Wetland Hydrology," ERDC/EL TR-WRAP-00-1, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.USACE. 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. USACE, NCDENR-DWQ, USEPA, NCWRC.

USACE. 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. USACE, NCDENR-DWQ, USEPA, NCWRC.

United States Department of Agriculture. 1994. Soil Survey of Northampton County, North Carolina. USDA, NCDENR, SCS. <u>http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_MANUSCRIPTS/north_carolina/NC131/0/north_ampton.pdf</u>

Appendix A

Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables



Table 1a. Proje Stanley's Sloug												
Sourcey 5 Sloug			100,21		<u> </u>		n Credits					
	Stre	eam		arian land		on-ripar Wetland		ıffer	Nitrogen P Nutrient Offset		Phosphorous Nutrient Offset	
Туре	R	RE	R	RE	F	۲ I	RE					
Length/Acerage	4,274		3.59									
Credits	4,274		3.12									
TOTAL CREDITS	4,2	74	3.	12								
					Pro	ject Co	omponents					
Project Component -or- Reach ID		ioning/ Foo		age/ Appr		Existing Footage/ Acreage		PII	Restor	Restoration -or- RestorationRestoration Footage/AcreageEquivalentFootage/Acreage		Mitigation Ratio
T1	10+00	-41+55	2,60	00	Stre	adwater tream Restoration Valley		ation	3,054		1:1	
T2		+00 – 2+85	1,22	20	N	/A	Restor	ation	1,220		1:1	
Wetland Reestablishment							Restor	ation	2.81		1:1	
Wetland Rehabilitation							Restor	ation	0.78		2.5:1	
Wetland Preservation							N/2	A	0.52		NA	
					Com	ponent	Summation				·	
Restoration L	.evel	Strea (linea feet	ar	Ripa Wetl (Act	ands		-Riparian ands (Acres)	Buffer (square feet)		Upland (Acres)		
Restoration	1	4,274	4		3.12							
Enhancemen	nt I											
Enhancemen	t II											
TOTAL SM	IU	4,27	4									
TOTAL WM	ΛU				3.12							

Table 1b. Proj Stanley's Slou												
					Mitiga	tion (Credits					
	Str	eam	Ripa Wet		Non-rij Wetl		Buf	fer	Nutrient N		osphorous Nutrient Offset	
Туре	R	RE	R	RF	E R	RE	,					
Acerage			7.600									
Credits			6.940									
TOTAL CREDITS												
			1		Project	Com	ponents		T			
Project Component -or- Reach ID		ioning/ cation	Existi Foota Acrea	ge/	Approacl (PI, PII etc.)		Restoratio Restora Equival	tion	Restoration Footage/Acreage		Mitigation Ratio	
Wetland Reestablishment							Restorat	tion	6	.500	1:1	
Wetland Rehabilitation							Restorat	tion	1.110		2.5:1	
					Compone	ent Su	immation					
Restoration Level	(li	ream near eet)	Ripa	rian (Ac	Wetlands]	Non- Riparian Wetlands (Acres)		(square et)	Upland (Acres)		
			Riverin	ie	Non- Riverine							
Restoration			-		7.600							
Enhancement I												
Enhancement II												
TOTAL WMU					6.940							

Stanley's Slough & Stanley's II Restoration Sites		1
	Data Collection	Actual Completion or
Activity or Report	Complete	Delivery
Mitigation Plan		Aug 2013
Final Design - Construction Plans		Oct 2013
Construction		April 2014
Planting		April 2014
Baseline Monitoring/Report	May 2014	May 2014
Vegetation Monitoring	May 19, 2014	
Photo Points	April 17, 2014	
Year 1 Monitoring	Nov 2014	Dec 2014
Vegetation Monitoring	Oct 23, 2014	
Photo Points	Nov 20, 2014	
Gauge Downloads	Nov 24, 2014	
Year 2 Monitoring	Nov 2015	Dec 2015
Vegetation Monitoring	July 10, 2015	
Photo Points	July 10, 2015	
Gauge Downloads	Nov 10, 2015	
Supplemental Planting		April 2016
Year 3 Monitoring	Dec 2016	Dec 2016
Vegetation Monitoring	July 27, 2016	
Photo Points	Aug 19, 2016	
Gauge Downloads	Dec 13, 2016	
Year 4 Monitoring	Dec 2017	Jan 2018
Photo Points	Dec 12, 2017	
Gauge Downloads	Nov. 27, 2017	

Table 3. Project Contacts Stanley's Slough & Stanley's Slough II Restoration Sites					
Design Firm	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA				
-	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd.				
	Suite 400				
	Raleigh, NC 27609				
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris				
	Phone: (919) 278-2512				
	Fax: (919) 783-9266				
Construction Contractor	Wright Contracting, LLC				
	160 Walker Road				
	Lawndale, NC 28090				
	Contact: Mr. Stephen James				
	Phone: (704) 692-4633				
Planting Contractor	Forestree Management Co.				
	1280 Maudis Road				
	Bailey, NC 27807				
	Contact: Mr. Tony Cortez				
	Phone: (252) 243-2513				
Monitoring Performers	_				
MY00 – MY04	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA				
	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd.				
	Suite 400				
	Raleigh, NC 27609				
	Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller				
	Phone: (919) 278-2514				
	Fax: (919) 783-9266				

Table 4a. Project Inform							
Stanley's Slough Restor	ation Site	, DMS 1					
Project Name		Stanley's Slough Restoration Site					
County				Nort	hampton County		
Project Area (acres)					17.6 acres		
Project Coordinates (lat. and	nd long.)		3	6.5390	06 N, -77.348222 W		
		Project	Watershed Summar				
Physiographic Province				(Coastal Plain		
River Basin				TIC	Chowan		
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-d	igit		03010204	US	GS Hydrologic Unit 14- digit	03010204180040	
DWQ Sub-basin					03-01-02		
Project Drainage Area (act	es)				113 acres		
Project Drainage Area Per of Impervious Area	centage				<1%		
CGIA Land Use Classifica	tion		43.7% forested	land, 3	3.8% rangeland, 22.5% agri	culture	
	Re	ach Sun	nmery Information (Post R	estoration)		
Parameters			T1		Τ2		
Length of reach (linear feet)			3,054		1,220		
Valley classification		Va	lley Type X		Valley Typ		
Drainage area (acres)			84 acres		29 acre		
NCDWQ Water Quality			each Not Classified;	IOI III	Project Reach Not Classified;		
Classification Morphological	Receiving	g water =	Meherrin River (C; N	NSW)	Receiving water = Meherrin River (C; NSW)		
Description (stream type)		Headwa	ter Stream Valley		Headwater Stream Valley		
Evolutionary trend		С	hannelized		Channelized		
Mapped Soil Series	Tomotle		oke, Altavista, Wehadkee Altavista, F		loanoke		
Drainage class			, poorly drained, moderately ined, poorly drained		Moderately well drained, poorly drained		
Soil Hydric status			Hydric		Hydric		
Slope			0.2%		0.06%		
FEMA classification	Zone Y	· •	n Zone AE(backwater herrin River)	of	Zone X, parts in Zone AE (backwater Meherrin River)		
Native vegetation community	Н	eadwater	Forest Community	Headwater Forest Comm		Community	
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation			0%		0%		
			Summary Information (Post Restoration)				
Parameters							
Size of Wetland (acres)			3.6 acres				
Wetland Type			Riparian				
Mapped Soil Series			Roanoke and Tomotley				
Drainage class			Poorly drained				
Soil Hydric Status			Hydric				
Source of Hydrology			Hillside seepage and precipitation				
Hydrologic Impairment			Ditching and Cattle damage				
Native vegetation community			Headwater Forest Community				
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation			0%				

Table 4b. Project Information						
Stanley's II Restoration Site, D	MS Project #95838					
Project Name		Stanley's II Restoration Site				
County		Northampton County				
Project Area (acres)		9.4 acres				
Project Coordinates (lat. and long.)		34.922569 N , -77.319871 W				
	Project Watershed Su	*				
Physiographic Province		Coastal Plain				
River Basin	Chowan					
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03010204	USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03010204180040			
DWQ Sub-basin		03-01-02				
Project Drainage Area (acres)		80 acres				
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	<1%					
CGIA Land Use Classification	53.0% for	rested land, 34.9% rangeland, 12.1% agric	ulture			
V	Vetland Summary Inform	nation (Post Restoration)				
Parameters						
Size of Wetland (acres)		7.6 acres				
Wetland Type		Riparian				
Mapped Soil Series		Tomotley, Roanoke				
Drainage class		Poorly Drained				
Soil Hydric Status	Hydric					
Source of Hydrology	Hillside seepage and precipitation					
Hydrologic Impairment	Ditching and Crops					
Native vegetation community	Headwater Forest Community					
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation		0%				

Appendix B

Visual Assessment Data



LEGEND:	
STANLEY'S SLOUGH EASEMENT ·····	
STANLEY'S II EASEMENT	
VALLEY CENTERLINE	_
PARCEL LINES	
VEG PLOT ACHIEVING DENSITY CRITERION	
VEG PLOT BELOW DENSITY CRITERION	
VEG PLOT TOTAL / PLANTED STEM DENSITY ······	1275 / 1052
WETLAND GAUGE ACHIEVING HYDROLOGIC CRITERION	٢
WETLAND GAUGE BELOW HYDROLOGIC CRITERION	۲
PHOTO POINT · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ 0

	WETLAND REESTABLISHMENT (1:1)	WETLAND REHABILITATION (2.5:1)	WETLAND PRESERVATION (N.C.)		STREAM REHABILITATION (1:1)	UPLAND INCLUSION (N.C.)
STANLEY'S SLOUGH CREDITS	2.8 AC./ 2.8 CR.	0.8 AC./ 0.3 CR.	0.5 AC./ 0 CR.	3.5 AC./ 1465 L.F./ 1465 CR.	8.0 AC./ 2809 L.F./ 2809 CR.	1.8 AC./ 0 CR.
STANLEY'S II CREDITS	6.5 AC./ 6.5 CR.	1.1 AC. / 0.5 CR.	_	-	-	1.8 AC./ 0 CR.
TOTAL CREDITS	9.3 AC.⁄ 9.3 CR.	1.9 AC./ 0.8 CR.	0.5 AC./ 0 CR.	3.5 AC./ 1465 L.F./ 1465 CR.	8.0 AC./ 2809 L.F./ 2809 CR.	3.6 AC./ 0 CR.

Planted Acreage	8.74	Easement Acreage	17.6			
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
l. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Total	0	0.00	0.0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
		Cu	mulative Total	0	0.00	0.0%
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1,000 SF	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement	Areas or points (if too small to	none	Pattern and	0	0.00	0.0%

Table 5b. Vegetation	Condition Assessment					
Stanley's II Restorati	on Site, DMS Project #95838					
Planted Acreage	8.57	Easement Acreage	9.4			
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
			Total	0	0.00	0.0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acre	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
		Cui	mulative Total	0	0.00	0.0%
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1,000 SF	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as poly gons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%

Photo Reference Points



PP1a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP1b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP2a - MY --00 - 4/17/14

Stanley's Slough/Stanley's II Restoration Sites DMS Project # 95356/95838



PP1a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP1b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP2a - MY-04 - 12/12/17

KCI Associates of NC, PA 2017-MY04



PP2b – MY-00 – 4/17/14



PP3a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP3b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP2b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP3a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP3b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP3c – MY-00 – 4/17/14



PP4a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP4b - MY-00 - 4/17/14

PP3c - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP4a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP4b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP5a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP5b – MY-00 – 4/17/14



PP6a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP5a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP5b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP6a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP6b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP7a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP7b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP6b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP7a – MY-04 – 12/12/17



PP7b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP8a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP8b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP9a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP8a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP8b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP9a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP9b - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP10a - MY-00 - 4/17/14



PP10b - MY-00 - 4/17/14

Stanley's Slough/Stanley's II Restoration Sites DMS Project # 95356/95838



PP9b - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP10a - MY-04 - 12/12/17



PP10b - MY-04 - 12/12/17

KCI Associates of NC, PA 2017-MY04

Appendix C

Hydrologic Data

	e 9. Verification of Support for the Restored Channel ey's Slough and Stanley's Slough II Restoration Sites, DMS Project Number 95356/95838					
Date of Data CollectionVerificationPho						
11/20/14	Vegetation break, evidence of flow	1				
11/11/15	Observation of flow, development of multiple channel threads	3				
4/7/16	Observation of flow, development of multiple channel threads	4, 5				



Photo 1. Evidence for support of the restored stream channel



Photo 2. Weir at Gauge 3



Photo 3. Development of multi-thread channel system



Photo 4. Development of multi-thread channel system on T1



Photo 5. Development of multi-thread channel system on T2













		Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)							
Location	Gauge	MY01 (2014)	MY02 (2015)	MY03 (2016)	MY04 (2017)	MY05 (2018)	MY06 (2019)	MY07 (2020)	
SII Res.	6	No/10 (4.2%)	Yes/39 (17.2%)	Yes/34 (15.2%)	No/16 (7.1%)				
SII Res.	7	No/12 (5.1%)	No/8 (3.3%)	Yes/33 (14.5%)	No/10 (4.5%)				
SII Res.	8	Yes/44 (19.4%)	Yes/43 (19.0%)	Yes/48 (21.4%)	Yes/51 (22.8%)				
SII Reh.	9	Yes/62 (27.5%)	Yes/80 (35.7%)	Yes/79 (35.0%)	Yes/68 (30.4%)				
SII Res.	10	Yes/48 (21.2%)	Yes/47 (21.0%)	Yes/50 (22.3%)	No/19 (8.3%)				
SII Res.	11	Yes/44 (19.4%)	Yes/28 (12.5%)	Yes/23 (10.3%)	No/5 (2.2%)				
SSS Res.	12	Yes/44 (19.4%)	Yes/38 (16.7%)	Yes/33 (14.7%)	No/18 (8.0%)				
SSS Res.	13	Yes/58 (25.7%)	Yes/46 (20.3%)	Yes/61 (27.0%)	Yes/36 (16.1%)				
SSS Res.	14	Yes/44 (19.4%)	Yes/37 (16.5%)	Yes/23 (10.0%)	No/17 (7.6%)				
SSS Reh.	15	Yes/61 (27.2%)	Yes/52 (23.0%)	Yes/116 (51.8%)	Yes/80 (35.5%)				
SII Res.	16	Yes/56 (24.8%)	Yes/47 (20.8%)	Yes/80 (35.5%)	Yes/51 (22.8%)				
SII Res.	17	Yes/47 (20.8%)	Yes/39 (17.2%)	No/18 (8.0%)	No/11 (4.7%)				
Reference	Reference	-	Yes/43 (19.2%)	Yes/60 (26.8%)	No/20 (8.7%)				

Res. = Wetland Reestablishment, Reh. = Wetland Rehabilitation


























