# Name of Meeting
## MEETING MINUTES

**Date:** June 14, 2017  
**Time:** 9:00AM - 3:00pm  
**Location:** Brown Building

### MEETING CALLED BY
Kurtis Taylor

### TYPE OF MEETING
SCFAC

## ATTENDEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMITTEE MEMBERS</th>
<th>STATE STAFF ATTENDEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NAME</strong></td>
<td><strong>AFFILIATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtis Taylor</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Coggins</td>
<td>Vice chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Pettaway</td>
<td>Trillium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Tankersley</td>
<td>Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benita Purcell</td>
<td>Cardinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Furhman</td>
<td>Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha Brock</td>
<td>Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Wright</td>
<td>Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Purnell</td>
<td>Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bev Stone</td>
<td>Trillium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat McGinnis</td>
<td>VAYA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Rau</td>
<td>SandHills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Ellis</td>
<td>Trillium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deb Page</td>
<td>Cardinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catreta Flowers</td>
<td>Trillium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaVern Oxendine</td>
<td>Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Martin</td>
<td>Alliance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AFFILIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ed Thiel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerri Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Simmons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GUEST
- **NAME**
- **AFFILIATION**

---

1. **Agenda topic:** Welcome  
   **Presenter(s):** Kurtis Taylor

**Discussion**
- Meeting was called to order. General housekeeping covered, and members of the committee introduced themselves along with the guest in the room and DMH staff.
- Reviewed the Agenda for the day – some additions – working on a position statement concerning Special Needs plan; would like to have this for this session of congress.
- Agenda was accepted by consensus.
- Review of the last month’s minutes (SCFAC and State-to-Local Conference Call min)

**Conclusions**

**Action Items**
- Motion to approve Minutes – Benita P. 1st and Wayne P 2nd – discussion on Minutes and Martha B. asked that acronym when they appear in the min the first time explain what it stands for. – Item 5 SEP- Support Employment Program. --- Minutes approved unanimously

2. **Agenda topic:** Discussion on Sec. position for the SCFAC and other business  
   **Presenter(s):** Benita Purcell/Kurtis Taylor

**Discussion**
- Ad-Hoc committee – Benita P, Deb P, Bonnie F.
- Discussion on what the role of a SCFAC secretary would be – the committee was unsure if this role was truly needed; several suggestions for what the position would do- ultimately the decision was made that at this time the SCFAC does not need a secretary position.
- Discussion concerning the Executive Committee for the SCFAC
• Pat M. stated that the SCFAC needed to have one
• Kurtis T. stated that there is one; it is made up of the chair, vice-chair and the chairs for the sub-committees.
• Ben C. restated that the SCFAC is a committee is self-governing and that if we decide to have other positions for the Executive Committee, they could.
• Mark F. – The committee does develop ad-hoc committees for different projects, and suggested that specific tasks could be part of the ad-hoc responsibility instead of trying to develop a new position.
• Deb P. stated that if the committee sees that they would like to re-open this discussion later then we can, but at this time does not feel that the SCFAC needs to have a Secretary.
• Catreta F. - Each sub-committee has a point person that can assist with each committee.
• Martha B. would like a P.R. contact person. This could be part of the Data-Com task team.
• By-laws may need to be amended to include the Exec. Committee
• Kurtis T- suggested that the Data-Com committee be changed to “communication and public relations” sub-committee.
• Benita P. - “We need to have approval of the whole SCFAC committee” in reference to developing a Facebook page.
• Deb P. cautioned the group as to what type of content will be included on page.
• Bev S. – “We need current bylaws. According to article 9 in the bylaws, 2/3 of appointed members to vote on changes. To make an amendment, it would need to be proposed in one month and then the following month, it would need to be voted on—at this time there is not a section that determines the Executive Committee and how it is made up.
• Brandon T. – “Would the Exec. Committee meet separately (monthly)?” Kurtis T. – “No, it would be on an as needed basis.”
• Mark F. – Exec. Committee would be made up of – Chair, Vice Chair & heads of all five subcommittees—Veterans, State to Local Conference call, Services & Gaps, and Needs, Recovery& Self Determination, Data –Com (name to be changed) – Proposed change
• Kurtis T. asked for a draft of the mission & purpose for the next meeting.
• Martha B. – would like to address topics in a more direct manner- would like to ask the Legislators – “Why are you (Legislators) defending additional cuts to MH/DD/ SAS? We are losing our supports.” – Feels that SCFAC should address this soon; session ends June 30th.
• Pat M. stated that she has met with individuals that are being affected by the DOJ settlement – stated that their needs are not being meet – people on Medicare only are falling through the cracks – Pat stated that she has received many calls from consumers in the Western part of the state who are stating the situation is getting worse.
• Benita P.- 8 out of 10 questions at Carborro Town Hall meeting – misbelieve that state dollars are covering those on Medicare – A letter did go out from the State CFAC about the lengthy I/DD wait list.
• Martha- SCFAC should write a letter to the Governor directly addressing these issues.
• Brandon T. would like to have more clarification as to what lawsuits are being funded.
• Mark F. - Gerrymandering Lawsuit is the top priority this is a personal opinion, not DHHS, but is pervasive in the political landscape until you fix it at the top nothing will get better,
• Reviewed of the SCFAC to LCFAC conf. call schedule- encouraged members to get on the call and listen and encourage their local members to do the same.
• Review of Roberts Rules of order packet just have respect for one another!
• Reviewed letter to the legislators regarding cuts in single stream funding
• Kurtis T- would like to have a letter drafted regarding the Special Needs Plan – simply keep the system public!!

Conclusions

Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion: Martha B. made a motion that by-laws be amended to include the Exec. Committee. Martha 1st, Brandon 2nd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motion: Deb. P motions that the SCFAC does not need a Sec position – Deb. P. 1st and Pat M 2nd motion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martha B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon T.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deb P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Motion: Data-Com to change name to Public Relations Subcommittee—Brandon T. 1st Benita P 2nd. – Unanimously Approved – would like 3 members: Martha – Brandon-? a third.

• Motion- to address with Legislators in a direct manner concerning cuts that appear to be happening and that will take services from MH/DD/SAS Martha B 1st Brandon 2nd –approved unanimously --- would like information regarding TCLI (Transition to Community Living Initiative) to be address in report.

• Brandon T and Martha B. will to draft a letter regarding the TCLI issues- be mindful of language and keep it to one page. Have a Draft by Friday June 16th SCFAC to review the draft on Monday June 19th, vote on approval at July 12 2017 meeting.

3. Agenda topic: Public Comment

3. Agenda topic: Public Comment

• No one showed up for public comment.

• Martha B. asked to address an issue that she has been dealing with. – Martha passed out a document that she brought – it contained 2 grievances – 1) Alliance LME/MCO 2) Division of MH/DD/SAS – stated that she had contacted both while in the hospital and had no response from either. Feels that her rights have been violated along with several others that where in the same hospital as she was—Stated this is wrong – Martha B. provided some of her personal experience while in the hospital- Martha B stated the Civil Rights need to be protected for all.

• Martha B. also discussed the fact that if 122 C will be changed then consumers should be a part of this. Feels that the SCFAC should be commenting on current Law suits.

Conclusions

Action Items

4. Agenda topic: Review of Annual Report for SCFAC

4. Agenda topic: Review of Annual Report for SCFAC

• Kurtis read the first page of the report – committee approved of what they heard

• Kurtis asked that all Sub-committees submit their report to him ASAP – Service subcommittee will be submitting their report by the following week. – This is the final piece that is needed to complete the annual report.

• Kurtis stated that he would send out the entire report for the committee to review once he receives the final report from the Service, gaps and needs committee chair Bonnie Foster.—this will allow the committee to vote on the final product at the July 12 meeting.

• Martha B- who is the report going to go out to?

• Kurtis T- the report is sent to everyone – Division, Secretary, Legislators—this report is a mandate that is required of the SCFAC.

Conclusions

Action Items

5. Agenda topic: Division update

5. Agenda topic: Division update

• Discussed HB 403 -14page bill which includes salary structure, Board Compositions, and allowable expenditures.

• Strategic plan – for the Departmental level will address the Structure of the LME/MCO

• Listening sessions on Innovations—6 planed – Sylva, Charlotte, Winston Salem, Raleigh, Elizabeth City, and Wilmington. – Brief power point with overview of system and Q&A

• Benita P. – Please get the presentation up on the Website for the public use which will assist getting the information out to the public.

• State Plan will be released in early July – per requirements on the 122c

• There was a discussion about NCI curriculum --- there are 13 commercially produced curriculum --- NCI curriculum is now in the public domain for adaptation--- July 1- June 30,
2018 1 year for instructors to keep their ability to train. – Staff at developmental Centers are transitioning away from NCI to commercially produced curriculum
- Martha B is DRNC involved? They had input but not at the table for reviews.
- Transition of Routine Provider Monitoring—once every 2 years- very basic elements (15 elements in the tool) doing a crosswalk with National accreditations- 14 out of 15 are covered under National accreditation- 15th element to be separately addressed. Providers, LME/MCO do not have to repeat this twice—now looking at Quality Elements – raising the bar –DO not want to increase the administrative burden- higher level of efficiency will be the result.
- Martha B. – NCMHCO no longer exists – How can this be checked? --- Done be the LME/MCO --- Zix system (emails) this system deletes emails within 60 days – consumers find this system complicated. --- Lisa H. – system is in place and designed for confidentiality protection.
- Working on contracts for LME/MCO – measure requirements are in place – executed by 6/21.
- Benita P. – How can LME/MCO Boards manage everything if they only meet quarterly? Cardinal meets – Large Board 6 times per year, Local community Boards – quarterly, Board of Directors - quarterly--- VAYA- Meets Monthly. CI’s big board only meets quarterly and their advisory (local boards) meet every other month.
- Ben C.—Are CFACs still included and valued? YES
- Benita P.- correspondence about grievances to LME/MCO – we have no names, no email, no accountability. Correspondence in general does not hvea name associated with it.
- Start of Revision of 122-C – Martha B.—the current 122c is out of date and it makes no sense but to start the process of revision it could be like opening Pandora’s box. -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaVern O. presented Kurtis Taylor and Ben Coggins with a certificate for his service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Agenda topic: DMA update

**Presenter(s): Renee Rader**

**Discussion**

- Continue to work on Definitions for services—interested in providing feedback.
- 8c – basic outpatient policy changed requirements so that Nurse practitioner can do certain functions to cumbersome
- Innovations Waiver Listening sessions –last one in Asville on June 26th, want webinars on the website, skype or another option – webinars 20,22,29th 2PM -3:30pm
- Several projects are in the beginning stages: 1) Adult Pilot underway in Ashville with VAYA and Mission Hospital 2) Child and Adolescent in implementation 3) Opioid Epidemic – program to combat to be launched soon.
- TBI waiver awaiting approval – Alliance will be the LME/ MCO Pilot
- IMD – (Institute for Mental Disease) federal terminology – Medicaid cannot pay for individuals 21-64 – each facility needs to have a Human Rights Committee – Monitored by DHSR (Division of Health Service Regulation)

**Conclusions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7. Agenda topic: DMA Specialty Plan

**Presenter(s): Deb Goda**

**Discussion**

- I/DD/SU/MH with enhanced Behavior health for long term needs and the enhanced services --- 3 regional plans – geographically together – also provide the medical services—LME/MCO / Medical/Physical/ Behavioral tied together
- Benita P.—Will consumer Choice be impacted? Looking at integrated Health? Not for profit? Care Management- Whole Team?
- LME/MCO to work with Medical providers to develop “specialty plan”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Benita P—is PLE the same as Specialty plan? No – PLE is the big entity for bids to the medical side.
• 75,000-100,000 slots for the entire state—30,000 I/DD, 50,000 sever MH and SUD
• Brandon T. – “Will it be managed care not fee for services?”
• Martha B. Will this be strictly “Medical Model”? ---- Deb Goda – “It will be in intergraded approach.”
• Benita P. LME/MCO will be allowed to use reinvestment founds to develop these specialty plans—building something for the future.
• Martha B. No regulations overseeing non-profits need some regulations. It appears that the Medical community is not in favor of this proposal.
• Doug W. - At this time, there are other states that are doing this same system that NC is working towards- Tennessee, Kansas, and California.
• Kurtis T. What is the loss of providers if we change into this system?
• Pat M what about state hospitals?
• Doug W. Federal level – Medicaid to shift to block grant funding.
• Kurtis T. what happens to the ADATC’s
• Deb G. – “Good” “Bad” “Afraid of “ take what you know and make a list
• Pat M. – focus on what is, most important food!

Conclusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Person(s) Responsible | Deadline |

7. Agenda topic: Election  Presenter(s): nomination committee

Discussion
• Chair and Vice chair where voted on –
  • Chair: Ben Coggins: 18 votes, Brandon – 1 vote –– Ben Coggins is the Chair
  • Vice-chair: Benita P: 13 votes, Wayne Pettaway- 6 votes.—Benita is Vice-Chairman

Conclusions  2017-2018 Chair Ben Coggins  vice chair Benita P.

Action Items

| Person(s) Responsible | Deadline |

Meeting Adjourned 2:45
Next Meeting: July 12