# PREA Audit Report

**ADULT PRISONS & JAILS**

**Date of report:** 7/22/2017

## Auditor Information

**Auditor name:** G. Peter Zeegers  
**Address:** 6302 Benjamin Road Suite 400 Tampa, Florida 33634  
**Email:** pete.zeegers@us.g4s.com  
**Telephone number:** 863-441-2495

## Date of facility visit:
June 7th and June 8th, 2017

## Facility Information

**Facility name:** Southern Correctional Institution  
**Facility physical address:** 272 Glen Road Troy, North Carolina 27371  
**Facility mailing address:** N/A  
**Facility telephone number:** 910-572-3784

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The facility is:</th>
<th>☑ Federal</th>
<th>☑ State</th>
<th>☐ County</th>
<th>☐ Military</th>
<th>☐ Municipal</th>
<th>☐ Private for profit</th>
<th>☐ Private not for profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Facility type:** ☑ Prison  
**Jail**

**Name of facility’s Chief Executive Officer:** Superintendent Miranda Richardson

**Number of staff assigned to the facility in the last 12 months:** 277

**Designed facility capacity:** 700

**Current population of facility:** 639

**Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:** Minimum(men), Medium(women), and Close(women) Custody

**Age range of the population:** 20-up

**Name of PREA Compliance Manager:** Larry Doug Callicutt  
**Title:** Assistant Superintendent  
**Email address:** Larry.Callicutt@ncdps.gov  
**Telephone number:** 910-572-3784

## Agency Information

**Name of agency:** North Carolina Department of Public Safety

**Governing authority or parent agency:** (if applicable) Click here to enter text.

**Physical address:** 512 N Salisbury Street, Raleigh, NC 27604

**Mailing address:** (if different from above) Click here to enter text.

**Telephone number:** 919-825-2754

## Agency Chief Executive Officer

**Name:** Eric A. Hooks  
**Title:** Secretary, NCDPS  
**Email address:** eric.hooks@ncdps.gov  
**Telephone number:** 919-733-2126

## Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

**Name:** Charlotte Williams  
**Title:** PREA Director  
**Email address:** charlotte.williams@ncdps.gov  
**Telephone number:** 919-825-2754
AUDIT FINDINGS

NARRATIVE

Southern Correctional Institution (SCI) received an on-site PREA audit on June 7th and June 8th, 2017 by DOJ Certified PREA Auditor G. Peter Zeegers. Prior to the on-site visit, the facility provided a completed PREA Questionnaire and a flash-drive with the requested documents. The auditor reviewed the same documents prior to the on-site visit. The auditor contacted the facility one week prior to the audit to review the on-site audit process, time lines, and to request additional information be made available on the first day of the audit. These documents included inmate rosters and staff assignments.

The on-site audit began with an entrance meeting between the PREA Auditor, Assistant Superintendent/PREA Compliance Manager, Correctional Captain, Administrative Officer, and the Superintendent. The discussion focused on the audit process, the interim/final 45-day report, and the Corrective Action Plan period. The meeting was followed by a tour of the program.

During the tour, the auditor observed PREA notices and Zero Tolerance posters in the facility where both inmates and staff had access to the information. The tour included an administration area, visitation area, medical, kitchen/dining room, library, clothes house, chapel, dorms, and the outdoor recreation.

There are 21 housing units at this facility. This includes the men’s minimum facility and the women’s medium and close facility. From the housing rosters, the auditor selected 21 interviewees, one from each unit. There are two 12-hour shifts at the facilities. From the shift rosters the auditor randomly selected 5 staff from the first shift and 5 from the second shift for interviewing (total 10). A total of 16 specialized interviews were conducted. The auditor interviewed on-site the Superintendent, PREA Compliance Manager, Upper Level Manager, Medical Staff, Mental Health Staff, Volunteer, Investigator, Intake Staff, Risk Screening Staff, Segregation Staff, Incident Review Staff, Retaliation Staff, Human Resources staff, and Grievance Staff. At various off-site locations the auditor interviewed the Agency Head designee and the Agency PREA Coordinator and the Statewide Agency PREA Director designee.

There were eight PREA allegations within the facility in the past 12 months. The auditor reviewed all eight investigatory documents. All allegations were investigated appropriately according to NCDPS policy. The facility uses the Troy Police Department for criminal investigations. The medical facility used for forensic medical exams is First Health of Montgomery County Memorial Hospital.

DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

Southern Correctional Institution is located in Troy, NC, in Montgomery County. Southern Correctional Institution houses a maximum of 480 female inmates in medium or close custody and a maximum of 220 male inmates in minimum custody. The two-story prison sits on a 13.7 acre site. There are two separate buildings connected by a long corridor. The administrative building includes a gym, a dining hall, a vocational area, and a medical area. The other building is composed of a school area, various staff offices, and five housing units - each of which is designed to house 96 inmates. Each housing unit is divided into three wings which have a dayroom and 32 individual cells divided into two tiers. There is also a restrictive housing unit.

Southern CI opened in 1983 and was built with a similar design and opened several months following Eastern Correctional Institution in Greene County. These two facilities were the first prisons in the North Carolina prison system to operate under the unit management concept. Southern CI originally served as a processing center for adult inmates receiving felon sentences of 20 years or less, primarily from the eastern half of North Carolina. From 1985 through 1994, the facility housed close custody youth inmates on one of the five housing units. The housing of youth inmates was discontinued in 1994 with the opening of Foothills Youth Institution. The processing center function of the facility was discontinued in 1996 with the opening of Craven Correctional Institution. In February, 1996, the Montgomery Correctional Center, a minimum security prison operating in Troy since the 1930s, was merged with Southern Correctional Institution to form one facility. This enabled the prison system to reduce some administrative costs and provide minimum custody inmates to help perform maintenance and landscaping work at the medium/close custody portion of the Institution. Inmates from the minimum custody unit also work in the community work program where they are supervised by a correctional officer in performing short-term labor jobs for local government
agencies. Inmates from the minimum facility also participate in work release jobs in the community. They also perform other duties within the facility such as in the kitchen, in maintenance, and in performing janitorial duties on the unit. At the medium/close custody facility, inmates have traditionally performed similar duties in the kitchen, in maintenance, in janitorial assignments, in the laundry area, and in the warehouse. Some have participated in a special program called the Blanket Recovery Project which converts donated material into blankets and other items which are then donated to disaster relief efforts, to the housing authority, to the highway patrol, to public schools, and to other non-profit organizations for assisting people in need. Montgomery Community College provides educational opportunities to the inmates housed at Southern Correctional Institution in the areas of vocational classes in food service, preparation for attaining GED certificates and an opportunity to take college-level classes in Business Administration and Computer Technology. In October, 2004, another major change occurred at Southern Correctional Institution when the medium/close custody facility was converted into a female facility. This conversion took place due to the over-crowding which was taking place at the North Carolina Correctional Institution for Women (NCCIW) in Raleigh.

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

The facility has a Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART) and PREA Support Persons (PSP). Both groups are activated when there is an allegation of sexual assault. The PREA Support Person plays an important role in assisting the victim through the various activities associated with an allegation (investigation, medical exam, interview, support services).

Computerized Incident Reports are well written and contain documentation of medical/mental health services provided as required. Additionally, outside law enforcement investigations are noted, where appropriate, and the outcome is documented.

During the tour in was noted that in the male minimum unit dorms, there was not enough privacy with the toilets. 40% of the interviews with staff and inmates also confirmed that this was an issue. The Facility PREA Compliance Manager was also aware of the privacy concerns. A meeting was held with the Superintendent and the PREA Compliance Manager to discuss possible solutions. The administrative staff were aware of the 45 day period to correct the deficiencies. The privacy deficiencies were corrected and this auditor received the email with pictures verifying the corrections on July 5th, 2017.

The facility staff were very helpful, very professional, and well versed in PREA activities at the facility level. It was a pleasure to work with the Superintendent and her staff.

Number of standards exceeded:  2
Number of standards met:  37
Number of standards not met:  0
Number of standards not applicable:  4
Standard 115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA Coordinator

☒  Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐  Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐  Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Policy .0200, SOP 05.09 (a-g), Form OPA-A16, NCDPS Organizational Chart, NC State Statute 14, NCDPS organizational chart, S.C.I. S.O.P. (4300 Inmate Sexual Abuse and Harassment), and S.C.I. S.O.P. (4400 Management of Security Posts) were reviewed. The Superintendent and PREA Compliance Manager were interviewed. It was evident during the randomly selected and specialized staff interviews, staff had been trained and were knowledgeable of the NCDPS Agency’s Sexual Abuse/Assault Policy including all aspects of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and sexual misconduct in accordance with the requirements.

The agency has a policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy, along with additional policies and standard operating procedures, outlines the prevention, detecting, reporting, and response to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. Definitions that mirror the PREA Standards are included in the policy, as well as sanctions for those who violate policy. All interviewed shared their knowledge of the strategies and responses towards PREA allegations. The Assistant Superintendent/PREA Compliance Manager reported sufficient time to attend to PREA duties. The agency also has a PREA Director, Charlotte Jordan-Williams, who reports to general counsel, and who has reported sufficient time to attend to PREA duties. She currently has 138 PREA compliance managers that indirectly report to her.

Standard 115.12 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

☐  Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐  Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐  Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The standard is Not Applicable as the agency does not contract for the housing of its inmates.

Standard 115.13 Supervision and monitoring

☒  Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐  Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.1600, SOP 5.32, Staffing Plan Report dated January 2015, additional annual reviews, Approved Facility Posting Chart/Staffing Plan approved January 2015, Officer In Charge (OIC) Round Documentation, Unannounced staff rounds documentation for the dorms, and North Carolina State Statute 143B-709 were reviewed. Additionally, interviews were conducted to further determine compliance.

While state statute requires a staffing analysis every 3 years, the agency policy requires an annual review of the staffing plan, including a review of all required components of the standard, which was completed in January 2015. Deviations from the staffing plan are documented on the Daily Shift Report as per policy. Unannounced rounds are clearly documented in the Dorm Logs. These are conducted by the Officer in Charge and documentation includes the date/time and location of the physical rounds. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager confirmed that upper level management conducts unannounced rounds regularly and documents in the Dorm Logs as well.

During the tour in was noted that in the male minimum unit dorms, there was not enough privacy with the toilets. 40% of the interviews with staff and inmates also confirmed that this was an issue. The Facility PREA Compliance Manager was also aware of the privacy concerns. A meeting was held with the Superintendent and the PREA Compliance Manager to discuss possible solutions. The administrative staff were aware of the 45 day period to correct the deficiencies. The privacy deficiencies were corrected and this auditor received the email with pictures verifying the corrections on July 5th, 2017.

Standard 115.14 Youthful inmates

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This standard is Not Applicable as this facility does not house any youthful inmates.

Standard 115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

☒ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion
must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.1600, Policy F.0100, Policy TX I-13, SOP 5.19, Safe Search Practices Training, NCDPS New Employee Orientation (revised 1/1/15), Cross Gender Announcement & Acknowledgement for staff, Staff Training Log, and Cross Gender Bulletin Board Poster Memo were reviewed. Interviews were also conducted to assist with the determination of compliance.

The agency has trained all staff on cross-gender viewing and searches. Cross gender staff entering the housing areas are required by policy to announce their presence as observed during the tour. Policy requires documentation of any cross gender searches. There were no reported cross gender searches conducted. Training documents reviewed indicated that staff have completed appropriate training.

Staff interviews indicated that the staff have received training, they were able to articulate the agency policy regarding transgender/intersex searches. Staff and inmates interviews indicated that female staff entering the housing area, most of the time, announce themselves. All staff interviews were able to describe what an exigent circumstance would be and were knowledgeable of the procedures for securing authorization to conduct such a search as the requirements for justifying and documenting those searches.

**Standard 115.16 Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy E.1800, Policy E.2600 and Telephonic Interpreter Services Contract were reviewed. Facility documents in both English and Spanish were observed during the tour. Most staff interviews confirmed that there is an outside agency or a staff member to provide services and they do not allow for the use of inmate assistance in reporting allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The agency has established policy to provide for educational services for inmates with disabilities to be provided information at intake and assistance on PREA allegations, including reporting. Case managers would arrange for education in formats for those inmates identified as disabled. Agency policy also addresses the provision of interpreters to those inmates with a non-English primary language. Policy prohibits the use of inmate interpreters except in exigent circumstances. There are no documented circumstances where inmate interpreters, readers, and other inmate assistance were used. There is PREA material in both English and Spanish at the facility.

**Standard 115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Form HR005, Form HR0008, Form HR013, Memo regarding PREA Hiring and Promotions (dated October 2013), and Addendum to the Memorandum, List of Disqualifying Factors, 2013 Employee Statement, and PREA Employee Statement were reviewed. Interviews were conducted to assist with determining compliance. Files were reviewed of persons hired in the last 12 months and it was determined that proper criminal record background checks were conducted with questions regarding past conduct asked appropriately. Records of contractors were also reviewed and found in compliance. Documentation of background checks of current staff at 5-year intervals were reviewed and found in compliance.

The agency policy prohibits the hiring or promotion of individuals who have engaged in sexual abuse, or attempting to engage in sexual abuse in a detention facility or in the community, or who have been civilly or administratively adjudicated for the same. The agency requires all staff to annually sign a statement that they have not engaged in the aforementioned activities (PREA Hiring & Promotion Prohibitions and HR005). This information was reviewed through the LMS (Learning Management System) and copies were provided to the auditor. The agency also requires all employees to self-report any such misconduct. The agency does respond to requests from other institutions where a former employee has applied to work.

Standard 115.18 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The standard is Not Applicable as the facility has reported no substantial expansions, modifications or updating of any video/electronic monitoring system has occurred in the past 12 months.

Standard 115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Policy F.3400, Policy CP18, Form OPA-A18, Form OPA – I20, OPA-I21, Form OPA-I30, PREA Support Person (PSP) Training Lesson Plan, Chain of Custody Form, Incident Scene Tracking Log, PREA Support Person Roles and Responsibilities, an MOU with the Family Crisis Center of Montgomery County for advocacy services, and NCCASA were reviewed.

Interviews also provided information in the determination of compliance. The agency only conducts administrative investigations. The Troy Police Department completes all criminal investigations. Uniform Evidence Protocols are in policy and are appropriate. The Institution has PREA Support Persons (PSP) who are trained for victim advocacy services, and acts as the link to assist victims with the investigative process, professional resources, community based advocates, and mental health professionals. The agency is currently working with the North Carolina Coalition against Sexual Assault (NCCASA) to create a state-wide system for community based services and documents were provided. The facility PSP (PREA Support Person) will assist the inmate in contacting Family Crisis Center of Montgomery County for advocacy services. Inmates interviews confirmed their knowledge of the outside emotional support services. Forensic medical examinations are conducted at the First Health of Montgomery County. Reviewed was documentation of First Health of Montgomery County Memorial Hospital protocols for treatment.

**Standard 115.22 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400 and SOP 05.09 was reviewed. Interviews were conducted. All eight investigatory reports were viewed by the auditor. All eight were either unfounded or unsubstantiated with no criminal investigations taking place. Each had a sexual abuse report completed (PVR) and each has support services documented.

All allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are classified as a major incident. Policy requires that all major incidents receive an investigation. Policy requires that allegations be referred to an in-house trained investigator for the administrative portion and to the Troy Police Department for criminal investigations. Policies are available through the NCDPS website.

**Standard 115.31 Employee training**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**
Policy F.3400, Training Curriculum’s SAH 101, 07/01/15, Staff and Offender Relations Training, New Employee Orientation, Form OPA-T10, Employee Training Files, brochures, handbooks, and other documents were reviewed. A review of all staff and training educational acknowledgement forms, (OPA-T10), as well as staff interviews confirmed that staff are receiving their required PREA training. Staff interviews confirmed their comprehension of the PREA training and their duty to report any allegation of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment. At the facility, it was evident that staff were trained continually about the PREA standards during shift briefings and the completion of various on-line trainings.

The agency policies require annual training for all staff in all areas identified within the standard. Interviews with staff confirmed they complete annual training and understand the material presented. Training documentation is kept in LMS (Learning Management System). Employee training documentation found that all staff had completed their annual training (PREA: Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment 101).

**Standard 115.32 Volunteer and contractor training**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Policy F0604; Training Curriculum’s SAH 101, 07/01/15, Staff and Offender Relations Training, New Employee Orientation, Form OPA-T10, “Ways to Report” Poster, Volunteer Brochure, and other documents were reviewed. Volunteer interview also confirmed training. An interview with a volunteer confirmed their knowledge of the required PREA training and NCDPS’s zero tolerance policy of any form of sexual activity at the facility as well as their duty to report sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed volunteer/contractor training records which were in compliance. The OPA T-10 acknowledgment forms were also reviewed.

The agency requires all volunteers to complete the same training as a staff member, with minor deviations. There is also a Volunteer Brochure specifically for volunteers to receive PREA information. There is also a “Ways to Report” poster to remind volunteers and contractors of the various ways to report. An interview with one of the volunteers showed that they understood how to report and the agencies zero-tolerance for sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

**Standard 115.33 Inmate education**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**
Policy F.3400, Diagnostic Procedural Manual Section 201 & 417, PREA Inmate Brochure (English/Spanish), Offender PREA Education Acknowledgement Form OPA T100, Facilitator Talking Points (Education upon Transfer), Education upon Transfer E-mail, Interpreter Services DOC150623, PREA OPUS (Offender Population Unified System) Training Roster, and assorted posters were reviewed. Inmate interviews were conducted and confirmed that they understood the PREA education which they received at intake/orientation and that they received the PREA education upon admission. Southern CI Inmate acknowledgment forms (OPA-T100) were signed at intake/orientation and reviewed. 

Agency policy requires all inmates entering into the system to receive intake and comprehensive training at a reception and diagnostic center. SCI inmates, through a processing center, receive comprehensive PREA education and then receive facility specific information upon arrival. The comprehensive education was reviewed at the reception and diagnostic center and meets the criteria of the standard regarding content. Inmate education is maintained in OPUS (Offender Population Unified System) and copies were provided to the auditor for review. Interviews with inmates confirmed the receipt of facility specific information at intake. Informational posters were observed around the facility on the PREA boards in the housing buildings.

**Standard 115.34 Specialized training: Investigations**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Training Curriculums: Investigator, PPT and Mock Interview; Investigator Understanding Sexual Violence & PPT; and Incident Reporting, OPUS (Offender Population Unified System) Incident Reporting Pamphlet, and the Investigator PREA training file were reviewed. The required training includes: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings: and the criteria and evidence to substantiate a case for administrative or prosecution referral.

The institution has designated investigators who have completed specialized training for this purpose. The training meets the requirements of the standard. Interview with an investigator found that they were well versed in administrative investigations. Only those who have completed this training have access to the electronic incident report system to allow for the review of investigations and updating the system with new information. The agency only completes administrative investigations. All criminal investigations are conducted by the Troy Police Department. The auditor reviewed training documentation of identified investigators.

**Standard 115.35 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion**
must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400, and Training Curriculum: PPT, CE Nursing and OSDT Roster were reviewed. Training files for medical staff and mental health staff were reviewed.

The agency policy requires that all medical and mental health staff receive PREA 101 and specialized medical and mental health training. The specialized training meets all requirements of the standard. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed knowledge of specialized training. Forensic examinations are not conducted at this facility and therefore no training was provided.

**Standard 115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Diagnostic Procedural Manual 305 were reviewed. Inmate interviews and documentation revealed that the risk screenings are being conducted at the NCDPS screening facilities, within 72 hours of intake. Staff and inmate interviews confirmed that the inmates are asked the question, at the receiving facility, if they had been sexually abused after the initial intake was completed. Inmates reporting prior sexual victimization, according to staff, are referred immediately for a follow-up with medical or mental health staff. Although there have been no transgender or intersex inmates admitted to the facility within the past 12 months, staff were aware of giving consideration for the inmate's own views of their safety in placement and programming assignments.

The agency conducts a risk assessment at a reception and diagnostic center upon the initial intake of inmates into the state system. This is completed within 72 hours of arrival. The risk assessment contains all elements of the standard. This assessment is required to be reviewed within 30 days of intake. If the inmate reports a victimization or identifies as sexually aggressive, notification is made to medical, the Superintendent/Administrator and the PREA Compliance Manager to begin services as required by policy. The policy prohibits inmates from being disciplined for refusing to answer questions from the screening. Only those staff with appropriate credentials have access to this electronically maintained information.

The agency produces a High Risk of Victimization list (HRV) to the facility that is reviewed weekly alongside the High Risk of Abusiveness List (HRA) to ensure that all housing, jobs, and programming services are assigned with the protection of the inmates as a key factor. Upon intake at a reception center, the inmate and staff complete the Mental Health Screening Inventory. This tool identifies all required components of the standard. From this document, two lists are produced -- the HRV and the HRA (see above). These lists are protected from viewing of staff who do not have an immediate need to know and access is only provided to the Superintendent/Administrator, Assistant Superintendent/PREA Compliance Manager, and the Inmate Assignment Coordinators, or IAC. It is the responsibility of the designated staff to run these lists at a minimum of weekly to review for appropriate placement.

**Standard 115.42 Use of screening information**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Policy TX-I-13, Screening tool, Learning Management System (LMS) Material, and the Instructions to access the High Risk Abuse Report were reviewed. Interviews were conducted. The policy addresses clear guidelines, including limits, for housing and work assignments based on the safety of all inmates. The policy requires a bi-annual review of housing for transgender and intersex inmates. The policy also provides for all transgender and intersex inmates to shower separately from all other inmates, and are assessed for their own perception of risk at the facility. Documentation was provided to the auditor on how the information gathered through the screening was used to help protect inmates from sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The current system includes a review of the High Risk Victimization (HRV) and the High Risk of Abusiveness (HRA) list at the facility on a weekly basis, or more often if needed, to ensure that inmates are placed in educational, vocational, and housing that ensures their safety. Inmates who are identified as HRV are placed in closer proximity to the staff in the housing units. Staff interviews described how information is derived from the forms as indicated above and the initial health assessment and mental health screening forms to determine placement and risk level.

**Standard 115.43 Protective custody**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400 and SOP 4.54 have been reviewed. Interviews were conducted.

There have been no instances where protective custody has been used at this facility in the past 12 months. Agency policy prohibits the involuntary placement of inmates in restricted housing unless there are no available alternatives. Policy and interviews confirm that services for an inmate who may be placed in protective custody are continued as normal unless there is a specific documented reason for restriction. Policy dictates documentation of the use of protective custody when necessary and 30 day reviews of such placement.

**Standard 115.51 Inmate reporting**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Policy D.0300, Form OPA-T10, Fraud, Waste, Abuse, and Misconduct reporting website page, PREA Internal & External webpage for reporting, Staff Brochure, Offender acknowledgement Form (English/Spanish), Inmate Rule Book, were reviewed and a tour of the facility was completed. Interviews were also conducted.

The agency has numerous ways for an inmate to internally report sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Methods of reporting include reporting to a staff, writing a grievance or letter to the PREA Director and third-party reporting. Externally, the agency provides the address of the North Carolina Prison Legal Services (PLS). It was confirmed through conversation with the administration that mail sent to the PLS or the PREA Director is treated as legal correspondence and is not opened at the facility level. According to the Statewide PREA Director, an MOU is in the works, in order to formalize that NCPLS is an external reporting agency for NCDPS. The posters in the facility provided the address for PLS, and inmate brochures detailed this as a method of reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Interviews confirmed that staff at the program are aware that they may report privately through the Fraud, Waste, Abuse, and Misconduct Hotline or through email with the PREA Director if they do not wish to report through the Chain of Command.

**Standard 115.52 Exhaustion of administrative remedies**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy G.0300, Policy F.3400, and the Inmate Rule Book were reviewed. Interviews were also conducted.

The agency policy confirms that grievances of sexual abuse or sexual harassment require an immediate notification to the North Carolina Department of Public Safety PREA office and also requires preventing a response from the subject of the complaint. A box is used by inmates to deposit their grievance. The grievance box is emptied in their housing building daily. There is no requirement to use a less formal method of reporting prior to a written grievance. There is no disciplinary action if the report is made in good faith. A final response is due within 90 days, as well as notification to the inmate that it has been accepted within 5 days. Grievances are allowed to be prepared by the victim or other third party person who assists the victim. Emergency grievances, those defined as matters that present a substantial risk of physical injury or irreparable harm may be presented directly to the Officer in Charge, are forwarded immediately to the appropriate person, and require an initial response from the facility within 48 hours and a final determination within 5 days.

**Standard 115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the
relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Viewed was a MOU with the Family Crisis Center of Montgomery County for advocacy services, SOP 4.54A, and PREA – The North Carolina Approach were reviewed. Inmate interviews verified that they were aware of their options for emotional support services.

The facility has an agreement for the provision of outside support services for inmates. This contract provides for telephonic victim support services. The PREA Support Persons are aware of the services through Family Crisis Center of Montgomery County. Inmates are provided notification of the PREA Support Services through Form OPA-I30, which documents the PREA Support Persons role during the investigation and thereafter to assist in providing support services to the victim. The OPA-I30 forms were reviewed in several of the eight investigative reports. The name of the local rape crisis agency and the address were posted on the PREA boards in each housing unit.

**Standard 115.54 Third-party reporting**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The NCDPS website and posters were reviewed. Interviews were conducted. Inmate interviews confirmed their awareness of reporting sexual abuse or harassment to others outside of the facility including access to their attorney. All staff interviews were able to describe how reports may be made by third parties.

The North Carolina Department of Public Safety (NCDPS) offers opportunities for third party reporting and accepts third party reports. Information on how to report to the NCDPS is provided on their agency website. Those concerned will find two separate methods of reporting to the agency. They may write to the PREA Director or send an e-mail through the link provided. Both options will result in the PREA Director receiving the complaint. The PREA Director will then generate an incident report and inform the Superintendent. This information is also available at the facility for visitors.

**Standard 115.61 Staff and agency reporting duties**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400, SOP 4.54, SOP 4.54A, and PREA 101 Staff Training were reviewed. All eight investigative reports were reviewed. Interviews with all staff, to include medical staff, confirmed their responsibility to inform inmates of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality.

The agency policy requires all staff, volunteers and contractors to immediately report any knowledge, information or suspicion of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, and any violation or neglect of responsibility, to administration. Policy and interviews confirmed that staff are not allowed to share information with anyone who does not have a need to know. All allegations are reported to both the investigator and the PREA Director. Agency staff training details the notification to the state agency regarding vulnerable adults.

**Standard 115.62 Agency protection duties**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400 was reviewed. Staff interviews indicated if an inmate was in danger of sexual abuse or at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, they would act immediately to ensure the safety of the inmate, separate from the alleged perpetrator and contact their immediate supervisor. Additionally, the inmate would be referred to mental health for emotional support services.

The agency requires immediate action to protect inmates who report sexual abuse. All staff, contractors and volunteers are required to report this to the facility investigators who will assist with taking appropriate steps for protection. Staff were able to articulate this requirement during the interviews. There were no allegations of this type in the past 12 months.

**Standard 115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Policy F.3400 was reviewed. Staff interviews confirmed findings. There were no allegations of this sort during the last 12 months.

The agency policy requires that any receipt of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred at another facility be immediately reported to the appropriate Superintendent. This notification must be documented. An incident report is also generated, which flags the assigned investigator and the PREA Director. Allegations made by an inmate at another facility are treated the same as a new allegation, and facility investigators are notified and begin their review of information.

**Standard 115.64 Staff first responder duties**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400 and PREA training curriculum were reviewed. Staff interviews confirmed findings. There were no incidents where a first responder acted on an allegation. Staff interviews validated their technical knowledge of actions to be taken upon learning that an inmate was sexually abused. Every interviewed staff, without hesitation, described actions they would take immediately and these steps were all consistent with NCDPS policies and procedures. It was evident that staff had been trained in their responsibilities as first responders.

The agency requires all staff to separate, protect physical evidence and the crime scene, and to report to administration when an allegation of sexual abuse is received. All staff could clearly articulate these steps. It is noted that staff PREA training identifies all staff as first responders. Contractors and volunteers are required to protect the victim and report the information to a security staff.

**Standard 115.65 Coordinated response**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

SOP 05.09, Policy F.3400, Coordinated Response Plan and Coordinated Response Overview were reviewed. Interviews were conducted and confirm findings.

The NCDPS has created a template that includes all PREA related requirements for a proper Coordinated Response Plan. Each
facility is provided this draft template, which directs their facility specific information be included in the plan and thereafter published to facility staff. This plan addresses first responder duties, leadership duties, investigator duties, PREA Compliance Manager duties, PREA Support Persons duties, SART (Sexual Abuse Response Team) duties, Mental Health and aftercare duties, and retaliation duties. The plan reviewed was facility specific and included specific tasks for each member. Additionally, there is a flowchart that helps staff to comply with the plan.

**Standard 115.66 Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

This standard is Not Applicable as Southern Correctional Institution does not enter into collective bargaining agreements.

**Standard 115.67 Agency protection against retaliation**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Form OPA-I22 and Form OPA-I24 were reviewed. Interviews confirmed findings. Viewed in the eight investigative reports where several completed retaliation monitoring forms.

The agency policy addresses practices to protect both staff and inmates from retaliation as a result of reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment information. Various protection methods for inmates are identified in policy. There is a form that is used to document periodic status checks, and the results of the retaliation monitoring at the end of the required monitoring period. Facility documents confirmed that retaliation monitoring is conducted. It is noted that there were no instances of reported retaliation at this facility. There is a PREA Support Person to monitor retaliation of inmates. The position description states that it is the responsibility of the PSP to walk victims through the process of the forensic medical exam, the interview process, and the use of the Family Rape Crisis Center of Montgomery County.

**Standard 115.68 Post-allegation protective custody**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

_Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility._

Policy F.3400 was reviewed. Staff interviews confirm findings.

The agency policy addresses the use of protective custody only if no other alternative means of protection is available, or if inmates request this level of protection. Inmates requesting this level of protection may complete the Request for Protective Custody and must document the reasons for the request. Inmates who are placed in involuntary protective custody are seen every seven days by a counselor who documents this check. Unless documented, all inmates are provided the same programs and services as prior to their placement. Additionally, the Classification team reviews all placements of Protective Custody. There were no instances of the use of protective custody as a result of a sexual abuse allegation in the past 12 months.

**Standard 115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

_Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility._

Policy F.3400, and the Coordinated Response Overview were reviewed. The eight Investigative files were reviewed. Staff interviews confirmed findings.

The agency policy requires that criminal investigations are conducted by outside law enforcement, therefore the facility investigators only conduct an initial investigation to determine if outside law enforcement is to be notified and administrative investigations. Investigators identified at the facility have received appropriate investigator specialized training. All evidence is gathered, documented and preserved. Prior allegations involving the same perpetrator or victim are reviewed. The credibility of the victim or alleged abuser is determined on an individual basis. The agency does not use polygraph examinations in order to continue an investigation. Administrative investigations address staff actions, credibility and a review of fact and findings of the criminal investigation (if applicable). All interviews are conducted as approved by the Office of Special Investigations and Compliance. Both criminal and administrative investigations are documented.

**Standard 115.72 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400 was reviewed. Interview confirmed the findings.

The agency policy imposes no standard greater than a preponderance of the evidence in determining the outcome of an investigation.

**Standard 115.73 Reporting to inmates**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400, Form OPA-I30, Form OPA-I30A, PREA Support Notification Form, Coordinated Response Overview and sample forms were reviewed. Investigation files were reviewed. Interviews confirm findings.

The agency utilizes Form OPA-I30 to document notification to the victim of the outcome of the investigation, and include specific mention of the status of the abuser. These forms were found in the files reviewed along with the inmate’s signature, signature of the staff making the notification, and the outcome of the investigation.

**Standard 115.76 Disciplinary sanctions for staff**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400, Policy A.200, New Employee Orientation, Investigation Files, and NCDPS internal webpage were reviewed. Interviews confirmed findings.

The agency policy provides for disciplinary action towards staff who violate the zero-tolerance policy, up to and including
termination. All disciplinary actions are reviewed individually based on the nature and circumstances of the allegation. Comparable offenses by other staff are also considered in a final determination of disciplinary action. All staff terminations are required to be reported to the state licensing body.

Standard 115.77 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400, Policy F.0604, and Form OPA-T10 were reviewed. Interviews confirmed findings.

The agency policy confirms that any contractor or volunteer who violate the zero-tolerance policy will be prohibited from contact with inmates. Outcome of an investigation that is substantiated and involve a licensed contractor or volunteer is reported to the appropriate licensing body, as identified. There were no allegations where a contractor or volunteer was referred to local law enforcement for a violation of the agency zero-tolerance policy.

Standard 115.78 Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400 and the Inmate Rule and Policies Booklet were reviewed. Staff interviews confirmed findings.

The agency policy dictates disciplinary actions for inmates who violate the zero-tolerance policy. The Inmate Rule and Policies Booklet clearly outline the disciplinary action as a result of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (Class "A" Offenses). Services for abusers are available and include counseling and possible transfer for additional interventions. Inmates are not disciplined for behaviors in which staff consent. There is no disciplinary action for inmates who make a report in good faith. There were no criminal sexual abuse incidents that were reported in the program in the past 12 months. The agency does prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.

Standard 115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy F.3400, Policy CP-18, Diagnostic Manual 305, various memos, North Carolina Authorization for Release of Information, Mental Health Screening Referral system, and Learning Management System (LMS) were reviewed. Interviews confirmed findings.

The agency policy requires immediate referral to medical and mental health services after information of prior sexual victimization or sexual abusive behaviors is discovered during the screening process. Services are provided within 14 days by facility medical and mental health staff. Interviews confirmed informed consent is obtained before information is shared regarding a victimization that may have occurred prior to incarceration.

**Standard 115.82 Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy CP-18, North Carolina Authorization for Release of Information, Mental Health Screening Referral system, and the Coordinated Response Overview were reviewed. Interviews confirm findings.

The agency requires that all inmates who report sexual abuse shall be immediately taken for medical services. Mental Health professionals are notified by the mental health social worker or PREA Support Person (PSP). Mental Health staff confirm notification. Additional counseling services are available as identified and as requested by the victim through the PSP (PREA Support Person). Provisions for STD testing and treatment are provided at the facility level based on physician orders and/or victim request. All treatment related to sexual abuse is offered without financial cost to the victim regardless if they name the perpetrator or not.

**Standard 115.83 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400, Policy CP-18, Policy CC-8, and the Coordinated Response Overview were reviewed. Interviews confirm findings.

The agency provides on-going medical and mental health services for victims of sexual abuse, whether the incident occurred within an institution or in the community. All care is provided and consistent with the community level of care. Follow-up care is provided within two weeks, as well as can be requested by the victim. STD testing and treatment is offered. Again, all services are provided to the victim without financial compensation. The agency also offers evaluations to sexually aggressive inmates when information is present.

**Standard 115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy F.3400, Form OPA-I10, and Coordinated Response Overview were reviewed. Completed OPA-I10 forms (PIR) were reviewed. It was evident from the interviews that staff have been trained, knowledgeable of the process and would document their review on the OPA-I10 form that captures all aspects of the incident.

The agency requires a Post Incident Review (PIR) at the conclusion of any investigations of sexual abuse determined to be substantiated or unsubstantiated. Form OPA-I10 is completed. This is a standardized form that contains all elements of the standard. Participants include PREA Compliance Manager and SART members, who are comprised of upper level management and input from other staffing positions, including medical staff. A sample of the completed Post Incident Reviews were reviewed.

**Standard 115.87 Data collection**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Policy F.3400, Incident Reporting – OPUS (Offender Population Unified System), and (8) PREA Incident Reports were reviewed. Interviews confirmed findings.

The agency maintains records and data on all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment from all facilities that captures information as identified by the DOJ-SSV. Aggregated annually, this information is included in the annual report.

**Standard 115.88 Data review for corrective action**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy F.3400, Form OPA-I10, Sexual Abuse Annual Report, and Coordinated Response Overview were reviewed. Interviews confirmed findings.

The agency utilizes information gathered from investigative reports and completed Post Incident Review forms (OPA-I10) to assess and improve the effectiveness of its zero-tolerance efforts towards prevention, detection and response of sexual abuse incidents. The information gathered assists with identifying problem areas, policy updates, and system updates. The annual report is completed and identifies facility specific issues and resolutions, as well as those specific issues that are agency wide. The annual report is approved by the Agency Head and made public through the NCDPS website.

**Standard 115.89 Data storage, publication, and destruction**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy F.3400 and the 2015 Sexual Abuse Annual Report were reviewed. Interviews confirmed findings.

The agency publishes the annual report on its website. The report contains no personal identifiers. Agency policy requires the maintenance of records that meets the PREA standard.
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