## AGENDA

**NORTH CAROLINA 911 BOARD MEETING**

**August 24, 2015**

**Teleconference**

4:00 PM – 5:00 PM

888-808-6929, participant code 8153047#

https://nc911board.webex.com/nc911board/onstage/g.php?d=663025562&t=p

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tab</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Chairman’s Opening Remarks</td>
<td>Chris Estes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ethics Awareness/Conflict of Interest Statement</td>
<td>Chris Estes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In accordance with G.S. 138A-15, It is the duty of every Board member to avoid both conflicts of interest and potential conflicts of interest. Does any Board member have any known conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest with respect to any matters coming before the Board today? If so, please identify the actual or potential conflict and refrain from any undue participation in the particular matter involved.*

3. Proposed Legislative Changes  
   *(vote required)*

   Presenter: Richard Taylor  
   Time: 45 minutes

Other Items

Adjourn

### Next 911 Board Meeting

**Public Hearing On Proposed Rules**

August 28, 2015  
NC 911 Office  
3514 A Bush Street  
Raleigh, NC
Changes to 62A that were approved by the Board (per recommendation of the Funding Committee) on Friday:

1) Create an additional “NG911 Fund”, percentage based on 911 Board determination (projected costs, available funds, etc.)

62A-45 Fund Distribution to CMRS Providers
(c) Grant Fund Reallocation. -- If the amount of reimbursements to CMRS providers approved by the 911 Board for a fiscal year is less than the amount of funds allocated for reimbursements to CMRS providers for that fiscal year, the 911 Board may reallocate part of the excess amount to the PSAP Grant and Statewide 911 Projects Account established under G.S. 62A-47. The 911 Board may reallocate funds under this subsection only once each calendar year and may do so only within the three-month period that follows the end of the fiscal year. Any reallocations shall specifically identify funds for Grants to PSAPS, funds for Statewide 911 Projects and funds for the special fund account. If the 911 Board reallocates more than a total of three million dollars ($3,000,000) to the PSAP Grant, and Statewide 911 Projects and Special Fund Account in a calendar year, it must consider reducing the amount of the service charge in G.S. 62A-44 to reflect more accurately the underlying costs of providing 911 system services.

The 911 Board must make the following findings before it reallocates funds to the PSAP Grant, and Statewide 911 Projects and Special Fund Account:
(4) A reallocation to the special fund is necessary for costs of Next Generation 911 technology changes as identified in the State 911 Plan.

62A-47. PSAP Grant and Statewide 911 Projects Account, and Special Fund
(a) Account Established. – A PSAP Grant, and Statewide Projects Account and is established within the 911 Fund for the purpose of making grants to PSAPs in rural and other high-cost areas, and funding projects that provide statewide benefits for 911 service, and establishing a reserve as a special fund as described in G.S. 143C-1-3. The Account consists of revenue allocated by the 911 Board under G.S. 62A-45(c) and G.S. 62A-46.

1. The Next Generation 911 special fund is established as a non-reverting special fund within the 911 Fund. At the end of any fiscal year, the unobligated balance of any such funds shall not revert to the unallocated 911 Fund or General Fund, but shall remain in the special fund in the next fiscal year.
2. All funds allocated to a special fund in the 911 Fund shall be held and administered by the State Treasurer pursuant to G.S. 147-69.2, and shall be known as the Next Generation 911 Fund.

3. Notwithstanding Article 8 of Chapter 143C of the General Statutes, the Board may expend funds from the Next Generation 911 reserve account for a single data network serving PSAPs.

4. The Next Generation 911 Fund herein created shall be subject to the provisions of the State Budget Act, Chapter 143C of the General Statutes of North Carolina as amended, except Article 8 which shall not limit the amount or purpose of expenditures from the special fund.

5. All moneys credited to the Next Generation 911 Fund shall be made available to carry out the intent and purposes of this Article in accordance with plans approved by the North Carolina 911 Board, and all such funds are hereby appropriated, reserved, set aside and made available until expended, for the enforcement and administration of this Article. No later than October 1 of each year, the 911 Board shall report to the Joint Legislative Commission on Governmental Operations on the expenditures from the Next Generation 911 Fund during the fiscal year that ended the previous July 1 of that year and on the planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.

(d) Statewide 911 Projects. -- The 911 Board may use funds from the Account for a statewide project if the Board determines the project meets all of the following requirements:

1. . . .

4. The project is an eligible expense under G.S. 62A-46(c) or other provision of this Article.
2) Requirement for all PSAPs to transition to NG911 network

62A-42. Powers and duties of the 911 Board

(a) Duties. -- The 911 Board has the following powers and duties:

(1) To develop the 911 State Plan. In developing and updating the plan, the 911 Board must monitor trends in voice communications service technology utilized for 911 calls and in enhanced 911 service technology, investigate and incorporate GIS mapping and other resources into the plan, ensure individual PSAP plans incorporate a back-up PSAP, and formulate strategies for the efficient and effective delivery of enhanced 911 service.

(b) Prohibition. -- In no event shall the 911 Board or any other State agency lease, construct, operate, or own a communications network for the purpose of providing 911 service. The 911 Board may pay private sector vendors for provisioning a fiber/communications network for the purpose of providing citizen access to 911 services and completing call taking processes through one or more PSAPs.

62A-46. Fund distribution to PSAPs

(3) Formula. -- The funding formula established by the Board must consider all of the following:

(f) Any expenditures authorized by the Board for statewide 911 projects or the next generation 911 network.

(g) Any other information the Board considers relevant.

Policy issue per GS 62A-46(e)(5) – to require that PSAPs utilize infrastructure and services implemented as statewide 911 projects – or to not fund communications network expenses if the Board funds a statewide network that is accessible and available at the PSAP.

---

1 This is one of several uses of “voice” that probably should be changed. Note the use of “network” in (b) and the potential for use of data networks and consider alternatives to modify network, e.g. communications network, etc.
3) Create authority / requirements for statewide purchasing agreements (catalogue)

62A-42. Powers and duties of the 911 Board
(a) Duties. -- The 911 Board has the following powers and duties:

   (4) To establish cooperative purchasing agreements\(^2\) or other contracts for procurement of goods and services, to establish policies and procedures, to fund advisory services and training for PSAPs, to set operating standards for PSAPs and back-up PSAPs, and to provide funds in accordance with these policies, procedures, and standards.

Policy issue – The Board may pay a vendor directly for goods & services procured under a contract established by the Board. The Board may establish some means to aggregate purchases and thereby seek best value in purchasing the goods. There remains a decision to make the use of such contracts mandatory; as presented this provision is intended to ease procurement and provide clear identification of approved items.

\(^2\) Defined in GS 147-33.81 (1) "Cooperative purchasing agreement" means an agreement between a vendor and one or more states or state agencies providing that the parties may collaboratively or collectively purchase information technology goods and services in order to increase economies of scale and reduce costs.
4) PSAP grants are for non 911 eligible expenditures that enhance the 911 system (towers, antennas, base stations, brick & mortar, etc.)

62A-47. PSAP Grant and Statewide 911 Projects Account

(b) Grant Application. -- A PSAP may apply to the 911 Board for a grant . . . . The 911 Board may approve a grant application and enter into a grant agreement with a PSAP if it determines all of the following:

(4) The costs are authorized PSAP costs under G.S. 62A-46(c), or the costs are for consolidating one or more PSAPs with a primary PSAP, or the relocation costs of primary PSAPs, or capital expenditures that enhance the 911 system including costs not authorized under G.S. 62A-46(c) and construction costs.
5) Any Primary PSAP that in the last fiscal year ending on June 30, 2015 that received less than two (2) 911 calls per hour on average for the year shall be consolidated with another PSAP or be changed to a Secondary PSAP pursuant to the desires of the local governing bodies, county and municipal.

62A-42. Powers and duties of the 911 Board
(a) Duties. -- The 911 Board has the following powers and duties:

(3a) In the event a primary PSAP received an annual average of two (2) or fewer 911 calls per hour as of June 30, 2015, to require consolidation of that PSAP with a primary PSAP or to designate that PSAP as a secondary PSAP. Consolidation or designation as a secondary PSAP shall be effective upon the later of a time agreed by the Board and affected local governments or (4, 6, 8, 10 12) months from the Board’s decision.

62A-46. Fund distribution to PSAPs
(a) Monthly Distribution. -- The 911 Board must make monthly distributions to primary PSAPs from the amount allocated to the 911 Fund for PSAPs. A primary PSAP is not eligible for a distribution under this section unless it complies with the requirements of this Article, provides enhanced 911 service, received an annual average exceeding two (2) 911 calls per hour for the year ending June 30, 2015, and received distributions from the 911 Board in the 2008-2009 fiscal year. The Board may reduce, suspend, or terminate distributions under this subsection if a PSAP does not comply with the requirements of this Article. The Board must comply with all of the following:

(4) Additional distributions. -- In the first quarter of the Board's fiscal year, the Board must determine whether base amounts distributed payments to PSAPs during the preceding fiscal year exceeded or were less than the eligible costs incurred by each PSAP during the fiscal year. If a PSAP receives less than its eligible costs in any fiscal year, the Board may increase a PSAP's distribution in the following fiscal year above the base amount as determined by the formula to meet the estimated eligible costs of the PSAP as determined by the Board. The Board may not distribute less than the base amount to each PSAP except as provided in subsection (b1) of this section. The Board must provide a procedure for a PSAP to request a reconsideration of its distribution or eligible expenses.

(b) Percentage Designations. -- The 911 Board must determine how revenue that is allocated to the 911 Fund for distribution to primary PSAPs and is not needed to make the base amount distribution required by subdivision (a)(1) of this section is

3 Technical correction
to be used. If the 911 Fund includes funds not needed for the base amount distributions, the Board shall reallocate a percentage of such funds to the special fund. If such excess funds are not fully reallocated to the special fund, the 911 Board must designate a percentage of the remaining funds to be distributed to primary PSAPs on a per capita basis and a percentage to be allocated to the PSAP Grant and Statewide Project Account established in G.S. 62A-47. Any reallocation made on a per capita basis shall not be included in the base amount. If the 911 Board does not designate an amount to be allocated to the PSAP Grant and Statewide Project Account, the 911 Board must distribute all of the remaining funds on a per capita basis.

(c) Use of Funds. -- A PSAP that receives a distribution from the 911 Fund . . . . Distributions received by a PSAP may be used only to pay for the following:

(1) The lease, purchase, or maintenance of:

(d) Dispatch equipment located exclusively within a building where a PSAP or back-up PSAP is located, excluding the [capital or operating] costs of base station transmitters, towers, microwave links, and antennae used to dispatch emergency call information from the PSAP or back-up PSAP may be funded after all other eligible costs are paid from the base amount distribution. Costs of base station transmitters, towers, microwave links, and antennae shall not be included in the base amount.

(e) Compliance. -- A PSAP, or the governing entity of a PSAP, must comply with all of the following in order to receive a distribution under this section:

(1) A county or municipality that has one or more PSAPs must submit in writing to the 911 Board information that identifies the PSAPs in the manner required by the FCC Order and this Article.

(2) A participating PSAP must annually submit to the 911 Board a copy of its governing agency's proposed or approved budget detailing the revenues and expenditures associated with the operation of the PSAP. The PSAP budget must identify revenues and expenditures for eligible expense reimbursements as provided in this Article and policies, procedures and rules adopted by the 911 Board.

---

4 Technical correction
5 Limitation for consideration
6) Additional changes to consider:

62A-52. Proprietary information

All proprietary information submitted to the 911 Board or the State Auditor is confidential. Proprietary information submitted pursuant to this Article is not subject to disclosure under Chapter 132 of the General Statutes, and it may not be released to any person other than to the submitting CMRS voice communications service provider, the 911 Board, and the State Auditor without the express permission of the submitting CMRS voice communications service provider. Proprietary information is considered a trade secret under the Trade Secrets Protection Act, Article 24 of Chapter 66 of the General Statutes. General information collected by the 911 Board or the State Auditor may be released or published only in aggregate amounts that do not identify or allow identification of numbers of subscribers or revenues attributable to an individual CMRS voice communications service provider.

Definitions

(4) 911 system. -- An emergency telephone system that does all of the following:
   a. Enables the user of a voice communications service connection to reach a PSAP by dialing the digits 911.
   b. Provides enhanced 911 service.

(5) Call taking. -- The act of processing a 911 call for emergency assistance by a primary PSAP, including the use of 911 system equipment, call classification, location of a caller, determination of the appropriate response level for emergency responders, and dispatching 911 call information to the appropriate responder.

(9) Enhanced 911 service. -- Directing a 911 call to an appropriate PSAP by selective routing or other means based on the geographical location from which the call originated and providing information defining the approximate geographic location and the telephone number of a 911 caller, in accordance with the FCC Order.

(insert) Secondary PSAP. --

(19) Service supplier. -- An entity that provides exchange telephone service to a telephone subscriber.

(20) Subscriber. -- A person who purchases a voice communications service and is able to receive it or use it periodically over time.

(21) Voice communications service. -- Any of the following:
   a. The transmission, conveyance, or routing of real-time, two-way voice communications to a point or between or among points by or through any electronic, radio, satellite, cable, optical, microwave, wireline, wireless, or other medium or method, regardless of the protocol used.
b. The ability to receive and terminate voice calls to and from the public switched telephone network.

c. Interconnected VoIP service.

(22) Voice communications service connection. -- Each telephone number assigned to a residential or commercial subscriber by a voice communications service provider, without regard to technology deployed.

(23) Voice communications service provider. -- An entity that provides voice communications service to a subscriber.

(24) VoIP provider. -- An entity that provides interconnected VoIP service.
Richard,

I sent the draft legislative changes out on the managers’ list serv. I received the below comments and questions from the City of Concord. Concord raises some interesting points. Please take a look at this, and provide some feedback/answers, and copy everyone on this chain. I’ve copied the Concord City Manager, Brian Hiatt.

Thanks.

Andrew Grant
Asst. Town Manager
Town of Cornelius
704-892-6031 x 172

From: Merl Hamilton
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 11:15 AM
To: Brian Hiatt
Cc: Vicki Callicutt
Subject: RE: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

We have some global concerns. First, where the duties of the 911 Board are defined, the word “voice” is stricken. I understand the core of NexGen 911 is non-voice communications. This edit takes the operating standards from local PSAPs and puts them with the Board. This is the same argument that a lot of people went through for operating standards period. The question I would have is if operating standards for non-voice have had as much debate and input as there was for voice. Maybe so and this is a non-issue. I would think some municipalities would have issues if not.

The second possible issue is the state procurement system. This was debated back and forth for the years I was heavily involved with the Board. The issue would be if this drives local municipalities to a particular vendor if they want to receive 911 funds. Or, would it drive local systems to the state system which would be a particular vendor which would cause the local system to either gain access to that vendor’s equipment or change vendors. The question is if there is an out to receive funding if a particular vendor is not used. This topic is discussed in the italicized comments but it isn’t clear to me as to the answer. Again, this has no direct effect on us now, but could in the future.
A major change is the use of PSAP grants. The overview below is extremely deceptive as it sounds like those grants would open up for things that have been disallowed in the past. To some extent that is true, but if you read the actual changes most of the paragraph is wiped out to narrow down to authorized expenditures for consolidation. You can get these grants for non-traditional expenditures if you are going through consolidation to a primary PSAP. I would think municipalities would have a significant concern over this change. You can see where we could be pressured politically to consolidate our services if they were funded by a PSAP grant. Note the wording says “PSAP to primary PSAP”, which leaves it open. This appears to be the long term concept of some to consolidate 911 Centers to two for the State. A concept which never takes into account law enforcement methods.

The last issue which might be concern for some is the two 911 calls per hour. Vicki indicates our current average is 3.9. You could guess there are some primary PSAPs in the state who won’t meet this average. As alternative a locale could push all calls to 911, including electric outages and play the odds of those making it through an audit. Of course I believe the design is to be able to remove some PSAPs and drive consolidation. My skeptical mind equates more consolidation with either money to be used for something else or a reduction in money collected which has been the goal of the wireless provider community.

Merl Hamilton
Deputy City Manager
704-920-5215

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes Chapter 132, Public Records, this electronic mail message and any attachments hereto, as well as any electronic mail message(s) that may be sent in response to it may be considered public record and as such are subject to request and review by anyone at any time.

From: Taylor, Richard [mailto:richard.taylor@nc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 7:12 AM
To: Andrew Grant; Bence Hoyle; Blinson, Amy; Bone, Tina G; Cashwell, Ronnie; Darryl Bottoms (darrylbottoms@icloud.com); Darryl Bottoms (PoliceChief@townofpilotmountain.com); Corn, David A; Dodd, David D; Dinah Jeffries (DJEFFRIES@ORANGECOUNTYNC.GOV); Eddie Caldwell (ecaldwell@ncsheriffs.net); Edwards, Richard A [NTK]; Ellis Frazier (efrazier@yadkincountync.gov); Eric Cramer (EricCramer@wilkes.net); Estes, Chris; Fred Baggett (fredpbaggett@gmail.com); Isherwood, Rick; Jason Barbour (jason.barbour@johnstonnc.com); Jeffrey A. Shipp (jshipp@stm.net); Jimmy Stewart (jstewart@hokecounty.org); Karlynn O’Shaughnessy; Kevin Leonard; Laura A. Sykora; Len Hagaman (len.hagaman@watgov.org); Margie Fry(margie.fry@twcable.com); Tapler, Marsha; Mason, Karen; NC Assoc of Rescue and E.M.S. Inc. Joyner Gordon Joyner Gordon; Paula Byrd (paulab@carolinawest.com); Bradford, Richard; Taylor, Richard; Robert B. Smith (rs7447@att.com); Ronnie Barefoot (barefootr@co.pasquotank.nc.us); Rose Williams; slaytons@carolinawest.com
Subject: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Good Wednesday Morning,

Attached is a draft of proposed legislative changes as discussed at the July 31, 2015 911 Board meeting. This document is for discussion purposes and could lead to recommended language for statutory changes if the Board so desires. Please review this document with your constituents to begin the discussion. Also please share your thoughts or suggestions with the entire Board. As was discussed at the 7/31 Board meeting a final draft could be adopted at a special called Board meeting via teleconference, possibly next week.
If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks,
Richard Taylor
Executive Director
North Carolina 911 Board
919-754-6624
www.nc911.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.
Richard and Board,

Following are comments from Union County. If you have any comments or follow-ups, please copy everyone on this chain, including the Union County Assistant County Manager, Michelle Lancaster (copied here).

Thanks.

Andrew Grant
Asst. Town Manager
Town of Cornelius
704-892-6031 x 172

From: Michelle Lancaster [mailto:michelle.lancaster@unioncountync.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 3:33 PM
To: Andrew Grant
Subject: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Andrew – thank you for the opportunity to review the proposed changes. After discussion with our Emergency Services Director and our Communications Division Director our concerns are that we shouldn’t be held to any standards less than what may be already occurring in a particular location – for instance we have a regional approach with Cabarrus County and also have access through our vendor to technology that is more progressive than the state – could utilize texting, mobile pics, etc.. We are fine with standards that are a floor, but not a ceiling. If we are able to move faster than the state as a whole we do not want to be held back by arbitrary rules – I hope that makes sense. Please let me know if you need any other information.

Michelle Lancaster
Assistant County Manager
Union County
Richard and 911 Board,

I want to offer some feedback on #5 regarding minimum number of calls for PSAPs. For context, please see the below trail between Richard and I. I’m concerned about funding changes to the seven PSAPs that do not meet the minimum call number per hour and that are not the only PSAP in their respective county. If these funding changes result in the PSAP closing then that would be impactful to those communities. As we know, PSAPs have other functions other than receiving high priority public safety calls. PSAPs also receive lower priority calls that are considered emergencies by the residents and businesses in that community. Examples include minor traffic accidents, harassing calls & text messages, animal control issues (such as, snakes in a house), suspicious vehicles, suspicions of child abuse, making welfare checks on the elderly when family members can’t reach them, etc.

Also, I’m concerned that eCATS does not capture all the high priority 911 calls that come in to a PSAP. In the transition areas between cell phone zones, a resident who lives in a transition zone may call 911, but is rerouted to the adjacent 911 center, rather than his home jurisdiction. After this occurs a couple times, that resident will normally just call his jurisdiction’s PSAP on its seven-digit line, which is not tracked by eCATS. If these calls were tracked and could be included in the minimum number count, then some of these seven PSAPs may actually exceed the minimum.

I’d like to hear the thoughts of others, but at this point I’m not inclined to support #5 as it’s written.

Thanks.

Andrew Grant
Asst. Town Manager
Town of Cornelius
704-892-6031 x 172
To: Andrew Grant  
Subject: RE: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Yes,  
Alleghany  
Ashe  
Avery  
Chowan  
Clay  
Gates  
Graham  
Macon  
Mitchell  
Pamlico  
Perquimmans  
Yancey

Richard  
919-754-6624

From: Andrew Grant [mailto:Agrant@cornelius.org]  
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 1:06 PM  
To: Taylor, Richard <richard.taylor@nc.gov>  
Subject: RE: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Thanks, Richard. For #5 below, are there any of the 19 PSAPs that are the only PSAPs in their county?

Andrew

From: Taylor, Richard [mailto:richard.taylor@nc.gov]  
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 11:32 AM  
To: Andrew Grant  
Subject: RE: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Andrew,

#5 – How many Primary PSAPs are currently receiving less than two calls per hour? Which ones are they?

19, the ones highlighted in yellow are consolidated already (these totals are from calendar year 2014. A suggestion maybe that if there are multiple PSAPs in a county then the minimum call statute should be applied.
#5 – 62A-46 (b) Percentage Designations – I’m trying to follow the flow of available funds as described in (b). Can you give me a realistic example for how this would occur? This language already exists what is being added is the new “special fund”. These are the funds leftover at the end of the year that the Board can choose to put into grants (which is what the Board has done each year) or the Board can choose to split the fund by any given percentage for grants or per capita distribution.

#5 - 62A-46 (c)(1)(d)- After the first sentence, the word “excluding” appears to be left in by accident. Is that the case? Otherwise, it makes the paragraph contradictory to itself.

I do believe that is an accident

If you have further questions please let me know.

Thanks,
Richard

From: Andrew Grant [mailto:Agrant@cornelius.org]
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 2:42 PM
To: Taylor, Richard <richard.taylor@nc.gov>
Cc: Andrew Grant <Agrant@cornelius.org>
Subject: RE: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Richard,
Thanks for sending this. Just a couple questions:

#5 – How many Primary PSAPs are currently receiving less than two calls per hour? Which ones are they?

#5 – 62A-46 (b) Percentage Designations – I’m trying to follow the flow of available funds as described in (b). Can you give me a realistic example for how this would occur?

#5 - 62A-46 (c)(1)(d)- After the first sentence, the word “excluding” appears to be left in by accident. Is that the case? Otherwise, it makes the paragraph contradictory to itself.

Thanks.

Andrew
Andrew and Richard,

Below please find some feedback from Stanly County:

1. It is Stanly County's belief the creation of a next generation 911 fund is imperative moving forward. Given technology is moving so quickly it will be important to set aside funds to assist PSAPs with transitioning toward next generation 911.

2. In order to bring all PSAP's in North Carolina to the same technology standard, the 9-1-1 Board will need to establish funds and assist with the transition.

3. Establishing a cooperative purchasing agreement would make sense with respect to leveraging purchasing power and balancing inequitable expenses across the state. At the same time, local PSAPs need to maintain some control of purchasing to take advantage of items that could possibly be cheaper than a State contract price. Further, it will be important to ensure quality as well as low cost pricing.

4. Stanly County is not overly concerned with broadening the PSAP grants to non-approved 911 expenditures. However, it is our opinion the grants need to stay relevant to the communications process (e.g. antenna, towers, etc.)

5. Stanly County has no concerns regarding the funding for PSAPs that average less than 2 calls per hour.

6. No concerns with compliance.

7. No concerns with proprietary information.

If you have questions or would like additional clarification please let me know. Thank you for the opportunity to share our thoughts on this important issue. Have a good day.

Andy Lucas
Stanly County Manager
704-986-3600 (office)
704-984-1258 (cell)
alucas@stanlycountync.gov

On Fri, Aug 14, 2015 at 2:54 PM, Andrew Grant <Agrant@cornelius.org> wrote:

Fellow Managers,

Please see the below message and attached draft legislative changes. The 911 Board is looking for feedback over the next couple of business days. Please send comments/questions to me and Executive Director, Richard Taylor. These legislative changes deal with:

- Creating a designated Next Generation 911 Fund
• Transitioning PSAPs to the Next Generation network
• Establishing a state procurement system for purchasing 911-related goods & services
• Establishing PSAP grants for traditionally non-911 eligible expenditures (e.g., towers, antennas, base stations, brick & mortar)
• Funding changes for Primary PSAPs that receive fewer than an average of two 911 calls per hour
• Compliance with this Article and 911 Board adopted policies, procedures, and rules
• Proprietary information

Thanks.

Andrew Grant
911 Board Member – NCLM Representative
Asst. Town Manager
Town of Cornelius
704-892-6031 x 172
Good Wednesday Morning,

Attached is a draft of proposed legislative changes as discussed at the July 31, 2015 911 Board meeting. This document is for discussion purposes and could lead to recommended language for statutory changes if the Board so desires. Please review this document with your constituents to begin the discussion. Also please share your thoughts or suggestions with the entire Board. As was discussed at the 7/31 Board meeting a final draft could be adopted at a special called Board meeting via teleconference, possibly next week.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks,

Richard Taylor
Executive Director
North Carolina 911 Board

919-754-6624
www.nc911.nc.gov

__________________________________________

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

You currently are subscribed to ccmanagers.
To unsubscribe send email to listserv@unc.edu with the subject line "unsubscribe ccmanagers"

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes, Chapter 132, email correspondence to and from this address may be considered public record under North Carolina Public record Laws and may be disclosed to third parties.
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Grayson, Greg" <greg.grayson@ncdoi.gov>
Date: August 18, 2015 at 2:43:11 PM EDT
To: "jhannan@ci.charlotte.nc.us" <jhannan@ci.charlotte.nc.us>, Benny <ncafc@nc.rr.com>
Subject: FW: [ccmanagers] FW: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

FYI

From: Ronald Massey [mailto:ramassey@ci.jacksonville.nc.us]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 2:31 PM
To: City and County Managers
Cc: Chris Koltyk; Mike Yaniero; Frank Bottorff; jsanborn@vopnc.org
Subject: Re: [ccmanagers] FW: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Andrew,

Thank you for giving us a heads up on these 911 Board actions.

We were unaware that changes like this were being considered and appreciate your outreach. Much of this is new to us and we are not sure what they all entail so we are very concerned that they may be approved before we have time to really assess the potential impact they will have. If at all possible, we would request that any vote be postponed to allow us more time to learn the details of these proposed changes.

Thanks.

Ron

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 14, 2015, at 2:54 PM, Andrew Grant <Agrant@cornelius.org> wrote:

Fellow Managers,

Please see the below message and attached draft legislative changes. The 911 Board is looking for feedback over the next couple of business days. Please send
comments/questions to me and Executive Director, Richard Taylor. These legislative changes deal with:

- Creating a designated Next Generation 911 Fund
- Transitioning PSAPs to the Next Generation network
- Establishing a state procurement system for purchasing 911-related goods & services
- Establishing PSAP grants for traditionally non-911 eligible expenditures (e.g., towers, antennas, base stations, brick & mortar)
- Funding changes for Primary PSAPs that receive fewer than an average of two 911 calls per hour
- Compliance with this Article and 911 Board adopted policies, procedures, and rules
- Proprietary information

Thanks.

Andrew Grant
911 Board Member – NCLM Representative
Asst. Town Manager
Town of Cornelius
704-892-6031 x 172

From: Taylor, Richard [mailto:richard.taylor@nc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 7:12 AM
To: Andrew Grant; Bence Hoyle; Blinson, Amy; Bone, Tina G; Cashwell, Ronnie; Darryl Bottoms (darrylbottoms@icloud.com); Darryl Bottoms (PoliceChief@townofpilotmountain.com); Corn, David A; Dodd, David D; Dinah Jeffries (DJEFFRIES@ORANGECOUNTYNC.GOV); Eddie Caldwell (ecaldwell@ncsheriffs.net); Edwards, Richard A [NTK]; Ellis Frazier (efrazier@yadkincountync.gov); Eric S. Cramer (EricCramer@wilkes.net); Estes, Chris; Fred Baggett (fredpbaggett@gmail.com); Isherwood, Rick; Jason Barbour (jason.barbour@johnstonnc.com); Jeffrey A. Shipp (jshipp@stm.net); Jimmy Stewart (jstewart@hokecounty.org); Karlynn O'Shaughnessy; Kevin Leonard; Laura A. Sykora; Len Hagaman (len.hagaman@watgov.org); Margie Fry (margie.fry@twcable.com); Tapler, Marsha; Mason, Karen; NC Assoc of Rescue and E.M.S. Inc. Joyner Gordon Joyner Gordon; Paula Byrd (paulab@carolinawest.com); Bradford, Richard; Taylor, Richard; Robert B. Smith (rs7447@att.com); Ronnie Barefoot (barefootr@co.pasquotank.nc.us); Rose Williams; slaytons@carolinawest.com
Subject: Draft Legislative Changes for Discussion

Good Wednesday Morning,

Attached is a draft of proposed legislative changes as discussed at the July 31, 2015 911 Board meeting. This document is for discussion purposes and could lead to recommended language for statutory changes if the Board so desires. Please review this document with your constituents to begin the discussion. Also please share your thoughts or suggestions with the entire Board. As was discussed at the 7/31 Board
meeting a final draft could be adopted at a special called Board meeting via teleconference, possibly next week.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks,
Richard Taylor
Executive Director
North Carolina 911 Board
919-754-6624
www.nc911.nc.gov
# PSAP Call Per Hour

**Years: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSAP Name</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>911 Calls Per Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Communications</td>
<td>973,119</td>
<td>111.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh-Wake County Emergency Comm Center</td>
<td>601,975</td>
<td>68.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilford 911</td>
<td>334,445</td>
<td>38.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Durham Emergency Communications</td>
<td>317,083</td>
<td>36.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayetteville Communications</td>
<td>231,101</td>
<td>26.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston Salem Police Department</td>
<td>228,920</td>
<td>26.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buncombe County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>191,224</td>
<td>21.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hanover County Public Safety Comm Center</td>
<td>172,025</td>
<td>19.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaston County 911 Communications</td>
<td>138,665</td>
<td>15.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitt County Communications</td>
<td>137,737</td>
<td>15.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston County 911 Communications</td>
<td>130,549</td>
<td>14.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland County 9-1-1</td>
<td>121,398</td>
<td>13.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robeson E-911 Communications</td>
<td>120,185</td>
<td>13.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Central 911</td>
<td>115,530</td>
<td>13.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabarrus County Sheriff's Office Communications</td>
<td>105,608</td>
<td>12.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alamance County Central Communications</td>
<td>101,545</td>
<td>11.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsyth 911 Communications</td>
<td>93,793</td>
<td>10.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowan County Telecommunications</td>
<td>92,404</td>
<td>10.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson County 911</td>
<td>92,118</td>
<td>10.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph County 911</td>
<td>91,294</td>
<td>10.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union County Communications/E911</td>
<td>90,712</td>
<td>10.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunswick County Central Communications</td>
<td>90,551</td>
<td>10.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iredell County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>89,935</td>
<td>10.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catawba Co Communications Center</td>
<td>89,666</td>
<td>10.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>89,064</td>
<td>10.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>87,299</td>
<td>9.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Point Communications</td>
<td>85,731</td>
<td>9.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harnett County Communications Center</td>
<td>78,083</td>
<td>8.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mount Central Communications</td>
<td>76,570</td>
<td>8.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cary Police Department</td>
<td>67,593</td>
<td>7.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson - Vance 911 Center</td>
<td>65,433</td>
<td>7.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onslow County 911</td>
<td>63,021</td>
<td>7.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenoir County Central Communications</td>
<td>60,693</td>
<td>6.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore County Emergency Services</td>
<td>60,535</td>
<td>6.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanford Police Dept Communications Center</td>
<td>57,044</td>
<td>6.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumberton Communications Center</td>
<td>56,766</td>
<td>6.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson County Sheriff Communications</td>
<td>54,960</td>
<td>6.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nash County Central Communications</td>
<td>53,628</td>
<td>6.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Central Communications</td>
<td>51,024</td>
<td>5.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampson County 911 Communications</td>
<td>46,836</td>
<td>5.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond County Emergency Center</td>
<td>46,722</td>
<td>5.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halifax County Central Communications</td>
<td>46,607</td>
<td>5.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granville County Emergency Services</td>
<td>45,879</td>
<td>5.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke County ECC (BCECC)</td>
<td>45,462</td>
<td>5.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland County Communications</td>
<td>45,362</td>
<td>5.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County Communications Center</td>
<td>44,128</td>
<td>5.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherford County Communications</td>
<td>43,099</td>
<td>4.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockingham County 911 Communications</td>
<td>42,824</td>
<td>4.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell County Sheriff's Office/E-911 Comm Center</td>
<td>40,500</td>
<td>4.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoke County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>39,631</td>
<td>4.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surry County 911 Communications</td>
<td>39,526</td>
<td>4.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Communications Center/911 Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Lincoln County</td>
<td>38,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Scotland County</td>
<td>38,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Chatham County</td>
<td>38,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Wilkes County</td>
<td>36,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>City of Jacksonville</td>
<td>35,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Duplin County</td>
<td>35,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Carteret County</td>
<td>34,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Stanly County E911 Communications</td>
<td>33,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Shelby Police Communications</td>
<td>31,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Bladen County Central</td>
<td>29,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Haywood County 911</td>
<td>29,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Pender County 911</td>
<td>28,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pasquotank/Camden E9-1-1 Communications</td>
<td>28,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Craven County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>27,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>New Bern Emergency Communications</td>
<td>27,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Edgecombe County 911</td>
<td>25,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Anson County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>23,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Beaufort County Communications</td>
<td>23,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Cornelius Police Communications</td>
<td>23,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Person County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>22,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Dare Central Communications</td>
<td>22,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Burlington PD</td>
<td>20,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Martin County Communications Center</td>
<td>20,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Davie County 911 Communications</td>
<td>18,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Stokes County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>18,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Pineville Police Department</td>
<td>17,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Yadkin County Sheriff's Office</td>
<td>16,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Macon County Communications</td>
<td>15,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Montgomery County 911 Communications</td>
<td>15,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Northampton County Communications</td>
<td>15,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Jackson County Emergency Management</td>
<td>14,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Warren County E-911 Communications</td>
<td>14,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Alexander County E9-1-1 Communications</td>
<td>13,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Bertie County Communications</td>
<td>12,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Currituck Communications</td>
<td>11,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Cherokee County 911 Communications</td>
<td>10,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Greene County Communications Center</td>
<td>10,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Transylvania County 911 Center</td>
<td>9,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Tarboro Police Communications</td>
<td>9,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Havelock Police Department</td>
<td>9,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Washington County Communication Center</td>
<td>8,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Swain County 911</td>
<td>8,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Boone Police Department 911</td>
<td>7,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Madison County Emergency Communications</td>
<td>7,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Reidsville Police Dept. Communications (NRC)</td>
<td>7,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Polk County Communications</td>
<td>6,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Kings Mountain Communications</td>
<td>6,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Hertford County Emergency Services</td>
<td>6,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Swain County 911</td>
<td>6,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Ashe County Communications Center</td>
<td>6,711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Chowan Central Communications</td>
<td>6,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Holly Springs Police</td>
<td>5,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Pamlico County Emergency Management</td>
<td>5,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Ahoskie Police Department</td>
<td>4,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Eden Police Communications (NRC)</td>
<td>4,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Alleghany County E911</td>
<td>4,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Mount Holly Police Communications</td>
<td>4,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Gates County Central Communications</td>
<td>4,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Clay County E911</td>
<td>3,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Laurinburg Police Department (NRC)</td>
<td>3,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Morganton Public Safety (Consolidated with Burke)</td>
<td>2,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Murfreesboro Police Dept</td>
<td>2,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Hyde County Emergency Management</td>
<td>2,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Tyrrell County Sheriffs Dept. E911 Dispatch</td>
<td>1,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Hendersonville Police</td>
<td>1,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Beech Mountain Police Department</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Butner Public Safety Communications</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calls</td>
<td>7,450,912</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NORTH CAROLINA 911 BOARD MEETING
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Teleconference
4:00 PM – 5:00 PM
888-808-6929, participant code 8153047#
https://nc911board.webex.com/nc911board/onstage/g.php?d=663025562&t=p

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tab</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Chairman’s Opening Remarks</td>
<td>Chris Estes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ethics Awareness/Conflict of Interest Statement</td>
<td>Chris Estes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with G.S. 138A-15, It is the duty of every Board member to avoid both conflicts of interest and potential conflicts of interest. Does any Board member have any known conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest with respect to any matters coming before the Board today? If so, please identify the actual or potential conflict and refrain from any undue participation in the particular matter involved.

3. Proposed Legislative Changes
   (vote required)
   Richard Taylor
   45

Other Items

Adjourn

Next 911 Board Meeting
Public Hearing On Proposed Rules
August 28, 2015
NC 911 Office
3514 A Bush Street
Raleigh, NC